

ON THE NULLITIES OF KÄHLER C-SPACES IN $P_N(\mathbf{C})$

By
Yoshio KIMURA

Let M be a Kähler C-space which is holomorphically and isometrically imbedded in an N -dimensional complex projective space $P_N(\mathbf{C})$. Then M is a minimal submanifold of $P_N(\mathbf{C})$. Let $n_a(M)$ be the analytic nullity of M which was defined in [2]. We know that the nullity $n(M)$ of M is equal to $n_a(M)$ if M is a Hermitian symmetric space (Kimura [2]). In this note we prove that $n(M)=n_a(M)$ for any Kähler C-space M .

By a theorem of Simons [5], the nullity of a Kähler submanifold coincides with the real dimension of the space of holomorphic sections of a normal bundle of the submanifold. Put $M=G/U$ where G is a complex semi-simple Lie group and U is a parabolic subgroup of G . By a result of Nakagawa and Takagi [4], we know that every imbedding of M in $P_N(\mathbf{C})$ is induced by a holomorphic linear representation of G . From this result we see that the normal bundle $N(M)$ over M is a homogeneous vector bundle.

We prove Theorem 1 which generalizes the generalized Borel-Weil theorem of Bott [1]. Applying the theorem to calculate the dimension of the space of holomorphic sections of $N(M)$ and prove that $n(M)=n_a(M)$.

The author proved the above result before Professor Takeuchi gave another proof of it. His proof does not use Theorem 1 and is more simple than our proof (c.f. Takeuchi [6]).

§ 1. The generalization of Bott's result.

Let G be a simply connected compact semi-simple Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Take a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . Denote by Δ the root system of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . We fix a linear order on the real vector space spanned by the elements $\alpha \in \Delta$. Let Δ^+ (resp. Δ^-) be the set of all positive (resp. negative) roots. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ be the fundamental root system, where l is the rank of \mathfrak{g} and Π_1 be a subsystem of Π . We put

$$\Delta_1 = \{ \alpha \in \Delta; \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i \alpha_i, m_j = 0 \text{ for any } \alpha_j \notin \Pi_1 \}$$

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{n}^+) = \{ \beta \in \Delta; \beta = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i \alpha_i, m_j > 0 \text{ for some } \alpha_j \notin \Pi_1 \}$$

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{u}) = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{n}^+).$$

Define Lie subalgebras \mathfrak{g}_1 , \mathfrak{n}^+ and \mathfrak{u} of \mathfrak{g} by

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n}^+)} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$$

$$\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u})} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

where \mathfrak{g}_α is the root space corresponding to $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then \mathfrak{g}_1 (resp. \mathfrak{n}^+) is a reductive (resp. nilpotent) subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{n}^+$ (semi-direct). Let U be the connected Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{u} . Then U is a parabolic Lie subgroup of G , and $M = G/U$ is a Kähler C-space.

We denote by D (resp. D_1) the set of dominant integral forms of \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{g}_1). Let $\xi \in D_1$. Then there exists the irreducible representation $(\rho^1_{-\xi}, W_{-\xi})$ of \mathfrak{g}_1 with the lowest weight $-\xi$. We extend it to a representation of \mathfrak{u} so that its restriction to \mathfrak{n}^+ is trivial, which will be denoted by $(\rho_{-\xi}, W_{-\xi})$. There exists a representation of U which induces the representation $(\rho_{-\xi}, W_{-\xi})$ and we denote it by $(\tilde{\rho}_{-\xi}, W_{-\xi})$. Let (ν, V) be a holomorphic representation of G . We denote by $((\nu|_U) \otimes \tilde{\rho}_{-\xi}, V \otimes W_{-\xi})$ the tensor product of the representations $(\nu|_U, V)$ and $(\tilde{\rho}_{-\xi}, W_{-\xi})$ of U . We also denote by E_S the holomorphic vector bundle over M associated to the principal bundle $G \rightarrow M$ by a representation of U on S . For a holomorphic vector bundle E over M , we denote by ΩE the sheaf of germs of local holomorphic sections of E . We shall consider the cohomology groups $H^j(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}})$.

Let W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} and Δ_1^+ the set of all positive roots of Δ_1 . We define a subset W^1 of W by

$$W^1 = \{ \sigma \in W; \sigma^{-1}(\Delta_1^+) \subset \Delta^+ \}.$$

Let δ be the half of sum of all positive roots of \mathfrak{g} .

THEOREM 1. *Let $\xi \in D_1$ and (ν, V) be a holomorphic representation of G . If $\xi + \delta$ is not regular, then*

$$H^j(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}}) = (0) \text{ for all } j = 0, 1, \dots.$$

If $\xi + \delta$ is regular, $\xi + \delta$ is expressed uniquely as $\xi + \delta = \sigma(\lambda + \delta)$, where $\lambda \in D$ and $\sigma \in W^1$, and

$$H^j(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}}) = (0) \text{ for all } j \neq n(\sigma),$$

$$H^{n(\sigma)}(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}}) = V \otimes V_{-\lambda} \quad (\text{as } G\text{-module}),$$

where $n(\sigma)$ is the index of σ and $(\nu_{-\lambda}, V_{-\lambda})$ is the irreducible G -module with the lowest weight $-\lambda$.

