

Brauer functors and Fisher's inequality for t -designs over a finite field with group action

Toyoharu ITOH

(Received March 7, 1992, Revised July 7, 1993)

Abstract. In [I-Y], we proved a Fisher type inequality for a t -design with group action. In this paper, we prove a q -analogue version of a Fisher type inequality in [I-Y]. Let G be a finite group with a normal p -subgroup P and $\mathfrak{B} \subset \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ a t -design over F_q on which G acts, where V is a finite dimensional vector space over F_q . Assume that $2s \leq t$ and the prime p does not divide $\lambda_s^0 \lambda_s^1 \cdots \lambda_s^s$. Then $\left| \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P / G \right| \leq |\mathfrak{B}^P / G|$, where \mathfrak{B}^P denotes the set of blocks fixed by all elements of P and \mathfrak{B}^P / G denotes the set of G -orbits in \mathfrak{B}^P .

1. Introduction

In [I-Y], we proved a Fisher type inequality for a t -design with group action. Let G be a finite group with a normal p -subgroup P and (X, \mathfrak{B}) an ordinary (classical) t -design on which G acts. Assume that $2s \leq t$ and the prime p does not divide $\lambda_s^0 \cdots \lambda_s^s$, where λ_i^j is the number of blocks which contain a fixed i -element subset I of X but disjoint from a j -element subset of $X - I$ for $i + j \leq t$. Then

$$\left| \left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right)^P / G \right| \leq |\mathfrak{B}^P / G|, \tag{1}$$

$$\left| \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right)^P \times \left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right)^P \right) / G \right| \leq \left| \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right)^P \times \mathfrak{B}^P \right) / G \right| \leq |(\mathfrak{B}^P \times \mathfrak{B}^P) / G|, \tag{2}$$

where \mathfrak{B}^P (resp. $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right)^P$) denotes the set of blocks (resp. s -element subsets) fixed by all elements of P and \mathfrak{B}^P / G (resp. $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right)^P / G$) denotes the set of G -orbits in \mathfrak{B}^P (resp. $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right)^P$). In this paper, we consider a q -analogue version of (1) and (2).

A t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design, or t -design over F_q , is a nonempty collection \mathfrak{B} of k -dimensional subspaces of a v -dimensional vector space over F_q

with the property that any t -dimensional subspace is contained in exactly λ members of \mathfrak{B} . Here $0 < t \leq k \leq v$, $\lambda \geq 1$. It is also known as a q -analogue of the ordinary (classical) t -design. If \mathfrak{B} is the collection of all k -dimensional subspaces, clearly, \mathfrak{B} becomes a t -design over \mathbf{F}_q for any $t \leq k$. This design is called *trivial*. Nontrivial examples for $t \geq 2$ were given by S. Thomas [T] and H. Suzuki [Su 1] [Su 2].

P. Delsarte [D] introduced the concept of an algebraic (Delsarte) t -design $\mathfrak{B} \subset X$ for a Q -polynomial association scheme $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$. P. Delsarte [D], A. Munemasa [M] and D. Stanton [St] gave a geometrical interpretation of each of this algebraic t -design for a Q -polynomial association scheme. For example, an ordinary t - (v, k, λ) design corresponds to an algebraic t -design for the Johnson scheme $J(v, k)$, and an orthogonal array of strength t corresponds to an algebraic t -design for the Hamming scheme $H(n, q)$. Also a t -design over \mathbf{F}_q corresponds to an algebraic t -design for the q -Johnson scheme $J_q(v, k)$. Since $J_q(v, k)$ is a q -analogue of $J(v, k)$, a t -design over \mathbf{F}_q is a q -analogue of an ordinary t -design.

Throughout this paper, let G denote a finite group and R a commutative ring with identity. For the rest of this section, we define a Brauer functor (see [I-Y]).

DEFINITION 1.1. An *action* of G on a set X is described by a mapping

$$G \times X \rightarrow X; (g, x) \mapsto gx$$

such that

$$(gh)x = g(hx), 1_G x = x \quad \text{for } g, h \in G, x \in X,$$

and we shall say that G acts on X or simply that X is a G -set. A *permutation RG -module* is a finitely generated R -free (left) RG -module RX (or $R[X]$) with a specified basis X on which G acts. The *Hecke category* $\mathbf{Hec}(G, R)$ is the category of permutation RG -modules and RG -homomorphisms. The Hecke category $\mathbf{Hec}(G, R)$ is a full R -additive subcategory of \mathbf{Mod}_{RG} , the category of RG -modules.

