

# THE STAIRCASE REPRESENTATION OF BIQUASITRIANGULAR OPERATORS

Ciprian Foias, Carl Pearcy, and Dan Voiculescu

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex, separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Several years ago, P. R. Halmos [9] introduced the remarkable class of *quasitriangular* operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , which we shall denote by (QT). One consequence of the subsequent study of this class (see the list of references) was the spectral characterization of non-quasitriangular operators [2, Theorem 5.4]. In particular, this theorem implies that every non-quasitriangular operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace (along with its adjoint), and thus attention now naturally focuses on the class

$$(\text{BQT}) = (\text{QT}) \cap (\text{QT})^*$$

of *biquasitriangular* operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For example, it was recently shown that (BQT) is the norm closure of the class (A) of all algebraic operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  [15], and the norm-closure of the class of all nilpotent operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  was also determined [3].

The purpose of this note is to present a striking matrix representation for biquasitriangular operators (which was used implicitly in [1] and [15]), and to deduce some consequences of the existence of this representation for the structure theory of biquasitriangular operators. If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we shall denote the spectrum of  $T$  by  $\sigma(T)$ , and the [left, right] Calkin spectrum of  $T$  by  $[\sigma_{\ell_e}(T), \sigma_{re}(T)] \sigma_e(T)$ . If  $T$  is a Fredholm operator, we write  $j(T)$  for the Fredholm index of  $T$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $T$  is a bounded operator mapping  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\ker(T) = \ker(T^*) = \{0\}$ , we say that  $T$  is a *quasiaffinity*.

## 2. THE STAIRCASE MATRIX

In what follows, we shall say that an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  has a *staircase-matrix representation* if there exists an orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$  of the form

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus \mathcal{H}_n,$$

where the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_n$  ( $1 \leq n < \infty$ ) are finite-dimensional, such that the matrix of  $T$  with respect to this decomposition has the form

---

Received April 9, 1975.

C. Pearcy gratefully acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation.

$$(2) \quad \left[ \begin{array}{cccccccc} A_1 & B_1 & & & & & & \\ & C_1 & & & & & & \\ & D_1 & A_2 & B_2 & & & & \\ & & & C_2 & & & & \\ & & & D_2 & \cdots & & & \\ & & & & & A_n & B_n & \\ & & & & & & C_n & \\ & & & & & & D_n & A_{n+1} \\ & & & & & & & \cdots \end{array} \right]$$

where all the entries except the  $A_n, B_n, C_n,$  and  $D_n$  are understood to be 0.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *An operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is biquasitriangular if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0,$  there exists a compact operator  $K_\varepsilon$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\|K_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$  and such that  $T - K_\varepsilon$  has a staircase-matrix representation.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  can be written as a sum  $T = S + K,$  where  $K$  is compact and  $S$  has a staircase-matrix representation of the form (2) with respect to a decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$  of the form (1). To show that  $T$  is biquasitriangular, it suffices, in view of the fact that (BQT) is invariant under compact perturbations [9], to show that  $S$  is biquasitriangular. Since the finite-dimensional subspaces

$$\mathcal{H}_1, \quad \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_3, \quad \dots, \quad \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2n+1}, \quad \dots$$

are all invariant under  $S,$  it follows easily from the definition [9] that  $S \in (QT).$  That  $S^* \in (QT)$  is just as obvious, since each of the finite-dimensional subspaces

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2, \quad \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_4, \quad \dots, \quad \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2n}, \quad \dots$$

is invariant under  $S^*.$  To prove the other half of the theorem, suppose now that  $T \in (BQT),$  and let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive number. Then, by virtue of the equivalent definitions of quasitriangularity given in [9], it follows easily that there exist increasing sequences  $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of finite-rank projections converging strongly to  $1 = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$  and satisfying the further conditions

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} P_n \mathcal{H} + T^* P_n \mathcal{H} \subset Q_n \mathcal{H} & (n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ Q_n \mathcal{H} + T Q_n \mathcal{H} \subset P_{n+1} \mathcal{H} & (n = 1, 2, \dots), \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \|(1 - P_n) T P_n\| \leq \varepsilon / 2^{n+2} & (n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ \|(1 - Q_n) T^* Q_n\| \leq \varepsilon / 2^{n+2} & (n = 1, 2, \dots). \end{cases}$$

