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In this paper we prove a general vanishing result for Kohlhaase's higher smooth duality functors $S^{i}$. If $G$ is any unramified connected reductive $p$-adic group, $K$ is a hyperspecial subgroup, and $V$ is a Serre weight, we show that $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)=0$ for $i>\operatorname{dim}(G / B)$, where $B$ is a Borel subgroup and the dimension is over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. This is due to Kohlhaase for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$, in which case it has applications to the calculation of $S^{i}$ for supersingular representations. Our proof avoids explicit matrix computations by making use of Lazard theory, and we deduce our result from an analogous statement for graded algebras via a spectral sequence argument. The graded case essentially follows from Koszul duality between symmetric and exterior algebras.
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## 1. Introduction

Dual representations are ubiquitous in the classical Langlands program. For one thing they appear in the functional equation for automorphic $L$-functions. In the $p$-adic Langlands program, and its counterpart modulo $p$, dual representations play a prominent role as well. Say $\pi$ is a smooth representation of some $p$-adic reductive group $G$, having coefficients in a subfield $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. Then the full linear dual $\pi^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}_{E}(\pi, E)$ lives in a completely different category of (pseudocompact) modules over the Iwasawa

Keywords: mod p representations, higher smooth duality, Lazard theory.
algebra $\Lambda(G)=E[G] \otimes_{E[K]} E \llbracket K \rrbracket$ where $K \subset G$ is an arbitrary compact open subgroup. One can of course take the smooth vectors of $\pi^{\vee}$ and get a smooth representation $S^{0}(\pi)=\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)^{\infty}$ —but this is often zero! In fact $S^{0}(\pi)=0$ for all irreducible admissible $\pi$ which are infinite-dimensional. Moreover, the smooth dual functor $S^{0}$ is not exact. Kohlhaase [2017] extended $S^{0}$ to a $\delta$-functor consisting of certain higher smooth duality functors

$$
S^{i}: \operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)
$$

defined as $\Sigma^{i} \circ(\cdot)^{\vee}$ where $\Sigma^{i}$ extends the smooth vectors functor $(\cdot)^{\infty}$ ("stable cohomology"), and he establishes foundational results on the functorial properties of the $S^{i}$. Some aspects of this are analogous to (and in fact rely on) the theory of Schneider and Teitelbaum [2005] for locally analytic representations in which they (among other things) produce an involutive functor from $D_{\mathrm{adm}}^{b}\left(\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\infty}(G)\right)$ to itself, which is compatible with a natural duality on the derived category of coadmissible modules over the locally analytic distribution algebra $D(G, \mathcal{E})$. Here $\mathcal{E} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is a $p$-adic field and $D_{\text {adm }}^{b}$ is the bounded derived category of complexes with admissible cohomology, see [Schneider and Teitelbaum 2005, Corollary 3.7] and the diagram at the bottom of page 317 there. Kohlhaase does not mention it explicitly, but one can easily prove the analogous result for $\bmod p$ coefficients $E$ and define a functor $S$ from $D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)\right)$ to itself which becomes involutive on the subcategory $D_{\mathrm{adm}}^{b}\left(\operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)\right)$. Moreover, there is a spectral sequence starting from $S^{i}\left(h^{j}\left(V^{\bullet}\right)\right.$ ) converging to the cohomology of $S\left(V^{\bullet}\right)$. In fact Emerton gave a series of lectures at the Institut Henri Poincaré in March 2010 in which he introduced a derived duality functor $\mathbb{D}_{G}$ similar to $S$ which goes into the proof of the Poincaré duality spectral sequence for completed (Borel-Moore) homology. His derived category " $D^{b}(G)$ " is a bit subtler however.

Schneider [2015, Theorem 9] proved that the unbounded derived category $D\left(\operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)\right)$ is equivalent to the derived category of differential graded modules over a certain DGA variant of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{I}$, defined relative to a torsion free pro- $p$ group $I \subset G$ (and a choice of injective resolution). A key ingredient was [Schneider 2015, Proposition 6] which shows that $\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1$ is a (compact) generator of $D\left(\operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)\right)$. From this point of view, to understand $S$ on the whole derived category of $G$ we should first understand the higher smooth duals of the generator $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1\right)$. In fact this ties to a question posed by Harris [2016, Question 4.5] as to whether there is any relation between Kohlhaase's $S^{i}$ and $E$-linear duality on $D\left(\mathcal{H}_{I}^{\cdot}\right)$. We know $S^{i}=0$ for $i>\operatorname{dim}(G)$. (Here and throughout the paper $\operatorname{dim}(\cdot)$ refers to the dimension as a $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-manifold.) Some of our main motivation for writing this paper was to show that at least for the generator $\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1$ one can improve this bound significantly and show that $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1\right)=0$ for $i>\operatorname{dim}(G / B)$ where $B$ is a Borel subgroup. This follows from our main result (Theorem 1.1) below by taking $V=\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{K} 1$ there. In fact an easy inductive argument shows more generally that

$$
S^{i}(\pi)=0 \quad \forall i>\operatorname{dim}(G / B)+\ell
$$

if there is an exact sequence of length $\ell$ of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1\right)^{\oplus r_{\ell}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1\right)^{\oplus r_{1}} \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1\right)^{\oplus r_{0}} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow 0
$$

for some $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Of course this bound on $i$ is trivial unless $\ell \leq \operatorname{dim}(B)$. We do not know in which generality such resolutions exist for a general group $G .{ }^{1}$ For groups of semisimple rank one, Kohlhaase [2018] has constructed a class of representations to which our bound applies. We also do not know whether the bound $\operatorname{dim}(G / B)$ is sharp for $\pi=\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1$ in the sense that $S^{\operatorname{dim}(G / B)}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{I}^{G} 1\right)$ is nonzero even in the case of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$.

We now state the main result of this paper which we alluded to above. Let $F / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be a finite extension, and $G_{/ F}$ a connected reductive group with a Borel subgroup $B$. We assume that $G$ is unramified ${ }^{2}$ and choose a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$ along with a finite-dimensional smooth representation $K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ with coefficients in some algebraic extension $E / \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Let $\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V$ be the compact induction. Then we have the following vanishing result for its higher smooth duals $S^{i}$.

Theorem 1.1. $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)=0$ for all $i>\operatorname{dim}(G / B)$.
What we actually prove is a slightly stronger result on the vanishing of the transition maps. Namely, if $N \triangleleft K$ has an Iwahori factorization and acts trivially on $V$, then the restriction map

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}\left(E,\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N^{p}\right)}^{i}\left(E,\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)^{\vee}\right)
$$

vanishes for $i>\operatorname{dim}(G / B)$ as long as $m$ is greater than some constant depending only on $i$ and $V, N$ (and an auxiliary filtration on $N$ ). Here $(-)^{\vee}$ denotes Pontryagin duality, and $\Lambda(N)=E \llbracket N \rrbracket$ is the completed group algebra over $E$. Note that the set of $p^{m}$-powers $N^{p^{m}}$ is a group for $m$ large enough for any $p$-valuable group $N$, see [Schneider 2011, Remark 26.9].

We have been unable to show that $S^{\operatorname{dim}(G / B)}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right) \neq 0$ but we believe this should be true under a suitable regularity condition on the weight $V$, see Section 12 . We hope to address this in future work, and to say more about the action of Hecke operators on $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)$ for all $i$. Let us add that the bound $\operatorname{dim}(G / B)$ is not sharp for all $V$. Indeed $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} 1\right)=0$ for all $i>0$, see Remark 11.2.

For $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ Theorem 1.1 amounts to [Kohlhaase 2017, Theorem 5.11], which is one of the main results of that paper. There is a small difference coming from the center $Z \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$though. He assumes $V$ is an irreducible representation of $K=\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ which factors through $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, extends the central character of $V$ to $Z$ by sending $p \mapsto 1$, and considers $\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} V$ instead. The latter carries a natural Hecke operator $T=T_{V}$ whose cokernel $\pi_{V}$ is an irreducible supersingular representation. As $V$ varies this gives all supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ (with $p$ acting trivially), see [Barthel and Livné 1994, Proposition 4] and [Breuil 2003, Theorem 1.1]. The short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} V \xrightarrow{T} \operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} V \rightarrow \pi_{V} \rightarrow 0
$$

gives rise to a long exact sequence of higher smooth duals

$$
\cdots \rightarrow S^{i}\left(\pi_{V}\right) \rightarrow S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} V\right) \xrightarrow{S^{i}(T)} S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} V\right) \rightarrow S^{i+1}\left(\pi_{V}\right) \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

[^0]Since $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K Z}^{G} V\right)=0$ for $i>1$ it follows immediately that $S^{3}\left(\pi_{V}\right)=S^{4}\left(\pi_{V}\right)=\cdots=0$. Kohlhaase [2017, page 45] takes this a step further and shows that also $S^{2}\left(\pi_{V}\right)=0$ by checking that $S^{1}(T)$ is bijective, which is also a key ingredient in his long verification that $S^{1}\left(\pi_{V}\right) \simeq \pi_{V}$. The latter is another of his main results, [Kohlhaase 2017, Theorem 5.13]. Essentially what this shows is that via the mod $p$ local Langlands correspondence $\rho \rightsquigarrow \pi(\rho)$ the dual Galois representation corresponds to $S^{1}$. More precisely $\pi\left(\rho^{\vee}\right) \otimes \omega_{\text {cyc }}=S^{1}(\pi(\rho))$ for Galois representations $\rho: \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}(E)$ (not a twist of an extension of $\omega_{\text {cyc }}$ by 1). See the conclusion in [Kohlhaase 2017, Remark 5.15].

Similar descriptions of the supersingular representations exist for other rank one groups. Abdellatif [2014] classifies the supersingulars of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ by decomposing $\left.\pi_{V}\right|_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}$ into irreducibles, and Kozioł [2016] was able to bootstrap from this case and deal with the unramified unitary group $U(1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. As an application of our Theorem 1.1 there is forthcoming work of Jake Postema for his UC San Diego Ph.D. thesis in which he calculates all the $S^{i}$ for supersingular representations of both $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ and $U(1,1)$, and explores how $S^{1}$ flips the members of an $L$-packet.

Let us give a roadmap of our proof of Theorem 1.1 and then point out some analogies and discrepancies with Kohlhaases's approach. First of all $\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)^{\vee}$ is the full induction $I_{K}^{G} \check{V}$ and we need to understand the restriction maps between the various $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right)$ for $N$ deep enough. We start with a normal subgroup $N \triangleleft K$ which has an Iwahori factorization (relative to $B$ ). This means among other things that multiplication defines a homeomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
(N \cap \bar{U}) \times(N \cap T) \times(N \cap U) \xrightarrow{\sim} N \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=T U$. Moreover, conjugation by $s \in S^{+}$contracts and expands the rightmost and leftmost factors respectively. Here $S \subset T$ is a maximal split subtorus, and $S^{+}$is the monoid of elements $s \in S$ satisfying $|\alpha(s)| \leq 1$ for all roots $\alpha>0$. Essentially by the Cartan decomposition, we get an isomorphism of $E[K]$-modules

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{s \in S^{+} / S(\mathcal{O})} \operatorname{ind}_{N K^{s}}^{K} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}^{i}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right)
$$

compatible with restriction maps on either side. Here $K^{s}:=K \cap s^{-1} K s$, and $\check{V}^{s}$ denotes the representation of $K^{s}$ on $\check{V}$ obtained by $\kappa *_{s} \check{v}:=\left(s \kappa s^{-1}\right) \check{v}$. It therefore suffices to understand the restriction maps between the various $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}^{i}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right)$ well enough, and control what happens when we vary $s$. For the sake of exposition let us first outline the argument in the case $s=1$. Here the goal is to show the vanishing of

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, \check{V}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)}^{i}(E, \check{V})
$$

for $i>\operatorname{dim}(G / B)$ and $N^{\prime} \subset N$ sufficiently small. To run the argument below for general $s$ it is important not to assume $N$ acts trivially on $V$ here (otherwise the map would vanish for $i>0$ and $N^{\prime}=N^{p}$ ). The point is that $s$ contracts $N \cap U$ but expands $N \cap \bar{U}$. Thus we can safely assume $N \cap U$ acts trivially on $V$, but we do not know this for the factor $N \cap \bar{U}$ (after conjugating by $s$ ).

