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1. Intoduction. Let $W$ be an oriented simply connected 4-manifold and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ be three elements of $H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ with mutual intersection numbers $x_{i} \cdot x_{j}=0 \quad(i \neq j)$. In [3], by analysing Whitney's tricks for intersections of immersed 2 -spheres representing $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ in $W$, Y . Matsumoto introduced a number $\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle$ as an element of $\boldsymbol{Z}$ modulo an ideal $I=I\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}=\left\{x_{1} \cdot u_{1}+x_{2} \cdot u_{2}+x_{3} \cdot u_{3} \mid u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3} \in H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})\right\}$. The tripling $\langle,$,$\rangle will be referred to as the Matsumoto tripling and the ideal$ $I$ will be called the intersection ideal of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$.

It has been shown that $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ can be realized by mutually disjoint immersed 2 -spheres if and only if $\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle=0$, (for the "only if" part see [3] and for the "if" part see [7]). If $W$ is closed, $\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle$ always vanishes because of the Poincaré duality.

Suppose that the boundary $M=\partial W$ of $W$ is non-empty. For an integer $d$, a homology class $x \in H_{2}(W ; Z)$ has a mod $d$ boundary reduction $y \in H_{2}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$, if $i_{*} y=x \bmod d$ for the inclusion map $i: M \rightarrow W$.

Our aim in this paper is to prove the following;
Theorem. Let ( $W, M$ ) be a compact oriented simply connected 4-manifold with non-empty boundary $\partial W=M$. Suppose that we are given three elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ with mutual intersection numbers zero and with the intersection ideal $I=(d), d \in Z$. Then each element $x_{i}$ has a unique mod d boundary reduction $y_{i}, i=1,2,3$ and the following equality holds;

$$
\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle=-\left(y_{1}^{*} \cup y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*}\right) \cap[M] \quad \text { in } \boldsymbol{Z}_{d},
$$

where $y_{i}^{*} \in H^{1}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ is the Poincaré dual of $y_{i}$ in $M$.
Thus the Matsumoto tripling 〈,,〉 is completely determined by the multiple cup product of the $\bmod d$ boundary reductions in the boundary.

An implication of Theorem is
Corollary 1 (Invariance of Matsumoto tripling). Let ( $W, M$ ) ( $W^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ ) and $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ be 4-manifolds with boundary and
homology classes as in Theorem. If $f:(W, M) \rightarrow\left(W^{\prime}, M^{\prime}\right)$ is a map such that the restriction $g=\left.f\right|_{M}: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is of degree one, i.e., $g_{*}[M]=\left[M^{\prime}\right]$, then we have $I\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\} \supset I\left\{f_{*} x_{1}, f_{*} x_{2}, f_{*} x_{3}\right\}$ and

$$
\left\langle f_{*} x_{1}, f_{*} x_{2}, f_{*} x_{3}\right\rangle^{\prime} \equiv\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle \quad \text { in } \boldsymbol{Z} / I\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\},
$$

where $\left\langle f_{*} x_{1}, f_{*} x_{2}, f_{*} x_{3}\right\rangle^{\prime}$ is the reduction of $\left\langle f_{*} x_{1}, f_{*} x_{2}, f_{*} x_{3}\right\rangle$ in $\boldsymbol{Z} / I\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$.
The proof of Theorem will be divided into two cases; $I=(0)(\S 2)$ and $I \neq(0)(\S 3)$. In $\S 4$, we shall give some applications of Theorem as well as the proof of Corollary 1.
2. The proof of Theorem; part 1. Since the homomorphism $j_{*}: H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z}) \rightarrow H_{2}(W, M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ induced by the inclusion map $j: W \rightarrow(W, M)$ is represented by the intersection matrix for $H_{2}(W)$, it follows that a homology class $x \in H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ has a $\bmod d$ boundary reduction if and only if the ideal (d) contains the intersection ideal $I\{x\}=\left\{x \cdot u \mid u \in H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})\right\}$. Hence for an integer $d$, each $x_{i} \in H^{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z}), i=1,2$, 3 , has a $\bmod d$ boundary reduction $y_{i}$ if and only if (d) contains the intersection ideal $I=I\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$. Since $W$ is simply connected, we have a short exact sequence:

$$
H_{3}\left(W, M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)=0 \longrightarrow H_{2}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{2}\left(W ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \xrightarrow{j_{*}} H_{2}\left(W, M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) .
$$