REMARK. The Hecke category $\mathbf{Hec}(G, R)$ is equivalent to the *category of G -matrices* in which an object is a finite G -set and a morphism from Y to X is a $X \times Y$ -matrix $(a_{x,y})_{x \in X, y \in Y}$ over R such that $a_{gx,gy} = a_{x,y}$ for all $x \in X, y \in Y, g \in G$, together with composition defined by matrix multiplication.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let F be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and P a p -subgroup of a finite group G with the normalizer $N_G(P)$. Then the Brauer functor $Br_P: \mathbf{Hec}(G, F) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hec}(N_G(P), F)$ is defined by $Br_P(FX) := F[X^P]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Br_P(f: FX \rightarrow FY) &:= (F[X^P] \xrightarrow{\text{incl.}} FX \xrightarrow{f} FY \\ &= F[Y^P] \oplus F[Y - Y^P] \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} F[Y^P]). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $X^P = \{x \in X \mid ux = x \text{ for all } u \in P\}$. If we use the matrix form for the Hecke categories (see the remark above), the Brauer functor is given by $Br_P(X) = X^P$ and $Br_P((a_{y,x})_{y \in Y, x \in X}) = (a_{y,x})_{y \in Y^P, x \in X^P}$.

LEMMA 1.3. $[I - Y]$. If F is a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and P is a p -subgroup of G , then the Brauer functor $Br_P: \mathbf{Hec}(G, F) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hec}(N_G(P), F)$ is really an F -additive functor. \square

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we consider fundamental properties of t -designs over \mathbf{F}_q . In section 3, we give an invertible condition of $\det N_s N_s^T$ in R where N_s is an s^{th} incidence matrix of a t -design over \mathbf{F}_q with $2s \leq t$ and R is a commutative ring. In section 4, we prove a q -analogue version of (1) and (2).

2. Notation and fundamental properties of t -designs over \mathbf{F}_q .

In this section, we fix notation and prove fundamental properties for t -designs over \mathbf{F}_q .

Let $0 < t \leq k \leq v$ be positive integers, and q a prime power. Let V be a v -dimensional vector space over \mathbf{F}_q . For each non-negative integer i , $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix}$ denotes the set of all i -dimensional subspaces of V . Hence

$$\# \begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} v \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_{l=0}^{i-1} \frac{q^{v-l} - 1}{q^{i-l} - 1}.$$

DEFINITION 2.1. A nonempty subset \mathfrak{B} of $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is called a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design or a t -design over \mathbf{F}_q if for any element $\alpha \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t \end{bmatrix}$, the number

$$\lambda_t(\alpha) := \#\{b \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \alpha \subset b\}$$

is a constant λ (independent of the choice of $\alpha \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t \end{bmatrix}$).

LEMMA 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{B} \subset \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ be a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design. Then the following hold :

(1) \mathfrak{B} is an i - $(v, k, \lambda_i; q)$ design, where

$$\lambda_i = \lambda \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-i \\ t-i \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ t-i \end{bmatrix}_q} = \lambda \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-i \\ k-i \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q}$$

for any $i \leq t$.

(2) Let i and j be non-negative integers with $i+j \leq t$. Let $\alpha \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix}$, $\beta \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ with $\alpha \cap \beta = \{0\}$. Then

$$\#\{b \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \alpha \subset b, b \cap \beta = \{0\}\} = \lambda \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-i-j \\ k-i \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q} q^{j(k-i)}.$$

Thus this number is independent of the choices of α and β . This number is denoted by λ_i^j .

For the rest of this paper, we regard λ_i and λ_i^j as parameters of the t -design over \mathbf{F}_q .

PROOF. (1) Let $\alpha \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix}$ and $\lambda(\alpha) = \#\{b \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \alpha \subset b\}$. Counting in two ways the number

$$\#\{(\beta, b) \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t-i \end{bmatrix} \times \mathfrak{B} \mid \alpha \cap \beta = \{0\}, \alpha, \beta \subset b\},$$

we obtain

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \lambda \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-i \\ t-i \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ t-i \end{bmatrix}_q} = \lambda \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-i \\ k-i \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q}.$$

Thus $\lambda(\alpha)$ is independent of the choice of $\alpha \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix}$.

