

ON THE BRANCHING THEOREM OF THE PAIR (F_4 , $Spin(9)$)

By

Katsuya MASHIMO

Introduction

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and K be a closed subgroup. A finite dimensional complex irreducible representation $V^G(\lambda)$ of G with highest weight λ is decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible representations $V^K(\mu)$ of K with highest weight μ

$$V^G(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu} m(\lambda, \mu) V^K(\mu).$$

Let $V = G \times_K V^K(\mu)$ be the irreducible complex homogeneous vector bundle on $M = G/K$. By a theorem of Peter and Weyl, the space of sections $\Gamma(V)$ of V is a unitary direct sum of finite dimensional representations of G . By the Frobenius reciprocity theorem, the multiplicity of a complex irreducible representation $V^G(\lambda)$ in $\Gamma(V)$ coincides with the coefficient $m(\lambda, \mu)$.

Branching theorem of the pair $(F_4, Spin(9))$ was studied first by Lepowsky ([1], [2]). His result is not sufficient to decompose the space of sections $\Gamma(V)$, for the main interest of Lepowsky's work is in those pairs (λ, μ) with $m(\lambda, \mu) = 1$ (see also [3]). In the previous paper [4], the author carried the Lepowsky's calculation forward for the purpose of giving the decomposition of the space of complex p -form on the Cayley projective plane $P^2(\mathbf{Ca})$. Actually we obtained the decomposition for $p \leq 5$ and applied them to calculate the spectra of Laplacian Δ^p acting on p -forms of $P^2(\mathbf{Ca})$.

In [5], F. Sato studied the stability of branching coefficient. Roughly speaking, the branching coefficient $m(\lambda, \mu)$ satisfies $m(\lambda, \mu) = m(\lambda + \lambda_0, \mu)$ if λ_0 is a spherical representation of (G, K) and λ is sufficiently large.

In this note we will prove the following

Received June 15, 2004.
Revised October 11, 2005.

THEOREM 1. Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i \lambda_i$ be a dominant integral weight of F_4 and $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i \varepsilon_i$ be a dominant integral weight of $Spin(9)$. If $a_1 - a_3 = u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + 2$ then we have $m(\lambda, \mu) = m(\lambda + \lambda_4, \mu)$.

THEOREM 2. Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i \lambda_i$ be a dominant integral weight of F_4 and $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i \varepsilon_i$ be a dominant integral weight of $Spin(9)$. If $a_2 + a_4 = u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + 3$ then the coefficient $m(\lambda, \mu)$ is equal to 0.

Using the above results we will give tables of branching coefficients and calculate the spectra of Laplacian Δ^p on the Cayley projective plane acting on p -forms ($p = 6, 7, 8$).

1. Root and Weight System of F_4 and $Spin(9)$

Let T be a maximal torus of $Spin(9)$. We denote by \mathfrak{f}_4 , $\mathfrak{so}(9)$ and \mathfrak{t} the Lie algebras of F_4 , $Spin(9)$ and T respectively. The complexification \mathfrak{t}^C of \mathfrak{t} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{f}_4^C and $\mathfrak{so}(9)^C$. Under a suitable choice of an orthonormal base $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4\}$ of \mathfrak{t}^C , the set $D(F_4)$ [resp. $D(Spin(9))$] of dominant weights of F_4 [resp. $Spin(9)$] with respect to the lexicographic order $>$ in \mathfrak{t}^C defined by

$$\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_3 > \varepsilon_4 > 0.$$

are

$$D(F_4) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \varepsilon_i \mid a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq a_4 \geq 0, a_1 \geq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \right\},$$

$$D(Spin(9)) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i \varepsilon_i \mid b_1 \geq b_2 \geq b_3 \geq |b_4|, b_1 \geq b_2 + b_3 + b_4 \right\}.$$

A weight $\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \varepsilon_i$ is an integral weight of $Spin(9)$ if and only if

$$x_1 - x_2, x_2 - x_3, x_3 - x_4, 2x_4 \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