Thus the reduction $y_{i}$ is unique. In particular, if $I=(0)$, i.e., $j_{*} x=0$, then each $x_{i}$ has a unique integral reduction $y_{i}$.

In this section, we shall prove Theorem in this special case $I=(0)$.
Represent $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ by smoothly embedded oriented surfaces $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}, \widetilde{F}_{3}$. We may assume that those surfaces are in general position. Let $j: \widetilde{F}_{3} \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion map. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(y_{1}^{*} \cup y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*}\right)[M] & =\iota\left(\left(y_{1}^{*} \cup y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*}\right) \cap[M]\right)=\iota^{\prime}\left(\left(j^{*} y_{1}^{*} \cup j^{*} y_{2}^{*}\right) \cap\left[\widetilde{F}_{3}\right]\right) \\
& =\left[\gamma_{1}\right] \cdot\left[\gamma_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\iota, \iota^{\prime}$ are augmentations, $\gamma_{i}=\widetilde{F}_{i} \cap \widetilde{F}_{3}, i=1,2,\left[\gamma_{i}\right] \in H_{1}\left(\widetilde{F_{3}} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ is the homology class represented by $\gamma_{i}$ (see the diagram below).


Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{C}_{1}=\left\{\tilde{\alpha}_{1}^{\prime}, \widetilde{\beta}_{1}^{\prime} ; \cdots ; \widetilde{\alpha}_{r}^{\prime}, \widetilde{\beta}_{r}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \widetilde{C}_{2}=\left\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \widetilde{\beta}_{1}^{\prime \prime} ; \cdots ; \widetilde{\alpha}_{s}^{\prime \prime}, \widetilde{\beta}_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widetilde{C}_{3}=\left\{\tilde{\alpha}_{1}, \widetilde{\beta}_{1} ; \cdots ; \widetilde{\alpha}_{t}, \widetilde{\beta}_{t}\right\}
$$

be the canonical systems of simple closed curves of $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}, \widetilde{F}_{3}$, respectively, where $r$ (resp. $s, t$ ) is the genus of $\widetilde{F}_{1}$ (resp. $\widetilde{F}_{2}, \widetilde{F}_{3}$ ). We can choose systems so that two curves belonging to distinct systems $\widetilde{C}_{i}, \widetilde{C}_{j}$ have no point in common. Now,

$$
\left[\gamma_{1}\right] \cdot\left[\gamma_{2}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left(a_{k}^{1} \cdot b_{k}^{2}-a_{k}^{2} \cdot b_{k}^{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left[\gamma_{i}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left(a_{k}^{i}\left[\widetilde{\alpha}_{k}\right]+b_{k}^{i}\left[\widetilde{\beta}_{k}\right]\right), \quad a_{k}^{i}, b_{k}^{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z} .
$$

