

A SIMPLE ALGEBRA OF FIRST ORDER LOGIC

CHARLES C. PINTER

1. *Introduction*¹ The idea of making algebra out of logic is not a new one. In the middle of the last century George Boole investigated a class of algebras, subsequently named Boolean algebras, which arose naturally as a way of algebraizing the propositional calculus. More recently there have appeared several algebraizations of the first-order predicate calculus, of which the most important are the polyadic algebras of Halmos [3], and the cylindric algebras of Tarski [5]. Each of these two approaches to algebraic logic has its relative merits, and presents conceptual difficulties which have proved to be a stumbling block for many an interested reader.

The purpose of this paper is to present a formulation of algebraic logic which is closely related to both polyadic and cylindric algebras and is, in a sense, intermediate between the two. The advantage of the system we are about to present is that it is based upon a small number of axioms which are extremely simple and well motivated. From a didactic point of view, this may be the most satisfactory way of introducing the student and non-specialist to the ideas and methods of algebraic logic. We will show precisely how our algebra is related to cylindric and polyadic algebras.

2. *Quantifier algebras* In this section we introduce a class of algebras to be called *quantifier algebras*,² which may be viewed as an algebraization of the first-order predicate calculus without equality. We begin by examining a special class of quantifier algebras, called quantifier algebras of formulas. The construction of these algebras has a metalogical character and extends the well-known method for constructing Boolean algebras from the propositional calculus.

Let Λ be a first-order language with a sequence $\langle v_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa < \alpha}$ of variables, and let θ be a theory of Λ . We let $F_m^{(\Lambda)}$ designate the set of all the formulas of Λ , and $F_m^{(\Lambda)}/\equiv_\theta$, the preceding set modulo the relation $F \equiv_\theta G$

1. The work reported in this paper was done while the author held an NSF Faculty Fellowship.

2. The term *quantifier algebra* has been used by several authors in different senses, all differing from the present one.

iff $\theta \vdash F \Leftrightarrow G$. We define Boolean operations on $Fm^{(\Lambda)}/\equiv_\theta$ by: $(F/\equiv) + (G/\equiv) = F \vee G/\equiv$, $(F/\equiv) \cdot (G/\equiv) = F \wedge G/\equiv$, and $-(F/\equiv) = \neg F/\equiv$; 1 designates the class of all theorems of θ , and 0 the class of all negations of theorems. It is known that $\langle Fm^{(\Lambda)}/\equiv_\theta, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Boolean algebra. We define two more operations on $Fm^{(\Lambda)}/\equiv_\theta$ as follows: $S_\lambda^K(F/\equiv)$ is the equivalence class of the formula which results from F by validly replacing each free occurrence of v_κ by v_λ ; $\exists_\kappa(F/\equiv)$ is the class of the formula $(\exists v_\kappa)F$. Now, $\langle Fm^{(\Lambda)}/\equiv_\theta, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^K, \exists_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is called the *quantifier algebra of formulas* associated with θ .

The foregoing discussion should help to motivate our general definition:

2.1 Definition By a quantifier algebra of degree α , briefly a QA_α , we mean a system $\mathfrak{U} = \langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^K, \exists_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ where $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Boolean algebra and S_λ^K and \exists_κ are unary operations having the following properties for all $x, y \in A$ and $\kappa, \lambda, \mu < \alpha$:

- (q₁) $S_\lambda^K(-x) = -S_\lambda^K x$
- (q₂) $S_\lambda^K(x + y) = S_\lambda^K x + S_\lambda^K y$
- (q₃) $S_\kappa^K = \text{id}$
- (q₄) $S_\lambda^K S_\kappa^\mu = S_\lambda^K S_\lambda^\mu$
- (q₅) $\exists_\kappa(x + y) = \exists_\kappa x + \exists_\kappa y$
- (q₆) $x \leq \exists_\kappa x$
- (q₇) $S_\lambda^K \exists_\kappa = \exists_\kappa$
- (q₈) $\exists_\kappa S_\lambda^K = S_\lambda^K$ if $\kappa \neq \lambda$
- (q₉) $S_\lambda^K \exists_\mu = \exists_\mu S_\lambda^K$ if $\mu \neq \kappa, \lambda$.