The set of fundamental weights of F_4 is

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \quad \lambda_2 = 2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3, \quad \lambda_3 = (3\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)/2, \quad \lambda_4 = \varepsilon_1,$$

and the set of fundamental weights of $Spin(9)$ is

$$\mu_1 = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)/2, \quad \mu_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \quad \mu_3 = (3\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)/2, \quad \mu_4 = \varepsilon_1.$$

Half the sum of positive roots of F_4 is

$$\delta = (11/2)\varepsilon_1 + (5/2)\varepsilon_2 + (3/2)\varepsilon_3 + (1/2)\varepsilon_4.$$

2. Proof of Theorem

In our previous paper ([4]) we proved the following

THEOREM 3. *Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \varepsilon_i$ [resp. $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i \varepsilon_i$] be a dominant integral weight of F_4 [resp. $\text{Spin}(9)$]. The coefficient $m(\lambda, \mu)$ of $V^{\text{Spin}(9)}(\mu)$ in $V^{F_4}(\lambda)$ is given by*

$$(1) \quad m(\lambda, \mu) = N_{\lambda+\delta}(\mu + \delta) + N_{(\lambda+\delta)^*}(\mu + \delta) - N_{(\lambda+\delta)^{**}}(\mu + \delta)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda + \delta &= (a_1 + 11/2)\varepsilon_1 + (a_2 + 5/2)\varepsilon_2 + (a_3 + 3/2)\varepsilon_3 + (a_4 + 1/2)\varepsilon_4 \\ (\lambda + \delta)^* &= \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + 9}{2}\varepsilon_1 + \frac{a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + a_4 + 7}{2}\varepsilon_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1 - a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 5}{2}\varepsilon_3 + \frac{a_1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 + 1}{2}\varepsilon_4 \\ (\lambda + \delta)^{**} &= \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 10}{2}\varepsilon_1 + \frac{a_1 + a_2 - a_3 - a_4 + 6}{2}\varepsilon_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + 4}{2}\varepsilon_3 + \frac{a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 + 2}{2}\varepsilon_4. \end{aligned}$$

and $N_v(\mu + \delta)$, for a dominant integral weight $v = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \varepsilon_i$ of $\text{Spin}(9)$, is the number of integral quadruples

$$i = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \in ([1, x_1 - x_2] \times [1, x_2 - x_3] \times [1, x_3 - x_4] \times [1, 2x_4]) \cap \mathbf{Z}^4$$

satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + i_1 + i_2 - i_3 - i_4 - x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + 8 > 0$$

$$(2.2) \quad b_1 + b_2 - b_3 - b_4 + i_1 - i_2 + i_3 - i_4 - x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 + 6 > 0$$

$$(2.3) \quad b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - b_4 + i_1 - i_2 - i_3 + i_4 - x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 + 4 > 0$$

$$(2.4) \quad -b_1 - b_2 - b_3 - b_4 - i_1 - i_2 - i_3 - i_4 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 - 6 \geq 0$$

$$(2.5) \quad -b_1 - b_2 + b_3 + b_4 - i_1 - i_2 + i_3 + i_4 + x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4 - 6 \geq 0$$

$$(2.6) \quad -b_1 + b_2 - b_3 + b_4 - i_1 + i_2 - i_3 + i_4 + x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - 4 \geq 0$$

$$(2.7) \quad -b_1 + b_2 + b_3 - b_4 - i_1 + i_2 + i_3 - i_4 + x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + x_4 - 2 \geq 0$$

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{l=1}^4 x_l + \sum_{l=1}^4 b_l + \sum_{l=1}^4 i_l \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