Let $M \times[0,1] \subset W$ be a collar neighborhood of $\partial W=M=M \times\{0\}$ in $W$. The classes $i_{*} y_{i}$ can be represented by the surface $F_{i}=$ $\widetilde{F}_{i} \times(4-i) / 4 \subset$ int $W$. Translating the systems $\widetilde{C}_{1}, \widetilde{C}_{2}, \widetilde{C}_{3}$ along the collar, we obtain the canonical systems of simple closed curves of $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\left\{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime} ; \cdots ; \alpha_{r}^{\prime}, \beta_{r}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& C_{2}=\left\{\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime \prime} ; \cdots ; \alpha_{s}^{\prime \prime}, \beta_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& C_{3}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; \cdots ; \alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $W-M \times[0,1)$ is simply connected, the curves $\widetilde{\alpha}_{i}^{\prime} \times 1, \tilde{\alpha}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \times 1, \tilde{\alpha}_{k} \times 1$ in $M \times\{1\}$ bound immersed disks $d_{i}^{\prime}$, $d_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, $d_{k}$ in $W-M \times[0,1)$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{i}^{\prime} & =\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{\prime} \times[3 / 4,1] \cup d_{i}^{\prime} \\
D_{j}^{\prime \prime} & =\tilde{\alpha}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \times[2 / 4,1] \cup d_{j}^{\prime \prime} \\
D_{k} & =\widetilde{\alpha}_{k} \times[1 / 4,1] \cup d_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By spinning $D_{i}^{\prime}\left(D_{j}^{\prime \prime}, D_{k}\right)$ around $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{j}^{\prime \prime}, \alpha_{k}\right)$, if necessary, we may assume that the normal bundle $\nu\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow F_{1}\right)$ extends to a sub-bundle of $\nu\left(D_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow W\right)$, etc. Using these disks $D_{i}^{\prime}, D_{j}^{\prime \prime}, D_{k}$, perform surgery on $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ in int $W$, and we obtain immersed 2 -spheres $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ representing $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. The construction is as follows: using the sub-bundle of $\nu\left(D_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow W\right)$ (resp. $\left.\nu\left(D_{j}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow W\right), \nu\left(D_{k} \rightarrow W\right)\right)$ mentioned above, we obtain an immersion $f_{i}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.f_{j}^{\prime \prime}, f_{k}\right): D^{2} \times[-1,1] \rightarrow W$, such that $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(D^{2} \times\{0\}\right)=D_{i}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(D^{2} \times\{0\}\right)=$ $\left.D_{j}^{\prime \prime}, f_{k}\left(D^{2} \times\{0\}\right)=D_{k}\right)$ and $N_{i}^{\prime}=f_{i}^{\prime}\left(\partial D^{2} \times[-1,1]\right)$ (resp. $N_{j}^{\prime \prime}=f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial D^{2} \times\right.$ $\left.[-1,1]), N_{k}=f_{k}\left(\partial D^{2} \times[-1,1]\right)\right)$ is a small tubular neighborhood of $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ $\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\alpha_{j}^{\prime \prime}, \alpha_{k}\right)$ in $F_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.F_{2}, F_{3}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\left(F_{1}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} N_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} f_{i}^{\prime}\left(D^{2} \times\{ \pm 1\}\right) \\
& S_{2}=\left(F_{2}-\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} N_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(D^{2} \times\{ \pm 1\}\right) \\
& S_{3}=\left(F_{3}-\bigcup_{k=1}^{t} N_{k}\right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{t} f_{k}\left(D^{2} \times\{ \pm 1\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we shall construct Whitney disks $\Delta^{(i, j)}$ 's and compute $\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle$.
(1) Whitney disks of type 1 . Corresponding to an intersection point of $\alpha_{k}$ and the double curve $\gamma_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\gamma_{2}$ ), there occur two intersection points, $p$ and $q$, of $S_{3}$ and $S_{1}$ (resp. $S_{2}$ ) with opposite signs. We draw two arcs $\gamma_{1}^{(3,1)}, \gamma_{3}^{(3,1)}$ (resp. $\left.\gamma_{2}^{(2,3)}, \gamma_{3}^{(2,3)}\right)$ connecting $p$ and $q$ on $S_{1}$ (resp. $S_{2}$ ), $S_{3}$. Let the arc $\gamma_{1}^{(3,1)}$ (resp. $\gamma_{2}^{(2,3)}$ ) lie in $f_{k}\left(D^{2} \times[-1,1]\right)$, and if, $D_{k}$ has not been spun around $\alpha_{k}$, let the arc $\gamma_{3}^{(3,1)}$ (resp. $\gamma_{3}^{(2,3)}$ ) go straight down to reach the height of $1 / 4$ and run parallel with $\beta_{k}$ on $F_{3}-\operatorname{int} N_{k}$ to the other component of $\partial N_{k}$ and go straight up to the end point.