We assume throughout, that $\alpha \geq 2$.

The operations S_λ^K are called *substitutions* and the operations \exists_κ are called *quantifiers*. We observe that (q₁)-(q₄) are properties of substitutions, (q₅) and (q₆) are properties of quantifiers, and (q₇)-(q₉) are conditions which relate substitutions to quantifiers. The metalogical interpretation of these equations is obvious.

If \mathfrak{U} is a quantifier algebra, as above, and $x \in A$, then the *dimension set* of x is the set

$$\Delta x = \{\kappa < \alpha : \exists_\kappa x \neq x\}.$$

In view of (q₇) and (q₈), Δx is also the set of all κ such that $S_\lambda^K x \neq x$, for any $\lambda \neq \kappa$. \mathfrak{U} is said to be *locally finite* if it satisfies the condition

(Lf) *for every $x \in A$, Δx is a finite set.*

It is easy to show that every quantifier algebra of formulas is a quantifier algebra in the sense of Definition 2.1, and is, in fact, locally finite. Conversely, it is not hard to show that if \mathfrak{U} is any locally finite quantifier algebra, there is a theory θ such that \mathfrak{U} is (isomorphic with) the quantifier algebra of formulas associated with θ . Furthermore, adapting a result by Hoehnke [6], if θ_1 and θ_2 are first-order theories, then the quantifier algebras associated with θ_1 and θ_2 , respectively, are isomorphic iff θ_1 and θ_2 are equivalent by definitions.

2.2 Lemma If $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa, \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is a quantifier algebra, then the following statements hold for all $x, y \in A$ and all $\kappa, \lambda, \mu < \alpha$:

- (i) $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa 0 = 0$
- (ii) $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa (x \cdot \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa y) = \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x \cdot \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa y$
- (iii) $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda = \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa$
- (iv) $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa \mathbf{S}_\mu^\kappa = \mathbf{S}_\mu^\kappa$ if $\mu \neq \kappa$
- (v) $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu = \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa$ if $\kappa \neq \mu, \nu$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$

Proof: We derive, successively, the following statements:

- (1) $x \leq y$ implies $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa x \leq \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa y$.
- (2) $x \leq y$ implies $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x \leq \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa y$.
- (3) $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa x \leq \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x$.

(1) is an immediate consequence of (q₂); (2) is an immediate consequence of (q₆). Finally, (3) follows from (1), (q₆) and (q₇).

(4) $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x$ is the least element of $\{y \in \text{range } \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa : y \geq x\}$, if $\kappa \neq \lambda$.

Indeed, by (q₇), $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x \in \text{range } \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa$ and by (q₆), $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x \geq x$. Note that if $y \in \text{range } \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa$, then for some $z \in A$, $y = \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa z = \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa z = \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa y$. Thus, if $y \in \text{range } \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa$ and $y \geq x$, then by (2), $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x \leq \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa y = y$.

It follows from (4) and Halmos ([2], Theorem 5) that $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa$ is a quantifier (in the sense of Halmos) for each $\kappa < \alpha$, hence we have (i) and (ii). Next, using (q₇)-(q₉) repeatedly, we have, for any $\mu \neq \kappa, \lambda$,

$$\mathbf{\exists}_\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x = \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{S}_\mu^\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x = \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda \mathbf{S}_\mu^\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x = \mathbf{S}_\mu^\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x = \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{S}_\mu^\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x = \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x.$$

Now by (q₆) and (2), $\mathbf{\exists}_\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x \leq \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x = \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x$; symmetrically, $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda x \leq \mathbf{\exists}_\lambda \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x$, giving (iii). (iv) follows from (q₇) and (q₈); for if $\mu \neq \kappa$, then $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa \mathbf{S}_\mu^\kappa = \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{S}_\mu^\kappa = \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{S}_\mu^\kappa = \mathbf{S}_\mu^\kappa$. If we let $\mu = \lambda$ in (iv), we get

(5) $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa = \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa$.