It follows from (3) that

$$(5) \quad (1 - P_{n+1})TP_n = 0 = (1 - Q_{n+1})T^*Q_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$(6) \quad (1 - P_{n+1})Q_j = 0 = (1 - Q_n)P_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Moreover, the inequalities (4) imply that if  $K_\varepsilon$  is defined by the equation

$$K_\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [(1 - P_j)TP_j + Q_jT(1 - Q_j)],$$

then  $K_\varepsilon$  is a compact operator of norm less than  $\varepsilon$ . We define  $T_0 = T - K_\varepsilon$ . Then, by virtue of (5) and (6), we have the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - P_n)T_0P_n &= (1 - P_n)TP_n - (1 - P_n) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - P_j)TP_j + Q_jT(1 - Q_j) \right] P_n \\ (7) \quad &= (1 - P_n)TP_n - (1 - P_n) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - P_j)TP_j \right] P_n \\ &= (1 - P_n)TP_n - (1 - P_n) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - P_j)TP_j \right] P_n \\ &= (1 - P_n)TP_n - (1 - P_n)TP_n = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

By an analogous argument we conclude that

$$(8) \quad Q_nT_0(1 - Q_n) = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We define  $\mathcal{H}_1 = P_1\mathcal{H}$ , and for every positive integer  $n$  we set

$$\mathcal{H}_{2n} = (Q_n - P_n)\mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{2n+1} = (P_{n+1} - Q_n)\mathcal{H}.$$

It follows easily from (7) and (8) that the matrix of  $T_0 = T - K_\varepsilon$  with respect to the decomposition (1) has the form (2). Thus the theorem is proved.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be any biquasitriangular operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , and let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive number. Then there exists a compact operator  $K_\varepsilon$  of norm less than  $\varepsilon$  such that the operator  $T - K_\varepsilon$  has a staircase-matrix representation of the form (2), where*

(a) for  $1 \leq n < \infty$ , each eigenvalue of  $A_n$  [respectively,  $C_n$ ] has algebraic multiplicity one,

(b) for  $1 \leq i, j < \infty$  and  $i \neq j$ ,  $\sigma(A_i) \cap \sigma(A_j) = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma(C_i) \cap \sigma(C_j) = \emptyset$ ,

(c) for  $1 \leq i, j < \infty$ ,  $\sigma(A_i) \cap \sigma(C_j) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that every operator  $T_0$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  that has a staircase-matrix representation can be perturbed by a block-diagonal compact operator  $K$  of arbitrarily small norm in such a way that (a), (b), and (c) become valid for the

staircase matrix (2) of  $T_0 + K$ . One begins by perturbing the (1, 1)-entry of the staircase matrix for  $T_0$ ; then one perturbs the (2, 2)-entry, and proceeds by induction.

### 3. SOME CONSEQUENCES

We shall now deduce some consequences of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2. Recall that two operators  $A$  and  $B$  acting on Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ , respectively, are called *quasisimilar* if there exist bounded operators  $X: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  and  $Y: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  with trivial kernels and trivial cokernels such that  $XA = BX$  and  $AY = YB$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \in (\text{BQT})$ ,
- (ii)  $T = T_0 + K$ , where  $K$  is compact and  $T_0$  is quasisimilar to a normal operator,
- (iii) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact operator  $K_\varepsilon$  such that  $\|K_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$  and such that  $T - K_\varepsilon$  is quasisimilar to a diagonal normal operator.

*Proof.* That (iii) implies (ii) is obvious. Moreover, since every normal operator has the spectral splitting property (see [2] for a discussion of this concept) and quasisimilarity preserves the spectral splitting property ([6, Proposition 10.1]), the fact that (ii) implies (i) follows from the result that every operator with the spectral splitting property is quasitriangular [2, Proposition 1.3]. To complete the proof, we shall establish that (i) implies (iii). Thus, let  $T$  belong to (BQT), and let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a compact operator  $K_\varepsilon$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\|K_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$  and such that  $T' = T - K_\varepsilon$  has a staircase-matrix representation of the form (2) relative to a decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$  as an orthogonal direct sum of finite-dimensional subspaces of the form (1). Moreover, by Corollary 2.2 we may assume that the entries  $A_n$  and  $C_n$  of the matrix (2) for  $T'$  satisfy (a), (b), and (c) in the statement of Corollary 2.2. We shall complete the proof of the theorem by showing that  $T'$  is quasisimilar to a diagonal normal operator. For each positive integer  $n$ , we define in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  the finite-rank projections  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  by

