## PROPERTY L AND W-\* ALGEBRAS OF TYPE I<sup>1</sup>

## EDWARD SARIAN

(Received September 29, 1978, revised March 10, 1979)

**Abstract.** Tpye I W-\* algebras do not have property L.

Let  $\mathscr{M}$  be a W-\* algebra acting in separable Hilbert space h and let  $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{M})$  denote the unitary operators in  $\mathscr{M}$ . Corollary I.5.10 of [3] states that  $\mathscr{M}$  has direct integral decomposition into factors given by  $\mathscr{M} = \int_{A} \bigoplus \mathscr{M}(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$ . This paper assumes the reader is familiar with [4] and Chapter I of [3].

DEFINITION.  $\mathscr{A}$  has property L if there is a sequence  $\{U_n\}$  contained in  $\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{A})$  such that  $\{U_n\} \to 0$  weakly and such that  $\{U_nAU_n^*\} \to A$  strongly for each  $A \in \mathscr{A}$ .

Property L is a partial form of commutativity that was introduced by Pukánszky in [2]. We shall use direct integral theory to show that no type I W-\* algebra has property L.

We establish some notation before proving two essential lemmas.  $\mathscr{N}'$  denotes the commutant of  $\mathscr{N}$  and is also a W-\* algebra. By the center of  $\mathscr{N}$ , we mean the abelian W-\* algebra  $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{N}) = \mathscr{N} \cap \mathscr{N}'$ .  $\mathscr{N}_1$  represents the unit ball of  $\mathscr{N}$  and  $h_{\infty}$  denotes the underlying Hilbert space of h, i.e.,  $h = \int_{\mathscr{N}} \bigoplus h_{\infty} \mu(d\lambda)$  (cf. [3] Definition I.2.4).

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mathscr{A} = \int_{\Lambda} \bigoplus \mathscr{A}(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$  be a W-\* algebra acting in h and let S denote  $B(h_{\infty})_1$  taken with the strong-\* operator topology. Then if N is a Borel subset of  $\Lambda$ , the set  $F = \{(\lambda, T) | \lambda \in N, T \in \mathscr{A}(\lambda) \cap S\}$  is a Borel subset of  $\Lambda \times S$ .

PROOF. By [3] Lemma I.4.11, S is a complete separable metric space. Let d denote the metric which defines the topology on S. By [4] Lemma 1.5(a, c), there is a countable sequence of disjoint closed subsets  $e_i$  of  $\Lambda$  such that if  $e = \Lambda - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i$ , then  $\mu(e) = 0$  and there is

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46L10.

Key Words and Phrases. Type I W-\* algebra, property L.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper is part of the author's doctoral dissertation which was completed at Stevens Institute of Technology under the direction of Dr. Paul Willig.

a countable sequence of operators  $\{A_n\}$  contained in  $\mathscr{A}$  such that  $\{A_n(\lambda)\}$  is strong-\* dense in  $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)_1$   $\mu$ -a.e., and each  $A_n(\lambda)$  is strong-\* continuous on each set  $e_i$ .

Define subsets F(i, j, m) of  $\Lambda \times S$  as sets of all pairs  $(\lambda, T)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- a)  $\lambda \in N \cap e_i$ ,
- b)  $d(T, A_m(\lambda)) \leq 1/j$ .