Now, if $D_{k}$ has not been spun, the Whitney disk is;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(3,1)}= & \left(\gamma_{1}^{(3,1)} \times[3 / 4,1]\right) \cup\left(\left(\gamma_{3}^{(3,1)} \cap F_{3}\right) \times[1 / 4,1]\right) \cup(\text { an immersed } 2 \text {-disk in } \\
& W-M \times[0,1)) \\
\Delta^{(2,3)}= & \left(\gamma_{2}^{(2,3)} \times[2 / 4,1]\right) \cup\left(\left(\gamma_{3}^{(0,3)} \cap F_{3}\right) \times[1 / 4,1]\right) \cup(\text { an immersed } 2 \text {-disk in } \\
& W-M \times[0,1)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $D_{k}$ has been spun around $\alpha_{k}$, change this disk by homotopy to obtain the desired Whitney disk, keeping the part of level higher than $3 / 8$ unchanged. Similarly there exists a Whitney disk $\Delta^{(1,2)}$ corresponding to an intersection point of $\tilde{\alpha}_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$, where $\tilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{F}_{1} \cap \widetilde{F}_{2}$ is the double curve on $F_{2}$. We shall call these disks Whitney disks of type 1.

We orient $W$ as follows:

$$
[W]=[M] \times[n],
$$

where $n$ is the outward normal vector and [ ] is the orientation. Let the sign of the intersection point of $\alpha_{k}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ be $\varepsilon(= \pm 1)$, i.e., $\left[\alpha_{k}\right] \times\left[\gamma_{1}\right]=$ $\varepsilon\left[F_{3}\right]$. This is equivalent to saying that $\left[\gamma_{1}\right]=\varepsilon\left[\beta_{k}\right]$ near the intersection point. The curves $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ are oriented as follows (see Figure 1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[S_{1}\right]=\left[\gamma_{1}\right] \times[v]} \\
& {\left[S_{2}\right]=\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \times[v],}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v$ is a normal vector field on $F_{3}$ in $M$ such that $\left[F_{3}\right] \times[v]=[M]$. Let $p$ be the intersection point of $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$ on the side where $\left[\beta_{k}\right]$ is the inward vector of $F_{3}-N_{k}$, and let $q$ be the other point. Then near $p,\left[S_{3}\right]=\left[\alpha_{k}\right] \times[n]$ and near $q,\left[S_{3}\right]=\left[\alpha_{k}\right] \times(-[n])$. Therefore, at $p$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[S_{1}\right] \times\left[S_{3}\right] } & =\left[\gamma_{1}\right] \times[v] \times\left[\alpha_{k}\right] \times[n]=\varepsilon\left[\beta_{k}\right] \times[v] \times\left[\alpha_{k}\right] \times[n] \\
& =\varepsilon\left[\alpha_{k}\right] \times\left[\beta_{k}\right] \times[v] \times[n]=\varepsilon\left[F_{3}\right] \times[v] \times[n]=\varepsilon[W]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the sign of $p$ is $\varepsilon$. So the orientation of $\gamma^{(3,1)}$ is chosen in such a way that

$$
\left[\gamma_{3}^{(3,1)} \cap F_{3}\right]=\varepsilon\left[\beta_{k}\right]
$$