For an integral weights $v = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \varepsilon_i$, put

$$I_v = ([1, x_1 - x_2] \times [1, x_2 - x_3] \times [1, x_3 - x_4] \times [1, 2x_4]) \cap \mathbf{Z}^4$$

and denote by $P_v(\mu + \delta)$ the set of quadruples $i \in I_v$ satisfying (2.1)–(2.8).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. If we put $v = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \varepsilon_i$, $x_1 - x_2, \dots, 2x_4$ are as in the following table

v	$x_1 - x_2$	$x_2 - x_3$	$x_3 - x_4$	$2x_4$
$\lambda + \delta$	$a_1 - a_2 + 3$	$a_2 - a_3 + 1$	$a_3 - a_4 + 1$	$2a_4 + 1$
$(\lambda + \delta)^*$	$a_3 - a_4 + 1$	$a_2 - a_3 + 1$	$a_3 + a_4 + 2$	$a_1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 + 1$
$(\lambda + \delta)^{**}$	$a_3 + a_4 + 2$	$a_2 - a_3 + 1$	$a_3 - a_4 + 1$	$a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 + 2$

We put $v = \lambda + \delta = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \varepsilon_i$ and $\bar{v} = \lambda + \lambda_4 + \delta = \sum_{i=1}^4 \bar{x}_i \varepsilon_i$. An integral quadruple $(1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \in I_{\bar{v}}$ does not satisfy (2.1). It is easily verified that the mapping

$$I_v \rightarrow I_{\bar{v}}; \quad (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \mapsto (i_1 + 1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$$

induces a bijection $P_v(\mu + \delta) \rightarrow P_{\bar{v}}(\mu + \delta)$. Namely we have $N_v(\mu + \delta) = N_{\bar{v}}(\mu + \delta)$.

We put $v = (\lambda + \delta)^* = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \varepsilon_i$ and $\bar{v} = (\lambda + \lambda_4 + \delta)^* = \sum_{i=1}^4 \bar{x}_i \varepsilon_i$. In this case, we have $I_{\bar{v}} \supset I_v$. Any integral quadruple $i \in I_{\bar{v}} \setminus I_v$, which is of the form $i = (i_1, i_2, i_3, 2x_4 + 1)$, does not satisfy (2.1). It is easily verified that the mapping

$$I_v \rightarrow I_{\bar{v}}; \quad (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \mapsto (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$$

induces a bijection $Q_v(\mu + \delta) \rightarrow Q_{\bar{v}}(\mu + \delta)$. Namely we have $N_v(\mu + \delta) = N_{\bar{v}}(\mu + \delta)$.

Similary we have $N_{(\lambda+\delta)^{**}}(\mu + \delta) = N_{(\lambda+\lambda_4+\delta)^{**}}(\mu + \delta)$. q.e.d

LEMMA 4. Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i \lambda_i \in D(F_4)$ and $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i \varepsilon_i \in D(Spin(9))$.

(1) If $a_2 - a_4 \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + 4$ then $N_{\lambda+\delta}(\mu + \delta) = N_{(\lambda+\delta)^{**}}(\mu + \delta) = 0$.

(2) If $a_2 + a_4 \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + 3$ then $N_{(\lambda+\delta)^*}(\mu + \delta) = 0$.

PROOF. Let $i = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ be an element of I_v . If we assume that $x_2 - x_4 \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + 6$, then (2.1) does not hold.

If $v = \lambda + \delta$ or $v = (\lambda + \delta)^{**}$ then $x_2 - x_4 \geq a_2 - a_4 + 2$ and if $v = (\lambda + \delta)^*$ then $x_2 - x_4 = a_3 + a_4 + 3$. Thus we obtain the Lemma. q.e.d

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. From Lemma 4 we have $N_{(\lambda+\delta)^*}(\mu+\delta) = 0$. We put $v = \lambda + \delta$ and $\bar{v} = (\lambda + \delta)^{**}$.

Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \varepsilon_i = \lambda + \delta$ and let $i = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ be an integral quadruple contained in I_v . If we assume that $i_1 \leq a_1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 + 1$, then (2.1) does not hold. Thus $P_v(\mu + \delta)$ is the set of integral quadruples $i = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{l=1}^4 (a_l + b_l + i_l) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ a_1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 + 2 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - a_2 + 3, \\ 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - a_3 + 1, \quad 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - a_4 + 1, \quad 1 \leq i_4 \leq 2a_4 + 1, \\ -a_1 - a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + i_1 + i_2 - i_3 - i_4 + 2 > 0, \\ -a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + a_4 + b_1 + b_2 - b_3 - b_4 + i_1 - i_2 + i_3 - i_4 + 2 > 0, \\ -a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - b_4 + i_1 - i_2 - i_3 + i_4 + 2 > 0, \\ a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 - b_1 - b_2 - b_3 - b_4 - i_1 - i_2 - i_3 - i_4 + 4 \geq 0, \\ a_1 + a_2 - a_3 - a_4 - b_1 - b_2 + b_3 + b_4 - i_1 - i_2 + i_3 + i_4 \geq 0, \\ a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 - b_1 + b_2 - b_3 + b_4 - i_1 + i_2 - i_3 + i_4 \geq 0, \\ a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 - b_1 + b_2 + b_3 - b_4 - i_1 + i_2 + i_3 - i_4 \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Let $\bar{v} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \bar{x}_i \varepsilon_i = \lambda + \delta$ and let $i = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ be an integral quadruple contained in $I_{\bar{v}}$. If we assume that $i_4 \geq 2a_4 + 1$, then (2.1) does not holds. Thus $P_{\bar{v}}(\mu + \delta)$ is the set of integral quadruples $i = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{l=1}^4 (a_l + b_l + i_l) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_3 + a_4 + 2, \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - a_3 + 1, \\ 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - a_4 + 1, \quad 1 \leq i_4 \leq 2a_4 + 1, \\ -2a_2 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + i_1 + i_2 - i_3 - i_4 + 3 > 0, \\ -2a_3 + b_1 + b_2 - b_3 - b_4 + i_1 - i_2 + i_3 - i_4 + 3 > 0, \\ -2a_4 + b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - b_4 + i_1 - i_2 - i_3 + i_4 + 3 > 0, \\ 2a_1 - b_1 - b_2 - b_3 - b_4 - i_1 - i_2 - i_3 - i_4 + 5 \geq 0, \\ 2a_2 - b_1 - b_2 + b_3 + b_4 - i_1 - i_2 + i_3 + i_4 - 1 \geq 0, \\ 2a_3 - b_1 + b_2 - b_3 + b_4 - i_1 + i_2 - i_3 + i_4 - 1 \geq 0, \\ 2a_4 - b_1 + b_2 + b_3 - b_4 - i_1 + i_2 + i_3 - i_4 - 1 \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

It is easily verified that the mapping

$$\mathbf{Z}^4 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^4; \quad (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \mapsto (i_1 + a_1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 + 1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$$

induces a bijection $P_{\bar{v}}(\mu + \delta) \rightarrow P_v(\mu + \delta)$. Thus we have $N_{\bar{v}}(\mu + \delta) = N_v(\mu + \delta)$ and the Theorem was obtained. q.e.d

3. Tables of Branching Coefficient

As an application of our theorems 1 and 2, we give tables of branching coefficients $m(\lambda, \mu)$ for dominant integral weight μ of $Spin(9)$ which appears as a summand of the p -th exterior power $\bigwedge^p(T_o^*(P^2(\mathbf{Ca})))^{\mathbf{C}}$ of the complexified cotangnet space of $P^2(\mathbf{Ca})$ (cf. [4, p. 373], for the irreducible decomposition of $\bigwedge^p(T_o^*(P^2(\mathbf{Ca})))^{\mathbf{C}}$).

In following tables, highest weights λ of F_4 are expressed by its coefficents with respect to the fundamental weights $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$.