The basic idea is to prove the analogous vanishing result for certain graded algebras $\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N)$ and then employ a spectral sequence argument elaborated upon in the Appendix. This is based on Lazard theory. There is a natural way to endow $N$ with a $p$-valuation $\omega$ which behaves well with respect to the Iwahori factorization, and although (1.2) is not an isomorphism of groups it does become one once we pass to graded groups. Consequently, there is an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$
\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap \bar{U}) \otimes \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T) \otimes \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N),
$$

and one obtains a Künneth formula for $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr}_{\Lambda(N)}}^{i}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V})$ expressing it as a direct sum

$$
\bigoplus_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap \bar{U})}^{i_{1}}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T)}^{i_{2}}(E, E) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap U)}^{i_{3}}(E, E)
$$

The ( $i_{2}, i_{3}$ )-factors can be dealt with using the Koszul resolution, which shows for instance that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T)}^{i_{2}}(E, E) \simeq \bigwedge^{i_{2}}\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T)\right)^{*}
$$

where $\pi$ is the usual $p$-power operator from Lazard theory. We have tacitly perturbed $\omega$ if necessary to make the Lie algebra $\operatorname{gr} N$ abelian. The restriction map $\left.\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}}^{i}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr}_{\Lambda\left(N^{p}\right)}^{i}}^{(E} \operatorname{gr} \check{V}\right)$ therefore vanishes on the ( $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}$ )-summand unless $i_{2}=i_{3}=0$. So we only need to worry about the summand with $i_{1}=i$. But the factor $\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap \bar{U})}^{i}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V})$ itself vanishes in the range $i>\operatorname{dim}(\bar{U})$ by well-known results on the cohomological dimension. This is where the bound $\operatorname{dim}(G / B)=\operatorname{dim}(\bar{U})$ comes from. Altogether this shows that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \xrightarrow{0} \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(N^{p}\right)}^{i}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \quad \forall i>\operatorname{dim}(G / B) .
$$

Since $\Lambda(N)$ is a filtered algebra, its bar resolution inherits a filtration which results in a convergent spectral sequence (see the Appendix where this is explained in detail) which is functorial in $N$,

$$
E_{1}^{i, j}=\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N)}^{i, j}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i+j}(E, \check{V})
$$

One deduces that the restriction map $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, \check{V}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N^{p}\right)}^{i}(E, \check{V})$ is zero on the graded pieces, that is it takes $\mathrm{Fil}^{j}$ to $\mathrm{Fil}^{j+1}$ for all $j$. This filtration is both exhaustive and separated, so doing this repeatedly shows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, \check{V}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N^{\left.p^{m}\right)}\right.}^{i}(E, \check{V})$ vanishes for large enough $m$. In fact we can control how large (and this is crucial when we vary $s$ ). We may assume gr $\Lambda(N)$ is Koszul (even a polynomial algebra) in which case we understand the internal grading $\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N)}^{i, j}$ better and it suffices to take $m>\mu+i$ where $\mu$ is the first index for which Fil $^{\mu} \Lambda(N) \check{V}=0$. We end with a few remarks on the case of arbitrary $s \in S^{+}$. The argument above still works, and shows that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}^{i}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right) \xrightarrow{0} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N p^{m} \cap K^{s}\right)}^{i}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right) \quad \forall i>\operatorname{dim}(G / B)
$$

as long as $m>\mu+i$. It is of course extremely important that our invariant $\mu$ is independent of $s$. Getting this lower bound on $m$ which is uniform in $s \in S^{+}$is indeed a key point of the whole paper.

To guide the reader we now point out some similarities and differences between our paper and [Kohlhaase 2017]. Overall Kohlhaase argues more from the point of view of [Dixon et al. 1991] whereas we lean more towards [Lazard 1965]. His groups $G_{n}$ are analogous to our $K^{s}$ (the difference being the center $Z$ ). On the other hand, where we choose to work with $N^{p^{m}}$ he is considering the full congruence subgroups $K_{m}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$. The first few formal steps, using the Cartan and Mackey decompositions, are identical. In Kohlhaase the problem is reduced to understanding the restriction maps between $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right)}^{i}(E, \check{V})$ where $\mathcal{U}_{m, n}=\alpha^{-n}\left(G_{n} \cap K_{m}\right) \alpha^{n}$ corresponds to our $K \cap s N^{p^{m}} s^{-1}$. The key point where Kohlhaase uses he is in the $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ situation is to show that these groups $\mathcal{U}_{m, n}$ are uniform pro- $p$ groups (certain finitely generated torsion-free groups $\mathcal{U}$ for which $[\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}] \subset \mathcal{U}^{p}$ - at least for $p>2$ ). Based on the Iwahori factorization of $\mathcal{U}_{m, n}$ this becomes case-by-case explicit matrix computations exhibiting various commutators as p-powers (see [Kohlhaase 2017, page 40]). One of the goals of our paper was to do this is a more conceptual way using Lazard's theory of $p$-valuations. Knowing $\mathcal{U}_{m, n}$ is uniform (for $m \geq 2$ ) Kohlhaase invokes [Dixon et al. 1991, Theorem 7.24] to get an isomorphism $\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right) \simeq E\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right]$, where one puts the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic filtration on $\Lambda\left(\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right)$. This isomorphism is also a fundamental result in Lazard theory where more generally one identifies $\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(\mathcal{U})$ with $U(\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{U})$ for any $p$-valued group $\mathcal{U}$. At this point our calculations on the "graded side" more or less agree. However, to pass from the graded situation and deduce vanishing of the restriction maps for $\Lambda\left(\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right)$ itself Kohlhaase employs a result of Grünenfelder [1979] to the effect that there are functorial isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right)}^{i}(E, \check{V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right)}^{i}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

dual to an analogue for $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}$ which supposedly is [Grünenfelder 1979, Theorem 3.3']. We have not been able to understand the details of Grünenfelder's paper, and we have been unsuccessful ${ }^{3}$ in establishing the isomorphism (1.3) directly for $g$ weights $V$; even for $i=0$. Instead we use a spectral sequence similar to the one used in [Björk 1987, page 72] of the form

$$
E_{1}^{i, j}=\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr}^{i} \Lambda(\mathcal{U})}^{i, j}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{U})}^{i+j}(E, \check{V})
$$

However, [Björk 1987] does not contain quite what we need. To get a spectral sequence which is functorial in $\mathcal{U}$ we have to work with bar resolutions instead of the resolutions arising from "good" filtrations as in [Björk 1987]. This spectral sequence and its applications are discussed at great length in the Appendix, which also treats the Koszul case where the sequence simplifies due to the vanishing of most $E_{1}^{i, j}$.

## 2. Notation

Let $p$ be a prime. Fix a finite extension $F / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. Choose a uniformizer $\varpi$ and let $k=\mathcal{O} / \varpi \mathcal{O}$ be the residue field, with cardinality $q=p^{f}$. We normalize the absolute value $|\cdot|$ on $F$ such that $|\varpi|=q^{-1}$. Thus $|p|=q^{-e}$ where $e$ is the ramification index.

[^1]We take $G_{/ F}$ to be a connected reductive $F$-group, assumed to be unramified. The latter means $G$ is quasisplit (over $F$ ) and $G \times{ }_{F} F^{\prime}$ is split for some finite unramified extension $F^{\prime} / F$. Such groups admit integral models, see [Tits 1979, 3.8]. More precisely $G$ extends to a smooth affine group scheme $G_{/ \mathcal{O}}$ for which $G \times_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is connected and reductive. We fix such a model once and for all and let $K=G(\mathcal{O})$ be the corresponding hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$. When there is no risk of confusion we will just write $G$ instead of $G(F)$; similarly for any linear algebraic $F$-group.

Choose a maximal $F$-split subtorus $S \subset G$ and let $T=Z_{G}(S)$ which is then a maximal $F$-subtorus. They extend naturally to smooth $\mathcal{O}$-subgroup schemes $S \subset T$ of $G$ (which reduce to a maximal $k$-split torus and its centralizer in the special fiber). Let $\Phi=\Phi(G, S) \subset X^{*}(S)$ be the relative root system, which we interchangeably view in the generic or special fiber. Once and for all we choose a system of positive roots $\Phi^{+}$and let $B=T \ltimes U$ be the corresponding Borel subgroup, which also extends to a closed $\mathcal{O}$-subgroup scheme of $G_{/ \mathcal{O}}$. We let $\bar{B}=T \ltimes \bar{U}$ denote the opposite Borel subgroup $(B \cap \bar{B}=T)$.

## 3. The dual of compact induction

Throughout the paper we let $E / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ be a fixed algebraic extension which will serve as the coefficient field of our representations. We start with a finite-dimensional smooth $E$-representation $V$ of $K=G(\mathcal{O})$. Note that $K_{r}=\operatorname{ker}\left(G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow G\left(\mathcal{O} / \varpi^{r} \mathcal{O}\right)\right)$ necessarily acts trivially for $r$ sufficiently large. Let $r_{V}$ be the smallest $r \geq 1$ for which $K \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$ factors through $K / K_{r}$. In applications $V$ will typically be a Serre weight, meaning it arises from an absolutely irreducible rational representation of $G \times{ }_{\mathcal{O}} E$ (via some choice of embedding $k \hookrightarrow E$ ) but this restriction is unnecessary.

Let $\check{V}=\operatorname{Hom}_{E}(V, E)$ be the full $E$-linear dual with the contragredient $K$-action. This is again a smooth representation, and obviously $r_{\check{V}}=r_{V}$. We let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{V}$ denote the natural pairing $\check{V} \times V \rightarrow E$.

Our conventions on induced representations differ from [Kohlhaase 2017, page. 38]. We let $G$ act by right translations, whereas Kohlhaase lets $G$ act by left translations.

Definition 3.1. $I_{K}^{G} V$ is the full induction of all functions $f: G \rightarrow V$ such that $f(\kappa g)=\kappa f(g)$ for all $\kappa \in K$ and $g \in G$. We let $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V$ be the subrepresentation of smooth functions $f$ (i.e., invariant under right-translation by an open subgroup). Finally $\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V \subset \operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V$ consists of smooth functions $f$ which are compactly supported $(\operatorname{sosupp}(f)$ is the union of finitely many cosets $K g)$.

Remark 3.2. Upon choosing a set of coset representatives $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ for $K \backslash G$, the map $f \mapsto\left(f\left(g_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ defines isomorphisms of $E$-vector spaces

$$
I_{K}^{G} V \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i \in I} V \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i \in I} V
$$

Pontryagin duality $(\cdot)^{\vee}$ sets up an antiequivalence between the category of smooth representations $\operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)$ and the category $\operatorname{Mod}_{\Lambda(G)}^{\mathrm{pc}}$ of pseudocompact $E$-vector spaces $M$ with a jointly continuous action $G \times M \rightarrow M$, see [Kohlhaase 2017, Theorem 1.5]. The $E[G]$-module structure of any such $M$ extends to a $\Lambda(G)$-module structure, where $\Lambda(G):=E[G] \otimes_{E[K]} E \llbracket K \rrbracket$ is the Iwasawa algebra. Here
$E \llbracket K \rrbracket=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} E[K / N]$ is the completed group algebra, with $N \triangleleft K$ ranging over the open normal subgroups.

The compact induction $\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V$ is a (nonadmissible) object of $\operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)$. We first identify its Pontryagin dual with $I_{K}^{G} \check{V}$. This is [Kohlhaase 2017, Lemma 5.9]; we include the argument for convenience.
Lemma 3.3. There is an isomorphism $I_{K}^{G} \check{V} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)^{\vee}$ of pseudocompact $\Lambda(G)$-modules. Proof. We define a $G$-equivariant pairing $I_{K}^{G} \check{V} \times \operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V \rightarrow E$ by the following (finite) sum

$$
\langle\check{f}, f\rangle:=\sum_{g \in K \backslash G}\langle\check{f}(g), f(g)\rangle_{V}
$$

The resulting map $I_{K}^{G} \check{V} \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)^{\vee}$ corresponds to the natural map $\prod_{i \in I} \check{V} \rightarrow\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} V\right)^{\vee}$ after choosing coset representatives $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. The latter is trivially an isomorphism (of $E$-vector spaces).