Figure 1
and that the orientation of the Whitney disk is

$$
\left[\Delta^{(3,1)}\right]=[n] \times \varepsilon\left[\beta_{k}\right] .
$$

If there is an intersection point of $\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}^{(3,1)}$ on $F_{3}$, there is a corresponding intersection point of $\Delta^{(3,1)}$ and $S_{2}$. Let the orientation of $\gamma_{2}$ be $\varepsilon^{\prime}\left[\alpha_{k}\right]\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}= \pm 1\right)$ near the point. Then the sign of the resulting intersection of $\Delta^{(3,1)}$ and $S_{2}$ can be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[4^{(3,1)}\right] \times\left[S_{2}\right] } & =[n] \times \varepsilon\left[\beta_{k}\right] \times\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \times[v]=[n] \times \varepsilon\left[\beta_{k}\right] \times \varepsilon^{\prime}\left[\alpha_{k}\right] \times[v] \\
& =\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}\left[\alpha_{k}\right] \times\left[\beta_{k}\right] \times[v] \times[n]=\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}[W] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This Whitney disk $\Delta^{(3,1)}$ of type 1 may intersect $S_{2}$ outside the collar neighborhood of $M$, but the intersection occurs in pair and the algebraic sum is zero. Therefore

$$
\sum_{\text {type } 1} d^{(3,1)} \cdot S_{2}=\sum \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{t} a_{k}^{2} \cdot b_{k}^{1}
$$

Similarly

$$
\sum_{\text {type }} \Delta^{(2,3)} \cdot S_{1}=-\sum_{k=1}^{t} a_{k}^{1} \cdot b_{k}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{t y p e} \Delta^{(1,2)} \cdot S_{3}=0 .
$$

(2) Whitney disks of type 2 . In $W-M \times[0,1)$, the intersection of $S_{i}$ and $S_{j}$ occurs as in Figure 2, corresponding to the intersection of the immersed disks $d_{i}$ and $d_{j}$. We call the Whitney disks obtained from this intersection as the Whitney disks of type 2. Clearly, Whitney disks of type 2 occur in pairs and

$$
\sum_{t y \operatorname{peq} 2} \Delta^{(i, j)} \cdot S_{k}=0 .
$$



Figure 2
Combining (1) and (2), we obtain

$$
\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{t}\left(a_{k}^{2} \cdot b_{k}^{1}-a_{k}^{1} \cdot b_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

by the definition of the Matsumoto tripling and Theorem is proved in case $I=(0)$.
3. The proof of Therem; part 2. Our plan of the proof is entirely the same as in the case $I=(0)$. However, an element of $H_{2}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ cannot be realized by an embedded surface in general. This is the only point to study.

Now, we shall show how to construct a nice complex representing $y_{i}$. The Bockstein homomorphism $\Delta: H_{2}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}(M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ maps $y_{i}$ to $\tau_{i}$. Assume $\tau_{i}$ is non-trivial. For, otherwise, $y_{i}$ can be realized by an embedded surface. Let $l_{i}$ be a closed curve representing $\tau_{i}$, and $N$ be a tubular neighborhood of $l_{i}$. Then $H_{1}(\partial N ; \boldsymbol{Z}) \approx \boldsymbol{Z} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z}$ is generated by a longitude $\xi$ and a meridian $\eta$. Since $d \tau_{i}=0$, the element $d \cdot \xi+e \cdot \eta$ is null-homologous in $M-\stackrel{\circ}{N}$ for some integer $e$. Here, this number $e$ is related to the linking number in the sense of Seifert [5] by $V\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)=$ $e / d(\bmod 1)$. Then the element $d \cdot \xi+e \cdot \eta$ is represented by a torus link of type ( $d, e$ ) on $\partial N$. By the Pontrjagin-Thom construction, $L_{i}$ bounds a properly embedded punctured surface $T_{i}$ in ( $M-\dot{N}, \partial N$ ) (which is possibly non-connected). Let $L_{i}^{*}$ be the fiberwise join of $L_{i}$ and $l_{i}$. Then $T_{i} \cup L_{i}^{*}$ represents an element $y_{i}^{\prime} \in H_{2}\left(M ; Z_{d}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(y_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{i}$. This implies that $y_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}=z_{i}$ can be considered as an element of $H_{2}(M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$. Moreover, the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence shows that $z_{i}$ is also an element of $H_{2}(M-N ; Z)$. Thus we can represent the homology class $y_{i}=y_{i}^{\prime}+z_{i} \in H_{2}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ again by the union of a properly embedded surface $T_{i}^{\prime}$ with $\partial T_{i}^{\prime}=L_{i}$ and $L_{i}^{*}$.

