Tôhoku Math. Journ. 20(1968), 106-110.

ON THE TOPOLOGY OF COMPACT CONTACT MANIFOLDS

S. I. GOLDBERG¹⁾

(Received June 13, 1967, revised October 1, 1967)

1. Introduction. In an earlier paper [3] the author proved that the second betti number of a compact normal regular contact manifold of strictly positive curvature vanishes. This was subsequently strengthened by E.M.Moskal [5] by removing the regularity condition and another proof²) was recently given by S.Tanno [9].

PROPOSITION 1. The second betti number of a compact normal contact manifold of positive curvature is zero.

In this paper we prove

THEOREM 1. The second betti number of a compact normal regular contact manifold with non-negative sectional curvature is zero.

The proof is based upon Proposition 2 below as well as the technique used to obtain Theorem 2 of [3].

Proposition 2 has other interesting consequences. Indeed an application of a result due to B.Kostant [4] yields

THEOREM 2. A compact simply connected³ (normal) contact symmetric space is isometric with a sphere.

This also follows from a statement due to M.Okumura ([6], Theorem 3.2). Employing Theorem 1 and Proposition 3 below, we obtain

THEOREM 3. A compact torsion free 5-dimensional normal regular contact manifold with non-negative sectional curvature is homeomorphic with a sphere.

¹⁾ Research supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP-5477.

²⁾ An error in the proof originally due to Moskal led to the proof given by Tanno which is substantially the same.

³⁾ D. Blair and the auther have shown that the fundamental group of a compact symmetric normal contact manifold is finite.

For, M is an integral homology sphere, so by the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem, the Whitehead homotopy type theorem and the generalized Poincaré conjecture [7], M is homeomorphic with a sphere.

The author wishes to thank the referee for several important comments.

2. Contact manifolds. An almost contact structure (J, X_0, ω) on a 2n+1 dimensional C^{∞} manifold M is given by a linear transformation field J, a vector field X_0 and a 1-form ω on M with the properties

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\omega}(X_0) = 1,$$

$$JX_0 = 0,$$

$$\omega \circ J = 0,$$

$$(4) J^2 = -I + \omega(\cdot)X_0$$

where I is the identity transformation field. If M has a (J, X_0, ω) -structure, a Riemannian metric \langle , \rangle can be found such that

$$(5) \qquad \qquad \omega = \langle X_0, \cdot \rangle,$$

(6)
$$\langle JX, JY \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle - \omega(X)\omega(Y)$$

and M is then said to carry a $(J, X_0, \omega, <, >)$ -structure. Formula (6) along with (1)-(5) says that J is skew-symmetric with respect to <,>, that is

$$(6^{-}) \qquad \qquad =-$$

for all vector fields X and Y.

The almost contact structure is called *normal* if for all vector fields X and Y on M

$$J^{2}[X, Y] + [JX, JY] - J[X, JY] - J[JX, Y] + d\omega(X, Y)X_{0} = 0.$$

A 2n+1 dimensional C^{∞} manifold M is said to have a *contact structure* and M is called a *contact manifold* if it carries global 1-form ω with the property

$$(7) \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge (d\boldsymbol{\omega})^n \neq 0.$$

In this case, there exists an associated $(J, X_0, \omega, <, >)$ -structure with ω the 1-form defining the contact structure and

(8)
$$\langle X, JY \rangle = d\omega(X, Y).$$

This structure is called a *contact metric structure*.

It can easily be shown that the vector field X_0 generates a one-parameter

S. I. GOLDBERG

group of isometries with respect to <,>.

Observe that (7) implies that a contact manifold is orientable.

A normal contact metric manifold is occasionally referred to as a Sasakian manifold.

3. Harmonic forms. Let *a* be a harmonic *p*-form on a compact Sasakian manifold. Then, for $1 \leq p \leq n$, *a* is `orthogonal' to X_0 , that is $\iota(X_0)a$ vanishes [8].

Let \bigtriangledown denote the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connexion. Then, since X_0 is a Killing field with respect to the metric \langle , \rangle we obtain

LEMMA 1. On a Sasakian manifold

$$2 \bigtriangledown_{\mathbf{r}} X_{\mathbf{0}} + JY = 0, \forall Y.$$

For, $(\bigtriangledown_x \omega)(Y) + (\bigtriangledown_r \omega)(X) = 0$. So $\langle X, JY \rangle = d\omega(X, Y) = (\bigtriangledown_x \omega)(Y) - (\bigtriangledown_r \omega)(X) = -2(\bigtriangledown_r \omega)(X) = -2 \langle X, \bigtriangledown_r X_0 \rangle$. The vector field X being arbitrary and \langle , \rangle being nondegenerate, Lemma 1 follows.

PROPOSITION 2. There are no covariant constant p-forms on a compact Sasakian manifold M for $1 \leq p \leq 2n$.

PROOF. Let a be a covariant constant p-form, $1 \leq p \leq n$ and X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p any p vector fields on M. Then, since $\iota(X_0)a=0$, Lemma 1 implies

$$(\nabla_{JX_{1}}\iota(X_{0})a)(X_{2},\cdots,X_{p}) = \iota(\nabla_{JX_{1}}X_{0})a(X_{2},\cdots,X_{p})$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}a(J^{2}X_{1},X_{2},\cdots,X_{p})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}a(X_{1},X_{2},\cdots,X_{p}).$$

Hence, a=0. Denote by *a the (2n+1-p)-form corresponding to a under the Hodge star operator. Since *a is covariant constant whenever a is, and * is an isomorphism, the same is true for forms of complementary degree.