$$P_n \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2n-1},$$

$$Q_n \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2n}.$$

From the form of the matrix (2) for  $T'$ , we note that  $T P_n \mathcal{H} \subset P_n \mathcal{H}$  and  $T^* Q_n \mathcal{H} \subset Q_n \mathcal{H}$  for all  $n$ . We define  $T_n = T \upharpoonright P_n \mathcal{H}$ , and we observe that

$$(10) \quad \sigma(T_n) = \left[ \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sigma(A_k) \right] \cup \left[ \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \sigma(C_k) \right].$$

(Perhaps the easiest way to see this is to note that  $T_n$  is unitarily equivalent to the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} A_1 & 0 & B_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ & A_2 & D_1 & 0 & B_2 & \\ & & C_1 & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & A_3 & D_2 & \\ & & & & C_2 & \\ & 0 & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & A_n & D_{n-1} \\ & & & & & & & C_{n-1} \end{array} \right]$$

via a unitary permutation matrix.) Therefore  $T_n$  has  $d_n = \text{rank } P_n$  distinct eigenvalues and a corresponding collection of  $d_n$  linearly independent eigenvectors (which necessarily span  $P_n \mathcal{H}$ ). Consequently, there exists a sequence  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of unit eigenvectors of  $T'$  such that  $f_1, \dots, f_{d_n}$  are eigenvectors of  $T_n$  and span  $P_n \mathcal{H}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Therefore, if  $T' f_j = \lambda_j f_j$  ( $1 \leq j < \infty$ ), then

$$\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{d_n}\} = \sigma(A_1) \cup \sigma(C_1) \cup \dots \cup \sigma(A_n),$$

and consequently all the numbers  $\lambda_j$  are distinct. Consider now on  $(\ell_2)$  the diagonal normal operator  $N$  defined by

$$N(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (\lambda_1 \xi_1, \lambda_2 \xi_2, \dots), \quad (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in (\ell_2)$$

and also the operator  $X: (\ell_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$X(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\xi_n}{n^2} f_n.$$

An easy calculation shows that  $X$  is bounded, and another one shows that  $XN = T' X$ . Furthermore, it is clear that the range of  $X$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . We shall now show that  $\ker X = \{0\}$ . To this end, suppose that to the contrary there exists a nonzero vector  $z = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  in  $(\ell_2)$  such that

$$Xz = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\xi_n}{n^2} f_n = 0.$$

Choose  $n_0$  large enough so that  $\xi_k \neq 0$  for some  $k$  satisfying  $k \leq d_{n_0}$ . Then, for  $1 \leq j < \infty$ ,

$$(Q_{n_0} T' Q_{n_0})(Q_{n_0} f_j) = Q_{n_0} T' f_j = \lambda_j(Q_{n_0} f_j).$$

Thus either  $Q_{n_0} f_j = 0$ , or else  $Q_{n_0} f_j$  is an eigenvector for  $Q_{n_0} T' Q_{n_0}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Since

$$\sigma(Q_{n_0} T' Q_{n_0}) = \sigma(A_1) \cup \sigma(C_1) \cup \dots \cup \sigma(A_{n_0}) \cup \sigma(C_{n_0}) \subset \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d_{n_0+1}}\},$$

by an argument like the one that established (10), we see that  $Q_{n_0} f_j = 0$  for all  $j > d_{n_0+1}$ . Furthermore,  $\zeta_k Q_{n_0} f_k = \zeta_k f_k \neq 0$ , and consequently the equation

$$0 = Q_{n_0} X z = \sum_{j=1}^{d_{n_0+1}} \frac{\zeta_j}{n^2} Q_{n_0} f_j$$

contradicts the fact that those  $\zeta_j Q_{n_0} f_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d_{n_0+1}$ ) that are nonzero are eigenvectors for  $Q_{n_0} T' Q_{n_0}$  corresponding to different eigenvalues. Thus  $\ker X = \{0\}$ , and therefore  $X$  is a quasiaffinity satisfying the condition

$$(11) \quad XN = T' X.$$

Next we note that if the decomposition (1) of  $\mathcal{H}$  is replaced by another decomposition