It remains only to prove (v); first, we need the following:

(6) Let f and g be Boolean endomorphisms such that $ff = f$ and $gg = g$; if $\text{range } f$ and $\text{kernel } f$ are both closed under g , then $fg = gf$.

From the hypotheses $gf(x) \in \text{range } f$ and $ff = f$, we conclude that $fgf(x) = gf(x)$. Now, $gf(x) \oplus fg(x) = fgf(x) \oplus fg(x) = fg(f(x) \oplus x)$; (\oplus is the operation of symmetric difference). But clearly, $f(x) \oplus x \in \text{kernel } f$, hence by hypothesis, $g(f(x) \oplus x) \in \text{kernel } f$, and therefore $fg(f(x) \oplus x) = 0$. Thus, $gf(x) \oplus fg(x) = 0$, which proves (6).

(7) If $\mu \neq \kappa, \lambda, \nu$, then $\text{range } \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu$ is closed under $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa$.

Indeed, if $\mu \neq \kappa, \lambda, \nu$ then $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu x = \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa \mathbf{\exists}_\mu \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu x = \mathbf{\exists}_\mu \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu x \in \text{range } \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu$.

(8) If $\kappa \neq \mu, \nu$ then $\text{kernel } \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu$ is closed under $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa$.

Indeed, suppose $\mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu x = 0$; then $\mathbf{\exists}_\kappa \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu x = \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa 0 = 0$ by 2.2 (i). Thus, by (q₉), $\mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x = 0$. But by (3), $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa x \leq \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x$, so by (1), $\mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu \mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa x \leq \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu \mathbf{\exists}_\kappa x = 0$. It follows that $\mathbf{S}_\lambda^\kappa x \in \text{kernel } \mathbf{S}_\nu^\mu$. From (5), (6), (7) and (8), we immediately get (v). Q.E.D.

(q₆), together with 2.2 (i) and (ii), show that the operations \exists_κ are quantifiers in the sense of Halmos [2] and of Henkin, Monk and Tarski [5].

3. Quantifier algebras and polyadic algebras An extended notion of substitutions and quantifiers is used in polyadic algebras. Roughly speaking, (from the metalogical point of view), instead of merely quantifying over a single variable, one may quantify over an arbitrary set of variables; similarly, the simultaneous substitution of arbitrarily many variables is permitted.

In the definition which follows, I is taken to be an arbitrary set. I^I designates the set of all functions from I into I ; if $\tau \in I^I$ then, in the metalogical interpretation, S_τ may be regarded as the operation of simultaneously replacing each variable v_κ by $v_{\tau(\kappa)}$. For each $\tau \in I^I$ and $J \subseteq I$, $\tau|J$ designates the restriction of τ to J .

3.1 Definition: An I -polyadic algebra is a system $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\tau, \exists_J \rangle_{\tau \in I^I, J \subseteq I}$ where $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Boolean algebra and S_τ and \exists_J are unary operations which satisfy the following conditions for all $x, y \in A$, $\sigma, \tau \in I^I$ and $J, K \subseteq I$:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (p_1) \ S_\tau(x + y) = S_\tau x + S_\tau y & (p_5) \ \exists_J 0 = 0 \\
 (p_2) \ S_\tau(-x) = -S_\tau x & (p_6) \ x \leq \exists_J x \\
 (p_3) \ S_\sigma S_\tau = S_{\sigma\tau} & (p_7) \ \exists_J(x \cdot \exists_J y) = \exists_J x \cdot \exists_J y \\
 (p_4) \ S_{id} = id & (p_8) \ \exists_\emptyset = id \\
 (p_9) \ \exists_{J \cup K} = \exists_J \exists_K & \\
 (p_{10}) \ S_\sigma \exists_J = S_\tau \exists_J \text{ if } \sigma|I - J = \tau|I - J & \\
 (p_{11}) \ \exists_J S_\tau = S_\tau \exists_{\tau^{-1}(J)} \text{ if } \tau| \tau^{-1}(J) \text{ is injective.} &
 \end{array}$$