If (ν, V) is the trivial representation of G , the theorem coincides with the generalized Borel-Weil theorem of Bott [1].

We prepare some lemmas to prove this theorem. Let (f, S) be a representation of \mathfrak{u} and let $H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, S)$ be the j -th cohomology group formed with respect to the representation $f|_{\mathfrak{n}^+}$ of \mathfrak{n}^+ on S . We may regard $H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, S)$ as \mathfrak{g}_1 -module in a canonical way. We denote by $H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, S)^0$ the subspace of $H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, S)$ annihilated by all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. We may easily get the following lemma from theorems of Bott [1].

LEMMA 1. *Let $\lambda \in D$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the multiplicity of } \nu^\lambda \text{ in } H^j(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}}) \\ &= \dim H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, \text{Hom}(V^\lambda, V \otimes W_{-\xi}))^0 \quad \text{for } j=0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where (ν^λ, V^λ) is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g} with the highest weight λ .

Since the representation $(\rho_{-\xi}|_{\mathfrak{n}^+}, W_{-\xi})$ is trivial, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, \text{Hom}(V^\lambda, V \otimes W_{-\xi})) \\ &= H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, V_{-\lambda} \otimes V \otimes W_{-\xi}) \\ &= H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, V_{-\lambda} \otimes V) \otimes W_{-\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

From Schur's lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, \text{Hom}(V^\lambda, V \otimes W_{-\xi}))^0 \\ &= \text{the multiplicity of } \nu^{\xi_1} \text{ in } H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, V_{-\lambda} \otimes V), \end{aligned}$$

where ν^{ξ_1} is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g}_1 with the highest weight ξ .

LEMMA 2. *Let $\lambda \in D$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the multiplicity of } \nu^\lambda \text{ in } H^j(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}}) \\ &= \text{the multiplicity of } \nu^{\xi_1} \text{ in } H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, V_{-\lambda} \otimes V). \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall Kostant's result of Lie algebra cohomology.

THEOREM OF KOSTANT ([3]). *Let $\lambda \in D$. Then \mathfrak{g}_1 -module $H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, V^\lambda)$ is decomposed into direct sums:*

$$H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, V^\lambda) = \sum_{\sigma \in W^1(j)} \oplus W^{\sigma(\lambda+\delta)-\delta},$$

where $W^1(j) = \{\sigma \in W^1; n(\sigma) = j\}$ and (ν^μ, W^μ) is the irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g}_1 with the highest weight μ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Assume that the multiplicity of ν^{ξ_1} in $H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, V^r)$, $\gamma \in D$, is not 0. By the above theorem there exists an element $\sigma \in W^1(j)$ so that $\xi + \delta = \sigma(\gamma + \delta)$. Since $\gamma + \delta$ is regular, $\xi + \delta$ is also regular. Therefore by Lemma 2 we see that if $\xi + \delta$ is not regular then $H^j(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}}) = (0)$ for any j .

Assume that $\xi + \delta$ is regular. Then $\xi + \delta$ is expressed uniquely as $\xi + \delta = \sigma(\lambda + \delta)$, where $\lambda \in D$ and $\sigma \in W^1$ (Kostant [3]). If $j \neq n(\sigma)$, we see immediately that $H^j(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}}) = (0)$ by Lemma 2 and Theorem of Kostant.

Let G_u be a maximal compact subgroup of G . Denote by χ_ϕ the character of a representation ϕ of G . Then by Theorem of Kostant we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the multiplicity of } \nu^{\xi_1} \text{ in } H^{n(\sigma)}(\mathfrak{n}^+, V_{-\gamma} \otimes V) \\ &= \text{the multiplicity of } \nu^\lambda \text{ in } V_{-\gamma} \otimes V \\ &= \int_{G_u} \bar{\chi}_{\nu^\lambda} \cdot \chi_\nu \cdot \bar{\chi}_{\nu^\lambda} dg \\ &= \text{the multiplicity of } \nu^\lambda \text{ in } V \otimes V_{-\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where dg is the normalized Haar measure on G_u . Therefore by Lemma 2, we get

$$H^{n(\sigma)}(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes W_{-\xi}}) = V \otimes V_{-\lambda} \quad (\text{as } G\text{-module}). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

§ 2. Proof of the main theorem.

