

Minkowski type inequality for fuzzy and pseudo-integrals

B. Daraby, F. Rostampour and A. Rahimi

Department of Mathematics, University of Maragheh, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran
E-mail: bayazdaraby@yahoo.com, fatemeroostampour87@yahoo.com, A.Rahimi@yahoo.com

Abstract

One of the famous mathematical inequality is Minkowski's inequality. It is an important inequality from both mathematical and application points of view. In this paper, a Minkowski type inequality for fuzzy and pseudo-integrals is studied. The established results are based on the classical Minkowski's inequality for integrals.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. **03E72**. 28E10, 26E50

Keywords. Sugeno integrals, pseudo-integrals, inequality, Minkowski's inequality, fuzzy integral inequality.

1 Introduction

The theory of fuzzy measures and fuzzy integrals was introduced by Sugeno [37] as a tool for modelling non-deterministic problems. Fuzzy integrals or Sugeno integrals have very interesting properties from a mathematical point of view which have been studied by many authors, studied by many authors including Pap [24], Ralescu and Adams [26], Wang and Klir [40] among others. Ralescu and Adams [26] studied several equivalent definitions of fuzzy integrals, while Pap [24] and Wang and Klir [40], provided an overview of fuzzy measure theory. The fuzzy integral for monotone functions was presented in [27]. In fact, fuzzy measures and fuzzy integrals are versatile operators which can be used in different areas. They have a broad use in information fusion, electronic auctions, decision making, and etc. Chen et al. [4] employed fuzzy integral and fuzzy measure to establish a public attitude analysis model. The integral inequalities are useful results in several theoretical and applied fields. For instance, integral inequalities play a major role in the development of a time scales calculus. Özkan et al. [22] obtained Hölders inequality, Minkowski's inequality and Jensen's inequality on time scales. Also H. M. Srivastava et al. [34, 35] studied some generalizations of Maroni's inequality and some weighted Piel-type inequalities on time scales. Some famous inequalities have been generalized to fuzzy integral. Román-Flores and Chalco-Cano [28] analyzed an interesting type of geometric inequalities for fuzzy integral with some applications to convex geometry. Román-Flores et al. [29, 30] studied a Jensen type inequality and a convolution type inequality for fuzzy integrals. Also, they have investigated a Chebyshev type inequality and a Stolarsky type inequality for fuzzy integrals [12, 31]. In [12], a fuzzy Chebyshev inequality for a special case was obtained which has been generalized by Ouyang et al. [21]. Furthermore, Chebyshev type inequalities for fuzzy integral were proposed in a rather general form by Mesiar and Ouyang [17]. Recently, B. Daraby and L. Arabi Proved a related Fritz Carlson type inequality for Sugeno integrals [8]. For more references on integral inequalities and its applications you can see [39, 20, 41, 36].

Pseudo-analysis is a generalization of the classical analysis, where instead of the field of real numbers a semiring is taken on a real interval $[a, b] \subset [-\infty, \infty]$ endowed with pseudo-addition \oplus and with pseudo-multiplication \odot ([11, 9, 8, 5, 25, 6, 37]). Based on this structure there where developed

the concepts of \oplus -measure (pseudo-additive measure), pseudo-integral, pseudo-convolution, pseudo-Laplace transform and etc. ([7, 12, 27]).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 some preliminaries and summarization of some previous known results are given. Section 3 proposes a Minkowski type inequality for fuzzy integrals. Section 4, deals with a Minkowski type inequality for Pseudo-integrals. Finally, Section 5 contains a short conclusion.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, some definitions and basic properties of the Sugeno and Pseudo integrals which will be used in the next sections are presented.

Definition 2.1. Let Σ be a σ -algebra of subsets of X and let $\mu : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a non-negative, extended real-valued set function, we say that μ is a fuzzy measure iff:

(FM1) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;

(FM2) $E, F \in \Sigma$ and $E \subseteq F$ imply $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$ (monotonicity);

(FM3) $E_n \subseteq \Sigma$, $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ imply $\lim \mu(E_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_n)$ (continuity from below);

(FM4) $E_n \subseteq \Sigma$, $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$, $\mu(E_1) < \infty$ imply $\lim \mu(E_n) = \mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_n)$ (continuity from above).