Consequently, the compact induction $\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V$ has smooth dual the smooth induction of $\check{V}$,

$$
S^{0}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} \check{V}
$$

The ultimate goal of this paper is to get a better understanding of the higher smooth duals $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)$, see [Kohlhaase 2017, Definition 3.12]. In particular, for which $i>0$ do they vanish? For $G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ Kohlhaase has shown that $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)=0$ for $i>1$, see [Kohlhaase 2017, Theorem 5.11]. We extend his result to arbitrary $G$.

## 4. Stable cohomology and the functors $S^{i}$

We fix an open $N \triangleleft K$ for now and let it act trivially on $E$, which we view as an object of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\Lambda(N)}^{\mathrm{pc}}$. The module structure on $E$ comes from the augmentation map $\epsilon: \Lambda(N)=E \llbracket N \rrbracket \rightarrow E$. For other objects $M$ of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\Lambda(N)}^{\mathrm{pc}}$ we will explore how $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, M)$ varies when we shrink $N$. The subscript in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}$ signifies we take extensions in the abelian category $\operatorname{Mod}_{\Lambda(N)}^{\mathrm{pc}}$ (not the category of abstract left $\Lambda(N)$-modules). Eventually we will be focusing on $M=I_{K}^{G} \check{V}$ (which is not coadmissible).

To make the functorial properties of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, M)$ more transparent we prefer to fix a concrete projective resolution of $E$ which itself is functorial in $N$. We take the topological bar resolution $B . \Lambda(N)$, see [Lazard 1965, V(1.2.9)]. Recall that

$$
B_{n} \Lambda(N)=\Lambda(N)^{\hat{\otimes}(n+1)}=\Lambda(N) \hat{\otimes}_{E} \cdots \hat{\otimes}_{E} \Lambda(N) \simeq \Lambda(N \times \cdots \times N)
$$

is a projective object of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\Lambda(N)}^{\mathrm{pc}}$ by [Brumer 1966, Corollary 3.3], and together they form a resolution

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{3}} B_{2} \Lambda(N) \xrightarrow{d_{2}} B_{1} \Lambda(N) \xrightarrow{d_{1}} \Lambda(N) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} E \rightarrow 0
$$

whose differentials $d_{n}$ are defined by a standard formula we will not state here. Note that $K$ acts on $B . \Lambda(N)$ as follows. For each element $\kappa \in K$ consider the conjugation map $\kappa(\cdot) \kappa^{-1}$ on $N$. It induces an automorphism $\kappa: \Lambda(N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda(N)$ and in turn an automorphism of each $B_{n} \Lambda(N)$ which we henceforth view as an $E[K]$-module this way.

Notation 4.1. When we write $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(E, M)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, M)$ we will always mean those obtained from the bar resolution $B . \Lambda(N)$. i.e.,

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(E, M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(B . \Lambda(N), M), \quad \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, M):=h^{i}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(B . \Lambda(N), M)\right)
$$

Note that if $M$ carries an $E[K]$-module structure, then $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(E, M)$ becomes a complex of $E[K / N]$ modules

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(\Lambda(N), M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(B_{1} \Lambda(N), M\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(B_{2} \Lambda(N), M\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

(and each $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, M)$ is naturally an $E[K / N]$-module). Perhaps it is worthwhile to really flesh out the $E[K / N]$-module structure of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(B_{n} \Lambda(N), M\right)$ here. Since $N \triangleleft K$ there is a $K$-action on $\Lambda(N)$ an therefore also on $B_{n} \Lambda(N)$ induces by conjugation $n \mapsto \kappa n \kappa^{-1}$ on $N$. We let $\kappa \in K$ act on an element $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(B_{n} \Lambda(N), M\right)$ in the usual way $(\kappa \phi)(x)=\kappa \phi\left(\kappa^{-1} x\right)$. It is trivial to check that $\kappa \phi$ is again $\Lambda(N)$-linear, and clearly $\kappa \phi=\phi$ for $\kappa \in N$ - thus the $K$-action factors through $K / N$.

We will now discuss how these vary when we shrink $N$. So let $N^{\prime} \subset N$ be an open subgroup. The induced map $\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right) \hookrightarrow \Lambda(N)$ is a homeomorphism onto its image and $\Lambda(N)$ becomes a free module over $\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ of rank [ $N: N^{\prime}$ ], see [Schneider 2011, Corollary 19.4] for instance. In particular, viewing $B . \Lambda(N)$ as a complex of $\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)$-modules still gives a projective resolution of $E$.
Lemma 4.2. The natural map $B . \Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow B . \Lambda(N)$ is a homotopy equivalence.
Proof. Basic homological algebra. As observed, both $B . \Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ and $B . \Lambda(N)$ are projective resolutions of $E$ (viewed as a $\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)$-module). Therefore any map between the resolutions $B . \Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow B . \Lambda(N)$ must be a homotopy equivalence. Indeed any extension to either resolution of the identity map $E \rightarrow E$ is homotopic to the identity map of complexes, see [Gelfand and Manin 1996, Theorem 3, page 141].

As a result, there is a natural restriction map $\operatorname{res}_{N, N^{\prime}}: R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(E, M) \rightarrow R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)}(E, M)$ defined as the composition

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(B . \Lambda(N), M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inc}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)}(B . \Lambda(N), M) \xrightarrow{\text { qis }} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)}\left(B . \Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right), M\right) .
$$

The first map is just the inclusion of a subcomplex; the second is the quasiisomorphism (in fact homotopy equivalence) obtained by composing with the map of Lemma 4.2. Taking cohomology $h^{i}$ yields restriction maps

$$
\operatorname{res}_{N, N^{\prime}}^{i}: \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N^{\prime}\right)}^{i}(E, M)
$$

These are $K$-equivariant if $M$ is an $E[K]$-module and $N, N^{\prime} \triangleleft K$. As $N$ varies we get a direct system, and passing to the limit gives the stable cohomology groups of $M$, see [Kohlhaase 2017, page 18].
Definition 4.3. We introduce the complex $R \Sigma(M):=\lim _{N} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(E, M)$ and denote its cohomology groups by

$$
\Sigma^{i}(M):=h^{i}(R \Sigma(M))=\underset{N}{\lim _{\vec{N}}} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}(E, M)
$$

(Note that ${\underset{\longrightarrow}{l i m}}_{N}$ is exact so it commutes with $h^{i}$.) The limit is over all open subgroups $N \subset G$.

Suppose $M$ is an object of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\Lambda(G)}^{\mathrm{pc}}$ (so it carries a $G$-action). For each element $g \in G$ the conjugation map $g(\cdot) g^{-1}$ induces an isomorphism $\Lambda(N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda\left(g N g^{-1}\right)$. The induced map between the bar resolutions (together with the automorphism $g: M \rightarrow M$ ) then gives an isomorphism

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(E, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(g N g^{-1}\right)}(E, M)
$$

which is the identity if $g \in N$. This way $R \Sigma(M)$ becomes a complex of smooth $E[G]$-modules and the $\Sigma^{i}(\cdot)$ form a $\delta$-functor $\operatorname{Mod}_{\Lambda(G)}^{\mathrm{pc}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)$. Note that $\Sigma^{0}$ is just the functor $M \mapsto M^{\infty}=\bigcup_{N} M^{N}$ which associates the subspace of smooth vectors.

Following [Kohlhaase 2017, Definition 3.12] we define the higher smooth duals $S^{i}: \operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Rep}_{E}^{\infty}(G)$ by composing the $\Sigma^{i}$ with Pontryagin duality $(\cdot)^{\vee}$.
Definition 4.4. We introduce the complex $S(\pi):=\underline{\lim _{N}} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, \pi^{\vee}\right)$ and denote its cohomology groups by

$$
S^{i}(\pi):=h^{i}(S(\pi))=\underset{N}{\lim } \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i}\left(E, \pi^{\vee}\right)
$$

Note that $S^{0}(\pi)=\operatorname{Hom}_{E}(\pi, E)^{\infty}$ is the smooth dual of $\pi$ and the $S^{i}$ form a $\delta$-functor.

## 5. First reductions

We now specialize to the case $\pi=\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V$. Our goal is to make the complex $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right)$ more explicit and understand the restriction maps as we shrink $N$. For now we fix an open $N \triangleleft K$.

First we decompose $I_{K}^{G} \check{V}$ as a $K$-representation using the Cartan decomposition: Let $X_{*}(S)^{+}$be the set of dominant coweights (relative to $B$ ). That is, the set of cocharacters $\mu \in X_{*}(S)$ such that $\langle\mu, \alpha\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$. The map $\mu \mapsto \mu(\varpi)$ gives an isomorphism $X_{*}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} S / S(\mathcal{O})$ which clearly restricts to a bijection $X_{*}(S)^{+} \xrightarrow{\sim} S^{+} / S(\mathcal{O})$ where $S^{+}$denotes the monoid

$$
S^{+}=\left\{s \in S:|\alpha(s)| \leq 1 \forall \alpha \in \Phi^{+}\right\}
$$

The Cartan decomposition reads $G=\bigsqcup_{s} K s K$ with $s \in S^{+}$running over a fixed set of representatives for $S^{+} / S(\mathcal{O})$. (To fix ideas take $s=\mu(\varpi)$ for a choice of uniformizer $\varpi$ and let $\mu \in X_{*}(S)^{+}$vary.)
Definition 5.1. Let $K^{s}:=K \cap s^{-1} K s$ act on $\check{V}$ by the rule $\kappa *_{s} \check{v}:=\left(s \kappa s^{-1}\right) \check{v}$. We denote the resulting representation of $K^{s}$ by $\check{V}^{s}$.

With this notation we have the following Mackey factorization of $I_{K}^{G} \check{V}$.
Lemma 5.2. There is an isomorphism of $E[K]$-modules $I_{K}^{G} \check{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{s} \operatorname{ind}_{K^{s}}^{K} \check{V}^{s}$ given by $f \mapsto\left(f_{s}\right)$ where the function $f_{s}$ is defined by the formula $f_{s}(\kappa):=f(s \kappa)$.
Proof. This is trivial to check; see [Kohlhaase 2017, page 39] but beware that our conventions on induced representations are different.

Consequently,

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{s} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, \operatorname{ind}_{K^{s}}^{K} \check{V}^{s}\right)
$$

Let us fix an $s \in S^{+}$and work out the $s$-th factor above. We consider the compact open subgroup $N K^{s}$ of $K$ (noting that $K^{s} \subset K$ normalizes $N$ ) and do the induction in stages as $\operatorname{ind}_{N K^{s}}^{K} \circ \operatorname{ind}_{K^{s}}^{N K^{s}}$ by transitivity. This allows us to write

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, \operatorname{ind}_{K^{s}}^{K} \check{V}^{s}\right)=\operatorname{ind}_{N K^{s}}^{K} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, \operatorname{ind}_{K^{s}}^{N K^{s}} \check{V}^{s}\right),
$$

where the induction on the right-hand side means we are inducing each term of the complex. Here we have used the following simple observation (with $\mathcal{U}=B . \Lambda(N)$ and $\mathcal{V}=\operatorname{ind}_{K^{s}}^{N K^{s}} \check{V}^{s}$ ):

Lemma 5.3. Suppose $\mathcal{V}$ is a $\Lambda(H)$-module where $H \subset K$ is an open subgroup containing $N \triangleleft K$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a $\Lambda(N)$-module. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(\mathcal{U}, \operatorname{ind}_{H}^{K} \mathcal{V}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ind}_{H}^{K} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})
$$

of $E[K / N]$-modules. $\left(\right.$ Here $\operatorname{ind}_{H}^{K} \mathcal{V} \simeq E[K] \otimes_{E[H]} \mathcal{V} \simeq \Lambda(K) \otimes_{\Lambda(H)} \mathcal{V}$.)
Proof. Sending $\gamma: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \operatorname{ind}_{H}^{K} \mathcal{V}$ to the function $f_{\gamma}: K \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ defined as $f_{\gamma}(\kappa)(u)=\gamma(u)(\kappa)$ does the job. (Here $\kappa \in K$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}$.) We leave the details to the reader.