Let $b_p = b_p(M)$ denote the p^{th} betti number of M. We shall require the following well-known fact [1].

LEMMA 2. In a compact and orientable Riemannian manifold there are no harmonic p-forms $a = a_{i_1\cdots i_p} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_p}$ satisfying the quadratic inequality

$$F_p(a) = R_{ij}a^{ii_{\bullet}\cdots i_p}a^{j}_{i_{\bullet}\cdots i_p} + \frac{p-1}{2}R_{ijkl}a^{iji_{\bullet}\cdots i_p}a_{kli_{\bullet}\cdots i_p} \ge 0$$

108

inless ∇a vanishes where R_{ijkl} and R_{ij} are the components of the Riemann curvature and Ricci tensors, respectively.

For p=1, we have

$$F_1(a) = R_{ij}a^i a^j,$$

so if the Ricci curvature is positive semi-definite $\bigtriangledown a$ vanishes. Proposition 2 then gives

PROPOSITION 3. If the Ricci curvature of a compact Sasakian manifold is positive semi-definite, $b_1 = 0$.

4. The main results. A contact manifold M is homogeneous if there is a connected Lie group which acts transitively and effectively on M as a group of diffeomorphisms and leaves the contact form invariant. If M is also compact and simply connected, then it is regular, and is, in fact, a principal circle bundle over a homogeneous Hodge manifold (see [2], Theorem 6). It can be shown that an odd dimensional sphere possesses a homogeneous contact structure as a principal circle bundle over a homogeneous Hodge manifold. Making use of these facts, it was shown in a previous paper [3] that a simply connected homogeneous contact manifold of positive curvature in the invariant metric is globally isometric with a sphere.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since the contact structure is normal and regular and the manifold M is compact, it may be considered as a principal circle bundle over a Hodge manifold B. Since the sectional curvatures of Mare non-negative, the sectional curvatures of B are positive (see [3, §4]). The Gysin sequence of the circle bundle M over B is

$$\longrightarrow H^{i}(B,R) \xrightarrow{p^{*}} H^{i}(M,R) \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(B,R) \xrightarrow{L} H^{i+1}(B,R) \longrightarrow$$

where R denotes the reals, p^* is the map induced by the bundle projection and L is multiplication by the characteristic class of the bundle. By Proposition 3, $b_1(M)$ vanishes, so since $b_2(B)=1$, we conclude that $b_2(M)$ also vanishes.

A contact symmetric space is a homogeneous contact manifold which is Riemannian symmetric with respect to the contact metric structure.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Since a harmonic form on a compact symmetric space has vanishing covariant derivative with respect to the connexion of the

S. I. GOLDBERG

invariant metric, then by Proposition 2, since the manifold is normal, it is a rational homology sphere. But a compact simply connected symmetric space which is a rational homology sphere is isometric with a sphere except for SU(3)/SO(3). To see this, we first note that the holonomy group of a compact simply connected Riemannian manifold M which is topologically a cohomology sphere, is the special orthogonal group SO(d), $d = \dim M$, with the exception of SU(3)/SO(3). This is a consequence of Corollary 2.2 of [4] and the fact that simple connectedness implies that the holonomy group is contained in SO(d). Since M is in addition a symmetric space G/H, the holonomy group is H.

The above facts imply that the isotropy group is SO(d), unless d=5. In particular, the isometry group is transitive on tangents, M is of rank 1, and M is not complex or quaternionic projective space, so $M = S^d$, unless d=5. The exceptional case does not occur as one sees from the classification of homogeneous contact manifolds given by Boothby and Wang [2].

REMARKS. (a) SU(3)/SO(3) may yet carry a contact structure, but it will not be invariant.

(b) SU(3)/SO(3) has 2-torsion. This is a consequence of the exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow \pi_2(SU(3)) \longrightarrow \pi_2(SU(3)/SO(3)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(SO(3)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(SU(3)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For, $\pi_2(SU(3)) = \pi_1(SU(3)) = 0$, $\pi_1(SO(3)) \approx Z_2$, and since SU(3)/SO(3) is simply connected, $\pi_2(SU(3)/SO(3)) \approx H_2(SU(3)/SO(3), Z)$. Hence, $H_2(SU(3)/SO(3)) \approx Z_2$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] S. BOCHNER, Curvature and Betti numbers, Ann. of Math., 49(1948), 379-390.
- [2] W. M. BOOTHBY AND H. C. WANG, On contact manifolds, Ann. of Math., 68(1958), 721-734.
- [3] S. I. GOLDBERG, Rigidity of positively curved contact manifolds, Journ. Lond. Math. Soc., 42(1967), 257-263.
- [4] B. KOSTANT, On invariant skew-tensors, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 42(1956), 148–151.
- [5] E. M. MOSKAL, Contact manifolds of positive curvature, Thesis, Univ. of Illinois (1966).
- [6] M. OKUMURA, Some remarks on space with a certain contact structure, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 14(1962), 135-145.
- [7] S. SMALE, The generalized Poincaré conjecture in higher dimensions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 66(1960), 373-375.
- [8] S. TACHIBANA, On harmonic tensors in compact Sasakian spaces, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 17(1965), 271-284.
- [9] S. TANNO, The topology of contact Riemannian manifolds, submitted.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA, U.S.A.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA, ILLINOIS, U.S.A.