If f is a non-negative real-valued function on X , we will denote $F_\alpha = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \geq \alpha\} = \{f \geq \alpha\}$, the α -level of f , for $\alpha > 0$. $F_0 = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > 0\} = \text{supp}(f)$ is the support of f . We know that: $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow \{f \geq \beta\} \subseteq \{f \geq \alpha\}$.

If μ is a fuzzy measure on X , we define the following:

$$\mathfrak{F}^\mu(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \mid f \text{ is } \mu\text{-measurable}\}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let μ be a fuzzy measure on (X, Σ) . If $f \in \mathfrak{F}^\mu(X)$ and $A \in \Sigma$, then the Sugeno integral (or fuzzy integral) of f on A , with respect to the fuzzy measure μ , is defined [40] as

$$\int_A f d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \geq 0} (\alpha \wedge \mu(A \cap F_\alpha)).$$

Where \vee, \wedge denotes the operation sup and inf on $[0, \infty)$ respectively. In particular, if $A = X$ then:

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int f d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \geq 0} (\alpha \wedge \mu(F_\alpha)).$$

The following proposition gives most elementary properties of the fuzzy integral and can be found in [40].

Proposition 2.3. Let (X, \mathfrak{F}, μ) be a fuzzy measure space, with $A, B \in \Sigma$ and $f, g \in \mathfrak{F}$. We have

1. $\int_A f d\mu \leq \mu(A)$.
2. $\int_A k d\mu \leq k \wedge \mu(A)$, for k nonnegative constant.

3. If $f \leq g$ on A , then $\int_A f d\mu \leq \int_A g d\mu$.
4. if $A \subset B$, then $\int_A f d\mu \leq \int_A g d\mu$.
5. if $\mu(A) < \infty$, then $\int_A f d\mu \geq \alpha \Leftrightarrow \mu(A \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}) \geq \alpha$.
6. $\mu(A \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}) \leq \alpha \Rightarrow \int_A f d\mu \leq \alpha$.
7. $\int_A f d\mu < \alpha \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $\gamma < \alpha$ such that $\mu(A \cap \{f \geq \gamma\}) < \alpha$.
8. $\int_A f d\mu > \alpha \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $\gamma > \alpha$ such that $\mu(A \cap \{f \geq \gamma\}) > \alpha$.

Remark 2.4. Let $F(\alpha) = \mu(A \cap F_\alpha)$, from parts (5) and (6) of the above Proposition, it very important to note that

$$F(\alpha) = \alpha \Rightarrow \int_A f d\mu = \alpha.$$

Thus, from a numerical point of view, the Sugeno integral can be calculated by solving the equation $F(\alpha) = \alpha$.

Let $[a, b]$ be a closed (in some cases can be considered semiclosed) subinterval of $[-\infty, \infty]$. The full order on $[a, b]$ will be denoted by \preceq .

The operation \oplus (pseudo-addition) is a function $\oplus : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ which is commutative, nondecreasing (with respect to \preceq), associative and with a zero (neutral) element denoted by $\mathbf{0}$, i.e., for each $x \in [a, b]$, $\mathbf{0} \oplus x = x$ holds (usually $\mathbf{0}$ is either a or b). Let $[a, b]_+ = \{x \in [a, b], \mathbf{0} \preceq x\}$.

Definition 2.5. The operation \odot (pseudo-multiplication) is a function $\odot : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ which is commutative, positively non-decreasing, i.e., $x \preceq y$ implies $x \odot z \preceq y \odot z$ for all $z \in [a, b]_+$, associative and for which there exists a unit element $\mathbf{1} \in [a, b]$, i.e., for each $x \in [a, b]$, $\mathbf{1} \odot x = x$.