(2) Let i and j be non-negative integers with $i+j \leq t$, and $\alpha \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix}$

and $\beta \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ j \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ with $\alpha \cap \beta = \{0\}$. The number $\#\{b \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \alpha \subset b, \beta \cap b = \{0\}\}$ is independent of the choices of α and β , moreover, we have $\lambda_i^j = \lambda_i^{j-1} - q^{j-1} \lambda_{i+1}^{j-1}$ by Lemma 2. 1 in [Su 3]. Thus, we have that by induction on j

$$\lambda_i^j = \sum_{u=0}^j (-1)^u q^{\binom{u}{2}} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ u \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \lambda_{i+u}. \quad (\text{a})$$

Let $B_i^j = \#\{y \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right] \mid \alpha \subset y, \beta \cap y = \{0\}\} = q^{j(k-i)} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-i-j \\ k-i \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} B_i^j &= \sum_{u=0}^j (-1)^u q^{\binom{u}{2}} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ u \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q B_{i+u}^0 \\ \lambda \frac{B_i^0}{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q} &= \lambda \frac{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-i \\ k-i \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q}{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q} = \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

by (a) and (1). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B_i^j &= q^{j(k-i)} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-i-j \\ k-i \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \\ &= \sum_{u=0}^j (-1)^u q^{\binom{u}{2}} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ u \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q B_{i+u}^0 \\ &= \sum_{u=0}^j (-1)^u q^{\binom{u}{2}} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ u \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q \lambda_{i+u} \frac{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q}{\lambda} = \lambda_i^j \frac{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lambda_i^j = \lambda \frac{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-i-j \\ k-i \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q}{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{smallmatrix} \right]_q} q^{j(k-i)}.$$

□

Clearly, $\lambda_i^0 = \lambda_i$ and $\lambda_0 = \#\mathfrak{B}$.

Let $\mathfrak{B} \subset \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ be a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design. The i^{th} incidence matrix of \mathfrak{B} is a matrix N_i whose rows are indexed by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ i \end{smallmatrix} \right]$, whose columns are indexed by \mathfrak{B} , and where the (α, b) -entry is 1 if $\alpha \subset b$ and 0 otherwise for $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$. Also the incidence matrix of the incidence structure is a matrix

W_{ij} whose rows are indexed by $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix}$, whose columns are indexed by $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ j \end{bmatrix}$, and where the (α, β) -entry is 1 if $\alpha \subset \beta$ and 0 otherwise for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq v$. The following lemma and proposition are q -analogues of R. Wilson's results [W].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let \mathfrak{B} be a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design. Then*

$$N_i N_j^T \sum_{l=0}^{\min\{i,j\}} \lambda_{i+j-l}^l W_{li}^T W_{lj}$$

where $i+j \leq t$.

PROOF. Let $\alpha \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ i \end{bmatrix}$ and $\beta \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ with $\alpha \cap \beta \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ u \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$N_i N_j^T(\alpha, \beta) = \#\{b \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \alpha, \beta \subset b\} = \lambda_{i+j-u},$$

and

$$W_{li}^T W_{lj}(\alpha, \beta) = \#\{\gamma \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ l \end{bmatrix} \mid \gamma \subset \alpha \cap \beta\} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Now, we fix $\rho \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ u \end{bmatrix}$ such that $(\alpha + \beta) \cap \rho = \{0\}$, and we put

$$\Lambda_l(\eta) := \{b \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \alpha, \beta \subset b, \rho \cap b = \eta\}$$

for $\eta \in \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ u-l \end{bmatrix}$, then $\#\Lambda_l(\eta) = \lambda_{i+j-l}^l$ and

$$\lambda_{i+j-u} = \sum_{l=0}^u \sum_{\eta \in \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ u-l \end{bmatrix}} \#\Lambda_l(\eta) = \sum_{l=0}^u \lambda_{i+j-l}^l \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u-l \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{l=0}^u \lambda_{i+j-l}^l \begin{bmatrix} u \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Hence

$$N_i N_j^T = \sum_{l=0}^{\min\{i,j\}} \lambda_{i+j-l}^l W_{li}^T W_{lj}.$$

□

REMARK. By Lemma 2.3, the following inequality holds

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q \leq \#\mathfrak{B},$$

where \mathfrak{B} is a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design with $2s \leq t$. Indeed $N_s N_s^T$ is positive definite, and in particular, it is nonsingular. But there is no tight

t -design over \mathbf{F}_q by L. Chihara [C] and H. Suzuki [Su 3].