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2 \oplus \dots,$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  and  $\mathcal{K}_n = \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), then the matrix for  $(T')^*$  relative to the decomposition (12) again has the form (2) for certain operators  $\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{B}_n, \tilde{C}_n$ , and  $\tilde{D}_n$ . Furthermore, by virtue of the relation between the  $\tilde{A}_n$  and  $\tilde{C}_n$  and the  $A_n$  and  $C_n$ , it is easy to see that the sets  $\sigma(\tilde{A}_n)$  and  $\sigma(\tilde{C}_n)$  satisfy conditions (a), (b), and (c) of Corollary 2.2. Thus we can repeat the construction just given for  $T'$  with  $(T')^*$ , and it follows that there exist a normal operator  $N_*$  on  $(\ell_2)$  and a quasiaffinity  $Y$  such that

$$(13) \quad (T')^* Y = Y N_*.$$

We can combine the equations (11) and (13) to obtain the equation

$$(14) \quad (N_*)^* (Y^* X) = (Y^* X) N.$$

Since  $Y^* X$  is a quasiaffinity, it follows that  $N$  and  $(N_*)^*$  are unitarily equivalent (see [14, p. 71]). If we write  $U^* N U = (N_*)^*$  and take adjoints in (13), we obtain the equation

$$(15) \quad (U Y^*) T' = N (U Y^*).$$

But (11) and (15) imply that  $T'$  is quasisimilar to the diagonal normal operator  $N$ , and thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

#### 4. QUASISIMILARITIES OF BIQUASITRIANGULAR OPERATORS

In this section we show by giving some examples that the property of being bi-quasitriangular is not preserved under quasisimilarity.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *There exists a biquasitriangular operator that is quasisimilar to a non-quasitriangular operator.*

*Proof.* In [14, Chapter VI, Section 4.2], a contraction  $T_0$  was constructed that is quasisimilar to a unitary operator  $V$  and has the further property that

$$\sigma(T_0) = \sigma_{\ell_e}(T_0) = \sigma_e(T_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

Let  $T = T_0 \oplus S$ , where  $S$  is a unilateral shift operator of multiplicity one. Then the spectrum of  $T$  and the left essential spectrum of  $T$  are again the closed unit disc, and it follows from the spectral characterization of quasitriangular operators [2, Theorem 5.4] that  $T$  is biquasitriangular. On the other hand,  $T$  is obviously quasisimilar to  $V \oplus S$ , which fails to be quasitriangular since the Fredholm index of  $V \oplus S$  at the origin is  $-1$ .

The following proposition is known and extremely useful.

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *Suppose that for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are similar operators. Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus A_n$  is quasisimilar to  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus B_n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S_n A_n = B_n S_n$ , where for every  $n$ ,  $S_n$  is an invertible operator. Then

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus \alpha_n S_n \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus A_n \right) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus B_n \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus \alpha_n S_n \right)$$

and

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus A_n \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus \beta_n S_n^{-1} \right) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus \beta_n S_n^{-1} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus B_n \right),$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  are sequences of positive numbers chosen to make the quasiaffinities  $\sum \oplus \alpha_n S_n$  and  $\sum \oplus \beta_n S_n^{-1}$  bounded. The result follows.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *There exists an operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  of the form  $N + K$ , where  $N$  is normal and  $K$  is compact, that is quasisimilar to a non-quasitriangular operator.*

*Proof.* For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $L_n$  be the weighted shift operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_n$  of dimension  $2n$  whose matrix relative to some orthonormal basis for the space has the weight sequence