It is customary to write \exists_κ for $\exists_{\{\kappa\}}$. A mapping $\tau \in I^I$ such that $\tau(\kappa) = \lambda$ and $\tau(\mu) = \mu$ for every $\mu \neq \kappa$ is called a *replacement* and is noted by (κ/λ) . We write S_λ^κ for $S_{(\kappa/\lambda)}$.

The connections between quantifier algebras and polyadic algebras are easy to describe. Note that we may always identify I with some ordinal α . Now, every α -polyadic algebra is a quantifier algebra of degree α ; more precisely, if $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\tau, \exists_J \rangle_{\tau \in \alpha^\alpha, J \subseteq \alpha}$ is a polyadic algebra, then $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^\kappa, \exists_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is a quantifier algebra. (Another way of saying this is: a polyadic algebra becomes a quantifier algebra by removing some of its operations.)

The converse is true for locally finite quantifier algebras of infinite degree. Indeed, if $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^\kappa, \exists_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is such an algebra, it is possible to adjoin extended quantifiers and substitutions as follows: if $x \in A$ and $J \subseteq \alpha$, we define $\exists_J x$ by

$$(3.2) \ \exists_J x = \exists_{\kappa_1} \dots \exists_{\kappa_n} x, \text{ where } \{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n\} = J \cap \Delta x.$$

Furthermore, it has been established in [3] that if $\tau \in \alpha^\alpha$ and J is a finite subset of α , then $\tau|J = \tau_1 \dots \tau_n|J$ for some replacements τ_1, \dots, τ_n . Now, we define $S_\tau x$ by

$$(3.3) \ S_\tau x = S_{\lambda_1}^{\kappa_1} \dots S_{\lambda_n}^{\kappa_n} x, \text{ where } \tau| \Delta x = (\kappa_1/\lambda_1) \dots (\kappa_n/\lambda_n) | \Delta x.$$

B. Galler has proved in [1] that if $\alpha \geq \omega$ and $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^k, \exists_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is an algebra satisfying (q₁)-(q₉) and (Lf), (together with 2.2 (i)-(v) which follow from (q₁)-(q₉)), and if operations \exists_J and S_τ are introduced by (3.2) and (3.3) respectively, then $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\tau, \exists_J \rangle_{\tau \in \alpha, J \subseteq \alpha}$ is a polyadic algebra. We may paraphrase this as follows: if we adjoin operations S_τ and \exists_J to a locally finite quantifier algebra of infinite degree by means of (3.2) and (3.3), we get a polyadic algebra.

4. *Quantifier algebras with equality and cylindric algebras* By adjoining certain distinguished elements to quantifier algebras, we get an algebraization of the first-order predicate calculus with equality. In the metalogical interpretation, the distinguished element $e_{\kappa\lambda}$ is taken to be the equivalence class of the formula $v_\kappa = v_\lambda$.

4.1 Definition: A quantifier algebra with equality is an algebra $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^k, \exists_\kappa, e_{\kappa\lambda} \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ such that $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^k, \exists_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is a quantifier algebra and $e_{\kappa\lambda}$ are distinguished elements which satisfy

- (q₁₀) $S_\lambda^k e_{\kappa\lambda} = 1$
- (q₁₁) $x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda} \leq S_\lambda^k x$.

We present, next, a few properties of quantifier algebras with equality. We let $\min X$ designate the least element of X if X is an ordered set having one.

