

# ON THE INTEGRALS OF RIEMANN-LEBESGUE TYPE

CHANG-PAO CHEN\* AND YU-YING CHEN

(Received January 30, 1996, revised April 15, 1996)

**Abstract.** In this paper, a theorem on the integrals of Riemann-Lebesgue type is established. It not only generalizes Theorem II.7.1 in Widder's book, but also gives a unified version of Young's test, Dirichlet-Jordan test, de la Vallée-Poussin test, and Dini's test.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f: [0, \delta] \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  and  $g: [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  be measurable. The integral in the question is of the form:

$$I(f, g; \lambda) \equiv \int_0^\delta f(t)g(\lambda t)dt \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

The famous Riemann-Lebesgue theorem says that if  $f \in L^1(0, \delta)$  and  $g(t) = \sin t$ , then  $I(f, g; \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . In this paper, we try to find conditions on  $f$  and  $g$  such that the limit of  $I(f, g; \lambda)$  exists as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . As indicated below, such kind of results are closely connected to the pointwise convergence problem of Fourier series. The purpose of this paper is to establish the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** Set  $f(t) = f_1(t) + f_2(t)$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied for some  $p \geq 0$  and some  $\delta > 0$ :

- (i)  $tf_1(t) \rightarrow a$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ,
- (ii)  $t^{p+1}f_1(t)$  is of bounded variation on  $[0, \delta]$  and

$$V(t^{p+1}f_1(t); 0, h) = O(h^p) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0^+,$$

(iii)

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\delta f_2(t)g(\lambda t)dt = 0,$$

(iv)  $t^{-1}g(t)$  is locally integrable on  $[0, \infty)$  and the improper integral  $\int_0^\infty t^{-1}g(t)dt$  converges,

(v)

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left| \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau \right| < \infty.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A32; Secondary 42B05, 42A20.

\* This research is supported by National Science Council, Taipei, R.O.C. under Grant #NSC 85-2121-M-007-021.

Then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\delta f(t)g(\lambda t)dt = a \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{g(t)}{t} dt \right).$$

Here  $V(\theta; a, b)$  denotes the variation of  $\theta$  on  $[a, b]$ . Obviously, the function  $g(t) = \sin t$  satisfies (iv) and (v). The Riemann-Lebesgue theorem implies (iii) in the case  $f_2 \in L^1(0, \delta)$  and  $g(t) = \sin t$ . Theorem 1.1 generalizes [W, Theorem II.7.1]. The latter corresponds to the case  $p=0$ ,  $f_1(t) = t^{-1}\alpha(t)$ ,  $f_2(t) = 0$ , and  $g(t) = \sin t$ .

Let  $s_n(\phi; x)$  denote the  $n$ th partial sum of the Fourier series of  $\phi \in L^1(T)$ , where  $T \equiv [-\pi, \pi]$ . As indicated in [B, §37 of Chapter I], we have  $s_n(\phi; x) \rightarrow \check{\phi}(x)$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) if and only if for some  $\delta \in (0, \pi)$ ,

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{\phi_x(t)}{t} \sin nt dt = o(1) \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where

$$\phi_x(t) \equiv \frac{\phi(x+t) + \phi(x-t) - 2\check{\phi}(x)}{2}.$$

Set  $f_1(t) = t^{-1}\phi_x(t)$ ,  $f_2(t) = 0$ , and  $g(t) = \sin t$  in Theorem 1.1. Then we get:

**THEOREM 1.2** (generalized Young's test). *Let  $\phi \in L^1(T)$ . Assume that the following are satisfied for some  $p \geq 0$ :*

- (i)  $\phi_x(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ,
- (ii)  $V(t^p \phi_x(t); 0, h) = O(h^p)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ .

*Then  $s_n(\phi; x) \rightarrow \check{\phi}(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The case  $p=1$  of Theorem 1.2 is the classical Young test (see [B, §4 of Chapter III]). As for  $p=0$ , choose  $\check{\phi}(x) = \{\phi(x^+) + \phi(x^-)\}/2$ . Then Theorem 1.2 gives the following Dirichlet-Jordan test.

**COROLLARY 1.3** (Dirichlet-Jordan test). *Let  $\phi$  be of bounded variation in some neighborhood of  $x$ . Then  $s_n(\phi; x) \rightarrow \{\phi(x^+) + \phi(x^-)\}/2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Set  $f_1(t) = t^{-2}\Phi(t)$ ,  $f_2(t) = (tf_1(t))'$ , and  $g(t) = \sin t$ , where

$$\Phi(t) \equiv \int_0^t \phi_x(u) du.$$

Then  $t^{-1}\phi_x(t) = f_1(t) + f_2(t)$  for almost all  $t$ . With the help of [WZ, Corollary 7.23], we get the following consequence of Theorem 1.1.