The restriction map gives an isomorphism of $E[N]$-modules $\operatorname{ind}_{K^{s}}^{N K^{s}} \check{V}^{s} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ind}_{N \cap K^{s}}^{N} \check{V}^{s}$. We transfer the $K^{s}$-action on the source to $\operatorname{ind}_{N \cap K^{s}}^{N} \check{V}^{s}$. More explicitly, for a function $f: N \rightarrow \check{V}^{s}$ in the latter space and $\kappa \in K^{s}$ we have $(\kappa f)(n)=\kappa \cdot f\left(\kappa^{-1} n \kappa\right)$. Now, by Frobenius reciprocity (and Lemma 4.2 applied to the inclusion $N \cap K^{s} \subset N$ ) we get a quasiisomorphism

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, \operatorname{ind}_{N \cap K^{s}}^{N} \check{V}^{s}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right) .
$$

$N$ acts trivially on this complex, and the $K^{s}$-action is the natural one on the target sending an element $\eta: B . \Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right) \rightarrow \check{V}^{s}$ to its conjugate $\kappa \eta \kappa^{-1}$ for $\kappa \in K^{s}$ (by unwinding the Frobenius reciprocity map).

## Lemma 5.4.

$R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(s\left(N \cap K^{s}\right) s^{-1}\right)}(E, \check{V})$.
Proof. Send an $\eta$ as above to its composition with the map $B . \Lambda\left(s\left(N \cap K^{s}\right) s^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} B . \Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)$ induced by the conjugation map $s^{-1}(\cdot) s$. One easily checks the resulting map is $\Lambda\left(s\left(N \cap K^{s}\right) s^{-1}\right)$-linear for the natural action of $s\left(N \cap K^{s}\right) s^{-1}=K \cap s N s^{-1} \subset K$ on $\check{V}$.
Remark 5.5. The target in Lemma 5.4 carries a natural action of $K^{s^{-1}}=K \cap s K s^{-1}$ since it contains $s\left(N \cap K^{s}\right) s^{-1}$ as a normal subgroup. The map $s^{-1}(\cdot) s$ gives an isomorphism $K^{s} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^{s^{-1}}$ under which the $K^{s^{-1}}$-action on the target corresponds to the $K^{s}$-action on the source.

We conclude that there is a natural quasiisomorphism

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{s \in S^{+} / S(\mathcal{O})} \operatorname{ind}_{N K^{s}}^{K} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right)
$$

which is compatible with restriction in the following sense. For an open subgroup $N^{\prime} \subset N$ the restriction map $\operatorname{res}_{N, N^{\prime}}$ (with $M=I_{K}^{G} \check{V}$ ) corresponds to $\prod_{s} \varrho_{s}$ where

$$
\varrho_{s}: \operatorname{ind}_{N K^{s}}^{K} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ind}_{N^{\prime} K^{s}}^{K} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N^{\prime} \cap K^{s}\right)}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right)
$$

is composition with the restriction map

$$
\operatorname{res}_{N \cap K^{s}, N^{\prime} \cap K^{s}}: R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right) \rightarrow R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(N^{\prime} \cap K^{s}\right)}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right)
$$

## 6. Iwahori factorization and $\boldsymbol{p}$-valuations

The next step is to understand the individual complexes $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)}(E, \check{V})$ for $s \in S^{+}$appearing in the factorization of $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda(N)}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right)$, see Lemma 5.4.

We will now assume $N \triangleleft K$ has Iwahori factorization (with respect to $B$ ). This means three things:
(i) $(N \cap \bar{U}) \times(N \cap T) \times(N \cap U) \xrightarrow{\sim} N$ is a homeomorphism,
(ii) $s(N \cap U) s^{-1} \subset N \cap U$,
(iii) $s(N \cap \bar{U}) s^{-1} \supset N \cap \bar{U}$,
for all $s \in S^{+}$. It is well-known that $K$ has a neighborhood basis at the identity consisting of such groups. In fact one can take $N=K_{r}$ for large enough $r$, see [Casselman 1995, Proposition 1.4.4] and [Iwahori and Matsumoto 1965, Theorem 2.5], or the very readable account [Rabinoff 2003, Proposition 3.12].

In this section we fix an $s \in S^{+}$. Conjugating (i) by $s$ and then intersecting with $K$ results in a decomposition of the group of interest,

$$
\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap \bar{U}\right) \times(N \cap T) \times\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} K \cap s N s^{-1}
$$

Here the rightmost factor is contained in $N \cap U$ by (ii), and the leftmost factor contains $N \cap \bar{U}$ by (iii). The homeomorphism in (i) is not an isomorphism of groups. Our first goal in this section is to show that it nevertheless becomes a group isomorphism after passing to the graded groups defined by a suitable $p$-valuation.

Recall that a $p$-valuation on a group $N$ is a function $\omega: N \backslash\{1\} \rightarrow(1 /(p-1), \infty)$ satisfying the axioms

- $\omega\left(x^{-1} y\right) \geq \min \{\omega(x), \omega(y)\}$,
- $\omega([x, y]) \geq \omega(x)+\omega(y)$,
- $\omega\left(x^{p}\right)=\omega(x)+1$,
for all $x, y \in N$. Here the commutator is $[x, y]=x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$. This notion was introduced by Lazard [1965] and his theory is elegantly exposed by Schneider [2011] which we will use as our main reference. A $p$-valued group $(N, \omega)$ is said to be saturated if all $x \in N$ satisfying the inequality $\omega(x)>p /(p-1)$ lie in $N^{p}$, see [Schneider 2011, page 187]. If so the set of $p^{n}$-powers $N^{p^{n}}$ is a subgroup.

Lemma 6.1. There are arbitrarily small $N$ which admit a p-valuation $\omega$ such that one has an equality

$$
\omega(n)=\min \{\omega(\bar{u}), \omega(t), \omega(u)\}
$$

for all $n \in N$ with Iwahori factorization $n=\bar{u} t u$ as in (i) above, and $(N, \omega)$ is saturated.

Proof. Consider the smooth affine group scheme $U_{/ \mathcal{O}}$ and let $N=K_{r}$ for some $r$. Note that

$$
N \cap U=\operatorname{ker}\left(U(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow U\left(\mathcal{O} / \varpi^{r} \mathcal{O}\right)\right)
$$

By a general observation of Serre this carries a natural $p$-valuation $\omega_{U}$ for which ( $N \cap U, \omega_{U}$ ) is saturated when $r$ is large enough, see [Serre 1965, Chapter IV.9; 1966, Section 2.3] or the more recent [Huber et al. 2011, Lemma 2.2.2] (and the pertaining discussion on page 239). The construction goes via the formal group law given by choosing coordinates $\hat{U} \simeq \operatorname{Spf}\left(\mathcal{O} \llbracket T_{1}, \ldots, T_{\nu} \rrbracket\right)$ for the formal completion of $U$ at the identity, see [Demazure 1972, Section II.10].

The same comments apply to the group schemes $\bar{U}$ and $T$, which results in the two saturated $p$-valued groups ( $N \cap \bar{U}, \omega_{\bar{U}}$ ) and ( $N \cap T, \omega_{T}$ ). Choosing ordered bases gives homeomorphisms

$$
\bar{\phi}: N \cap \bar{U} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{a}, \quad \psi: N \cap T \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{b}, \quad \phi: N \cap U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{a},
$$

all sending $1_{N}$ to the zero-vector. Composing $\bar{\phi} \times \psi \times \phi$ with the inverse of the multiplication map in (i) gives a global chart $\Phi: N \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d}$ sending $1_{N} \mapsto 0$, where $d=2 a+b$. It follows that $N_{m}=\Phi^{-1}\left(p^{m} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d}\right)$ is a subgroup for $m \gg 0$ chosen large enough for the formal group law to have coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, see the proof of [Schneider 2011, Theorem 27.1] which also verifies the following defines a $p$-valuation on $N_{m}$,

$$
\tilde{\omega}(n)=\delta+\max \left\{\ell: n \in N_{m+\ell}\right\}=\delta+\min \left\{v\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, v\left(x_{d}\right)\right\}, \quad \Phi(n)=\left(p^{m} x_{1}, \ldots, p^{m} x_{d}\right)
$$

Here $\delta=1$ if $p>2$, and $\delta=2$ if $p=2$. Note that the multiplication map

$$
\left(N_{m} \cap \bar{U}\right) \times\left(N_{m} \cap T\right) \times\left(N_{m} \cap U\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} N_{m}
$$

is trivially a homeomorphism since its composition with $\Phi$ is the restriction of $\bar{\phi} \times \psi \times \phi$, and for the same reason $\left(N_{m}, \tilde{\omega}\right)$ clearly satisfies the properties in the lemma. It remains to check properties (ii) and (iii) for $N_{m}$. We will only do (ii); the argument for (iii) is similar by replacing $s \in S^{+}$with $s^{-1}$. For any $u \in N_{m} \cap U$ we have to check that $\operatorname{sus}^{-1} \in N_{m}$. This follows from the fact that $\left(N \cap U, \omega_{U}\right)$ is saturated, which implies

$$
(N \cap U)^{p^{m}}=\phi^{-1}\left(p^{m} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{a}\right)=N_{m} \cap U
$$

by [Schneider 2011, Corollary 26.12].

## 7. Review of Lazard theory

Let $(N, \omega)$ be a $p$-valued group as in the previous Lemma 6.1 of the last section. In this section we review some general constructions and results of Lazard, partly to set up more notation. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we let

$$
N_{v}=\{n \in N: \omega(n) \geq v\}, \quad N_{v+}=\{n \in N: \omega(n)>v\} .
$$

Both are normal subgroups of $N$, and $\operatorname{gr}_{v} N=N_{v} / N_{v+}$ is a central subgroup of $N / N_{v+}$ (in particular $\operatorname{gr}_{v} N$ is abelian). We form the associated graded abelian group

$$
\operatorname{gr} N=\bigoplus_{v>0} \operatorname{gr}_{v} N
$$

which has additional structure. First of all gr $N$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-vector space since $\omega\left(x^{p}\right)=\omega(x)+1$. Moreover, the commutator $[x, y]=x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$ defines a Lie bracket on gr $N$ which turn it into a graded Lie algebra ( $\operatorname{gr}_{v} N \times \operatorname{gr}_{v^{\prime}} N \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{v+v^{\prime}} N$ ). Finally, gr $N$ naturally becomes a module over the one-variable polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]$ as follows. The indeterminate $\pi$ acts on $\mathrm{gr} N$ as the degree one map $\pi: \operatorname{gr}_{v} N \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{v+1} N$ given by $\pi\left(n N_{v+}\right)=n^{p} N_{(v+1)+}$ where $n \in N_{v}$. Since $(N, \omega)$ is of finite rank gr $N$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]$-module of said rank; which equals $\operatorname{dim} N$. We refer to [Schneider 2011, Sections 23-25] where all of the above is explained in great detail.

The same remarks apply to the $p$-valued groups $(N \cap \bar{U}, \omega)$ etc., and because of the formula for $\omega$ in Lemma 6.1 we deduce that multiplication defines a homeomorphism

$$
(N \cap \bar{U})_{v} \times(N \cap T)_{v} \times(N \cap U)_{v} \xrightarrow{\sim} N_{v}
$$

and similarly for $N_{v+}$. Since $\operatorname{gr}_{v} N$ is abelian we conclude that there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-vector spaces

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{v}(N \cap \bar{U}) \oplus \operatorname{gr}_{v}(N \cap T) \oplus \operatorname{gr}_{v}(N \cap U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr}_{v} N
$$

and summing up over $v$ gives an analogous decomposition of gr $N$. Further inspection reveals that this direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr}(N \cap \bar{U}) \oplus \operatorname{gr}(N \cap T) \oplus \operatorname{gr}(N \cap U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} N \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

preserves the $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]$-module structures, and thus becomes an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras over $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]$. Here we may assume the Lie bracket is trivial by the perturbation argument given at the very end of Section 8 . We will exploit the ensuing factorization of the universal enveloping algebra of $\operatorname{gr} N \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} E$ below.