4.2 Lemma: If $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^k, \exists_\kappa, e_{\kappa\lambda} \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is a quantifier algebra with equality, the following conditions hold for all $x \in A$ and $\kappa, \lambda, \mu < \alpha$:

- (i) $x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda} = S_\lambda^k x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda}$
- (ii) $\exists_\kappa e_{\kappa\lambda} = 1$
- (iii) $S_\lambda^k x = \exists_\kappa (x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda})$ if $\kappa \neq \lambda$
- (iv) $e_{\kappa\lambda} = \min \{x : S_\lambda^k x = 1\}$
- (v) $e_{\kappa\kappa} = 1$
- (vi) $e_{\kappa\lambda} = e_{\lambda\kappa}$
- (vii) $S_\nu^k e_{\lambda\mu} = e_{\lambda\mu}$ if $\kappa \neq \lambda, \mu$
- (viii) $S_\mu^k e_{\kappa\lambda} = e_{\mu\lambda}$ if $\kappa \neq \lambda, \mu$
- (ix) $\exists_\kappa (e_{\lambda\kappa} \cdot e_{\kappa\mu}) = e_{\lambda\mu}$ if $\kappa \neq \lambda, \mu$.

Proof: The proof of (i) is due to Halmos [4]: by (q₁₁), $S_\lambda^k x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda} \cdot -x \leq S_\lambda^k x \cdot S_\lambda^k(-x) = S_\lambda^k(x \cdot -x) = 0$. Thus, $S_\lambda^k x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda} \leq x$; combining this with (q₁₁) yields (i). We have seen, in (3) of the proof of 2.2, that $S_\lambda^k x \leq \exists_\kappa x$; from this fact and (q₁₀) we immediately get (ii).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Next, } \exists_\kappa (x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda}) &= \exists_\kappa (S_\lambda^k x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda}) && \text{by (i)} \\ &= \exists_\kappa (\exists_\kappa S_\lambda^k x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda}) && \text{by (q}_8\text{)} \\ &= S_\lambda^k x \cdot \exists_\kappa e_{\kappa\lambda} && \text{by 2.2 (ii) and (q}_8\text{)} \\ &= S_\lambda^k x && \text{by (ii).} \end{aligned}$$

This proves (iii); (iv) follows immediately from (q₁₀) and (i), and (v) is an immediate consequence of (iv). Now, by (q₃) and (q₄), $S_\lambda^k S_\kappa^\lambda = S_\lambda^k$; thus, $S_\lambda^k e_{\lambda\kappa} = S_\lambda^k S_\kappa^\lambda e_{\lambda\kappa} = 1$, so by (iv), $e_{\kappa\lambda} \leq e_{\lambda\kappa}$; symmetrically, $e_{\lambda\kappa} \leq e_{\kappa\lambda}$, which proves (vi). To prove (vii), we note that by (q₆), $S_\mu^\lambda \exists_\kappa (-e_{\lambda\mu}) = \exists_\kappa S_\mu^\lambda (-e_{\lambda\mu}) = 0$, that is, $S_\mu^\lambda [-\exists_\kappa (-e_{\lambda\mu})] = 1$; thus by (iv), $e_{\lambda\mu} \leq -\exists_\kappa (-e_{\lambda\mu})$, that is, $\exists_\kappa (-e_{\lambda\mu}) \leq -e_{\lambda\mu}$. Combining this with (q₆) gives $\exists_\kappa (-e_{\lambda\mu}) = -e_{\lambda\mu}$; thus by (q₇), $-S_\nu^k e_{\lambda\mu} = S_\nu^k \exists_\kappa (-e_{\lambda\mu}) = \exists_\kappa (-e_{\lambda\mu}) = -e_{\lambda\mu}$, that is, $S_\nu^k e_{\lambda\mu} = e_{\lambda\mu}$.