PROPOSITION 2. 4. *Suppose that \mathfrak{B} is a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design with $t \geq 2s$ and $v \geq k + s$. Then*

$$\det(N_s N_s^T) = \prod_{i=0}^s \left(\begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ s-i \end{bmatrix}_q \lambda_s^i \right)^{\binom{v}{i}_q - \binom{v}{i-1}_q}.$$

PROOF. Since $N_s N_s^T$ and $N_s^T N_s$ have the same nonzero eigenvalues and multiplicities, we consider the eigenvalues of $N_s^T N_s$.

We now put $M_i := N_i^T N_i$. Let U_i denote the row vector space over \mathbf{Q} of the matrix N_i . Since $W_{is} N_s = \begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ s-i \end{bmatrix}_q N_i$,

$$U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \cdots \subset U_k,$$

and U_i is also the row space of M_i . By Lemma 2. 3,

$$M_e M_f = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{e,f\}} \lambda_{e+f-i}^i \begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ e-i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ f-i \end{bmatrix}_q M_i, \quad (\text{b})$$

where $e+f \leq t$. Let $W_0 := U_0$ and $W_i := U_i \cap U_{i-1}^\perp$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, s$. If W denotes the $\#\mathfrak{B}$ -dimensional vector space whose coordinates are indexed by the set \mathfrak{B} , then

$$W = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_s \oplus U_s^\perp,$$

$$\dim W_i = \binom{v}{i}_q - \binom{v}{i-1}_q.$$

Clearly, vectors of U_s^\perp are eigenvectors of 0 for M_s . Given $x \in W_e$, we have $x \in U_e$, so we can write $x = y M_e$ for some $y \in W$. Since $x \in U_i^\perp$ for $i < e$,

$$0 = x M_i = y M_e M_i = y M_i M_e,$$

so $y M_i \in U_e^\perp$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x M_s &= y M_e M_s = \sum_{i=0}^e \begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ s-i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ e-i \end{bmatrix}_q \lambda_{e+s-i}^i y M_i \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} k-e \\ s-e \end{bmatrix}_q \lambda_s^e x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence vectors of W_e are eigenvectors of an eigenvalue $\begin{bmatrix} k-e \\ s-e \end{bmatrix}_q \lambda_s^e$ for M_s , so we have

$$\det(N_s N_s^T) = \prod_{i=0}^s \left(\begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ s-i \end{bmatrix}_q \lambda_s^i \right)^{\begin{bmatrix} v \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q - \begin{bmatrix} v \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}_q}.$$

□

3. An invertibility of $\det(N_s N_s^T)$ in a commutative ring

Let R be a commutative ring. In this section, we give an invertible condition of $\det(N_s N_s^T)$ in R .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{B} \subset \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ be a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design with $t \geq 2s$ and $v \geq k+s$. Then the following holds :*

$$\prod_{i=0}^s \frac{\lambda_s^i}{\begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ s-i \end{bmatrix}_q} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_s & \lambda_{s-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda_0 \\ \lambda_{s+1} & \lambda_s & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \lambda_s & \lambda_{s-1} \\ \lambda_{2s} & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda_{s+1} & \lambda_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 2. 2,

$$\lambda_i = \lambda \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-i \\ k-i \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q},$$

$$\lambda_s^i = \lambda \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ k-s \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q} q^{i(k-s)}.$$

Hence, the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^s \frac{\lambda_s^i}{\begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ s-i \end{bmatrix}_q} &= \prod_{i=0}^s \frac{\lambda \begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ k-s \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ s-i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q} q^{i(k-s)} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q} \right)^{s+1} \prod_{i=0}^s \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ k-s \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} k-s+i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q} q^{i(k-s)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand side is equal to

$$\det((\lambda_{s+i-j})_{0 \leq i, j \leq s}) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q} \right)^{s+1} \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i+j \\ v-k \end{bmatrix}_q \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq s}.$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\prod_{i=0}^s \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ k-s \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} k-s+i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q} q^{i(k-s)} = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i+j \\ v-k \end{bmatrix}_q \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq s}.$$

