## ON COVERINGS OF MODULES

By

Mark L. Teply and Seog Hoon RIM

**Abstract.** Let R be a ring, and let  $\tau$  be a torsion theory for R-mod. We give a necessary condition for every R-module to have a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover; this necessary condition is close to the known sufficient condition. Then we present a method for computing  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers of modules that can be embedded in  $Q_{\tau}$ -modules, where  $Q_{\tau}$  is the quotient ring for  $\tau$ .

In this paper, we let R be a ring, and we let  $\tau$  be an hereditary torsion theory of left R-modules with torsion class  $\mathcal{F}$ , torsionfree class  $\mathcal{F}$ , filter of left ideals  $\mathcal{L}$ , and quotient ring  $Q_{\tau}$ . For a module M, we let  $\tau(M)$  denote the largest submodule of M that is in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $Q_{\tau}(M)$  be the localization of M. For the basic definitions and results on torsion theories, the reader may consult [7].

After the characterization of projective covers by Bass [2], Enochs [4] found the existence of torsionfree covers of modules for the usual torsion theory over an integral domain. A concrete method for constructing these covers was obtained by Banaschewski [1]. The concept of a torsionfree cover was extended to modules over associative rings by Teply [13]: given an hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$  and a module M, an epimorphism  $\theta: F \to M$  is called a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover if

- (1) F is  $\tau$ -torsionfree,
- (2) for any homomorphism  $h: F' \to M$  with F'  $\tau$ -torsionfree, there is a homomorphism  $g: F' \to F$  such that  $h = \theta g$ , and
- (3) ker  $\theta$  contains no nonzero  $\tau$ -pure submodule of F. General results about the existence and uniqueness of  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers was obtained in [13], [8] and [14];  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers exist when  $\tau$  has finite type (i.e., when the filter  $\mathscr L$  for  $\tau$  has a cofinal subset of finitely generated left ideals.) The extension of Banaschewski's construction only works when  $\tau$  is a perfect torsion theory. Since the existence proof calls for forming an infinite direct sum of  $\tau$ -

injective modules and factoring out by a module obtained from Zorn's Lemma, no general method for realistic computation of  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers is known. Several researchers have studied this problem and found particular cases (mostly when R is commutative) in which constructions can be given for the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover; for example, see [3], [10], [11], and [12]. Important problems in this area are

- (1) to give a precise characterization of the torsion theories  $\tau$  for which every module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, and
- (2) to find a construction for the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover when  $\tau$  is not perfect. If every module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, it is trivial to show that R must be  $\tau$ -torsionfree. But no other necessary conditions for every module to have a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover have been published. In this paper, we present a necessary condition for every module to have a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover; this necessary condition is close to the sufficient condition given in [14]. Then we present a method for computing the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of a module N that embeds in a  $Q_{\tau}$ -module M, where M has a  $Q_{\tau}$ -projective cover.

We need one definition before we present our necessary condition in Theorem 1.

A  $\tau$ -torsionfree module M is called  $\tau$ -exact if every  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic image of M is  $\tau$ -injective.

- REMARKS. (1) The localization functor  $Q_{\tau}(_{-})$  for  $\tau$  is an exact functor if and only if every  $\tau$ -torsionfree  $\tau$ -injective module is  $\tau$ -exact. This observation is immediate from [7, Proposition 44.1, (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)].
- (2) Any  $\tau$ -injective  $\tau$ -cocritical module is  $\tau$ -exact, as the only  $\tau$ -torsionfree homomorphic images of such a module M are 0 and M.
- (3) If E is  $\tau$ -exact and E' is a  $\tau$ -pure submodule of E, then E' and E/E' are  $\tau$ -exact.

PROOF. It is clear from the definition that E/E' is  $\tau$ -exact; so we show that E' is  $\tau$ -exact. Let K be  $\tau$ -pure in E'; we need to show that E'/K is  $\tau$ -injective. Since E'/K and E/E' are  $\tau$ -torsionfree, so is E/K; the  $\tau$ -exactness of E implies that E/K is  $\tau$ -injective. Since E'/K is  $\tau$ -pure in E/K, then E'/K is  $\tau$ -injective by [7, Proposition 8.4].

(4) If  $0 \to E' \to E \to E'' \to 0$  is an exact sequence and if E' and E'' are  $\tau$ -exact, then E is also  $\tau$ -exact.