The next lemma plays a key role in the proof.
Lemma. $\quad V\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)=0$ for $i \neq j$.
Proof. We shall consider the following diagram.


The element $\nu_{i} \in H_{2}(W, M ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ is mapped to $j_{*} x_{i}$ by the homomorphism $\times d$, the multiplication by the number $d$. Then $\partial\left(\nu_{i}\right)=\tau_{i}$ by definition. Now, the linking number can be calculated in terms of an intersection number in the 4 -manifold $W$. Namely,

$$
V\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)=x_{i} \cdot \nu_{j} / d \quad(\bmod 1) .
$$

Our assumption $x_{i} \cdot x_{j}=0$ is valid with rational coefficients. Thus $V\left(\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}\right)=0$ for $i \neq j$, completing the proof.

Remark. This lemma states that for some 2 -chain $C_{i}$ such that $\partial C_{i}=d \tau_{i}$, the intersection number $C_{i} \cdot \tau_{j}=0(\bmod d)$. However, we can choose a nice loop $l_{j}$ representing $\tau_{j}$ in such a way that $C_{i} \cdot l_{j}=0$. Then for a 2-chain $C_{j}$ with $\partial C_{j}=d \cdot l_{j}$, the intersection number $C_{j} \cdot l_{i}=0$, where $l_{i}$ is a closed curve defined by $C_{i}$.


Figure 3
Proof of Theorem in case $I \neq(0)$. Take a complex $\widetilde{F}_{1}$ which represents $y_{1}$ and contains a loop $l_{1}$, representing $\tau_{1}$, as a singularity. Then there is a loop $l_{2}$, representing $\tau_{2}$, with $\widetilde{F}_{1} \cdot l_{2}=0$ by the remark above. By performing surgery on $\widetilde{F}_{1}$ as in Figure 3, if necessary, we may assume that $\widetilde{F}_{1} \cap l_{2}=\varnothing$. The complex $\widetilde{F}_{2}$ represents $y_{2}$ and contains a loop $l_{2}$ as a singularity. For the same reason as above, it may be assumed that $\widetilde{F}_{2} \cap l_{1}=\varnothing$. The loop $l_{3}$ and the complex $\widetilde{F}_{3}$ are similarly defined.

Now, we shall count the algebraic sum of the triple points $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}, \widetilde{F}_{3}$. By the construction of $\widetilde{F}_{i}$, we see that $\widetilde{F}_{1} \cap \widetilde{F}_{3}$ and $\widetilde{F}_{2} \cap \widetilde{F}_{3}$ represent cycles on $\widetilde{F}_{3}-l_{3}$ in the sense of integral coefficients. Set them as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k}\left(a_{1}^{k}\left[\alpha_{k}\right]+b_{k}^{1}\left[\beta_{k}\right]\right)+\sum_{j} c_{j}^{1}\left[\gamma_{j}\right] \\
& \sum_{k}\left(a_{k}^{2}\left[\alpha_{k}\right]+b_{k}^{2}\left[\beta_{k}\right]\right)+\sum_{j} c_{j}^{2}\left[\gamma_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[\alpha_{k}\right],\left[\beta_{k}\left[,\left[\gamma_{j}\right]\right.\right.$ is the canonical system of generators of $H_{1}\left(\widetilde{F}_{3}-l_{3} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ as in Figure 4. Then by the definition of the cup product,

$$
\left(\left(y_{1}^{*} \cup y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*}\right) \cap[M]\right)=\sum\left(a_{k}^{1} \cdot b_{k}^{2}-a_{k}^{2} \cdot b_{k}^{1}\right)
$$