Now, we put

$$L_{ij} = q^{(k-s-i+j)j} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ v-k-j \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-j \\ k-s \end{bmatrix}_q}, \quad D_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ k-s \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} k-s+i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q},$$

$$U_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s+j-i \\ k-s+j \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-s \\ k-s+i \end{bmatrix}_q}$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq s$. Then for $0 \leq i, j \leq s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^s L_{il} D_{li} U_{ij} &= \sum_{l=0}^s \begin{bmatrix} i \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} j \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ v-k-l \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} v-s+j-l \\ v-k-l \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} k-s+l \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} v-s \\ k-s+l \end{bmatrix}_q} q^{(k-s-i+l)l} \\ &= \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ v-k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} v-s+j \\ v-k \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-s \\ v-k \end{bmatrix}_q} \\ &\times \left\{ 1 + \sum_{l=1}^s \frac{(q; q)_i (q; q)_j (q; q)_{v-k} (q; q)_{v-s+j-l} (q; q)_{k-s-i}}{(q; q)_{i-l} (q; q)_{j-l} (q; q)_{v-k-l} (q; q)_{v-s+j} (q; q)_{k-s-i+l}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{q^{(k-s-i+l)l}}{(q; q)_l} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-i \\ v-k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} v-s+j \\ v-k \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-s \\ v-k \end{bmatrix}_q} \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{(q^{-i}; q)_l (q^{-j}; q)_l (q^{-v+k}; q)_l}{(q^{-v+s-j}; q)_l (q^{k-s-i+1}; q)_l (q; q)_l} q^l. \end{aligned}$$

In above, the notation $(a; q)_n$ is defined by the following

$$(a; q)_n := \begin{cases} 1, & n=0, \\ (1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1}) & n=1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Note that we have that for any positive integers a, b with $a \geq b > l \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix} \right]_q &= \frac{(q; q)_a}{(q; q)_b (q; q)_{a-b}}, \\ \frac{(q; q)_a}{(q; q)_{a-l}} &= q^{al - \binom{l}{2}} (q^{-a}; q)_l = (q^{a-l+1}; q)_l. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can use a q -analogue of Saalschütz summation formula ([G-R]p. 13):

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_l (b; q)_l (q^{-n}; q)_l}{(c; q)_l (abc^{-1}q^{-n+1}; q)_l (q; q)_l} q^l = \frac{(ca^{-1}; a)_n (cb^{-1}; q)_n}{(c; q)_n (c(ab)^{-1}; q)_n}.$$

By putting $a := q^{-i}$, $b := q^{-v+k}$, $c := q^{k-s-i+1}$, $n := j$ in the above formula, we have that

$$\sum_{l=0}^s \frac{(q^{-i}; q)_l (q^{-j}; q)_l (q^{-v+k}; q)_l}{(q^{-v+s-j}; q)_l (q^{k-s-i+1}; q)_l (q; q)_l} q^l = \frac{(q^{k-s+1}; q)_j (q^{v-s-i+1}; q)_j}{(q^{k-s-i+1}; q)_j (q^{v-s+1}; q)_j}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^s L_{il} D_{ul} U_{lj} &= \frac{\left[\begin{matrix} v-s-i \\ v-k \end{matrix} \right]_q \left[\begin{matrix} v-s+j \\ v-k \end{matrix} \right]_q}{\left[\begin{matrix} v-s \\ v-k \end{matrix} \right]_q} \\ &= \frac{(q; q)_{k-s+j} (q; q)_{v-s-i+j} (q; q)_{k-s-i} (q; q)_{v-s}}{(q; q)_{k-s} (q; q)_{v-s-i} (q; q)_{k-s-i+j} (q; q)_{v-s+j}} \\ &= \frac{(q; q)_{v-s-i}}{(q; q)_{v-k} (q; q)_{k-s-i}} \frac{(q; q)_{v-s+j}}{(q; q)_{v-k} (q; q)_{k-s+j}} \\ &= \frac{(q; q)_{v-k} (q; q)_{k-s}}{(q; q)_{v-s}} \\ &\times \frac{(q; q)_{k-s+j} (q; q)_{v-s-i+j} (q; q)_{k-s-i} (q; q)_{v-s}}{(q; q)_{k-s} (q; q)_{v-s-i} (q; q)_{k-s-i+j} (q; q)_{v-s+j}} \\ &= \frac{(q; q)_{v-s-i+j}}{(q; q)_{v-k} (q; q)_{k-s-i+j}} = \left[\begin{matrix} v-s-i+j \\ v-k \end{matrix} \right]_q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$LDU = \left(\left[\begin{matrix} v-s-i+j \\ v-k \end{matrix} \right]_q \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq s}$$