We assume also $\mathbf{0} \odot x = \mathbf{0}$ that \odot is a distributive pseudo-multiplication with respect to \oplus , i.e., $x \odot (y \oplus z) = (x \odot y) \oplus (x \odot z)$. The structure $([a, b], \oplus, \odot)$ is a semiring ([14]). In this paper, we will consider semirings with the following continuous operations:

Case I: The pseudo-addition is idempotent operation and the pseudo-multiplication is not.

(a) $x \oplus y = \sup(x, y)$, \odot is arbitrary not idempotent pseudo-multiplication on the interval $[a, b]$. We have $\mathbf{0} = a$ and the idempotent operation \sup induces a full order in the following way: $x \preceq y$ if and only if $\sup(x, y) = y$.

(b) $x \oplus y = \inf(x, y)$, \odot is arbitrary not idempotent pseudo-multiplication on the interval $[a, b]$. We have $\mathbf{0} = b$ and the idempotent operation \inf induces a full order in the following way: $x \preceq y$ if and only if $\inf(x, y) = y$.

Case II: The pseudo-operations are defined by a monotone and continuous function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, i.e., pseudo operations are given with $x \oplus y = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$ and $x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$. If the zero element for the pseudo-addition is a , we will consider increasing generators. Then $g(a) = 0$ and $g(b) = \infty$. If the zero element for the pseudo-addition is b , we will consider decreasing generators. Then $g(b) = 0$ and $g(a) = \infty$. If the generator g is increasing (respectively decreasing), then the operation \oplus induces the usual order (respectively opposite to the usual order) on the interval $[a, b]$ in the following way: $x \preceq y$ if and only if $g(x) \leq g(y)$.

Case III: Both operations are idempotent. We have

(a) $x \oplus y = \sup(x, y)$, $x \odot y = \inf(x, y)$, on the interval $[a, b]$. We have $\mathbf{0} = a$ and $\mathbf{1} = b$. The

idempotent operation \sup induces the usual order ($x \preceq y$ if and only if $\sup(x, y) = y$).

(b) $x \oplus y = \inf(x, y)$, $x \odot y = \sup(x, y)$, on the interval $[a, b]$. We have $\mathbf{0} = b$ and $\mathbf{1} = a$. The idempotent operation \inf induces an order opposite to the usual order ($x \preceq y$ if and only if $\inf(x, y) = y$).

Let X be a non-empty set. Let \mathbb{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of a set X .

We shall consider the semiring $([a, b], \oplus, \odot)$, when pseudo-operations are generated by a monotone and continuous function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, i.e., pseudo-operations are given with $x \oplus y = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$ and $x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$.

Then the pseudo-integral for a function $f : [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ reduces on the g -integral

$$\int_{[c,d]}^{\oplus} f(x)dx = g^{-1}\left(\int_c^d g(f(x))dx\right). \quad (2.1)$$

More on this structure as well as corresponding measures and integrals can be found in ([23]). The second class is when $x \oplus y = \max(x, y)$ and $x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$, the pseudo-integral for a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [a, b]$ is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} f \odot dm = \sup \left(f(x) \odot \psi(x) \right),$$

where function ψ defines sup-measure m . Any sup-measure generated as essential supremum of a continuous density can be obtained as a limit of pseudo-additive measures with respect to generated pseudo-additive. For any continuous function $f : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ the integral $\int^{\oplus} f \odot dm$ can be obtained as a limit of g -integrals. We denoted by μ the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . We have

$$m(A) = \text{ess sup}\{x | x \in A\} = \sup\{a | \mu(\{x \in A, x > a\}) > 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.6. ([18]). Let m be a sup-measure on $([0, \infty], \mathbb{B}[0, \infty])$, where $\mathbb{B}[0, \infty]$ is the Borel σ -algebra on $[0, \infty]$, $m(A) = \text{ess sup}_{\mu}(\psi(x) | x \in A)$, and $\psi : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a continuous density. Then for any pseudo-addition \oplus with a generator g there exists a family m_{λ} of \oplus_{λ} -measure on $([0, \infty], \mathbb{B})$, where \oplus_{λ} is a generated by g^{λ} (the function g of the power λ), $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, such that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} m_{\lambda} = m$.