PROOF. Since E' and E'' are  $\tau$ -exact, it follows from [7, Prop. 8.2] that E is  $\tau$ -injective. We let N be a  $\tau$ -pure submodule of E and show that E/N is  $\tau$ -

injective. Since

$$E'/(E'\cap N) \cong (E'+N)/N \subseteq E/N \in \mathscr{F},$$

then (E'+N)/N is  $\tau$ -injective by the  $\tau$ -exactness of E'. Thus

$$(E/N)/((E'+N)/N) \cong E/(E'+N) \in \mathscr{F}.$$

From the  $\tau$ -exactness of  $E''\cong E/E'$  and the induced epimorphism  $E/E'\to E/(E'+N)$ , it now follows that E/(E'+N) is  $\tau$ -injective. Now the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (E'+N)/N \rightarrow E/N \rightarrow E/(E'+N) \rightarrow 0$$

and [7, Prop. 8.2] imply that E/N is  $\tau$ -injective, as desired.

THEOREM 1. If every R-module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, then any directed union of  $\tau$ -exact submodules of a module is  $\tau$ -injective.

PROOF. Let M be the directed union of  $\tau$ -exact submodules  $M_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \in A$ ) of a given module. Let  $\theta: F \to E_{\tau}(M)/M$  be a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover. For each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $\rho_{\alpha}: E_{\tau}(M)/M_{\alpha} \to E_{\tau}(M)/M$  be the natural epimorphism. By the directedness of the  $M_{\alpha}$ 's, M is  $\tau$ -torsionfree, and hence each  $E_{\tau}(M)/M_{\alpha}$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree. Consequently, there exist homomorphisms  $g_{\alpha}: E_{\tau}(M)/M_{\alpha} \to F$  such that  $\theta g_{\alpha} = \rho_{\alpha}$ . If  $\ker g_{\beta} \neq M/M_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta \in A$ , choose an  $M_{\gamma}$  such that  $(M_{\gamma} + M_{\beta})/M_{\beta}$  is not contained in  $\ker g_{\beta}$ . Then  $g_{\beta}((M_{\gamma} + M_{\beta})/M_{\beta})$  is a  $\tau$ -injective submodule of  $\ker \theta$ , which contradicts the definition of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover. Therefore, we must have  $\ker g_{\alpha} = M/M_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ , and hence  $E_{\tau}(M)/M \cong img_{\alpha}$  is  $\tau$ -torsionfree, which forces M to be  $\tau$ -injective.

REMARK. The known sufficient condition for every R-module to have a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover is equivalent to the condition, the directed union of  $\tau$ -torsionfree  $\tau$ -injective submodules of a given module is  $\tau$ -injective. (See [14, Theorem] and [7, Proposition 42.9].) This latter condition is close to the necessary condition obtained in Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 2. (T. Cheatham, personal letter). If every module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, then any direct sum of  $\tau$ -cocritical  $\tau$ -injective modules is  $\tau$ -injective.

Now we turn our attention toward computing  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers of

modules. We recall that the ring homomorphism  $R \to Q_{\tau}$  is a flat epimorphism if  $Q_{\tau} \otimes_R Q_{\tau} \cong Q_{\tau}$  and  $Q_{\tau}$  is flat as a right R-module.

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $i: R \to Q_{\tau}$  be a flat epimorphism of rings. If  $\theta: F \to M$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of a  $Q_{\tau}$ -module M, then F is a  $Q_{\tau}$ -module.

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$egin{array}{cccc} F & \stackrel{i\otimes 1}{\longrightarrow} & Q_{ au} \otimes_R F \ & & & & \downarrow^{1\otimes heta} \ M & \stackrel{\mu}{\longleftarrow} & Q_{ au} \otimes_R M \end{array}$$

where  $\mu$  is the multiplication map. Since  $R \to Q_{\tau}$  is a flat epimorphism,  $\mu$  is an isomorphism, and hence  $Q_{\tau} \otimes_R F \in \mathscr{F}$ . Since  $\theta : F \to M$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, there exists  $g : Q_{\tau} \otimes_R F \to F$  such that  $\theta_g = \mu(1 \otimes \theta)$ . Therefore,  $\theta g(i \otimes 1) = \theta$ . By the uniqueness of  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers,  $g(i \otimes 1)$  must be an automorphism  $\alpha$  of F. Hence  $Q_{\tau} \otimes_R F = (i \otimes 1)F \oplus \ker \alpha^{-1}g$ . Since  $R \to Q_{\tau}$  is a flat epimorphism, the canonical map  $Q_{\tau} \otimes_R F \to Q_{\tau}(F)$  is a monomorphism, and hence F is essential in  $Q_{\tau} \otimes F$ . It follows  $\ker \alpha^{-1}g = 0$ . Therefore,  $i \otimes 1 : F \to Q_{\tau} \otimes F$  is an isomorphism, so that F is a  $Q_{\tau}$ -module via  $qf = q \otimes f$ .