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}, \dots, \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}, 1, 1, \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}, \dots, \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Then the operator  $L = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus L_n$  (popularly called the Lancaster operator or the Gabriel operator) acting on  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus \mathcal{H}_n$  is known to be of the form  $N_1 + K_1$ , where  $N_1$  is normal and  $K_1$  is compact by virtue of the Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theorem [5]. But each  $L_n$  is obviously similar to a weighted shift  $G_n$  on  $\mathcal{H}_n$  whose weight sequence is the constant sequence  $\{1/n\}$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $L$  is quasisimilar to the operator  $G = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus G_n$ , which is clearly compact. Thus we conclude that if  $S$  denotes a unilateral shift operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  of multiplicity one, then  $L \oplus S$  is quasisimilar to  $G \oplus S$ . It is easy to verify that  $\sigma_e(L)$  is the unit disc. Hence  $L \oplus S$  is also the sum of a normal operator and a compact operator, by the Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theorem [5], and  $G \oplus S$  is non-quasitriangular because at points  $\lambda$  of the open unit disc where  $G - \lambda$  is invertible,  $j[(G \oplus S) - \lambda] = -1$ . Thus the proof is complete.

## 5. OPERATORS COMMUTING WITH COMPACT OPERATORS

V. J. Lomonosov's beautiful theorem (see [11], [13]) says that every nonscalar operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  that commutes with some nonzero compact operator has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace. This gives rise to the question: Is it possible for a compact quasiaffinity to commute with a non-quasitriangular operator? The first piece of evidence in this direction shows that such commuting is not easy.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $K$  and  $T$  be nonzero operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $K$  is a compact quasiaffinity. If  $T$  has the property that there exists at least one scalar  $\lambda_0$  such that  $T - \lambda_0$  is a Fredholm operator of nonzero (necessarily finite) index, then  $K$  does not commute with  $T$ .*

*Proof.* We may suppose, without loss of generality, that  $j(T - \lambda_0) > 0$ . (For, if  $j(T - \lambda_0) < 0$ , we can apply the argument to  $T^*$  and  $K^*$ .) By the Fredholm theory, there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of the point  $\lambda_0$  such that for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \ker(T - \lambda)$  is a nonzero finite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose now that, contrary to the theorem,  $TK = KT$ . Then  $(T - \lambda)K = K(T - \lambda)$  for every scalar  $\lambda$ , and it follows that all of the subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \mathcal{N}$ ) are invariant under  $K$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$  is finite-dimensional,  $K|_{\mathcal{M}_\lambda}$  must have a nonzero eigenvalue  $\mu_\lambda$  and an associated eigenspace  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ . Since  $K$  is compact, the collection  $\{\mu_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}}$  must be at most countable, and thus there exists an uncountable subset  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\mu_{\lambda_1} = \mu_{\lambda_2}$  for all  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ . If for each  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  we choose a unit vector  $f_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ , then the space  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \{f_\lambda\}$  must be infinite-dimensional (because each  $f_\lambda$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ ). This contradicts the compactness of  $K$ , and the proof is complete.

The preceding theorem and the spectral characterization of non-quasitriangular operators [5, Theorem 5.4] yield the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 5.2.** *If  $K$  is a compact quasiaffinity on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $K$  commutes with a non-biquasitriangular operator  $T$ , then for every scalar  $\lambda$  such that  $T - \lambda$  is a semi-Fredholm operator,  $j(T - \lambda) = \pm\infty$ .*

We observe that this phenomenon can actually occur.

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *There exist a compact quasiaffinity  $K$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  and a non-quasitriangular operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $KT = TK$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the classical Volterra operator; that is, let

$$(Vf)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt \quad (f \in L_2[0, 1]).$$

Then (see [8])  $V$  is similar to  $V/2$ . In other words, there exists an invertible operator  $X$  on  $L_2[0, 1]$  such that  $V/2 = XVX^{-1}$ . We set

$$\mathcal{H} = L_2[0, 1] \oplus L_2[0, 1] \oplus \cdots$$

and define  $K$  and  $T$  by the matrices



$$\begin{pmatrix} V & & & & 0 \\ & V/2 & & & \\ & & V/4 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 \\ X & 0 & & & \\ & X & 0 & & \\ & & X & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & X & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Then it is clear that  $TK = KT$ , and  $T$  is not quasitriangular, since  $T$  is a semi-Fredholm operator with  $j(T) = -\infty$ . Since  $K$  is obviously a compact quasiaffinity, the proof is complete.

