THE WEAK CONTINUITY OF METRIC PROJECTIONS

Clifford A. Kottman and Bor-Luh Lin

Let X be a Banach space, and let M be a closed subspace in X. Define $P_{\rm M}$ to be the metric projection (nearest-point operator, best-approximation operator) supported by M; that is, if x is an element of X, then

$$P_{M}(x) = \{ y \in M | \|x - y\| = \inf_{z \in M} \|x - z\| \}.$$

M is said to be a Chebyshev subspace provided $P_{M}(x)$ is a singleton for each x in X.

There has been recent interest [2], [3], [7] in the continuity behavior of the metric projection P_M , especially when continuity is determined by topological conditions on the kernel $P_M^{-1}(\theta) = \left\{ x \in X \middle| P_M(x) = \theta \right\}$ [2]. The purpose of this paper is to establish sufficient conditions for the metric projection to be weakly continuous (that is, continuous as a mapping from the weak topology to the weak topology). The main result is Theorem 1. Theorem 2 and its corollaries are intended to simplify the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Theorem 3 is an extension of the result for the bwtopology. Two examples at the end of the paper establish the necessity of some of the hypotheses.

For the weak sequential topology, R. B. Holmes has recently proved a result [2, Theorem 11] analogous to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1. If M is a finite-dimensional Chevyshev subspace of X such that $P_M^{-1}(\theta)$ is weakly closed, then P_M is weakly continuous.

Proof. Let $\{u_{\alpha}\}$ be a net converging weakly to u in X. We shall show that $\{P_M(u_{\alpha})\}$ converges weakly to $P_M(u)$. We may assume $P_M(u) = \theta$. Let $S_M = \{x \in M \mid \|x\| = 1\}$ and $U_M = \{x \in M \mid \|x\| < 1\}$. Because S_M is weakly compact, $S_M + P_M^{-1}(\theta)$ is weakly closed. We claim that $V = P_M^{-1}(U_M)$ is weakly open. Supposing to the contrary that there is a net $\{y_{\beta}\}$ in $X \sim V$ that is convergent weakly to a point y in V, we have the inequality $\|P_M(y_{\beta})\| \geq 1$ for each β , while $\|P_M(y)\| < 1$. Using the fact that P_M is norm-continuous (see for example [6, page 347]), we obtain for each β a number $t_{\beta} \in [0, 1]$ and a point $v_{\beta} = t_{\beta}y_{\beta} + (1 - t_{\beta})y$ such that $\|P_M(v_{\beta})\| = 1$, in other words, such that $\{v_{\beta}\} \subset S_M + P_M^{-1}(\theta) = P_M^{-1}(S_M)$. Because $\{v_{\beta}\}$ converges weakly to y and $S_M + P_M^{-1}(\theta)$ is weakly closed, y is an element of $X \sim V$, a contradiction. Thus V is weakly open, and since $u \in V$, we see that $\{u_{\alpha}\}$ is eventually in V. Hence $\{P_M(u_{\alpha})\}$ is eventually in U_M , and therefore it has a norm cluster point, say z. Taking subnets if necessary, we may assume that $\{P_M(u_{\alpha})\}$ converges in norm to z. For each α , let

$$d_{\alpha} = \inf \left\{ \left\| \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{x} \right\| \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}_{M}^{-1}(\mathbf{z}) \right\}.$$

Then $\{d_{\alpha}\}$ converges to 0, since $u_{\alpha}+(z-P_{M}(u_{\alpha}))$ is in $P_{M}^{-1}(z)$ for each α , and $\{z-P_{M}(u_{\alpha})\}$ converges in norm to θ . If for each α we choose $w_{\alpha}\in P_{M}^{-1}(z)$ so

Received October 17, 1969.

Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970).

that $\|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{w}_{\alpha}\| \leq 2d_{\alpha}$, then $\{\mathbf{w}_{\alpha}\}$ converges weakly to \mathbf{u} . However, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}(\theta)$ is weakly closed; therefore \mathbf{u} is in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}(\mathbf{z})$, and thus $\mathbf{z} = \theta$.