We retain the same notations and assumptions introduced in § 1. Let λ be an integral form such that $(\lambda, \alpha_i) = 0$ for $\alpha_i \in \Pi_1$ and $(\lambda, \alpha_j) > 0$ for $\alpha_j \notin \Pi_1$. We denote by (ν^λ, V^λ) the irreducible representation of G with highest weight λ . Let $P(V^\lambda)$ be the complex projective space consisting of all 1-dimensional subspace of V^λ . Since the dimension of the weight space (ν) in V^λ corresponding to the highest weight λ is equal to 1, (ν) is an element of $P(V^\lambda)$. Moreover G acts canonically on $P(V^\lambda)$ via the representation (ν^λ, V^λ) , and it is known that U coincides with the isotropy subgroup of G at (ν) . Therefore we get a G -equivariant imbedding $f^\lambda: M = G/U \rightarrow P(V^\lambda)$. Since ν^λ is an irreducible representation, f^λ is a full imbedding. Conversely every full Kähler imbedding of a Kähler C -space M in $P_n(\mathbf{C})$ is obtained in this way (Nakagawa and Takagi [4]).

THEOREM 2. Let $M = G/U$ be a Kähler C -space fully imbedded in $P_n(\mathbf{C})$. Then the nullity $n(M)$ of M in $P_n(\mathbf{C})$ is given by

$$n(M) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{a}(P_n(\mathbf{C})) - \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(M),$$

where $\mathfrak{a}(P_n(\mathbf{C}))$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}(M)$) is the vector space of all analytic vector fields on $P_n(\mathbf{C})$ (resp. M).

PROOF. Assume that the imbedding of M in $P_n(\mathbf{C})$ is induced by the irreducible representation (\mathfrak{v}^A, V^A) , $A \in D$ and $\dim V^A = n+1$, of G . Denote by $(\mathfrak{h}, (v))$ the representation of U on (v) induced by \mathfrak{v}^A and denote by $(\mathfrak{h}^*, (v)^*)$ the contragredient representation of $(\mathfrak{h}, (v))$. Then we get the following exact sequence of U -modules :

$$0 \longrightarrow (v) \otimes (v)^* \longrightarrow V \otimes (v)^* \longrightarrow V \otimes (v)^* / (v) \otimes (v)^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to see that $E_{V \otimes (v)^* / (v) \otimes (v)^*} = T(P_n(\mathbf{C}))|_M$. Therefore we get the following exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles over M :

$$0 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow E_{V \otimes (v)^*} \longrightarrow T(P_n(\mathbf{C}))|_M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where 1 is the trivial line bundle over M . Since M is a Kähler C-space, $H^1(M, \Omega 1) = (0)$. Therefore we get the following exact esquence of cohomology groups :

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(M, \Omega 1) \longrightarrow H^0(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes (v)^*}) \longrightarrow H^0(M, \Omega(T(P_n(\mathbf{C}))|_M)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the lowest weight of $(\mathfrak{h}^*, (v)^*)$ is $-A$, it follows, by Theorem 1, that $H^0(M, \Omega E_{V \otimes (v)^*}) = V \otimes V_{-A}$ as G -modules. It is obvious that $\dim H^0(M, \Omega 1) = 1$. Therefore we get

$$\dim H^0(M, \Omega(T(P_n(\mathbf{C}))|_M)) = (n+1)^2 - 1.$$

Since $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{a}(P_n(\mathbf{C})) = 2\{(n+1)^2 - 1\}$, we get

$$(1) \quad \dim_{\mathbf{R}} H^0(M, \Omega(T(P_n(\mathbf{C}))|_M)) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{a}(P_n(\mathbf{C})).$$

The exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles over M :

$$0 \longrightarrow T(M) \longrightarrow T(P_n(\mathbf{C}))|_M \longrightarrow N(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

and $H^1(M, \Omega T(M)) = (0)$ (Bott [1]) induce the following exact sequence of cohomology groups :

$$(2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(M, \Omega T(M)) \longrightarrow H^0(M, \Omega(T(P_n(\mathbf{C}))|_M)) \longrightarrow H^0(M, \Omega N(M)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Recall that the nullity $n(M)$ of M is given by

$$(3) \quad n(M) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} H^0(M, \Omega N(M))$$

(Kimura [2]). From (1), (2), (3) and $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} H^0(M, \Omega T(M)) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{a}(M)$, we get

$$n(M) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{a}(P_n(\mathbf{C})) - \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{a}(M)$$

Q.E.D.

From the above theorem and Lemma 3.4 in Kimura [2] we have the following result.

COROLLARY. *Let M be a Kähler C -space holomorphically and isometrically imbedded in $P_N(\mathbf{C})$. Then*

$$n(M) = n_a(M).$$

References

- [1] Bott, R., Homogeneous vector bundles, *Ann. of Math.*, **66** (1957), 203-248.
- [2] Kimura, Y., The nullity of compact Kähler submanifolds in a complex projective space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **29** (1977), 561-580.
- [3] Kostant, B., Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil theorem, *Ann. of Math.*, **74** (1961), 329-387.
- [4] Nakagawa H. and Takagi, R., On locally symmetric Kaehler submanifolds in a complex projective space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **28** (1976), 638-667.
- [5] Simons, J., Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, **88** (1968), 62-105.
- [6] Takeuchi, M., Homogeneous Kähler submanifolds in complex projective spaces, *Japan J. Math.* **4**, (1978), 171-219.

Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science
Abamachi, Nagasaki-shi 851-01 Japan