Theorem 2.7. ([18]). Let $([0, \infty], \sup, \odot)$ be a semiring, when \odot is a generated with g , i.e., we have $x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$ for every $x, y \in (0, \infty)$. Let m be the same as in Theorem 2.6, Then there exists a family $\{m_{\lambda}\}$ of \oplus_{λ} -measures, where \oplus_{λ} is a generated by g^{λ} , $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every continuous function $f : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$,

$$\int^{\sup} f \odot dm = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int^{\oplus_{\lambda}} f \odot dm_{\lambda} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (g^{\lambda})^{-1} \left(\int g^{\lambda}(f(x))dx \right).$$

Easily a stright calculus give the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.8. Let f_1 and f_2 be integrable functions, $A \in \Sigma$ and $f_1 \leq f_2$, so we have:

1. $\int_A f_1 dx \leq \int_A f_2 dx$.
2. $\int_A^{\oplus} f_1 dx \leq \int_A^{\oplus} f_2 dx$.

The classical Minkowski's inequality was published by Minkowski [19] in his famous book "Geometrie der Zahlen". A proof of Minkowski's inequality as well as several extensions, related results, and interesting geometrical interpretations can be found in [32, 33]. An extension of Minkowski's inequality, which is based on Hölder's inequality, is given in [40]. Applications of Minkowski's inequality have been studied by many authors. For example özkan et al. [22] applied Minkowski's inequality, Hölder's inequality and Jensen's inequality on time scales. Lu et al. [15] used Minkowski's inequality for fast full search in motion estimation. The classical Minkowski's inequality [19] is as follows:

$$\left(\int_a^b (f(x) + g(x))^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_a^b f(x)^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_a^b g(x)^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \quad (2.2)$$

where $1 \leq s < \infty$ and $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are two nonnegative functions.

Note we recall the following inequalities which are the fuzzy versions of Minkowski's inequality at two cases and appears in [1].

Theorem 2.9. Let $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two real valued functions and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If f, g are both continuous and strictly decreasing functions, then the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^1 (f + g)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 f^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_0^1 g^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

holds for all $1 \leq s < \infty$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two real valued functions and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If f, g are both continuous and strictly increasing functions, then the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^1 (f + g)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 f^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_0^1 g^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \quad (2.3)$$

holds for all $1 \leq s < \infty$.

The following theorem is pseudo version of Minkowski's inequality and appears in [2].

Theorem 2.11. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two measurable functions and $s \in [1, \infty)$. If an additive generator $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of the pseudo-addition \oplus and the pseudo-multiplication \odot are increasing. Then for any $\sigma - \oplus$ -measure m it holds:

$$\left(\int_X^{\oplus} (f + g)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_X^{\oplus} f^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_X^{\oplus} g^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \quad (2.4)$$

The following theorem shows the new classical version of Minkowski's inequality and appears in [3].

Theorem 2.12. Let f and g be positive functions satisfying

$$0 < m \leq \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq M, \quad \forall x \in [a, b], \text{ we have}$$

$$\left(\int_a^b f^s(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_a^b g^s(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq c \left(\int_a^b (f(x) + g(x))^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $1 \leq s < \infty$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

3 Minkowski's inequality for fuzzy integrals

In this section, by an example we show that the Theorem 2.12 is not valid for the Sugeno integral.

Example 3.1. Let $f(x) = x + 1$, $g(x) = 2x + 1$ and $s = 1$. We have $0 < \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq 1$ and

$$(i) \int_0^1 f(x) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{x + 1 \geq \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \wedge (\alpha - 1)] = 1,$$

$$(ii) \int_0^1 g(x) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{2x + 1 \geq \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \wedge (\frac{\alpha - 1}{2})] = 1,$$

$$(iii) \int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x)) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,2]} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{3x + 2 \geq \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,2]} [\alpha \wedge (\frac{\alpha - 2}{3})] = \frac{5}{4},$$

Consequently,

$$2 = \int_0^1 f(x) d\mu + \int_0^1 g(x) d\mu \not\leq c \int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x)) d\mu = \frac{10}{8} \times \frac{5}{4} = \frac{50}{32}.$$

inequality (2.5) is not valid for fuzzy integrals.