**COROLLARY 1.4** (de la Vallée-Poussin test). *Let  $\phi \in L^1(T)$ . Assume that the following are satisfied:*

- (i)  $t^{-1}\Phi(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ,

(ii)  $V(t^{-1}\Phi(t); 0, h) = O(1)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ .

Then  $s_n(\phi; x) \rightarrow \check{\phi}(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Consider the case  $p=0$ ,  $f_1(t)=0$ ,  $f_2(t)=t^{-1}\phi_x(t)$ , and  $g(t)=\sin t$ . Then Dini's test follows directly from Theorem 1.1.

**COROLLARY 1.5** (Dini's test). *If  $\phi \in L^1(T)$  and  $t^{-1}\phi_x(t) \in L^1(0, \delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $s_n(\phi; x) \rightarrow \check{\phi}(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following form of integration by parts. The case  $f(x)=1$  reduces to the classical one.

**THEOREM** (generalized integration by parts). *Assume that  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ . If at least one of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , then*

$$\int_a^b f(x) d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) = \int_a^b f(x)\alpha(x)d\beta(x) + \int_a^b f(x)\beta(x)d\alpha(x).$$

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive and increasing on  $[a, b]$ . Therefore,  $\alpha\beta$  is positive and increasing on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $P: a=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n=b$  be any partition of  $[a, b]$ . By integration by parts, we find

$$\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \alpha(x)d\beta(x) + \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \beta(x)d\alpha(x).$$

Applying the intermediate value theorem, we find  $c_j, c_j^*, c_j^{**} \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x)d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) &= f(c_j) \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) \\ &= f(c_j) \left\{ \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \alpha(x)d\beta(x) + \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \beta(x)d\alpha(x) \right\}, \\ \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x)\alpha(x)d\beta(x) &= f(c_j^*) \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \alpha(x)d\beta(x), \\ \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x)\beta(x)d\alpha(x) &= f(c_j^{**}) \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \beta(x)d\alpha(x), \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & \left| \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x)d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) - \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x)\alpha(x)d\beta(x) - \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x)\beta(x)d\alpha(x) \right| \\ & \leq \left| f(c_j) - f(c_j^*) \right| \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \alpha(x)d\beta(x) + \left| f(c_j) - f(c_j^{**}) \right| \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \beta(x)d\alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq M_j(P) \left\{ \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \alpha(x) d\beta(x) + \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \beta(x) d\alpha(x) \right\} \\
&= M_j(P) \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_j(P) \equiv \max_{x, y \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

Summing up both sides of (2.1) with respect to  $j$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_a^b f(x) d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) - \int_a^b f(x) \alpha(x) d\beta(x) - \int_a^b f(x) \beta(x) d\alpha(x) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n M_j(P) \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) \leq \left( \max_j M_j(P) \right) \int_a^b d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking infimum with respect to  $P$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_a^b f(x) d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) - \int_a^b f(x) \alpha(x) d\beta(x) - \int_a^b f(x) \beta(x) d\alpha(x) \right| \\
&\leq \left\{ \inf_P \left( \max_j M_j(P) \right) \right\} \int_a^b d(\alpha(x)\beta(x)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is based on the fact that  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ . The proof is completed.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** It suffices to prove this theorem in the case  $f_2(t) = 0$ . In this case,  $f(t) = f_1(t)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $f$  is real-valued. We first consider the case  $a = 0$  and  $p > 0$ . Set  $\varphi(t) = t^{p+1} f(t)$ . Then (ii) says that  $\varphi$  is of bounded variation on  $[0, \delta]$  and  $V(\varphi; 0, h) = O(h^p)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ . By (i),  $|\varphi(t)| \leq |t f(t)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , and  $|\varphi(t) - \varphi(0)| \leq V(\varphi; 0, t) = O(t^p)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . Hence,  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $|\varphi(t)| \leq M t^p$  for all  $t \in [0, \delta]$ . Write  $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ , where  $\varphi_1(t) = V(\varphi; 0, t)$ . Then  $\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0) = 0$  and  $|\varphi_j(t)| = |\varphi_j(t) - \varphi_j(0)| \leq V(\varphi_j; 0, t) = O(t^p)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . Hence, we can rearrange  $M$  so that

$$(2.2) \quad \max(|\varphi(t)|, |\varphi_1(t)|, |\varphi_2(t)|) \leq M t^p \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \delta].$$

Let  $k\pi\lambda^{-1} < \delta$ . The precise values of  $k$  and  $\lambda$  will be determined later. We have

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \int_0^{k\pi/\lambda} f(t) g(\lambda t) dt \right| \leq \left( \sup_{0 < t \leq k\pi/\lambda} |t f(t)| \right) \left( \int_0^{k\pi/\lambda} \left| \frac{g(\lambda t)}{t} \right| dt \right)$$

$$= \left( \sup_{0 < t \leq k\pi/\lambda} |tf(t)| \right) \left( \int_0^{k\pi} \left| \frac{g(t)}{t} \right| dt \right).$$