Condition (a) defines a Borel set. Condition (b) defines a closed set. Thus F(i, j, m) is a Borel subset of  $\Lambda \times S$  and so is  $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} F(i, j, m)$ . q.e.d.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\mathscr{L}$  be a type I W-\* algebra acting in h. Let  $\{V_n\}$  be a sequence contained in  $\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{L})$  such that  $\{V_n^*AV_n-A\}\to 0$  strongly for each  $A\in \mathscr{L}$ . Then  $\{V_n\}$  has a subsequence that converges strongly to a unitary  $V\in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L})$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathscr{A}=\int_A \oplus \mathscr{A}(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)$  be the direct integral decomposition of  $\mathscr{A}$  into factors. Since  $\mathscr{A}$  is of type I,  $\mathscr{A}(\lambda)$  is a type I factor  $\mu$ -a.e. For each  $x\in h$  and  $A\in \mathscr{A}, |(AV_n-V_nA)x|=|V_n(V_n^*AV_n-A)x|\leq |V_n||(V_n^*AV_n-A)x|=|(V_n^*AV_n-A)x|\to 0\ (n\to\infty).$  Thus  $\{AV_n-V_nA\}\to 0$  strongly for each  $A\in \mathscr{A}$ . By weak compactness of  $B(h)_1$ ,  $\{V_n\}$  has a subsequence, again called  $\{V_n\}$ , that converges weakly to some operator V. Thus  $|V|\leq 1$ . Since  $\mathscr{A}$  is weakly closed,  $V\in \mathscr{A}$  and we may write  $V=\int_A \oplus V(\lambda)\mu(d\lambda)$  by [3] Lemma I.5.2. Also  $|V|=\mu-$ ess. sup.  $|V(\lambda)|$  by [3] Lemma I.3.1. We shall show that  $|V(\lambda)|\geq 1$   $\mu$ -a.e. so that  $|V|\geq 1$  also, and it follows that |V|=1.

To prove our assertion we argue as follows. Let  $\{x_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $h_{\infty}$  such that  $\{x_i\}$  is a basis for  $h_1$ ,  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  is a basis for  $h_2$ , etc., where  $\{h_i\}$  is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces generating  $h_{\infty}$  (cf. [3] Definition I.2.4).

Let S, e and the  $e_i$  be as in Lemma 1 and define subsets E(i) of  $A \times S$  as sets of all pairs  $(\lambda, T)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- a)  $\lambda \in e_i$ ,
- b)  $T \in \mathscr{N}(\lambda) \cap S$ ,
- c)  $Tx_1 = x_1$ ,  $Tx_j = 0$  for j > 1.

Condition (a) defines a closed set. By Lemma 1, conditions (a) and (b) define a Borel set. Condition (c) defines a closed set and shows that T is an operator belonging to S. Thus E(i) is a Borel subset of  $\Lambda \times S$  and so is  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E(i)$ . By [3] Lemma I.4.3, E is analytic.

If  $\Pi$  is the projection of  $\Lambda \times S$  onto  $\Lambda$ , then  $F = \Pi(E)$  is contained

in  $\Lambda-e$  and by [3] Lemmas I.4.4 and I.4.6, F is analytic and  $\mu$ -measurable. Since  $\mathscr{N}(\lambda)$  is a type I factor  $\mu$ -a.e., we know that  $T\in \mathscr{N}(\lambda)$   $\mu$ -a.e., and it follows that F differs from  $\Lambda$  by a  $\mu$ -null set. By [3] Lemma I.4.7, there exists a Borel subset  $F_1$  of F with positive measure and a  $\mu$ -measurable mapping g of  $F_1$  into S such that  $(\lambda, g(\lambda)) \in E$  for each  $\lambda \in F_1$ . Put  $g(\lambda) = 0$  for  $\lambda \notin F_1$  and define  $\mu$ -measurable operator valued function  $B(\lambda)$  by  $B(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$ . Then by [3] Definition I.2.5, we may write  $B = \int_{\Lambda} \bigoplus B(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$  and  $B \in \mathscr{N}$  by [3] Lemma I.5.2. By hypothesis,  $\{V_n^*BV_n\}$  converges strongly and hence weakly to B.

Since  $\mathcal M$  is decomposable,  $V_n$  is decomposable for each n and we may write  $V_n = \int_A \bigoplus V_n(\lambda) \mu(d\lambda)$ . By [4] Lemma 1.7,  $([V_n(\lambda)^*B(\lambda)V_n(\lambda) - B(\lambda)]x, y) \to 0$  in  $\mu$ -measure for each  $x, y \in h_\infty$  and in particular for  $x = y = x_1$ . Since  $\{V_n\} \to V$  weakly, the same reasoning shows that  $(V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1) \to (V(\lambda)x_1, x_1)$  in  $\mu$ -measure. Since  $\mu$  is a finite measure it follows that  $|(V_n(\lambda)x_1, x_1)|^2 - 1 \to |(V(\lambda)x_1, x_1)|^2 - 1$  in  $\mu$ -measure also (cf. [1] Section 3.20).