In the following theorem we show a Minkowski type inequality derived from (2.5) for the Sugeno integral.

Theorem 3.2. (Fuzzy Minkowski's inequality, decreasing case). Let $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two real valued and non-negative functions and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Let f, g be both continuous and strictly decreasing functions. If functions satisfying

$$0 < m \leq \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^1 f^s(x) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_0^1 g^s(x) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq 2c \left(\int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad (3.1)$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq M$, $f \leq M(f(x) + g(x)) - Mf(x)$. Therefore

$$(M+1)^s f(x)^s \leq M^s (f(x) + g(x))^s$$

and so,

$$f(x)^s \leq \frac{M^s}{(M+1)^s} (f(x) + g(x))^s.$$

Now we have

$$\left(\int_0^1 f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{M}{M+1} \right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (3.2)$$

By Lemma 2.8 (1) we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{M}{M+1} dx < \int_0^1 1 dx = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

So by (3.2) and (3.3) we can write

$$\left(\int_0^1 f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, since $mg(x) \leq f(x)$, Hence

$$g \leq \frac{1}{m}(f(x) + g(x)) - \frac{1}{m}g(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{m} + 1 \right)^s g(x)^s \leq \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s,$$

and so, by Lemma 2.8 (1) we have

$$\left(\int_0^1 g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{m+1} \right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $\frac{1}{m+1} < 1$, then

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{m+1} dx < \int_0^1 1 dx = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

The inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) follows that

$$\left(\int_0^1 g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Now with adding the inequalities (3.4) and (3.7):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_0^1 g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} &\leq 2 \left(\int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq 2c \left(\int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete.

Q.E.D.

Example 3.3. Let $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two real valued functions defined as $f(x) = 1 - x$, $g(x) = 1 - x^2$ and μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Let $s = 1$. A straightforward calculus shows that $0 < \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{f}{g} \leq 1$ and

$$(i) \int_0^1 f(x) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{1 - x \geq \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} [\alpha \wedge (1 - \alpha)] = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5,$$

$$(ii) \int_0^1 g(x) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{1 - x^2 \geq \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} [\alpha \wedge \sqrt{1 - \alpha}] = 0.618,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (iii) \int_0^1 (f + g) d\mu &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{-x^2 - x + 2 \geq \alpha\})] \\ &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} \left[\alpha \wedge \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{9 - 4\alpha} \right) \right] = 0.732. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 1.118 = 0.5 + 0.618 &= \left(\int_0^1 f d\mu \right) + \left(\int_0^1 g d\mu \right) \leq 2c \left(\int_0^1 (f + g) d\mu \right) \\ &= 2c \times 0.732 \\ &= 1.464c. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4. (Fuzzy Minkowski's inequality, decreasing case). Let $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two real valued and non-negative functions and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Let f, g be both continuous and strictly decreasing functions and satisfying

$$0 < m \leq \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^1 f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_0^1 g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq 2c \left(\int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty$, $n \geq 2$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. The proof is similar Theorem 3.2.

Q.E.D.

4 Minkowski's inequality for pseudo-integrals

Our purpose in this section is to prove the Minkowski's inequality derived from (2.5) for the pseudo-integrals.