Next we make an elementary observation that is useful in computing some  $\tau$ -torsionfree covers of  $Q_{\tau}$ -modules.

PROPOSITION 4. Let M be a  $Q_{\tau}$ -module. If  $\Phi: P \to M$  is a  $Q_{\tau}$ -projective cover of M and if  $\theta: F \to M$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of M, then there is a R-homomorphism  $g: P \to F$  such that  $\theta g = \Phi$  and  $F = img + \ker \theta$ .

PROOF. Since P is  $\tau$ -torsionfree, the definition of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover gives the existence of  $g: P \to F$  with the desired properties.

REMARKS. (1) If g is an epimorphism, then  $F \cong P/\ker g$  and the homomorphism  $\bar{\Phi}: P/\ker g \to M$  induced by  $\Phi$  is a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of M.

(2) If  $R \to Q_{\tau}$  is a flat epimorphism, then Propositions 3 and 4 show that F is a  $Q_{\tau}$ -module and the homomorphism  $g: P \to img$  is a  $Q_{\tau}$ -projective cover.

We can now give our method for computing the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of a R-submodule N of a  $Q_{\tau}$ -module M such that M has a  $Q_{\tau}$ -projective cover. For example, we can apply our method when  $\tau$  has finite type (so that every R-module has a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover) and  $Q_{\tau}$  is a left perfect ring (so that every  $Q_{\tau}$ -

module has a projective cover). We also note that if  $\tau$  is not a perfect torsion theory, then there are nonzero  $\tau$ -torsion modules that are R-submodules of  $Q_{\tau}$ -modules.

The method for computing the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of a given R-module N consists of the following steps:

- (1) Embed N into a  $Q_{\tau}$ -module M.
- (2) Find the  $Q_{\tau}$ -projective cover  $\Phi: P \to M$  of M.
- (3) By Proposition 4, there is a homomorphism  $g: P \to F$  with  $\theta g = \Phi$ , where  $\theta: F \to M$  is the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover. Using the properties of a  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover, compute  $\ker g$ . Since  $\operatorname{im} g \cong P/\ker g$  and  $F = \operatorname{im} g + \ker \theta$ , then F must be very close to  $P/\ker g$ .
- (4) Using the structure of P, M and img, we determine F; the map  $\bar{\Phi}: P/\ker g \to M$  induced by  $\Phi$  can be used to find the map  $\theta: F \to M$  for the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover of M.
- (5) Then the  $\tau$ -torsionfree cover for N will be either the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $\theta^{-1}(N)$ ,

$$\theta: \theta^{-1}(N) \to N$$
,

or else an induced map of some easily found factor of  $\theta^{-1}(N)$ ,

$$\bar{\theta}: \theta^{-1}(N)/K \to N.$$

## Acknowledgement

The author, Seog Hoon Rim, wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the Korean Research Foundation in 1997 for this project.

## References

- [1] Banaschewski, B., On Coverings of Modules, Math. Nachr. 31 (1966) 51-71.
- [2] Bass, H., Finitistic Dimension and a Homological Generalization of Semi-primary Rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960) 466-488.
- [3] Cheatham, T., The Quotient Field as a Torsion-free Covering Module, Israel J. Math. 33 (1979) 172-176.
- [4] Enochs, E., Torsionfree Covering Modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963) 884-889.
- [5] Enochs, E., Torsionfree Covering Modules. II, Arch. Math. (Basel) 22 (1971) 37-52.
- [6] Enochs, E., Injective and Flat Covers, Envelopes, and Resolvents, Israel J. Math. 39 (1981) 189-209.
- [7] Golan, J. S., Torsion Theories, Pitman Monographs 29, Longman Scientific and Technical/John Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [8] Golan, J. and Teply, M. L., Torsion-free Covers, Israel J. Math. 15 (1973) 237-256.
- [9] Garcia Rozas, J. R. and Torrecillas, B., Relative Injective Covers, Comm. Alg. 22 (1994) 2925–2940.

- [10] Hutchinson, J. and Teply, M. L., A Module as a Torsionfree Cover, Israel J. Math. 46 (1983) 305-312.
- [11] Matlis, E., The Ring as a Torsion-free Gover, Israel J. Math. 37 (1980) 211-230.
- [12] Matlis, E., Ideals of Injective Dimension One, Michigan Math. J. 29 (1982) 335-355.
- [13] Teply, M., Torsion-free Injective Modules, Pacific J. Math. 28 (1969) 441-453.
- [14] Teply, M. L., Torsion-free Covers II, Israel J. Math. 23 (1976) 132-136.
- [15] Xu, J., Flat Covers of Modules, Notes in Math. 1634 Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Milwaukee, WI 53201-0413 USA and Kyungpook National University Taegu, 702-701, Korea