The next step of the proof is to perform surgery on $\tilde{F}_{i}$ in $W$ so that it is realized by an immersed 2 -sphere. Take a properly immersed 2-disk $D_{i}$ which represents $\nu_{i} \in H_{2}(W, M ; Z)$ and satisfies $\partial D_{i}=l_{i}$. Then $F_{i}=\widetilde{F}_{i} \cup d \cdot D_{i}$ is regarded as the image from a closed surface. And by

assumption, $D_{i} \cdot D_{j}=0$ for $i \neq j$. The rest of the proof is entirely the same as before. Because in the computation of the Matsumoto tripling, the intersection number of $S_{k}$ and Whitney disks $\Delta^{(i, j)}$ 's, which lie in the complement of the collar of $M$ in $W$, is zero modulo $d$ for the same reason as in the case $d=0$. This completes the proof of Theorem.
4. Some applications of Theorem. First of all we give

Proof of Corollary 1. Since $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are simply connected, $g_{*}[M]=\left[M^{\prime}\right]$ implies that $f$ is of degree one, i.e., $f_{*}[W]=\left[W^{\prime}\right]$. Hence $f_{*}: H_{2}(W) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\prime}\right)$ is an epimorphism and the intersection ideal of $\left\{f_{*} x_{1}, f_{*} x_{2}, f_{*} x_{3}\right\}$ is contained in $I=I\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$. Thus we have the reduction $\left\langle f_{*} x_{1}, f_{*} x_{2}, f_{*} x_{3}\right\rangle^{\prime}$ of $\left\langle f_{*} x_{1}, f_{*} x_{2}, f_{*} x_{3}\right\rangle$ as an element of $\boldsymbol{Z} / I$. We put $K^{i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)=\operatorname{Coker}\left(g^{*}: H^{i}\left(M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)\right)$ and $K_{i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(g_{*}: H_{i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{i}\left(M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)\right)$. Since the map $g: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is of degree one, we have direct sum decompositions $H^{i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)=H^{i}\left(M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \oplus K^{i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ and $H_{3-i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)=H_{3-i}\left(M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \oplus K_{3-i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$, which are compatible with the Poincaré duality isomorphism $\cap[M]$ and orthogonal with respect to the pairing $\langle\rangle:, H^{i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \otimes H^{3-i}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}$ given by $\langle\xi, \eta\rangle=(\xi \cup \eta) \cap$ [M], see [1, pp. 9-12].

For an element $x \in H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})$, let $y \in H_{2}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ be a $\bmod d$ boundary reduction of $x$ and let $y^{*}$ be the Poincaré dual of $y$. If $y^{\prime}$ is a $\bmod d$ boundary reduction of $x^{\prime}=f_{*} x$, then we have $g_{*} y=y^{\prime}$, since $i_{*}^{\prime}: H_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right.$; $\left.\boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(W^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ is monic. In order to prove Corollary 1, it suffices to show that

$$
g^{*}\left(y_{1}^{\prime *} \cup y_{2}^{\prime *} \cup y_{3}^{\prime *}\right) \cap[M]=\left(y_{1}^{*} \cup y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*}\right) \cap[M],
$$