Theorem 4.1. (Pseudo Minkowski's inequality, decreasing case). Let $f, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be continuous and strictly decreasing functions and μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If the pseudo-operations are defined by a continuous and decreasing $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and functions satisfying

$$0 < m \leq \frac{f(x)}{h(x)} \leq M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} h(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + h(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad (4.1)$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty$, and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq M$, $f(x) \leq M(f(x) + g(x)) - Mf(x)$. Therefore

$$(M+1)^s f(x)^s \leq M^s (f(x) + g(x))^s$$

and so,

$$f(x)^s \leq \frac{M^s}{(M+1)^s} (f(x) + g(x))^s.$$

Now from Lemma 2.8 (2),

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} \left(\frac{M}{M+1} \right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $\frac{M}{M+1} < 1$, from Lemma 2.8 (2), we have

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, since $mg(x) \leq f(x)$, Hence

$$g(x) \leq \frac{1}{m} (f(x) + g(x)) - \frac{1}{m} g(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{m} + 1 \right)^s g(x)^s \leq \left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s.$$

and so, from Lemma 2.8 (2),

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} \left(\frac{1}{m+1} \right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Since $\frac{1}{m+1} < 1$, from Lemma 2.8 (2) and the inequality (4.4) we have

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Now with adding the inequalities (4.3) and (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} &\leq 2 \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete.

Q.E.D.

Example 4.2. Let $f, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be two real valued functions as $f(x) = -x + \frac{1}{2}$, $h(x) = -x + \frac{3}{2}$ and μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Let $s = 1$, $g(x) = -x$, A straightforward calculus shows that $0 < \frac{4}{3} \leq \frac{f}{g} \leq 2$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i) } \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x) d\mu &= g^{-1} \int_0^1 g(f(x)) d\mu \\ &= g^{-1} \int_0^1 -(-x + \frac{1}{2}) d\mu \\ &= g^{-1} \int_0^1 (x - \frac{1}{2}) d\mu \\ &= g^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{2} x \Big|_0^1 \right) \\ &= g^{-1}(0) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(ii)} \quad \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} h(x) d\mu &= g^{-1} \int_0^1 g(h(x)) d\mu \\
&= g^{-1} \int_0^1 -(-x + \frac{3}{2}) d\mu \\
&= g^{-1} \int_0^1 (x - \frac{3}{2}) d\mu \\
&= g^{-1} (\frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{3}{2}x|_0^1) \\
&= g^{-1}(-1) \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii)} \quad \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} ((f+h)(x)) d\mu &= g^{-1} \int_0^1 g((f+h)(x)) d\mu \\
&= g^{-1} \int_0^1 g(-2x+2) d\mu \\
&= \\
&= g^{-1} \int_0^1 (2x-2) d\mu \\
&= g^{-1} (x^2 - 2x|_0^1) \\
&= g^{-1}(-1) \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
1 = 0 + 1 &= \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f d\mu \right) + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} g d\mu \right) \leq 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f+g) d\mu \right) \\
&\leq 2 \times c \times 1 \\
&= 2c.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.3. (Pseudo Minkowski inequality, increasing case). Let $f, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be continuous and strictly increasing functions and μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If the pseudo-operations are defined by a continuous and increasing $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and functions satisfying

$$0 < m \leq \frac{f(x)}{h(x)} \leq M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} h(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq nc \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + h(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad (4.6)$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. By using the same argument in Theorem 4.1 proof is obvious. Q.E.D.

Now we generaliz the Minkowski type inequality by the semiring $([0, 1], \max, \odot)$, where \odot is generated.

Theorem 4.4. Let $f, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be continuous and strictly decreasing functions and let m be the same as in Theorem 2.6. If \odot is represented by an decreasing multiplicative generator g and functions satisfying

$$0 < m \leq \frac{f(x)}{h(x)} \leq M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} f^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} h^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq nc \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f+h)^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad (4.7)$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty, n \geq 2$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq M, f \leq M(f(x) + g(x)) - Mf(x)$. Therefore

$$(M+1)^s f(x)^s \leq M^s (f(x) + g(x))^s$$

and so,

$$f(x)^s \leq \frac{M^s}{(M+1)^s} (f(x) + g(x))^s.$$

Now,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_\lambda} f(x)^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_\lambda} \left(\frac{M}{M+1} \right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Since $\frac{M}{M+1} < 1$, so

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_\lambda} f(x)^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_\lambda} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_\lambda} f(x)^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_\lambda} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Finally,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} f(x)^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (4.8)$$

On the other hand, since $mg(x) \leq f(x)$, hence

$$g(x) \leq \frac{1}{m}(f(x) + g(x)) - \frac{1}{m}g(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{m} + 1\right)^s g(x)^s \leq \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s$$

and so,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus \lambda} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus \lambda} \left(\frac{1}{m+1}\right)^s (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{m+1} < 1$, so

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus \lambda} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus \lambda} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus \lambda} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus \lambda} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Finally,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Now with adding the inequalities (4.8) and (4.9):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} f(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} &\leq 2 \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete.