Let $\mathcal{W}=W(E)$ be the ring of Witt vectors (a complete DVR with residue field $E$ in which $p$ remains prime) and consider the completed group algebra $\mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket$. As explained in [Schneider 2011, Section 28] the $p$-valuation $\omega$ defines a function $\tilde{\omega}: \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which extends $\omega$ in the sense that $\tilde{\omega}(n-1)=\omega(n)$ holds for all $n \in N$. If we fix an ordered basis $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)$ for $(N, \omega)$ it is explicitly given by the formula

$$
\tilde{\omega}(\lambda)=\inf _{\alpha}\left(v\left(c_{\alpha}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} \omega\left(n_{i}\right)\right), \quad \lambda=\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{b}^{\alpha} .
$$

Here $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}^{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{b}_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \boldsymbol{b}_{d}^{\alpha_{d}}$ where $\boldsymbol{b}_{i}=n_{i}-1$. We emphasize that the function $\tilde{\omega}$ is independent of the choice of basis however, see [Schneider 2011, Corollary 28.4]. This gives rise to a
filtration of $\mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket$ as follows. For $v \geq 0$ we let

$$
J_{v}=\mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket_{v}=\{\lambda: \tilde{\omega}(\lambda) \geq v\}, \quad J_{v+}=\mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket_{v+}=\{\lambda: \tilde{\omega}(\lambda)>v\} .
$$

These two-sided ideals form a fundamental system of open neighborhoods at zero, and can be made very explicit. For instance $\mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket_{v}$ is the smallest closed $\mathcal{W}$-submodule containing all elements of the form $p^{\mu}\left(v_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(v_{t}-1\right)$ with $\mu+\sum_{i=1}^{t} \omega\left(v_{i}\right) \geq v$, see [Schneider 2011, Theorem 28.3(ii)]. The graded completed group algebra is then defined as

$$
\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket=\bigoplus_{v \geq 0} \operatorname{gr}_{v} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket, \quad \operatorname{gr}_{v} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket=J_{v} / J_{v+}
$$

This is naturally an algebra over $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}$ (formed with respect to the filtration $p^{n} \mathcal{W}$ ). Note that $\operatorname{gr} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]$ via the identification $p+p^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \leftrightarrow \pi$, and this is how we view $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}$ as an $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]$-algebra below. For each $v>0$ there is a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{v}: \operatorname{gr}_{v} N \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{v} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket$ sending $n N_{v+} \mapsto(n-1)+J_{v+}$, and one of the main results of Lazard is that $\mathcal{L}=\oplus_{v>0} \mathcal{L}_{v}$ extends to an isomorphism of graded $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}$-algebras

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}: \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} U(\operatorname{gr} N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket .
$$

See [Schneider 2011, Theorem 28.3(i)]. We are not assuming $\omega$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-valued, and this flexibility will be important later when we perturb $\omega$ to make the Lie algebra gr $N$ abelian, see [Schneider 2011, Lemma 26.13(i)]. However, we may and do assume that $\omega$ takes values in $\frac{1}{A} \mathbb{Z}$ for some $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ (see [Schneider 2011, Corollary 33.3]). Then we reindex and let $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket:=J_{\frac{i}{A}}$, which defines a ring filtration of $\mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket$ indexed by integers $i \geq 0$.

We will employ the analogous results for the reduction $\Lambda(N)=E \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket$. We endow $\Lambda(N)$ with the quotient filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} \Lambda(N)$ defined as the image of $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket$ under the quotient map $\mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket \rightarrow \Lambda(N)$. The associated graded $E$-algebra gr $\Lambda(N)=\oplus_{i \geq 0}$ Fil $^{i} \Lambda(N) / \operatorname{Fil}^{i+1} \Lambda(N)$ is isomorphic to $E \otimes_{\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}} \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W} \llbracket N \rrbracket$. The tensor product $E \otimes_{\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{W}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ therefore induces an isomorphism

$$
\overline{\mathcal{L}}: U\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} N\right)=E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} U(\operatorname{gr} N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N) .
$$

Here $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi] \rightarrow E$ takes $\pi \mapsto 0$. If we tensor (7.1) by $E$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]$ and take universal enveloping algebras the Kronecker product gives an isomorphism

$$
U\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr}(N \cap \bar{U})\right) \otimes_{E} U\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr}(N \cap T)\right) \otimes_{E} U\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr}(N \cap U)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} U\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} N\right)
$$

which via $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ gives the main take-away from this section; namely that there is a natural isomorphism of graded $E$-algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap \bar{U}) \otimes_{E} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T) \otimes_{E} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we will extend this to $s$-conjugates $\left(s \in S^{+}\right)$and invoke a Künneth formula.

## 8. Application of the Künneth formula

The isomorphism (7.2) extends easily to arbitrary $s \in S^{+}$. Having fixed ( $N, \omega$ ) as in Lemma 6.1 we define a $p$-valuation $\omega_{s}$ on $K \cap s N s^{-1}$ by the formula $\omega_{s}\left(s n s^{-1}\right)=\omega(n)$. It is compatible with the Iwahori factorization of $K \cap s N s^{-1}$ as in Lemma 6.1. Defining gr $\Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)$ and so on relative to $\omega_{s}$ the arguments leading up to (7.2) therefore yield more generally an isomorphism of graded $E$-algebras

$$
\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap \bar{U}\right) \otimes_{E} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T) \otimes_{E} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)
$$

For $s=1$ one recovers (7.2). The shuffle product (see [Loday 1992, Proposition 4.2.4] for example) gives a homotopy equivalence relating bar resolutions

$$
B . \operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap \bar{U}\right) \otimes_{E} B . \operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T) \otimes_{E} B . \operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U\right) \rightarrow B . \operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)
$$

and in turn we have a Künneth formula in the form of a quasiisomorphism
$R \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \xrightarrow{\sim}$
$R \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap \bar{U}\right)}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \otimes_{E} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T)}(E, E) \otimes_{E} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U\right)}(E, E)$.
Here gr $\check{V}$ is defined with respect to $\omega_{s}$. That is we first filter $\check{V}$ by $\operatorname{Fil}^{i} \check{V}:=\operatorname{Fil}^{i} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right) \check{V}$ and let gr $\check{V}$ be the associated graded module. We have taken $N$ small enough that it acts trivially on $V$ and therefore gr $\check{V}$ factors as an external tensor product $\operatorname{gr} \check{V} \boxtimes E \boxtimes E$ where the two $E$ 's denote the trivial modules over gr $\Lambda(N \cap T)$ and gr $\Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U\right)$ respectively. Note that $K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U \subset N$ by property (ii) of an Iwahori factorization. Taking cohomology $h^{i}$ results in $E$-vector space isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)}^{i}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{a+b+c=i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap \bar{U}\right)}^{a}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \otimes_{E} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda(N \cap T)}^{b}(E, E) \otimes_{E} \operatorname{Ext}_{{ }_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U\right)}^{c}}^{b}(E, E) \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

compatible with restriction maps when shrinking $N$. Note that by perturbing $\omega$ (i.e., replacing it by $\omega_{C}=\omega-C$ for sufficiently small $C>0$ ) we may assume all the graded algebras above are polynomial rings over $E$ in a number of variables, see [Schneider 2011, Lemma 26.13]. This will allow us to control some of the factors in the Künneth formula using Koszul duality.

## 9. Restriction and the Koszul dual

We first deal with the two factors $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr}_{\Lambda(N \cap T)}^{b}}^{b}(E, E)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{{ }_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U\right)}^{c}}(E, E)$ in the Künneth formula (8.2). This can be done uniformly so in this section we let $H$ denote one of the two groups $N \cap T$ or $K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U$ equipped with the $p$-valuation $\omega_{s}$. (Note that $\omega_{s}=\omega$ in the case $H=N \cap T$.) We assume that $\omega$ has been chosen in such a way that $\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(H)$ is a polynomial ring over $E$ in a number of variables, or more canonically a symmetric algebra

$$
\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(H) \simeq S\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} H\right)
$$

via the $\bmod p$ Lazard isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ discussed in Section 7. This can be ensured by perturbing $\omega$ if necessary as noted above. Then the Yoneda algebra $\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda(H)}^{j}(E, E)$ is the Koszul dual which in this case is simply the exterior algebra $\bigwedge^{\bullet}\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} H\right)^{*}$ of the $E$-linear dual, see [Beilinson et al. 1996, Theorem 1.2.5]. In particular

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda(H)}^{j}(E, E) \simeq \bigwedge^{j}\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} H\right)^{*}
$$

For any choice of $H$ we get a saturated $p$-valued group $\left(H, \omega_{s}\right)$, see the proof of Lemma 6.1. In particular the set of $p^{m}$-powers $H^{p^{m}}=H_{(m+1 /(p-1))+}$ is a subgroup, and in fact they form a fundamental system of open neighborhoods of the identity as $m$ varies, see [Schneider 2011, Proposition 26.15].

Lemma 9.1. Let $n \geq 1$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(H^{p^{m}}\right)}^{n}(E, E) \xrightarrow{0} \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(H^{p^{m+1}}\right)}^{n}(E, E)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
Proof. One reduces to the case $m=0$ by replacing $H$ by $H^{p^{m}}$. We then have to show the vanishing of

$$
\bigwedge^{n}\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} H\right)^{*} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n}\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} H^{p}\right)^{*}
$$

for $n>0$ and we clearly may assume that $n=1$. In other words, we are to check the vanishing of the dual map

$$
E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} H^{p}=\operatorname{gr} H^{p} / \pi \operatorname{gr} H^{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} H / \pi \operatorname{gr} H=E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}[\pi]} \operatorname{gr} H .
$$

This is trivial to verify. Indeed $\mathrm{gr}_{v+1} H^{p}=\pi \mathrm{gr}_{v} H$ holds for all $v>0$ as follows straight from the definition of the $\pi$-action on $\operatorname{gr} H$, which proves the claim.

The above result is the key to establishing hypothesis (A.8) in the Appendix.

## 10. Invoking the appendix

We will apply Theorem A. 11 of the Appendix to the decreasing chain of subalgebras of $\Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)$ given by the $p^{m}$-powers of $N$,

$$
A^{(m)}:=\Lambda\left(K \cap s N^{p^{m}} s^{-1}\right)
$$

Note that by the proof of Lemma 6.1 we know that $N^{p^{m}}$ inherits an Iwahori factorization, and therefore

$$
\left(K \cap s N^{p^{m}} s^{-1} \cap \bar{U}\right) \times(N \cap T)^{p^{m}} \times\left(s N s^{-1} \cap U\right)^{p^{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K \cap s N^{p^{m}} s^{-1} .
$$

Here we have used that both $N \cap T$ and $N \cap U$ are saturated to move the $p^{m}$-powers outside. (Indeed, suppose $x \in s N^{p^{m}} s^{-1} \cap U$. Then $s^{-1} x s \in N^{p^{m}} \cap U=(N \cap U)^{p^{m}}$, because $N \cap U$ is saturated. We conclude that $x \in\left(s N s^{-1} \cap U\right)^{p^{m}}$ since $s \in S^{+} \subset T$ normalizes the unipotent radical $U$.) Also, in the third factor we have deliberately written $s N s^{-1} \cap U$ instead of $K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap U$ (they are the same since $s(N \cap U) s^{-1}$ lies in $N \cap U$ and therefore in $K$ ). The upshot is the Künneth formula (8.2) also applies to each of the graded algebras gr $A^{(m)}$.

Lemma 10.1. Hypothesis (A.8) of the Appendix is fulfilled for all $n>\operatorname{dim} U$. That is, the restriction map

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} A^{(m)}}^{n}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr}^{(m+1)}}^{n}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V})
$$

vanishes for all $m$.
Proof. To make the argument more transparent we will only give the details for $m=0$. In the Künneth formula (8.2) for $\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr~} A^{(0)}}^{n}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V})$ we consider the restriction map to $A^{(1)}$ on the $(a, b, c)$-summand. When $b>0$ or $c>0$ we get zero by Lemma 9.1. What is left is to see what happens to restriction on the ( $n, 0,0$ )-summand

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap \bar{U}\right)}^{n}(E, \operatorname{gr} \check{V})
$$

However, this summand itself is zero when $n>\operatorname{rank}\left(K \cap s N s^{-1} \cap \bar{U}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \bar{U}=\operatorname{dim} U$, see [Lazard 1965, V.2.2] and [Schneider 2011, Theorem 27.1].

Theorem A. 11 applies and yields the following key result.
Proposition 10.2. Let $n>\operatorname{dim} U$ be arbitrary. Then the restriction map

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)}^{n}(E, \check{V}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(K \cap s N^{p^{m}} s^{-1}\right)}^{n}(E, \check{V})
$$

vanishes for all $m>\operatorname{amp}(\check{V})+n$. (Here $\operatorname{amp}(\cdot)$ is the amplitude introduced in the Appendix.)
Proof. We may arrange for gr $\Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)$ to be Koszul (e.g., a polynomial algebra) by perturbing $\omega$ if necessary. We checked in Lemma 10.1 that hypothesis (A.8) is satisfied for $n>\operatorname{dim} U$ so Theorem A. 11 applies and gives the vanishing of $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(E, \check{V}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A^{(m)}}^{n}(E, \check{V})$ as long as $m>\operatorname{amp}(\check{V})+n$. Here we use the notation from the Appendix. In particular $A=A^{(0)}$, see the paragraph containing (A.8).