For points x and y of X, define

$$E(x, y) = \{z \in X | ||x - z|| = ||y - z|| \},$$

the equidistant set introduced by G. K. Kalisch and E. G. Straus [4]. In the following theorem and its corollaries, we continue the investigation begun by V. Klee [5] concerning the connection between the weak closure of equidistant sets and the weak continuity of metric projections. In the remainder of this paper, $[\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}]$ denotes the closed linear span of the family $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$.

LEMMA 1. If x is an element of X such that [x] is a Chebyshev subspace, then $P_{[x]}(E(-x, x)) \subset \{tx \mid -1 \le t \le 1\}$.

Proof. If y is an element of E(-x, x) but $P_{[x]}(y)$ is not an element of $\{tx \mid -1 \le t \le 1\}$, then the ball of radius $\|x-y\|$ centered at y would not be convex (x and -x are on its boundary, and $P_{[x]}(y)$ is in its interior).

Actually, a much stronger form of Lemma 1 is true. In fact, it can be shown that E(-x, x) and $P_{[x]}^{-1}(\theta)$ are nearly parallel in the sense that if y is a point in one of the sets, there exists a point z in the other set such that $\|y - z\| \le \|x\|$ and $y - z \in [x]$.

THEOREM 2. If x is a point in X such that [x] is a Chebyshev subspace and E(-x, x) is weakly closed, then P[x] is weakly continuous.

Proof. Since E(-x, x) is weakly closed, the sets

$$E(\theta, 2x) = E(-x, x) + x$$
 and $E(-2x, \theta) = E(-x, x) - x$

are also weakly closed. Let $V = E(-x, x) + \{tx | -1 < t < 1\}$; that is, let V be the set between $E(\theta, 2x)$ and $E(-2x, \theta)$. An argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that $X \sim V$ is weakly closed, and thus V is weakly open. Furthermore, Lemma 1 shows that $P_{[x]}(V) \subset \{tx | -2 \le t \le 2\}$. The remainder of the proof is like that of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. If [x] is a Chebyshev subspace and E(-x, x) is weakly closed, then $P_{[x]}^{-1}(\theta)$ is weakly closed.

COROLLARY 2. If X is smooth, $M = [x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ is a Chebyshev subspace, $[x_i]$ is a Chebyshev subspace, and $P_{[x_i]}^{-1}(\theta)$ is weakly closed for each i (i = 1, 2, ..., n), then P_M is weakly continuous.

Proof. Since X is smooth, we may apply a theorem of Holmes and Kripke [3, Proposition 4], which states that

$$P_{[\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}]}^{-1}(\theta) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} P_{[x_{\alpha}]}^{-1}(\theta). \blacksquare$$

In the light of Corollaries 1 and 2, one may consider Theorem 1 as a strengthening of a result of Klee [5, Proposition 2.5] for smooth spaces. We shall show later (Example 2) that this theorem is strictly stronger than Klee's in some cases (in particular, when X is smooth and strictly convex); in the process, we shall also show that the converses of Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 are false.

If we replace the weak topology by the slightly stronger bounded weak (bw-) topology, we can demonstrate an improved version of Theorem 1, replacing finite dimensionality by reflexivity. We do not know whether the corresponding result is true in the weak topology. Recall [1, page 41] that a subset of a Banach space is bw-closed provided its intersection with each bounded set is weakly closed relative to the bounded set.

THEOREM 3. Let X be a Banach space, and let M be a reflexive Chebyshev subspace such that $P_M^{-1}(\theta)$ is bw-closed. Then P_M is bw-continuous.

Proof. Let $\{x_{\alpha}\}$ be a bounded net converging weakly to x. Since $\|P_{M}(y)\| \le 2\|y\|$ for each y in X, it follows that $\{P_{M}(x_{\alpha})\}$ is bounded and hence has a w(M, M*)-cluster point, say z. That is, θ is a w(M, M*)-cluster point of $\{z - P_{M}(x_{\alpha})\}$, and thus x is a w(X, X*)-cluster point of $\{x_{\alpha} + (z - P_{M}(x_{\alpha}))\}$. But $x_{\alpha} + (z - P_{M}(x_{\alpha}))$ is in $P_{M}^{-1}(z)$ for each α, and $P_{M}^{-1}(z) = P_{M}^{-1}(\theta) + z$ is bw-closed. Thus $x \in P_{M}^{-1}(z)$. ■

COROLLARY 3. If X is smooth, $M = [\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}]$ is a reflexive subspace, and for each α , $[x_{\alpha}]$ is a Chebyshev subspace and either $P_{[x_{\alpha}]}^{-1}(\theta)$ or $E(-x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha})$ is bw-closed, then P_{M} is bw-continuous.