Q.E.D.

Example 4.5. Let $f, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a μ -measurable, and $g^\lambda(x) = x^{-\lambda}$. So

$$x \oplus y = (x^{-\lambda} + y^{-\lambda})^{-\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad x \odot y = xy.$$

Therefore Relation (4.7) reduces on the following inequality:

$$\sup \left((f(x)^s)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \psi(x) \right) + \sup \left((h(x)^s)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \psi(x) \right) \leq nc \sup \left((f + h)^s(x) + \psi(x) \right).$$

where ψ is from Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 4.6. Let $f, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous and strictly increasing functions and let m be the same as in theorem 2.6. If \odot is represented by an increasing multiplicative generator g and functions satisfying

$$0 < m \leq \frac{f}{h} \leq M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} f^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} h^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f+h)^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad (4.10)$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.4.

Q.E.D.

Note that third important case $\oplus = \max$ and $\odot = \min$ has been studied in [38] and the Pseudo-integrals in such a case yields the Sugeno integral.

Conclusion: The classical Minkowski inequality is an important result in theoretical and applied fields. This paper proposed a Minkowski type inequality for fuzzy antegrals. Also, we proved this inequality for pseudo integrals: The first class is including the pseudo-integral based on a function reduces on the g -integral, where pseudo-addition and pseudo-multiplication are defined by a monotone and continuous function g . The second class is including the pseudo-integral based on the semiring $([a, b], \max, \odot)$ is given by sup-measure, where $x \odot y$ is generated by $g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$.

References

- [1] H. Agahi, M. A. Yaghoobi, A minkowski type inequality for fuzzy integrals, Journal of Uncertain Systems 4(3) (2010), 187-194.
- [2] H. Agahi, Y. Ouyang, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, M. Štrboja, Hölder and Minkowski type inequalities for pseudo-integral, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2011), 8630-8639.
- [3] L. Bougoffa, On Minkowski and Hardy integral inequalities, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics 7(2) (2006), article 60.
- [4] T. Y. Chen, H. L. Chang, G. H. Tzeng, Using fuzzy measures and habitual domains to analyze the public attitude and apply to the gas taxi policy, European Journal of Operational Research 137 (2002), 145-161.
- [5] B. Daraby, A Convolution type inequality for pseudo-integrals, Acta Universitatis Apuensis, No. 48 (2016), 27-35.
- [6] B. Daraby, Results of the Chebyshev type inequality for pseudo-integral, Vol. 4, No. 1 (2016), 91-100.