## 11. Proof of the main result

By Lemma 5.4 we may reformulate Proposition 10.2 as saying that the map

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}^{n}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N p^{m} \cap K^{s}\right)}^{n}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right)
$$

vanishes for $n>\operatorname{dim} U$ and $m>\operatorname{amp}\left(\check{V}^{s}\right)+n$. The amplitude $\operatorname{amp}(\check{V})$ in Proposition 10.2 is computed relative to $\omega_{s}$, and therefore coincides with $\operatorname{amp}\left(\check{V}^{s}\right)$ which is relative to the $\omega$ from $N$. Note that all our $\Lambda$ 's satisfy $\operatorname{Fil}^{0} \Lambda=\Lambda$ so $v \geq 0$ (in the notation of the appendix); in other words the amplitude $\operatorname{amp}(M)$ is at most $\mu$ (the first index for which $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mu} M=0$ ).
Lemma 11.1. $\operatorname{amp}\left(\check{V}^{s}\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $s \in S^{+}$.
Proof. We recall that $\operatorname{amp}\left(\check{V}^{s}\right)$ is relative to the filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} \check{V}^{s}:=\operatorname{Fil}^{i} \Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right) \check{V}$ where the filtration of $\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)$ is defined with respect to (the restriction of) $\omega$. As $N \cap K^{s} \subset N$ it is enough to observe that $\operatorname{Fil}^{i} \Lambda(N) \check{V}=0$ for $i \geq A$ for some $A=A_{N, V}>0$ depending only on $N$ and $V(\operatorname{not} s)$; where again $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} \Lambda(N)$ is relative to $\omega$. Assuming $N$ is pro- $p$ the vanishing for $i \geq A$ follows from Nakayama's lemma by comparing Fil ${ }^{i} \Lambda(N) \check{V}$ to the filtration $\mathfrak{m}_{\Lambda(N)}^{i} \check{V}$ which must be stationary since $V$ is finite-dimensional, see [Schneider 2011, Remark 28.1].

From the last paragraph of Section 5 we have the isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{n}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{s \in S^{+} / S(\mathcal{O})} \operatorname{ind}_{N K^{s}}^{K} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N \cap K^{s}\right)}^{n}\left(E, \check{V}^{s}\right)
$$

which is compatible with the restriction maps on either side. We conclude that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda(N)}^{n}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(N^{p^{m}}\right)}^{n}\left(E, I_{K}^{G} \check{V}\right)
$$

vanishes for $n>\operatorname{dim} U$ and $m>A_{V, N}+n$ arbitrary (here $A_{V, N}$ is the uniform bound for $\operatorname{amp}\left(\check{V}^{s}\right)$ found in the proof of Lemma 11.1). In particular this proves the vanishing of $S^{n}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)$ for $n>\operatorname{dim} U$, which is our main Theorem 1.1 from the introduction.

Remark 11.2. For the trivial weight $V=E$ one can strengthen this significantly. Indeed $\forall n>0$ the map

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)}^{n}(E, E) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(K \cap s N^{p} s^{-1}\right)}^{n}(E, E)
$$

vanishes for $N$ small. Thus $S^{n}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} 1\right)=0$ for $n>0$ as mentioned in the introduction. The reason is that one can arrange for $K \cap s N s^{-1}$ to be equi- $p$-valued (when equipped with the valuation $\omega_{s}$ ) by shrinking $N$ and therefore by Lazard's computation of its mod $p$ cohomology algebra [Lazard 1965, page 183] we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}\right)}^{n}(E, E)=H^{n}\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}, E\right)=\bigwedge^{n} \operatorname{Hom}\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}, E\right)
$$

By saturation elements of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K \cap s N s^{-1}, E\right)$ vanish upon restriction to $K \cap s N^{p} S^{-1}$.

## 12. A few unaddressed questions

In this section we sharpen the expectation that $S^{\operatorname{dim}(G / B)}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)$ is g for sufficiently nondegenerate weights $V$. We first recall the notion of regularity introduced in [Henniart and Vigneras 2012]. For a weight $V$ one defines $M_{V}$ to be the (unique) largest standard Levi subgroup for which $M_{V}(k)$ preserves the line $V^{U(k)}$.

Definition 12.1. Let $P \supset B$ be a parabolic subgroup defined over $F$ with standard Levi factor $M$. We say that $V$ is $M$-regular if $M_{V} \subset M$.

For instance, all weights are $G$-regular. For $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ the weight $V$ is $T$-regular exactly when $\operatorname{dim} V>1$. We believe $T$-regularity is enough to guarantee nonvanishing, but we have no evidence.
Question 12.2. Is $S^{\operatorname{dim}(G / B)}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right) \neq 0$ for all $T$-regular weights $V$ ?
We have not been able to show this even in the case of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. Using [Henniart and Vigneras 2012, Theorem 1.2] and [Kohlhaase 2017, Theorem 4.7(ii)] one can at least prove the nonvanishing of $S^{\operatorname{dim}(G / B)}$ on principal series representations $\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{G}(V), \chi} E$ where the eigensystems $\chi: \mathcal{H}_{G}(V) \rightarrow E$ factor through the Satake homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{G}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{T}\left(V_{\bar{U}(k)}\right)$.

Initially we had hoped to prove the following more precise bound in Theorem 1.1: Suppose $P \supset B$ is a standard parabolic subgroup defined over $F$ with standard Levi factor $M_{P} \supset T$ and unipotent radical $U_{P}$. Let $V$ be a weight for which $M_{P}(k)$ preserves the line $V^{U(k)}$. In other words $M_{P} \subset M_{V}$.

Question 12.3. Is it true that $S^{i}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)=0$ for all $i>\operatorname{dim}\left(U_{P}\right)$ ?
This is consistent with our results for $P=G$ and $P=B$. What seems to go wrong if one tries to mimic our argument is that in the Iwahori factorization of $N$ relative to $P$, the middle factor $N \cap M_{P}$ is not fixed under conjugation by $s \in S^{+}$.

If Question 12.3 has a positive answer, it seems natural to strengthen Question 12.2 as follows.
Question 12.4. Is $S^{\operatorname{dim}\left(U_{P}\right)}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{K}^{G} V\right) \neq 0$ for weights $V$ with $M_{V}=M_{P}$ ?
This more refined question was brought to our attention by Niccolò Ronchetti.

## Appendix: A spectral sequence for Ext over filtered rings

Let $k$ be a field and $A$ an augmented $k$-algebra with augmentation map $\epsilon: A \rightarrow k .^{4}$ We assume $A$ is filtered; meaning it comes with a decreasing filtration $A=\operatorname{Fil}^{0} A \supset \operatorname{Fil}^{1} A \supset \cdots$ by two-sided ideals Fil ${ }^{i} A$ which satisfy the following two properties:
(1) $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} A \times \mathrm{Fil}^{j} A \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{i+j} A$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.
(2) $\epsilon\left(\operatorname{Fil}^{1} A\right)=0$.

The associated graded $k$-algebra gr $A=\oplus_{i \geq 0}$ gr $^{i} A=\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathrm{Fil}^{i} A / \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} A$ inherits an augmentation map $\epsilon$ by projecting to the first component $A /$ Fil $^{1} A$. Note that a filtration-preserving morphism of augmented $k$-algebras $A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ induces a morphism of graded augmented $k$-algebras gr $A^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} A$.

The filtered bar resolution. Below we will study the functorial properties of $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(k,-)$ as we vary $A$. For that we will need a projective resolution of $k$ which is functorial in $A$; the bar resolution $B . A$,

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{3}} A \otimes_{k} A \otimes_{k} A \xrightarrow{d_{2}} A \otimes_{k} A \xrightarrow{d_{1}} A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} k \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Let $B_{n} A=A^{\otimes(n+1)}$ be the ( $n+1$ )-fold tensor product, and define the differentials by letting $d_{0}=\epsilon$ and for $n>0$ let $d_{n}: B_{n} A \rightarrow B_{n-1} A$ be the map which takes $a_{0} \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}$ to

$$
(-1)^{n}\left(a_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}\right) \epsilon\left(a_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{i}\left(a_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i} a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right)
$$

Note that $B_{n} A$ is a free left $A$-module (via the first factor $a_{0}$ ) of rank $\operatorname{dim}_{k} A^{\otimes n}$. It is easily checked that $d_{n-1} \circ d_{n}=0$, and in fact the complex is exact (taking $a_{0} \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}$ to $1 \otimes a_{0} \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}$ defines a contracting homotopy). We conclude that $B . A$ is a resolution of $k$ by free left $A$-modules (usually of infinite rank) which is functorial in $A$; a morphism of augmented $k$-algebras $A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ induces a morphism of complexes $B . A^{\prime} \rightarrow B . A$ in the obvious way. This is the reason we choose to work with the bar resolution throughout, as opposed to any projective resolution of $k$.

[^2]Since our algebra $A$ is filtered, $B . A$ is filtered as well by endowing each $B_{n} A$ with the tensor product filtration. That is

$$
\text { Fil }^{i} B_{n} A=\sum_{i_{0}+i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=i} \operatorname{Fil}^{i_{0}} A \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Fil}^{i_{1}} A \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \text { Fil }^{i_{n}} A
$$

This is a (not necessarily free) $A$-submodule. It is trivial to check that $d_{n}: \mathrm{Fil}^{i} B_{n} A \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{i} B_{n-1} A$, i.e., $B . A$ is a filtered complex (if $i_{n}>0$ we have $\epsilon\left(a_{n}\right)=0$, and otherwise $i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n-1}=i$ ). Let gr $B . A$ be the associated graded complex whose $n$-th term is $\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A=\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathrm{gr}^{i} B_{n} A$. The next result identifies it with the bar resolution for $\operatorname{gr} A$ which is graded in a natural way.

Lemma A.1. gr $B . A=B .(\operatorname{gr} A)$; more precisely for any $i \geq 0$ the natural map

$$
\operatorname{gr}^{i} B_{n}(\operatorname{gr} A)=\bigoplus_{i_{0}+i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=i} \operatorname{gr}^{i_{0}} A \otimes_{k} \operatorname{gr}^{i_{1}} A \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \operatorname{gr}^{i_{n}} A \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}^{i} B_{n} A
$$

is an isomorphism of left gr A-modules commuting with the differentials.
Proof. For each $i$ choose a $k$-subspace $\Delta^{i} \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{i} A$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} A=\Delta^{i} \oplus \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} A$. For the rest of the proof fix an $i \geq 0$ and decompose $A$ as a direct sum of subspaces $A=\Delta^{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta^{i} \oplus \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} A$. We introduce a more uniform notation by letting $D^{j} \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{j} A$ denote $\Delta^{j}$ when $j \leq i$ and $D^{i+1}=\mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} A$. Then $B_{n} A$ decomposes as

$$
B_{n} A=\left(\bigoplus_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n} \leq i} \Delta^{i_{0}} \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \Delta^{i_{n}}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}>i}^{\prime} D^{i_{0}} \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} D^{i_{n}}\right)
$$

where the prime in the second $\bigoplus^{\prime}$ indicates we are only summing over $i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n} \leq i+1$ with sum $>i$. Clearly this sum $\bigoplus^{\prime}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} B_{n} A$. Conversely, noting that $\mathrm{Fil}^{j} A=\Delta^{j} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta^{i} \oplus \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} A$ for $j \leq i+1$ it follows immediately that $\mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} B_{n} A$ lies in $\bigoplus^{\prime}$. Indeed, if $j_{0}+\cdots+j_{n}=i+1$,

$$
\mathrm{Fil}^{j_{0}} A \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \mathrm{Fil}^{j_{n}} A=\bigoplus_{j_{0} \leq i_{0} \leq i+1, \ldots, j_{n} \leq i_{n} \leq i+1} D^{i_{0}} \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} D^{i_{n}}
$$

and $i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n} \geq i+1$ for $i$ 's in that range. We conclude that for each $i \geq 0$ we have

$$
B_{n} A=\left(\bigoplus_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n} \leq i} \Delta^{i_{0}} \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \Delta^{i_{n}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Fil}^{i+1} B_{n} A
$$

In particular $\mathrm{gr}^{i} B_{n} A$ is the direct sum over $i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=i$. Since $\Delta^{i} \simeq \mathrm{gr}^{i} A$ this finishes the proof.
Ronchetti pointed me to the reference [Sjödin 1973] which contains results of the same flavor. For instance our Lemma A. 1 above appears to be closely related to [Sjödin 1973, Lemma 10]. Furthermore our Lemma A. 2 below is exactly [Sjödin 1973, Lemma 16] — in a different notation. Instead of comparing notations we found it easier and more convenient to just include the proofs in this appendix.