On the other hand, set

$$G(t) \equiv \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then  $G$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$  and absolutely continuous on every interval  $[0, t]$  with  $t > 0$ . Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad \left| \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} f(t)g(\lambda t) dt \right| &= \left| \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} f(t) d\left( \frac{G(\lambda t)}{\lambda} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{f(\delta)G(\lambda\delta)}{\lambda} - \frac{f(k\pi/\lambda)G(k\pi)}{\lambda} \right| + \left| \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} \frac{G(\lambda t)}{\lambda} df(t) \right| \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

We have  $f(t) = t^{-p-1}\varphi(t)$ . Applying the generalized integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad \lambda I_2 &\leq \left| \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} \frac{G(\lambda t)}{t^{p+1}} d\varphi(t) \right| + \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} \frac{(p+1)|G(\lambda t)\varphi(t)|}{t^{p+2}} dt \\ &= I_{21} + I_{22}, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are positive and increasing on  $[0, \delta]$ , by (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad I_{21} &\leq \left( \sup_{t>0} |G(t)| \right) \left( \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} \frac{d\varphi_1(t)}{t^{p+1}} + \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} \frac{d\varphi_2(t)}{t^{p+1}} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{t>0} |G(t)| \right) \left( \frac{\varphi_1(\delta) + \varphi_2(\delta)}{\delta^{p+1}} + \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} \frac{(p+1)(\varphi_1(t) + \varphi_2(t))}{t^{p+2}} dt \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{t>0} |G(t)| \right) \left( \frac{\varphi_1(\delta) + \varphi_2(\delta)}{\delta^{p+1}} + \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} \frac{2M(p+1)}{t^2} dt \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{t>0} |G(t)| \right) \left( \frac{\varphi_1(\delta) + \varphi_2(\delta)}{\delta^{p+1}} + \frac{2M(p+1)\lambda}{k\pi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (2.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad I_{22} &\leq M(p+1) \left( \sup_{t>0} |G(t)| \right) \int_{k\pi/\lambda}^{\delta} t^{-2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{M(p+1)\lambda}{k\pi} \left( \sup_{t>0} |G(t)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5)–(2.7), we get

$$(2.8) \quad I_2 \leq \left( \sup_{t>0} |G(t)| \right) \left( \frac{\varphi_1(\delta) + \varphi_2(\delta)}{\lambda \delta^{p+1}} + \frac{3M(p+1)}{k\pi} \right).$$

Combining (2.3)–(2.4) with (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\delta f(t)g(\lambda t)dt \right| &\leq \left( \sup_{0 < t \leq k\pi/\lambda} |tf(t)| \right) \left( \int_0^{k\pi} \left| \frac{g(t)}{t} \right| dt \right) + \left| \frac{f(\delta)G(\lambda\delta)}{\lambda} - \frac{f(k\pi/\lambda)G(k\pi)}{\lambda} \right| \\ &\quad + \left( \sup_{t>0} |G(t)| \right) \left( \frac{\varphi_1(\delta) + \varphi_2(\delta)}{\lambda \delta^{p+1}} + \frac{3M(p+1)}{k\pi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choose large  $k$  first and then let  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . By (i), (iv), and (v), we infer that

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^\delta f(t)g(\lambda t)dt = o(1) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, we consider the case  $a=p=0$ . By (ii), we can still find  $M>0$  so that (2.2) holds. From the argument given in (2.3)–(2.8), we see that (2.9) still holds in this case. This finishes the proof in the case  $a=0$ .

For general  $a$ , set  $f^*(t) = f(t) - at^{-1}$ . Then  $tf^*(t) = tf(t) - a = o(1)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $t^{p+1}f^*(t)$  is of bounded variation on  $[0, \delta]$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} V(t^{p+1}f^*(t); 0, h) &\leq V(t^{p+1}f(t); 0, h) + |a|V(t^p; 0, h) \\ &= O(h^p) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the preceding result in the case  $a=0$  leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\delta f(t)g(\lambda t)dt &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\delta (f^*(t) + at^{-1})g(\lambda t)dt \\ &= a \left\{ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\delta \frac{g(\lambda t)}{t} dt \right\} = a \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{g(t)}{t} dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** The authors are grateful to the referee and Professor Satoru Igari for valuable suggestions which improved the presentation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [B] N. K. BARY, A Treatise on Trigonometric Series, Vol. 1, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [W] D. V. WIDDER, The Laplace Transform, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946.
- [WZ] R. L. WHEEDEN AND A. ZYGMUND, Measure and Integral, An Introduction to Real Analysis, Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel, 1977.

[Z] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric series, Cambridge Univ. Press, London/New York, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NATIONAL TSING HUA UNIVERSITY  
HSINCHU, TAIWAN 30043  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* cpchen@math.nthu.edu.tw