Table 1: $\mu = 0$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 4: $\mu = \mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 2: $\mu = \mu_1$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 5: $\mu = 2\mu_1$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 3: $\mu = \mu_2$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 6: $\mu = \mu_3$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 7: $\mu = 3\mu_1$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 10: $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 8: $\mu = 4\mu_1$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 4$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 4, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 11: $\mu = 2\mu_2$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 2, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 9: $\mu = 2\mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 12: $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 13: $\mu = \mu_2 + \mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 14: $\mu = 2\mu_1 + \mu_2$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 15: $\mu = 3\mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(3, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 16: $\mu = 2\mu_1 + \mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 18: $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_3$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 17: $\mu = \mu_3 + \mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 19: $\mu = \mu_1 + 2\mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 20: $\mu = \mu_2 + 2\mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(3, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 21: $\mu = 2\mu_3$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 2, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 23: $\mu = 2\mu_1 + \mu_3$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 22: $\mu = \mu_2 + \mu_3$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 2, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 24: $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	3 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	3 ($n \geq 1$)
	2 ($n = 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 2, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 25: $\mu = \mu_1 + 2\mu_2$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 2, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 2, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 26: $\mu = 3\mu_1 + \mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 4$)
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 3$)
	1 ($n = 2$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 1, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 4, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 27: $\mu = 2\mu_1 + 2\mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(0, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 4$)
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 3$)
	1 ($n = 2$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	3 ($n \geq 2$)
	2 ($n = 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 1$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 2, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(1, 1, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 4, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 28: $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4$

λ	$m(\lambda, \mu)$
$(1, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 3$)
$(0, 0, 1, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(0, 1, 0, n)$	2 ($n \geq 2$)
	1 ($n = 1$)
$(2, 0, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 2$)
$(1, 0, 1, n)$	3 ($n \geq 2$)
	2 ($n = 1$)
$(0, 0, 2, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(1, 1, 0, n)$	3 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 1, 1, n)$	3 ($n \geq 1$)
	2 ($n = 0$)
$(2, 0, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 1$)
	1 ($n = 0$)
$(1, 0, 2, n)$	3 ($n \geq 1$)
	2 ($n = 0$)
$(0, 2, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 1, 0, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 1, 1, n)$	2 ($n \geq 0$)
$(0, 1, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(2, 0, 2, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
$(1, 0, 3, n)$	1 ($n \geq 0$)
otherwise	0

Table 29: Spectra of Laplacian Δ^6 on $P^2(\mathbf{Ca})$

eigenvalue	multiplicity of $V^{F_4}(\lambda + n\lambda_4)$					
	n					λ
	$n = 0$	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$	$n \geq 4$	
$n^2 + 11n$	0	0	0	1	←	0
$n^2 + 14n + 24$	2	5	9	←	←	λ_3
$n^2 + 17n + 54$	3	7	←	←	←	$2\lambda_3$
$n^2 + 20n + 90$	4	←	←	←	←	$3\lambda_3$
$n^2 + 15n + 36$	3	8	11	←	←	λ_2
$n^2 + 18n + 68$	5	8	←	←	←	$\lambda_2 + \lambda_3$
$n^2 + 21n + 106$	3	←	←	←	←	$\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3$
$n^2 + 13n + 18$	1	3	7	8	←	λ_1
$n^2 + 16n + 46$	4	10	11	←	←	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_3$
$n^2 + 19n + 80$	6	7	←	←	←	$\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_3$
$n^2 + 22n + 120$	1	←	←	←	←	$\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_3$
$n^2 + 17n + 60$	3	5	←	←	←	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$
$n^2 + 20n + 96$	2	←	←	←	←	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$
$n^2 + 15n + 40$	0	2	←	←	←	$2\lambda_1$
$n^2 + 18n + 72$	3	←	←	←	←	$2\lambda_1 + \lambda_3$
$n^2 + 19n + 88$	1	←	←	←	←	$2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$
$n^2 + 17n + 66$	1	←	←	←	←	$3\lambda_1$