Graded $\boldsymbol{R}$ Hom. For a left $A$-module $M$ we consider the complex $R \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(k, M)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(B . A, M)$ of $k$-vector spaces (of $A$-modules if $A$ is commutative). More precisely

$$
\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A, M) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A \otimes_{k} A, M\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A \otimes_{k} A \otimes_{k} A, M\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} \cdots
$$

whose $i$-th cohomology group is $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(k, M)$. Observe that a $k$-algebra map $A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ gives a morphism of complexes $R \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(k, M) \rightarrow R \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\prime}}(k, M)$ which we will refer to as the restriction map (at least when $A^{\prime} \subset A$ is a subalgebra). Taking cohomology yields maps $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(k, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A^{\prime}}^{i}(k, M)$ for each $i$.

Now suppose $M$ is equipped with a decreasing filtration by $A$-submodules $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} M \supset \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} M \supset \cdots$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} A \times \mathrm{Fil}^{j} M \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{i+j} M$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (for more flexibility we allow filtrations of $M$ to be indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$ ); we will often take $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} M:=\left(\mathrm{Fil}^{i} A\right) M$ but this restriction is unnecessary. We let $\operatorname{gr} M=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{gr}^{i} M$ be the associated graded gr $A$-module.

In this situation $R \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(k, M)$ becomes a filtered complex by defining $(\forall s \in \mathbb{Z})$
$\operatorname{Fil}^{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right):=\left\{\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right): \phi\left(\operatorname{Fil}^{i} B_{n} A\right) \subset \operatorname{Fil}^{i+s} M \forall i \geq 0\right\}$.
(This is a decreasing filtration by $k$-subspaces, compatible with the differentials $\partial$.) We will always assume the filtration on $M$ satisfies Fil ${ }^{i} M=0$ for $i \gg 0$ and Fil ${ }^{i} M=M$ for $i \ll 0$. Then clearly also $\mathrm{Fil}^{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right)=0$ for $s \gg 0$; however it may not be exhaustive. We let

$$
{ }^{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right):=\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Fil}^{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right)=\left\{\phi: \phi\left(\operatorname{Fil}^{i} B_{n} A\right)=0 \forall i \gg 0\right\}
$$

This is all of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right)$ if the filtration on $A$ is finite, i.e., Fil ${ }^{i} A=0$ for $i$ sufficiently large. Similarly, we let

$$
R \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}(k, \operatorname{gr} M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}(B \cdot(\operatorname{gr} A), \operatorname{gr} M) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}(\operatorname{gr} B . A, \operatorname{gr} M),
$$

with $i$-th cohomology group $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr} A}^{i}(k, \operatorname{gr} M)$. For a fixed $n \geq 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ we consider the subspace of homogeneous degree $s$ maps

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}^{s}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A, \operatorname{gr} M\right):=\left\{\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A, \operatorname{gr} M\right): \psi\left(\operatorname{gr}^{i} B_{n} A\right) \subset \operatorname{gr}^{i+s} M \forall i \geq 0\right\}
$$

They clearly form a direct sum in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A\right.$, gr $\left.M\right)$ which we will denote by

$$
{ }^{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A, \operatorname{gr} M\right):=\bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}^{s}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A, \operatorname{gr} M\right)=\left\{\psi: \psi\left(\operatorname{gr}^{i} B_{n} A\right)=0 \forall i \gg 0\right\}
$$

(For the inclusion $\supset$ write $\psi=\sum \psi_{s}$ where $\psi_{s}$ is defined as the projection $\pi_{i+s} \circ \psi{\text { on } \mathrm{gr}^{i} B_{n} A \text {. One }}$ easily checks $\psi_{s}$ is gr $A$-linear and the vanishing condition on $\psi$ guarantees that $\psi_{s}=0$ for $|s| \gg 0$.)

Lemma A.2. For every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a natural isomorphism of $k$-vector spaces

$$
\operatorname{gr}^{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}^{s}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A, \operatorname{gr} M\right) .
$$

Proof. Any $\phi \in \operatorname{Fil}^{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right)$ defines a $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr} A}^{s}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{gr} M\right)$ in the obvious way; and $\psi=0$ exactly when $\phi \in \mathrm{Fil}^{s+1} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right)$. In other words, there is a $k$-linear injection

$$
\operatorname{gr}^{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}^{s}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A, \operatorname{gr} M\right)
$$

To show this is surjective observe that $B_{n} A=A \otimes_{k} V$ where $V$ is a filtered $k$-vector space $\left(A^{\otimes n}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} B_{n} A=\sum_{p+q=i} F^{p} A \otimes_{k} \mathrm{Fil}^{q} V$ for all $i \geq 0$. Therefore, as filtered $k$-vector spaces,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(V, M)
$$

Similarly $\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A=B_{n}(\operatorname{gr} A)=\operatorname{gr} A \otimes_{k} \operatorname{gr} V$ as graded $k$-vector spaces by Lemma A.1. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}\left(\operatorname{gr} B_{n} A, \operatorname{gr} M\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\operatorname{gr} V, \operatorname{gr} M),
$$

and it remains to observe that $\operatorname{gr}^{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(V, M) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k}^{s}(\operatorname{gr} V, \operatorname{gr} M)$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, as in the proof of A.1, we may choose a sequence of $k$-subspaces $\nabla^{i} \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{i} V$ such that $V=\nabla^{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus \nabla^{i} \oplus \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} V$. Let $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k}^{s}(\operatorname{gr} V, \operatorname{gr} M)$. Since the filtration on $M$ is assumed to be finite, we may choose $i$ large enough that $\psi\left(\mathrm{gr}^{i+1} V\right)=0$. Now define a preimage $\phi \in \operatorname{Fil}^{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(V, M)$ of $\psi$ as follows. Let $\phi=0$ on $\mathrm{Fil}^{i+1} V$, and on $\nabla^{j} \simeq \mathrm{gr}^{j} V$ for $j=0, \ldots, i$ take $\phi$ to be a lift $\nabla^{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{j+s} M$ of the given $\psi: \mathrm{gr}^{j} V \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{j+s} M$.

A topological variant. We now assume $A$ is a pseudocompact $k$-algebra. More precisely, we assume the ideals $\mathrm{Fil}^{i} A$ are open and form a neighborhood basis at 0 , and $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim A / \mathrm{Fil}^{i} A$ as topological rings with Artinian (discrete) quotients $A / \mathrm{Fil}^{i} A$. We let $\mathcal{C}_{A}$ denote the abelian category of pseudocompact $A$-modules (i.e., complete Hausdorff topological left $A$-modules which are inverse limits of discrete finite length $A$-modules). As is well-known, $\mathcal{C}_{A}$ has exact inverse limits and enough projectives. Similarly we let $\mathcal{C}_{\text {gr } A}$ denote the abelian category of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded gr $A$-modules, with morphisms $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C g r}}={ }^{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}$ (the sums of homogeneous maps as above). Any projective gr $A$-module with a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading is projective in $\mathcal{C}_{\text {gr } A}$ and vice versa (see [Fossum and Foxby 1974, page 289] for commutative rings).

The bar resolution has a topological variant $\hat{B} . A$ obtained by replacing $\otimes_{k}$ with completed tensor products $\hat{\otimes}_{k}$ everywhere. For instance $\hat{B}_{1} A=A \hat{\otimes}_{k} A=\lim _{i, j} A /$ Fil $^{i} A \otimes_{k} A /$ Fil $^{j} A$, see [Brumer 1966, page 446]. More generally $\hat{B}_{n} A=A^{\hat{\otimes}(n+1)}$. The differential $d_{n}$ extends to $\hat{B}_{n} A$ by continuity and this defines a resolution of $k$ in $\mathcal{C}_{A}$,

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{3}} A \hat{\otimes}_{k} A \hat{\otimes}_{k} A \xrightarrow{d_{2}} A \hat{\otimes}_{k} A \xrightarrow{d_{1}} A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} k \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Moreover, $\hat{B}_{n} A$ is projective in $\mathcal{C}_{A}$ by [Brumer 1966, Corollary 3.3] (being an inverse limit of free $A /$ Fil $^{i} A$-modules).

Furthermore, suppose $M$ is a discrete finite length $A$-module with exhaustive and separated filtration Fil ${ }^{i} M$ as above.

Lemma A.3. We have the following natural isomorphisms of complexes:
(1) $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(k, M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(\hat{B} . A, M)={ }^{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(B . A, M)$.
(2) $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}(k, \operatorname{gr} M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}(\operatorname{gr} B . A, \operatorname{gr} M)={ }^{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}(\operatorname{gr} B . A, \operatorname{gr} M)$.

Proof. Part (2) follows straight from the definition of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}$. For part (1) we have to show that $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{n} A, M\right)$ extends to a continuous map $\hat{B}_{n} A \rightarrow M$ if and only if $\phi\left(\mathrm{Fil}^{i} B_{n} A\right)=0$ for large $i$. Note that $\hat{B}_{n} A$ is the completion of $B_{n} A$ for the topology defined by the submodules

$$
J_{i}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(A^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow\left(A / \mathrm{Fil}^{i} A\right)^{\otimes(n+1)}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Fil}^{i} A \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} A\right)+\cdots+\left(A \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \mathrm{Fil}^{i} A\right)
$$

Obviously $J_{i} \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{i} B_{n} A$, and conversely $\mathrm{Fil}^{i(n+1)} B_{n} A \subset J_{i}$. If $i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=i(n+1)$ we must have some $i_{j} \geq i$ and thus $\mathrm{Fil}^{i_{0}} A \otimes_{k} \mathrm{Fil}^{i_{1}} A \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \mathrm{Fil}^{i_{n}} A$ is contained in $J_{i}$. This proves the lemma.

Note that if $A$ is Noetherian then the cohomology of $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(k, M)$ computes $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(k, M)$, and similarly for $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}(k, \operatorname{gr} M$ ) (assuming gr $A$ is Noetherian): $k$ has a resolution by finite free $A$ modules, and any $A$-linear map $A^{r} \rightarrow A^{s}$ is automatically continuous.

The spectral sequence for Ext. Combining Lemmas A. 2 and A. 3 we arrive at the following result.
Theorem A.4. With notation as above, there is a natural isomorphism of graded complexes

$$
\operatorname{gr} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(k, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{grA}}}(k, \operatorname{gr} M)
$$

We extract a spectral sequence relating the Ext-functors over $A$ and gr $A$.
Corollary A.5. Assume $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}^{n}(k, \mathrm{gr} M)$ is finite for all $n$, and zero for $n$ sufficiently large. Then there is a convergent spectral sequence, which is functorial in $A$,

$$
E_{1}^{i, j}=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}^{i, j}(k, \operatorname{gr} M) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{i+j}(k, M)
$$

(The definition of the internal grading Ext ${ }_{\mathcal{C}}{ }^{i, j}$ is is recalled in the proof-see (A.6) below.)
Proof. Consider the spectral sequence of the filtered complex $K={ }^{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(B . A, M)$, see [Gelfand and Manin 1996, page 203]. It consists of bigraded groups $E_{r}=\oplus_{i, j} E_{r}^{i, j}$ starting with $E_{1}^{i, j}=$ $H^{i+j}\left(\operatorname{gr}^{i} K\right)$ where $\operatorname{gr} K=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{gr}^{i} K$ is the associated graded complex, which in our case equals ${ }^{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} A}(\operatorname{gr} B . A, \operatorname{gr} M)$ by Theorem A.4. Its cohomology $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C g r A}^{i+j}}^{i+j}(k, \operatorname{gr} M)$ is graded and $E_{1}^{i, j}$ sits as the $i$-th graded piece;

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}^{i, j}=\operatorname{gr}^{i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}^{i+j}(k, \operatorname{gr} M)=: \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}^{i, j}(k, \operatorname{gr} M) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the infinite sheet we have groups $E_{\infty}=\oplus_{i, j} E_{\infty}^{i, j}$ with $E_{\infty}^{i, j}=\mathrm{gr}^{i} H^{i+j}(K)$, where the filtration on $H^{n}(K)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M)$ is given by the images of the maps $H^{n}\left(\right.$ Fil $\left.^{i} K\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(K)$. Convergence follows easily from the finiteness assumptions: $E_{1}^{i, j}$ is nonzero only for finitely many pairs $(i, j)$, so eventually the incoming and outgoing differentials vanish at $(i, j)$ for $r \gg 0$.

