A THEOREM ON TWO-DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACES

John S. Griffin, Jr. and J. E. McLaughlin

In classical projective geometry, homogeneous coordinates for the line are customarily introduced by means of an algorithm. If one wished to give a formal definition, one might begin by observing that projective lines can be manufactured from two-dimensional vector spaces in a natural way; then a system of homogeneous coordinates for a line L in a projective space might possibly be defined as a one-to-one mapping, from L onto a line so constructed, which preserves projectivities.

More specifically, if V is a two-dimensional vector space over a division ring D, let Π_V be the family of all lines of V which pass through the origin; and for any nonzero vector v of V, let [v] be the unique member of Π_V to which v belongs. A map $p: \Pi_V \to \Pi_V$ will be called a *projectivity* if there is some nonsingular linear transformation $\alpha\colon V \to V$ such that $[v]p = [v\alpha]$ for all $v \in V$. Then, if L is a line in a projective space P, the map h constitutes a system of homogeneous coordinates for L provided, for some vector space V over a division ring D, the map h: $L \to \Pi_V$ is one-to-one onto and $p: \Pi_V \to \Pi_V$ is a projectivity if and only if hph⁻¹ is a projectivity of L (where projectivities of L are defined, as classically, to be sequences of perspectivities in P).

The question arises whether such a system of homogeneous coordinates is necessarily equivalent to the one given by the classical algorithm. Put algebraically, this question becomes: if V and W are two-dimensional vector spaces over division rings D and E, respectively, and if $f\colon \Pi_V \to \Pi_W$ is a one-to-one onto map which preserves projectivities, does there exist a semilinear isomorphism from V onto W which induces f? The map f induces a special isomorphism from the projective group of V onto the projective group of W, and a classical result due to Schreier and van der Waerden [5] tells us that if D and E are commutative and contain more than five elements, then any isomorphism between these groups yields an isomorphism of D onto E. Once we know that D and E are isomorphic, then Hua's determination of the automorphisms of the two-dimensional projective groups [4] yields the fact that f is indeed induced by a semilinear isomorphism of V onto W.

We shall show, below, that in general the map f induces either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism of D onto E and then, again by Hua's result, f is induced either by a semilinear isomorphism of V onto W, or by a semilinear isomorphism of V onto W* (the dual space of W), followed by the canonical map from W* to W.

We emphasize that our isomorphism of the projective group of V onto the projective group of W is a special one; and whether or not an arbitrary isomorphism yields an isomorphism or anti-isomorphism of D onto E remains an open question.

We thank the referee for several important remarks concerning our theorem.

THEOREM. Let V and W be two-dimensional vector spaces over division rings D and E, respectively, and suppose $f: \Pi_V \to \Pi_W$ is one-to-one onto. Suppose further that if G and H denote the respective projective groups, then the map $f^*: G \to H$

Received March 12, 1957.

This work was done while J. E. McLaughlin held a Summer Faculty Research Fellowship from the Horace Rackham School of the University of Michigan.

given by $pf^* = f^{-1}pf$ is an isomorphism. Then either D is isomorphic to E, or D is anti-isomorphic to E.

Proof. Choose bases $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{w_1, w_2\}$ for V, W respectively so that

$$[v_1]f = [w_1], [v_2]f = [w_2], [v_1 + v_2]f = [w_1 + w_2].$$

This defines a map $\sigma: D \to E$ given by $[v_1 + xv_2]f = [w_1 + x^{\sigma}w_2]$. Clearly, σ is one-to-one onto and $0^{\sigma} = 0$, $1^{\sigma} = 1$.

With respect to these bases, linear transformations (and hence projectivities) are represented by matrices from D_2 and E_2 . Recall that two matrices represent the same projectivity if and only if one is a nonzero central scalar multiple of the other. Our first observation is that if $p \in G$ is represented by a matrix all of whose entries come from the center of D, then any matrix representing pf^* has all of its entries in the center of E. For suppose p is represented by (z_{ij}) , where the elements z_{ij} are in the center of D; then p commutes with all members of G which leave $[v_1]$, $[v_2]$, and $[v_1+v_2]$ fixed. Hence pf^* commutes with all members of E which leave $[w_1]$, $[w_2]$, and $[w_1+w_2]$ fixed, and this in turn implies that if (x_{ij}) represents ff^* , then for each ff ff in ff there exists a ff in the center of ff such that ff ff is setwise invariant under all inner automorphisms of ff, and by the Cartan-Brauer-Hua Theorem ([1],[3]) it is contained in the center of ff in particular, ff is in the center of ff.

Our aim is to show that the map σ defined above is either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism. We begin by showing that σ is additive. Suppose $p \in G$ is represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; then pf^* is represented by a matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} f(a) & g(a) \\ 0 & h(a) \end{pmatrix}$, and invoking the relation $pf^* = f^{-1} pf$ we obtain, for all $x \in D$,

$$(a + x)^{\sigma} = f^{-1}(a) g(a) + f^{-1}(a) x^{\sigma} h(a)$$
.

Setting x = 0, we have $g(a) = f(a)a^{\sigma}$; and setting a = 1, we have

$$(1 + x)^{\sigma} = 1 + x^{\sigma} f^{-1}(1) h(1)$$
.

Now p has $[v_2]$ as its only fixed point, and therefore pf* has $[w_2]$ as its only fixed point. Hence $f^{-1}(1) h(1) = 1$, and we have $(1 + x)^{\sigma} = 1 + x^{\sigma}$ for all $x \in D$. This in turn yields f(a) = h(a) for all $a \in D$. If we denote our projectivity by p_a , and t is any projectivity leaving $[v_1]$ and $[v_2]$ fixed, then $tp_at^{-1} = p_b$, for some $b \in D$. This must carry over to Π_W , and computation with the corresponding matrices yields the fact that f(a) lies in the center of E. Now we can argue as before about the fixed points of p_a , and obtain $(a + x)^{\sigma} = a^{\sigma} + x^{\sigma}$ for all a and x in D.

Now suppose p is represented by $\binom{0\ 1}{1\ 0}$. This matrix has entries from the center of D, and p interchanges $[v_1]$ and $[v_2]$ while leaving $[v_1+v_2]$ fixed; $\binom{0\ 1}{1\ 0}$ is a matrix which represents pf*. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

it is clear that if g is represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then gf* is represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a^{\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Finally,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^2 - a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and if p is represented by the matrix on the left, pf* is represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\-1&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&1-a^{\sigma}\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\(a^{-1})^{\sigma}&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&(a^2)^{\sigma}-a^{\sigma}\\0&1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplication and the observation that pf* leaves $[w_2]$ fixed give the result that pf* is represented by $\binom{(a^\sigma)^{-1}}{0}$. Since pf* = f⁻¹pf, we obtain $(a \times a)^\sigma = a^\sigma \times^\sigma a^\sigma$, for all a and x in D. A theorem of Hua's [2] then tells us that σ is either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- R. Brauer, On a theorem of H. Cartan, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 619-620.
- 2. L. K. Hua, On the automorphism of a sfield, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 386-389.
- 3. ———, Some properties of a sfield, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 553-
- 4. ——, Supplement to the paper of Dieudonné on the automorphisms of classical groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. no. 2 (1951), 96-122.
- 5. O. Schreier and B. L. van der Waerden, Die Automorphismen der projektiven Gruppen, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg. 6 (1928), 303-322.

The University of Michigan