Table 30: Spectra of Laplacian Δ^7 on $P^2(\mathbf{Ca})$

eigenvalue	multiplicity of $V^{F_4}(\lambda + n\lambda_4)$					
	n					λ
	$n = 0$	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$	
$n^2 + 11n$	0	1	2	←	←	←
$n^2 + 14n + 24$	2	5	←	←	←	λ_3
$n^2 + 17n + 54$	3	←	←	←	←	$2\lambda_3$
$n^2 + 15n + 36$	2	4	←	←	←	λ_2
$n^2 + 18n + 68$	2	←	←	←	←	$\lambda_2 + \lambda_3$
$n^2 + 13n + 18$	1	3	4	←	←	λ_1
$n^2 + 16n + 46$	4	5	←	←	←	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_3$
$n^2 + 19n + 80$	1	←	←	←	←	$\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_3$
$n^2 + 17n + 60$	2	←	←	←	←	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$
$n^2 + 15n + 40$	1	2	←	←	←	$2\lambda_1$
$n^2 + 18n + 72$	1	←	←	←	←	$2\lambda_1 + \lambda_3$

Table 31: Spectra of Laplacian Δ^8 on $P^2(\mathbf{Ca})$

eigenvalue	multiplicity of $V^{F_4}(\lambda + n\lambda_4)$						λ	
	n							
	$n = 0$	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$	$n \geq 5$		
$n^2 + 11n$	1	2	4	6	8	\leftarrow	0	
$n^2 + 14n + 24$	2	6	10	12	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	λ_3	
$n^2 + 17n + 54$	8	12	14	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$2\lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 20n + 90$	4	6	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$3\lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 23n + 132$	2	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$4\lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 15n + 36$	2	6	8	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	λ_2	
$n^2 + 18n + 68$	8	10	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$\lambda_2 + \lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 21n + 106$	2	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 19n + 84$	4	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$2\lambda_2$	
$n^2 + 13n + 18$	0	2	4	6	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	λ_1	
$n^2 + 16n + 46$	6	12	14	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 19n + 80$	6	8	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 22n + 120$	2	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 17n + 60$	4	8	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$	
$n^2 + 20n + 96$	4	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 15n + 40$	2	4	6	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$2\lambda_1$	
$n^2 + 18n + 72$	2	4	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$2\lambda_1 + \lambda_3$	
$n^2 + 21n + 110$	2	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	\leftarrow	$2\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_3$	

4. The Spectra of Laplacian

In the previous paper ([4]), we calculated the spectra of Laplacian acting on p -forms on the Cayley projective plane for $p \leq 5$. The set of eigenvalues of Δ^p is given as follows

$$\left\{ \langle \lambda + 2\delta, \lambda \rangle \mid \lambda \in D(G), \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{Spin(9)} \left(\bigwedge^p T_o(\mathbf{Ca}P^2)^{\mathbb{C}}, V^{F_4}(\lambda) \right) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

We can find all complex irreducible representation $V^{Spin(9)}(\mu)$ with

$$\text{Hom}_{Spin(9)} \left(\bigwedge^p T_o(\mathbf{Ca}P^2)^{\mathbb{C}}, V^{F_4}(\lambda) \right) \neq 0$$

by using Table 1–28. In this section we calculate the eigenvalue of the Laplacian Δ^p for $p = 6, 7, 8$.

In the table below, we mean by leftarrow (\leftarrow) that the multiplicity coincides with that given in the left entry.

References

- [1] J. Lepowsky, Representations of semisimple Lie groups and an enveloping algebra decomposition, Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1970).

- [2] ———, Multiplicity formulas for certain semisimple Lie groups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **77** (1971), 601–605.
- [3] ———, Minimal K -types for certain representations of real semisimple groups, *Journal of Algebra*, **51** (1978), 173–210.
- [4] K. Mashimo, Spectra of the Laplacian on the cayley projective plane, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **21** (1997), 367–396.
- [5] F. Satoh, On the stability of branching coefficients of rational representations of reductive groups, *Com. Mat. Univ. Sancti Pauli*, **42** (1993), 189–207.

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology
Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588, Japan
E-mail: mashimo@cc.tuat.ac.jp