- [7] B. Daraby, Generalization of the Stolarsky type inequality for pseudo-integrals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 194 (2012), 90-96.
- [8] B. Daraby, L. Arabi, Related Fritz Carlson type inequality for Sugeno integrals, *Soft computing*, DOI: 10.1007/s00500-013-1024-8., 17 (2013), 1745-1750.
- [9] B. Daraby, H. Ghazanfary Asll, I. Sadeqi, General related inequalities to Carlson-type inequality for the Sugeno integral, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 305 (2017), 323-329.
- [10] B. Daraby, H. Ghazanfary Asll, L. Sadeqi, Gronwall's inequality for pseudo-integral, *Analele Universitatii Oradea Fasc. Mathematics*, Tom XXIV (2017), Issue No. 1, 67-74.
- [11] B. Daraby, A. Shafiloo, A. Rahimi, Generalizations of the Feng Qi type inequality for pseudo-integrals, *Gazi University Journal of Science*, 28(4)(2015), 695-702.
- [12] A. Flores-Franulič, H. Román-Flores, A Chebyshev type inequality for fuzzy integrals, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 190 (2007), 1178-1184.
- [13] S. G. Krantz, Jensen's Inequality, § 9.1.3 in *Handbook of Complex Variables*, Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 119, 1999.
- [14] W. Kuich, *Semiring, Automata, Languages*, Springer-verlag, Berlin, (1986).
- [15] J.-Y. Lu , K.-S. Wu, J.-C. Lin, Fast full search in motion estimation by hierarchical use of Minkowski's inequality, *Pattern Recognition* 31 (1998), 945-952.
- [16] R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Idempotent integral as limit of g -integrals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 102 (1999), 385-392.
- [17] R. Mesiar, Y. Ouyang, general Chebyshev type inequalities for Sugeno integrals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 160 (2009), 58-64.
- [18] R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Idempotent integral as limit of g -integrals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 102 (1999), 385-392.
- [19] H. Minkowski, *Geometrie der Zahlen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1910.
- [20] C. Mortici and H. M. Srivastava, Estimates for the arctangnt function related to Shafer's inequality, *Colloq. Math.* 136 (2014), 263-27.
- [21] Y. Ouyang, J. Fang, L. Wang, Fuzzy Chebyshev type inequality, *Internatinal Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 48 (2008), 829-835.
- [22] U. M, özkan, M. Z. Sarikaya, H. Yildirim, Extensions of certain integral inequalities on time scales, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 21 (2008), 993-1000.
- [23] E. Pap, An integral generated by decomposable measure, *Univ. Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* 20(1) (1990), 135-144.
- [24] E. Pap, *Null-additive Set Functions*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.

- [25] E. Pap, M. Štrboja, Generalization of the Jensen inequality for pseudo-integral, *Information Sciences* 180 (2010), 543-548.
- [26] D. Ralescu, G. Adams, The fuzzy integral, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 75 (1980), 562-570.
- [27] H. Romn-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, The fuzzy integral for monotone functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 185 (2007) 492-498.
- [28] H. Román-Flores, H. Y. Chalco-Cano, Sugeno integral and geometric inequalities, *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based System* 15 (2007), 1-11.
- [29] H. Román-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, A Jensen type inequality for fuzzy integrals, *Information Sciences* 177 (2007), 3192-3201.
- [30] H. Román-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, A convolution type inequality for fuzzy integrals, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 195 (2008), 94-99.
- [31] H. Román-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, A note on fuzzy integral inequality of Stolarsky type, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 196 (2008), 55-59.
- [32] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976.
- [33] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [34] H. M. Srivastava, K.-L. Tseng, S.-J. Tseng and J.-C. Lo, Some generalizations of Maroni's inequalities on time scales, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 14 (2014), 469-480.
- [35] H. M. Srivastava, K.-L. Tseng, S.-J. Tseng and J.-C. Lo, Some weighted Opial-type inequalities on time scales, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 14 (2010), 107-122.
- [36] H. M. Srivastava, Z.-H. Zhang and Y.-D. Wu, Some further refinements and extensions of the Hermite-Hadamard and Jensen inequalities in several variables, *Math. Comput. Model.* 54 (2011), 2709-2717.
- [37] M. Sugeno, *Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications*, Ph.D. thesis. Tokyo Institute of Technology 1974.
- [38] Y. L. Tong, Relationship between stochastic inequalities and some classical mathematical inequalities, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 1 (1997), 85-98.
- [39] T. Tunç, M. Z. Sarikaya and H. M. Srivastava, Some generalized Steffensen's inequalities via a new identity for local fractional integrals, *Internat. J. Anal. Appl.* 13 (2017), 98-107.
- [40] Z. Wang, G. Klir, *Fuzzy Measure Theory*, Plenum Press, New York, 1992.
- [41] W. Wei, H. M. Srivastava, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, P. Shen and J. Zhang, A local fractional integral inequality on fractal space analogous to Anderson's Inequality, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 797561, 1-7.