In the positive direction, we can report the following.

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Every biquasitriangular operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  is the sum of a compact operator of arbitrarily small norm and an operator commuting with a compact quasiaffinity whose eigenvectors span  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $T$  is biquasitriangular, then by virtue of Theorem 3.1, there exists a compact operator  $K_1$  of arbitrarily small norm such that  $T_1 = T - K_1$  is quasi-similar to a diagonal normal operator  $D$ . Moreover, the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that the eigenvalues of  $D$  may all be taken to have (algebraic and geometric) multiplicity one. This implies, of course, that the commutant  $\{D\}'$  of  $D$  is abelian and consists entirely of diagonal operators. Let  $K_2$  be a compact normal diagonal operator of multiplicity one commuting with  $D$ , and let  $X$  and  $Y$  be quasiaffinities satisfying the conditions  $T_1 X = XD$  and  $Y T_1 = DY$ . Then  $YX$  commutes with  $D$ , and since  $\{D\}'$  is abelian, we see that  $K_2 YX = YX K_2$ . We now define  $K = X K_2 Y$  and  $Z = K_2 YX$ . Calculation shows that  $K$  commutes with  $T_1$  and that  $KX = XZ$ . Since  $Z$  is the product of the two commuting diagonal operators  $K_2$  and  $YX$ , the eigenvectors of  $Z$  span  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $Xf$  is an eigenvector for  $K$  whenever  $f$  is an eigenvector for  $Z$ , the eigenvectors of  $K$  must span  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $K$  is obviously compact, the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 5.5.** *An operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is biquasitriangular if and only if  $T$  can be written as a sum  $T = K_1 + T_1$ , where  $K_1$  is compact and  $T_1$  commutes with a compact quasiaffinity whose eigenvectors span  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Half of the corollary follows from Theorem 5.4. To prove the other half, suppose  $T = T_1 + K_1$ , where  $T_1$  and  $K_1$  are as in the statement of the corollary. Examination of the proof of the preceding theorem shows that the compact quasiaffinity  $K$  that commutes with  $T_1$  and whose eigenvectors span  $\mathcal{H}$  has the property that the eigenvectors of  $K^*$  also span  $\mathcal{H}$ . It follows easily that  $T_1$  and  $T_1^*$  have the spectral splitting property, and thus they are quasitriangular along with  $T$  and  $T^*$  [2]. Thus the proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol and C. Foiaş, *On the distance to the biquasitriangular operators*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. (to appear).
2. C. Apostol, C. Foiaş, and D. Voiculescu, *Some results on non-quasitriangular operators. IV*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 18 (1973), 487-514.
3. ———, *On the norm-closure of nilpotents. II*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 19 (1974), 549-557.

4. I. D. Berg, *An extension of the Weyl-von Neumann theorem to normal operators*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (1971), 365-371.
5. L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas, and P. A. Fillmore, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extensions of  $C^*$ -algebras*. Proc. Conf. Operator Theory, Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, 1973. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 345, pp. 58-128. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
6. R. G. Douglas and C. Pearcy, *A note on quasitriangular operators*. Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 177-188.
7. ———, *Invariant subspaces of non-quasitriangular operators*. Proc. Conf. Operator Theory, Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, 1973. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 345, pp. 13-57. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
8. C. Foiaș and J. P. Williams, *Some remarks on the Volterra operator*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 177-184.
9. P. R. Halmos, *Quasitriangular operators*. Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) 29 (1968), 283-293.
10. ———, *Ten problems in Hilbert space*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 887-933.
11. V. I. Lomonosov, *Invariant subspaces for the family of operators which commute with a completely continuous operator*. Funkcional Anal. i Priolžen 7 no. 3 (1973), 55-56.
12. C. Pearcy and N. Salinas, *An invariant-subspace theorem*. Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973), 21-31.
13. C. Pearcy and A. Shields, *A survey of the Lomonosov technique in the theory of invariant subspaces*. Topics in operator theory, pp. 219-229. Math. Surveys No. 13, American Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1974.
14. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaș, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam; American Elsevier, New York; Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1970.
15. D. Voiculescu, *Norm-limits of algebraic operators*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 19 (1974), 371-378.

University of Bucharest, Roumania

and

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109