where $y_{i}^{*} \in H^{1}\left(M ; Z_{d}\right)$ and $y_{i}^{\prime *} \in H^{1}\left(M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ are the Poincaré duals of $y_{i} \in$ $H_{2}\left(M ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ and $y_{i}^{\prime} \in H_{2}\left(M^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ such that $g_{*} y_{i}=y_{i}^{\prime}$. Since the direct sum decompositions of $H_{i}(M)$ above are compatible with the Poincaré duality, we may write $g^{*} y_{i}^{\prime *}=y_{i}^{*}+u_{i}$ for some $u_{i} \in K^{1}(M)$. Moreover, they are orthogonal with respect to the pairing $\langle$,$\rangle . It follows that$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{*}\left(y_{1}^{\prime *} \cup y_{2}^{\prime *} \cup y_{3}^{\prime *}\right) \cap[M] \\
& \quad=\left(\left(y_{1}^{*}+u_{1}\right) \cup\left(y_{2}^{*}+u_{2}\right) \cup\left(y_{3}^{*}+u_{3}\right)\right) \cap[M] \\
& \quad=\left(y_{1}^{*} \cup y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*}\right) \cap[M] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 1.
Kaplan's number and Milnor's higher linking number. Let $L=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{i} k_{i}$ be a link in $S^{3}$ of three components $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ with the mutual linking numbers zero. Regard $S^{3}$ as the boundary of a 4 -ball $B^{4}$ and attach a 2 -handle to $S^{3}$ by a 0 -framing of each $k_{i}$. This gives us a compact simply connected 4-manifold $W_{L}$ with boundary $M_{L}=\partial W_{L}$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in H_{2}\left(W_{L} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ be the homology classes of $W_{L}$ corresponding to the cores of the attached 2 -handles. Then there exist unique integral boundary reductions $y_{i} \in H_{2}\left(M_{L} ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ of $x_{i}, i=1,2,3$. Matsumoto [3] observed that $-\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle$ in $W_{L}$ coincides with Milnor's higher linking number $\mu(L)$ of $L$, [4]. Meanwhile, Kaplan [2] has defined an invariant $T(L)$ and proved that $T(L)=\left(y_{i}^{*} \cup y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*}\right) \cap\left[M_{L}\right]$. Thus we have

Corollary 2. Let $L=\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} k_{i}$ be a link as above. Then we have $T(L)=\mu(L)$.

Normal singularity of complex algebraic surfaces. Let $V$ be a complex algebraic surface in an open neighborhood of the origin $O$ in a complex $N$-space $C^{N}$. Suppose that $V$ contains $\boldsymbol{O}$ as a normal singularity. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, a closed oriented 3-manifold $K_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{O})=\{z \in V \mid$ $|z|=\varepsilon\}$ is called a link of $O$ in $V$. Sullivan [6] has proved that ( $y_{1}^{*} \cup$ $\left.y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*}\right) \cap\left[K_{s}(\boldsymbol{O})\right]=0$ for any elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3} \in H_{2}\left(K_{s}(\boldsymbol{O} ;) \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$. Hence we have

Corollary 3. Let $K$ be a link of a normal singularity in a complex algebraic surface. Then in a compact simply connected 4-manifold $W$ with $\partial W=K$, three homology classes $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ can be realized as mutually disjoint immersed 2-spheres in $W$ if $j_{*} x_{i}=0$ for $i=$ $1,2,3$, where $j_{*}$ is induced by the inclusion map $j: W \rightarrow(W, M)$. In particular, if $W$ is the so-called Milnor fiber of an isolated hypersurface singularity in $\boldsymbol{C}^{3}$ with the monodromy $h_{*}: H_{2}(W, \boldsymbol{Z}) \rightarrow H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})$, then three invariant homology classes $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in H_{2}(W ; \boldsymbol{Z})$ of $h_{*}\left(\right.$ i.e., $h_{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $x_{i}$ ) can be realized by mutually disjoint immersed 2-spheres.

Remark. We cannot expect the $Z_{d}$-analogue of Sullivan's result. In fact, consider the isolated hypersurface singularity; $z_{1}^{d}+z_{2}^{d}+z_{3}^{d}=0$, ( $d \geqq 3$ ), whose link $K_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{O})$ is an $S^{1}$-bundle of degree $d$ over a surface of genus $(d-1)(d-2) / 2$. Then there exist three elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3} \in$ $H_{2}\left(K_{t}(\boldsymbol{O}) ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{d}\right)$ such that $y_{1}^{*} \cup y_{2}^{*} \cup y_{3}^{*} \neq 0$.
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