for $L = ((L_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq s})$, $D = ((D_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq s})$, $U = ((U_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq s})$. Clearly, L (resp. U) is a lower (resp. upper) matrix. Hence

$$\det\left(\left[\begin{array}{c} v-s-i+j \\ v-k \end{array}\right]_q\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq s} = \prod_{i=0}^s \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c} v-s-i \\ k-s \end{array}\right]_q}{\left[\begin{array}{c} k-s+i \\ i \end{array}\right]_q} q^{(k-s)i}.$$

The lemma is proved. □

Since

$$\det(N_s N_s^T) = \prod_{i=0}^s \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} k-s \\ s-i \end{array}\right]_q \lambda_s^i \right)_{[i]_q}^{[v]_q} - [i-1]_q,$$

we obtain the following corollary easily.

COROLLARY 3.2. *The following conditions on a commutative ring R are equivalent :*

- (i) $\det(N_s N_s^T)$ is invertible,
- (ii) $\prod_{i=0}^s \lambda_s^i$ is invertible.

□

4. An equivariant Fisher's inequality for t -designs over F_q

Let V be a v -dimensional vector space over F_q and let $\mathfrak{B} \subset \left[\begin{array}{c} V \\ k \end{array} \right]$ a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design with $2s \leq t$ on which a finite group G acts.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let R be a commutative ring in which $\prod_{i=0}^s \lambda_s^i$ is invertible. Let $\mathfrak{F} : \mathbf{Hec}(G, R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ be an additive functor to an additive category \mathfrak{C} . Then $R \left[\begin{array}{c} V \\ s \end{array} \right]$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}(R \left[\begin{array}{c} V \\ s \end{array} \right])$) is isomorphic to a direct summand of $R\mathfrak{B}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}(R\mathfrak{B})$) in $\mathbf{Hec}(G, R)$ (resp. \mathfrak{C}).*

PROOF. We define linear maps φ and φ' as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R\mathfrak{B} &\rightarrow R \left[\begin{array}{c} V \\ s \end{array} \right]; & b &\mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \left[\begin{array}{c} X \\ s \end{array} \right], \alpha \subset b} \alpha \\ \varphi' : R \left[\begin{array}{c} V \\ s \end{array} \right] &\rightarrow R\mathfrak{B}; & \alpha &\mapsto \sum_{b \in \mathfrak{B}, \alpha \subset b} b, \end{aligned}$$

so that φ, φ' correspond to the s^{th} incidence matrices N_s, N_s^T respectively. By Corollary 3.2 and the assumption of this proposition, we have that $\det(N_s N_s^T)$ is invertible in R , and so the linear map $\varphi \circ \varphi'$ is an R -isomorphism in $\mathbf{Hec}(G, R)$. Furthermore since \mathfrak{F} is an additive functor, $\mathfrak{F}\left(R \left[\begin{array}{c} V \\ s \end{array} \right]\right)$ is

isomorphic to a direct summand of $\mathfrak{F}(R\mathfrak{B})$ in additive category \mathfrak{C} . \square

Now, we define a functor \mathfrak{F}_Y for any finite G -set Y as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_Y : \mathbf{Hec}(G, F) &\xrightarrow{\otimes_{FY}} \mathbf{Hec}(G, F) \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_p} \mathbf{Hec}(N_G(P), F) \\ &; FA \mapsto FA \otimes_F FY = F[A \times Y] \mapsto F[(A \times Y)^P] = F[A^P \times Y^P], \end{aligned}$$

where F is a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and P is a p -subgroup of G . Then \mathfrak{F}_Y is an F -additive functor (see [I-Y]).

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{B} \subset \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ is a t -($v, k, \lambda; q$) design with $2s \leq t$ on which a finite group G acts. Let P be a normal p -subgroup of G . Assume that the prime p does not divide $\prod_{i=0}^s \lambda_i^i$. Then the following hold :*

$$(1) \quad \left| \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P / G \right| \leq |\mathfrak{B}^P / G|$$

In particular, if G is a p -group, then $\left| \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^G \right| \leq |\mathfrak{B}^G|$.

$$(2) \quad \left| \left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P \times \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P \right) / G \right| \leq \left| \left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P \times \mathfrak{B}^P \right) / G \right| \leq |(\mathfrak{B}^P \times \mathfrak{B}^P) / G|.$$

PROOF. Let F be a field of characteristic $p > 0$.