We have

$$\begin{split} ([\ V_n(\lambda)^*B(\lambda)\ V_n(\lambda) - B(\lambda)]x_1,\ x_1) \\ &= (\ V_n(\lambda)^*B(\lambda)\ V_n(\lambda)x_1,\ x_1) - (B(\lambda)x_1,\ x_1) \\ &= (B(\lambda)\ V_n(\lambda)x_1,\ V_n(\lambda)x_1) - (x_1,\ x_1) \\ &= ((\ V_n(\lambda)x_1,\ x_1)x_1,\ V_n(\lambda)x_1) - 1 \\ &= (\ V_n(\lambda)x_1,\ x_1)(x_1,\ V_n(\lambda)x_1) - 1 \\ &= (\ V_n(\lambda)x_1,\ x_1)(\overline{V_n(\lambda)x_1,\ x_1}) - 1 \\ &= |\ (\ V_n(\lambda)x_1,\ x_1)|^2 - 1 \ . \end{split}$$

That  $B(\lambda) V_n(\lambda) x_1 = (V_n(\lambda) x_1, x_1) x_1$  can be obtained as follows. Let  $V_n(\lambda) x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(\lambda) x_i$ . Then  $B(\lambda) V_n(\lambda) x_1 = c_1(\lambda) x_1 = (V_n(\lambda) x_1, x_1) x_1$ . Thus  $|(V_n(\lambda) x_1, x_1)|^2 - 1 \to 0$  in  $\mu$ -measure and it follows that  $|(V(\lambda) x_1, x_1)| = 1$   $\mu$ -a.e. (cf. [1] Section 3.20 Theorem 3). Now  $|(V(\lambda) x_1, x_1)| \le |V(\lambda)| |x_1|^2 = |V(\lambda)|$  by the Schwarz inequality; thus  $1 \le |V(\lambda)| \mu$ -a.e. Then by the last sentence of the first paragraph of the present proof, we have |V| = 1.

We shall show next that  $V \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$  and that V is unitary. Since strong convergence implies weak convergence, we know that  $\{AV_n - V_nA\} \to 0$  weakly for each  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and since  $\{V_n\} \to V$  weakly, it follows that  $\{AV_n - V_nA\} \to AV - VA$  weakly for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . Thus AV - VA = 0 or, equivalently,  $V \in \mathcal{M}'$  so that  $V \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ . By [3] Theorem 1.5.9, V is a diagonal operator. Thus for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $\lambda$ ,  $V(\lambda)$  is a bounded

494 E. SARIAN

Borel measurable scalar valued function by [3] Definition I.2.5. Then if we apply [3] Lemma I.3.1 to  $VV^*$ , we have  $VV^* = \int_{\Lambda} \bigoplus V(\lambda) V(\lambda)^* \mu(d\lambda) = \int_{\Lambda} \bigoplus V(\lambda) \overline{V(\lambda)} \mu(d\lambda) = \int_{\Lambda} \bigoplus |V(\lambda)|^2 I \mu(d\lambda) = \int_{\Lambda} \bigoplus I \mu(d\lambda) = I$  and we can show  $V^*V = I$  similarly.

Finally, the strong convergence of  $\{V_n\}$  to V is an immediate consequence of the weak convergence, the identity  $|(V_n-V)x|^2=([V_n-V]x,[V_n-V]x)=(V_nx,V_nx)-(Vx,V_nx)-(V_nx,Vx)+(Vx,Vx)$  and the fact that  $(V_nx,V_nx)=(x,x)=(Vx,Vx)$ . q.e.d.

Theorem 3. Type I W-\* algebras do not have property L.

PROOF. If  $\{U_n\}$  is a sequence of unitaries demonstrating property L, then by putting  $V_n = U_n^*$  and applying Lemma 2, we arrive at a contradiction.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. K. Berberian, Measure and Integration, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1965.
- [2] L. Pukánszky, Some examples of factors, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 4 (1956), 135-156.
- [3] J. T. Schwartz, W-\* algebras, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967.
- [4] P. WILLIG, Trace norms, global properties, and direct integral decompositions of W-\* algebras, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 22 (1969), 839-862.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCE NEW JERSEY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 U.S.A.