In most situations of interest $\mathrm{gr} A$ will be Noetherian, and $k$ of finite projective dimension over gr $A$. Then the finiteness conditions in A. 5 are automatically satisfied for all finite-dimensional $M$.

Following [Polo and Tilouine 2002], Ronchetti has recently established a spectral sequence akin to the one in Corollary A. 5 above, albeit in a more restricted setting, see [Ronchetti 2018, Theorem 3]. He considers $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U(\mathcal{O}) \rrbracket$ filtered by powers of the augmentation ideal and relates $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{gr}^{*} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U(\mathcal{O}) \rrbracket}\left(\mathrm{gr} \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \mathrm{gr} M\right)$ to $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p} \llbracket U(\mathcal{O}) \rrbracket}^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}, M\right)$ for finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-modules $M$ with a continuous $B(\mathcal{O})$-action.

An application. Let $A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ be a filtration-preserving map of augmented $k$-algebras, both assumed to be pseudocompact. As pointed out earlier this gives rise to a morphism of filtered complexes $R \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(k, M) \rightarrow R \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{\prime}}}(k, M)$, and a fortiori a map of spectral sequences - which we will assume converge.

Corollary A.7. Fix an $n$ and suppose the restriction map $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}^{n}(k, \operatorname{gr} M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A^{\prime}}}^{n}(k, \operatorname{gr} M)$ is zero. Then the map $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{\prime}}}^{n}(k, M)$ is zero on all graded pieces. That is, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ it maps

$$
\operatorname{Fil}^{i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fil}^{i+1} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{\prime}}}^{n}(k, M)
$$

Proof. The map between the first sheets $f_{1}^{i, j}: E_{1}^{i, j} \rightarrow E_{1}^{\prime i, j}$ comes from the restriction maps

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}^{i+j}(k, \operatorname{gr} M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{gr} A^{\prime}}}^{i+j}(k, \operatorname{gr} M)
$$

by taking the $i$-th graded piece. Analogously the map $f^{n}: \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{\prime}}}^{n}(k, M)$ is the obvious map obtained by taking the cohomology of the map of filtered complexes above $K \rightarrow K^{\prime}$. The map $f^{n}$ preserves filtrations and the induced map on graded pieces $(n=i+j)$

$$
E_{\infty}^{i, j}=\operatorname{gr}^{i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{i+j}(k, M) \xrightarrow{f^{i+j}} \operatorname{gr}^{i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{\prime}}}^{i+j}(k, M)=E_{\infty}^{\prime i, j}
$$

coincides with the map between the infinite sheets $f_{\infty}^{i, j}$ which is zero since $f_{1}^{i, j}=0$ by assumption.
Now suppose instead of a single $A^{\prime}$ we have a whole system of pseudocompact filtered $k$-algebras $A^{(m)}$ along with filtration-preserving maps of augmented $k$-algebras

$$
A=: A^{(0)} \leftarrow A^{(1)} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A^{(m)} \leftarrow \cdots .
$$

(Typically the $A^{(m)}$ will be subalgebras of $A$.) Suppose furthermore that all the graded restriction maps vanish for a given $n$. i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{gr} A}}^{n}(k, \operatorname{gr} M) \xrightarrow{0} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{gr} A}(1)}^{n}(k, \operatorname{gr} M) \xrightarrow{0} \cdots \xrightarrow{0} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{gr} A}(m)}^{n}(k, \operatorname{gr} M) \xrightarrow{0} \cdots . \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We arrive at one of the main results in this appendix, which we will strengthen in the next section.
Corollary A.9. Under the assumption (A.8) for a given $n$,

$$
\underset{m}{\lim } \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{(m)}}}^{n}(k, M)=0
$$

Proof. It suffices to show that any $c \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M)$ maps to 0 in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{(m)}}}^{n}(k, M)$ for $m$ large enough (how large may depend on $c$ ). Keep the notation $K={ }^{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(B, A, M)$. By definition of ${ }^{*}$ Hom the filtration on $K$ is exhaustive; $K=\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}^{s} K$. Also, by definition of the graded cohomology $H^{n}\left(\mathrm{Fil}^{i} K\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{i} H^{n}(K)$, so the filtration on $H^{n}(K)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M)$ is also exhaustive. Thus any $c$ lies in $\operatorname{Fil}^{i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M)$ for some $i$. By repeated use of Corollary A. 7 restriction to $A^{(m)}$ takes

$$
\operatorname{Fil}^{i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fil}^{i+m} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{(n)}}}^{n}(k, M)
$$

It suffices to observe the target is zero as long as $\mathrm{Fil}^{i+m} M=0$ (indeed $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mu} M=0$ implies $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mu} K=0$, and hence $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mu} H^{n}(K)=0$ ). As long as we take $m \geq \mu-i$ the class $c$ restricts to zero (note that $i$ may depend on $c$ ).

The next and last section of this appendix deals with the uniformity of $m$. Under favorable circumstances one can choose an $m$ which is independent of $c$ and thereby show that the restriction map $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{(m)}}}^{n}(k, M)$ vanishes; still assuming (A.8) of course. This is a key point on which our paper relies.

Minimal resolutions and Koszul algebras. We assume gr $A$ is Noetherian and $k \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr}^{0} A$. Then the trivial module $k$ admits a minimal projective resolution

$$
\cdots \rightarrow P_{2} \rightarrow P_{1} \rightarrow P_{0} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0
$$

Here each $P_{n} \simeq \operatorname{gr} A \otimes_{k} V_{n}$ for some graded finite-dimensional vector space $V_{n}$, say $\operatorname{dim}_{k} V_{n}=b_{n}$. Choosing a basis of homogeneous elements for $V_{n}$ we can identify $P_{n} \simeq(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{n}}$ in such a way that the standard basis vectors are homogeneous elements of various degrees. Then the minimal resolution becomes

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{T_{3}}(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{2}} \xrightarrow{T_{2}}(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{1}} \xrightarrow{T_{1}}(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{0}}=\operatorname{gr} A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} k \rightarrow 0,
$$

where the differentials are left-multiplication by certain matrices $T_{i} \in M_{b_{i-1} \times b_{i}}(\operatorname{gr} A)$. Minimality refers to the fact that the entries of all the $T_{i}$ in fact lie in the augmentation ideal $(\operatorname{gr} A)_{+}=\oplus_{i>0} \operatorname{gr}^{i} A$. In other words that $P_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathrm{gr} A} k$ has 0 -differentials so its terms give the cohomology. Similarly for $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr} A}\left(P_{\bullet}, k\right)$. Recall that $\operatorname{gr} A$ is said to be a Koszul algebra if the entries of the $T_{i}$ all lie in $\mathrm{gr}^{1} A$. A convenient reference for all this is [Krähmer 2011]. Note however that our bigrading Ext ${ }_{\mathcal{C}}{ }^{i}{ }_{\text {gr } A}$ differs from his internal grading; our Ext ${ }^{i, j}$ would be Ext ${ }^{i+j, i}$ in Krähmer's notation.

The minimal resolution is a resolution as graded modules, so all the $T_{i}$ preserve the grading. Let us focus on the first $T_{1}$ for a second; a row vector with $b_{1}$ entries in (gr $\left.A\right)_{+}$. Let $e \in(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{1}}$ be one of the standard basis vectors. It has some degree $|e|=d$. Since $T_{1}$ is of degree zero $\left|T_{1}(e)\right|=d$. However, $T_{1}(e)$ is one of the entries of $T_{1}$ which are in $(\operatorname{gr} A)_{+}$. We infer that $d>0$. In other words that $(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{1}}$ has a basis consisting of elements of degree $\geq 1$ (of degree equal to 1 if gr $A$ is Koszul). More generally we obtain the following.

Lemma A.10. $P_{n} \simeq(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{n}}$ has a gr A-basis consisting of homogeneous elements all of degree $\geq n$ (all of degree exactly $n$ if gr $A$ is Koszul).

Proof. Induction on $n$. We did the case $n=1$ above. Let $n>1$ and consider $T_{n}:(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{n}} \rightarrow(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{n-1}}$. Let $e \in(\operatorname{gr} A)^{b_{n}}$ be the $j$-th standard basis vector, of some degree $|e|=d$. Then $T_{n}(e)$ is the $j$-th column of the matrix $T_{n}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$. That is

$$
T_{n}(e)=a_{1 j} e_{1}+a_{2 j} e_{2}+\cdots+a_{b_{n-1}, j} e_{b_{n-1}}
$$

where all the $e_{i}$ 's have degree $\geq n-1$ (with equality in the Koszul case) by induction and $a_{i j} \in(\operatorname{gr} A)_{+}$. This shows $T_{n}(e)$ is a sum of terms of degree $\geq n$. Since we know it is homogeneous, $|e|=\left|T_{n}(e)\right| \geq n$. In the Koszul case all terms of $T_{n}(e)$ have degree exactly $n$ by induction since all $a_{i j} \in \operatorname{gr}^{1} A$.

Now let $M$ be a filtered $A$-module as above, with associated graded gr $A$-module gr $M$. Since $P$. and $\operatorname{gr} B . A$ are homotopy equivalent as graded resolutions of $k$, the grading of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C g r ~}_{A}}^{n}(k, \operatorname{gr} M)$ is also determined by that of $P$. Thus by its minimality we have

Let $\mu$ be the smallest integer for which $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mu} M=0$, and let $v$ be the largest integer for which $\mathrm{Fil}^{\nu} M=M$. In particular $\mathrm{gr}^{s} M=0$ when $s$ lies outside the interval $[\nu, \mu]$. We define the amplitude of $M$ as the length of the filtration, i.e., $\operatorname{amp}(M)=\mu-\nu$.

Theorem A.11. Suppose gr A is a Koszul algebra and the vanishing hypothesis (A.8) is satisfied for a given $n \geq 1$. Then the restriction map

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A^{(m)}}}^{n}(k, M)
$$

vanishes for $m>\operatorname{amp}(M)+n$.
Proof. First we observe that on the initial page of the spectral sequence

$$
E_{1}^{i, j}=0 \text { in the region } 2 i+j \geq \mu, \text { and in } 2 i+j<v \text { since } \operatorname{gr} A \text { is Koszul. }
$$

Indeed, by Lemma A. 10 we know $P_{i+j}$ is generated by elements $e$ of degree $\geq i+j$. Any degree $i$ map $\phi: P_{i+j} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} M$ takes $e$ to an element $\phi(e)$ of degree $\geq 2 i+j$. Assuming $2 i+j \geq \mu$ we must have $\phi=0$. When gr $A$ is Koszul $|\phi(e)|=2 i+j$ and therefore $\phi=0$ if $2 i+j<v$.

Consequently, on the infinite page $E_{\infty}^{i, j}=\operatorname{gr}^{i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{i+j}(k, M)$ also vanishes when $2 i+j \geq \mu$ or $2 i+j<v$. In other words, for a fixed $n$, the filtration $\operatorname{Fil}^{i} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M)$ becomes stationary and therefore zero for $i \geq \mu-n$, and it equals $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}^{n}(k, M)$ for $i<v-n$ since we know the filtration is exhaustive as observed earlier. This allows us to strengthen the conclusion of Corollary A. 9 when gr $A$ is Koszul. Its proof shows that the restriction map in question is zero as long as $m>\mu-v+n$.

The uniformity of how deep we have to go to get vanishing of the restriction map plays a critical role in this paper.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ollivier [2014, Theorem 1.1] has constructed analogous resolutions when $\pi$ is a principal series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Experts have informed us this restriction is likely to be unnecessary (with $K$ special and $B$ a minimal parabolic in general).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ In fact the first paragraph of [Polo and Tilouine 2002, Sectection 3.4] indicates there are counterexamples to [Grünenfelder 1979, Theorem 3.3'].

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ We apologize for the change of notation. Now $k$ is the coefficient field (formerly $E$ ); not the residue field of $F$.