Proof. In view of the proof of Corollary 2, it suffices to show that if E(-x, x) is bw-closed and [x] is a Chebyshev subspace, then $P_{[x]}^{-1}(\theta)$ is bw-closed. This may be done either directly or in a manner parallel to the proof of Theorem 2 and Corollary 1.

Example 1. A one-dimensional Chebyshev subspace M such that P_M is not weakly (or bw-) continuous. Let $X=c_0$, the space of all sequences of real numbers converging to 0 with the sup-norm topology. Define M to be the one-dimensional space spanned by $x=(1,1/2,1/3,1/4,\cdots)$. It is easy to verify that M is a Chebyshev subspace. Let $x_n=(0,\cdots,0,2+1/n,0,\cdots)$ for $n=2,3,\cdots$, where the non-zero term occurs in the nth coordinate. Now $\|x-x_n\|=\|2x-x_n\|=2$ for each n, and hence $\{x_n\}\subset E(x,2x)$. Furthermore, $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to θ ; hence E(x,2x) (and hence E(-x,x)) is not weakly closed. To see that P_M is not weak continuous, notice that Lemma 1 implies that $P_M(x_n)$ is in $\{tx|\ 1\leq t\leq 2\}$ for each n, so that $\{P_M(x_n)\}$ does not converge weakly to θ .

Example 2. A two-dimensional Chebyshev subspace M of a reflexive space X, for which P_M is weakly continuous but E(-x, x) is not weakly closed for some $x \in M$. We begin by constructing a new norm on the separable Hilbert space ℓ_2 , such that the unit ball is like the usual ball except for a bump at the north pole and at the south pole. This may be done as follows. Let B be the unit ball of two-dimensional Euclidean space, that is, let $B = \{(x, y) | (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} \le 1\}$. Let C be some set containing B and satisfying the following four conditions.

- (i) C is closed, smooth, strictly convex, and symmetric with respect to the origin,
 - (ii) $(x, y) \in C$ if and only if $(x, -y) \in C$,
 - (iii) $B \cap D = C \cap D$, where $D = \{(x, y) | |y| \ge 1/2\}$, and
- (iv) there exists a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon, 0)$ lies on the boundary of C. Define $\|\cdot\|'$ to be the Minkowski functional induced on the two-dimensional space by C. Let $X = \ell_2$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined below. For $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots) \in \ell_2$, define

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \left\| \left(\xi_1, \left(\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} |\xi_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \right\|'.$$

Now if we choose $x_1 = (1 + \epsilon, 0, 0, \dots), x_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots),$ and

$$x_3 = (\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2, 0, 0, \cdots),$$

we can easily verify, by symmetry, that $E(-x_1, x_1)$ and $E(-x_2, x_2)$ are weakly closed hyperplanes, and hence, if we let $M = [x_1, x_2]$, we see from Corollary 2 that P_M is weakly continuous. However, $E(-x_3, x_3)$ agrees with a hyperplane except on a bounded set, and therefore it is not weakly closed (not even sequentially weakly closed). We note in addition that $P_{[x_3]}^{-1}(\theta)$ is the weakly closed hyperplane $\{x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots) | \xi_1 + \xi_2 = 0\}$, and that the converses of Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 are therefore false.

The authors wish to thank Professor R. B. Holmes for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N. F., Heft 21. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1962.
- 2. R. B. Holmes, On the continuity of the best approximation operators. Symposium on Infinite-Dimensional Topology (to appear).
- 3. R. Holmes and B. Kripke, Smoothness of approximation. Michigan Math. J. 15 (1968), 225-248.
- 4. G. K. Kalisch and E. G. Straus, On the determination of points in a Banach space by their distances from the points of a given set. An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. 29 (1957), 501-519.
- 5. V. L. Klee, Convexity of Chebyshev sets. Math. Ann. 142 (1960/61), 292-304.
- 6. G. Köthe, *Topologische lineare Räume*. I. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 107. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- 7. P. D. Morris, Metric projections onto subspaces of finite codimension. Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 799-808.

Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803
and
The University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52240