(1) By Lemma 1.3, the Brauer functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Br}_P : \mathbf{Hec}(G, F) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Hec}(N_G(P), F) \\ &; F[A] \mapsto F[A^P], \end{aligned}$$

is an F -additive functor. Since

$$\text{Br}_P \left(F \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right] \right) = F \left[\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P \right], \quad \text{Br}_P(F\mathfrak{B}) = F[\mathfrak{B}^P],$$

Proposition 4.1 implies that $F \left[\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P \right]$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $F[\mathfrak{B}^P]$ in $\mathbf{Hec}(G, F)$. Moreover, G -fixed-point submodules $\left(F \left[\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P \right] \right)^G$ and $(F[\mathfrak{B}^P])^G$ are isomorphic to $F \left[\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P / G \right]$ and $F[\mathfrak{B}^P / G]$ respectively. Therefore

$$\left| \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right]^P / G \right| \leq |\mathfrak{B}^P / G|.$$

(2) Since \mathfrak{F}_Y is an F -additive functor, $\mathfrak{F}_Y\left(F\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right]\right)$ is isomorphic to direct summand of $\mathfrak{F}_Y(F\mathfrak{B})$ by Proposition 4.1. Applying this to $Y = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, \mathfrak{B} and using a similar way as in the proof of (1), we can easily prove (2). □

REMARK. Let V be a v -dimensional vector space over \mathbf{F}_q and $\mathfrak{B} \subset \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ a t - $(v, k, \lambda; q)$ design with $2s \leq t$, on which a finite group G acts.

Assume that q is a power of the prime number p . Since $\lambda_s^i = \lambda_s^{i-1} - q^{i-1} \lambda_{s+1}^{i-1}$ by Lemma 2.1 in [Su 3],

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^s \lambda_s^i &= \lambda_s \prod_{i=1}^s (\lambda_s^{i-1} - q^{i-1} \lambda_{s+1}^{i-1}) \\ &= \lambda_s (\lambda_s^1)^s \quad \text{in } \mathbf{F}_q. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lambda_s^1 = \lambda \frac{\begin{bmatrix} v-s-1 \\ k-s \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} v-t \\ k-t \end{bmatrix}_q} q^{k-s}$$

by Lemma 2.2 (2), p divides $\prod_{i=0}^s \lambda_s^i$.

Hence, if q is a power of p , $\prod_{i=0}^s \lambda_s^i$ is not invertible in \mathbf{F}_q . Therefore, the results in Corollary 3.2 cannot be applicable if q is power of p .

References

- [C] L. CHIHARA, *Applications of the Askey-Wilson polynomials to association schemes*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Minnesota (1985).
- [D] P. DELSARTE, *An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory*, Philip Res. Rep. Suppl. **10** (1973).
- [G-R] G. GASPER, and M. RAHMAN, *Basic Hypergeometric series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [I-T] T. ITOH, and T. YOSHIDA *Brauer functors and Fisher's inequality for t -design with group action*, preprint.
- [M] A. MUNEMASA, *An analogue of t -designs in the association schemes of alternating bilinear forms*, Graphs and Combinatorics **2** (1986), 259-267.
- [St] D. STANTON, *t -designs in Classical Association Schemes*, Graphs and Combinatorics **2** (1986), 283-286.
- [Su 1] H. SUZUKI, *2-designs over $GF(2^m)$* , Graphs and Combinatorics **6** (1990), 293-296.
- [Su 2] H. SUZUKI, *2-designs over $GF(q)$* , Graphs and Combinatorics **8** (1992), 381-389.

- [Su 3] H. SUZUKI, *On the inequalities of t -designs over a finite field*, Europ. Journal of Combinatorics **11** (1990), 601-607.
- [T] S. THOMAS, *Design over finite fields*, Geometriae Dedicata **24** (1987), 237-242.
- [W] R. WILSON, *Incidence Matrices of t -Designs*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications **46** (1982), 73-82.

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Kita 10 Nishi 8, Sapporo 060, JAPAN