To prove (viii), we note that by (q₄) and (q₁₀), $S_\mu^\lambda(S_\mu^\kappa e_{\kappa\lambda}) = S_\mu^\lambda S_\lambda^\kappa e_{\kappa\lambda} = 1$, hence by (iv), $e_{\lambda\mu} \leq S_\mu^\kappa e_{\kappa\lambda}$; symmetrically, $e_{\kappa\lambda} \leq S_\kappa^\mu e_{\lambda\mu}$. Thus, $S_\mu^\kappa e_{\kappa\lambda} \leq S_\mu^\kappa S_\kappa^\mu e_{\lambda\mu} = S_\mu^\kappa e_{\lambda\mu} = e_{\lambda\mu}$, where the last step follows by (vii). Finally, (ix) is an immediate consequence of (iii) and (viii). Q.E.D.

4.3 Definition: By a cylindric algebra of degree α we mean a system $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, \exists_\kappa, e_{\kappa\lambda} \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ such that $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Boolean algebra, and \exists_κ and $e_{\kappa\lambda}$ satisfy the following conditions for all $x \in A$ and $\kappa, \lambda < \alpha$:

- | | |
|---|---|
| (C ₁) $\exists_\kappa 0 = 0$ | (C ₅) $e_{\kappa\kappa} = 1$ |
| (C ₂) $x \leq \exists_\kappa x$ | (C ₆) $e_{\lambda\mu} = \exists_\kappa (e_{\lambda\kappa} \cdot e_{\kappa\mu})$ if $\kappa \neq \lambda, \mu$ |
| (C ₃) $\exists_\kappa (x \cdot \exists_\kappa y) = \exists_\kappa x \cdot \exists_\kappa y$ | (C ₇) $\exists_\kappa (e_{\kappa\lambda} \cdot x) \cdot \exists_\kappa (e_{\kappa\lambda} \cdot -x) = 0$ if $\kappa \neq \lambda$. |
| (C ₄) $\exists_\kappa \exists_\lambda = \exists_\lambda \exists_\kappa$ | |

Cylindric algebras are equivalent to quantifier algebras with equality. Let us state this result precisely: if $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, \exists_\kappa, e_{\kappa\lambda} \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is a cylindric algebra, and if operations S_λ^κ are defined by

$$(4.4) \quad S_\lambda^\kappa x = x \text{ if } \kappa = \lambda; S_\lambda^\kappa x = \exists_\kappa (x \cdot e_{\kappa\lambda}) \text{ if } \kappa \neq \lambda,$$

then $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^\kappa, \exists_\kappa, e_{\kappa\lambda} \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is a quantifier algebra with equality. Indeed, (q₁)-(q₁₁) are all theorems of the theory of cylindric algebras; their proofs may be found in Chapter 1 of [5]. Conversely, if $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, S_\lambda^\kappa, \exists_\kappa, e_{\kappa\lambda} \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is a quantifier algebra with equality, then $\langle A, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, \exists_\kappa, e_{\kappa\lambda} \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda < \alpha}$ is a cylindric algebra. Indeed, this clearly follows from Lemma 4.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Galler, B., "Cylindric and polyadic algebras," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 8 (1957), pp. 176-183.
- [2] Halmos, P., "Algebraic logic, I. Monadic Boolean algebras," *Compositio Mathematica*, vol. 12 (1956), pp. 217-249.
- [3] Halmos, P., "Algebraic logic, II. Homogenous locally finite polyadic Boolean algebras of infinite degree," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 43 (1956), pp. 255-325.
- [4] Halmos, P., "Algebraic logic, IV. Equality in polyadic algebras," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 86 (1957), pp. 1-27.
- [5] Henkin, L., D. Monk, and A. Tarski, *Cylindric Algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1971).
- [6] Hoehnke, H. J., "Zur Strukturgleichheit axiomatischer Klassen," *Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik*, vol. 12 (1966), pp. 69-83.

Bucknell University
Lewisburg, Pennsylvania

and

University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, California