

WHEN DO TWO GROUPS ALWAYS HAVE ISOMORPHIC EXTENSION GROUPS?

H. PAT GOETERS

What is the relationship between abelian groups A and C if $\text{Ext}(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C, B)$ for all abelian groups B ? (problem 43 in [5]). We will address this question, restricting our attention to torsion-free abelian groups A, B and C of finite rank.

Call A and C *related* if $\text{Ext}(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C, B)$ for all B . We give a characterization of this relation in §1 and use it to show

THEOREM. *Assume that one of the following hold: (a) rank $A = 2$; (b) A has a semi-prime endomorphism ring; or (c) A is almost completely decomposable. Write $A = D' \oplus F' \oplus G$ with F' free, D' divisible and G reduced with $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$.*

Then C is related to A if and only if $C = D \oplus F \oplus R$ with F free; D is divisible and zero if $\text{OT}(A) \neq \text{type } \mathbf{Q}$ and nonzero if $\text{OT}(G) \neq \text{type } \mathbf{Q}$ and $D' \neq 0$; and R quasi-isomorphic to G .

Here \mathbf{Z} is the ring of integers and \mathbf{Q} the field of rationals, p will denote a prime of \mathbf{Z} . As usual, the p -rank of A , $r_p(A) = \dim A/pA$. We show the

COROLLARY. *Assume that one of the following hold: (a) rank $A = 2$; (b) A has a semi-prime endomorphism ring; or (c) A is almost completely decomposable. Then C is quasi-isomorphic to A if and only if (i) $r_p(C) = r_p(A)$ for all p ; (ii) $r_p(\text{Hom}(C, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B))$ for all p and groups B with $\text{rank } B \leq \text{rank } A$; (iii) $\text{OT}(C) = \text{OT}(A)$; and (iv) $\text{rank } C = \text{rank } A$.*

The notation, if undefined, appears in [1], and the basic ideas from [1] are assumed. However a few facts about the outer type of A , $\text{OT}(A) =$

Received by the editors on August 7, 1986 and in revised form on April 7, 1987.

Copyright ©1990 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

$\sup\{\sigma \mid \sigma = \text{type } A/K \text{ for some } K, A \text{ with } \text{rank } A/K = 1\}$, and the inner type of B , $\text{IT}(B) = \inf\{\sigma \mid \sigma = \text{type } \langle a \rangle_*, 0 \neq a \in B\}$, are given.

PROPOSITION 0. *Let $\tau = \text{OT}(A)$ and $\sigma = \text{IT}(B)$.*

1. *If $G \leq A$ and $C \triangleleft A$, then $\text{OT}(G) \leq \tau$ and $\text{OT}(A/C) \leq \tau$.*
2. *$\tau \leq \text{type } \mathbf{Z}_p$ if and only if $r_p(A) = \text{rank } A$.*
3. *$\text{OT}(\text{Hom}(C, A)) \leq \tau$ for any C .*
4. *$\tau \leq \sigma$ if and only if $\text{rank } \text{Hom}(A, B) = (\text{rank } A)(\text{rank } B)$.*
5. *If $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq A$ is a basis for $\mathbf{Q}A$ then, for $K_i = \langle a_j \mid j \neq i \rangle_*$, $\text{OT}(A) = \text{type } A/K_1 \vee \dots \vee \text{type } A/K_n$.*

Hence, by 5, $\text{OT}(A)$ is manageable and, by 4, is a quasi-isomorphism invariant of A .

1. Groups with a semi-prime endomorphism ring. By virtue of the fact that A is torsion-free, $\text{Ext}(A, B) = D \oplus T$ where D is torsion-free divisible and T is a divisible torsion group. We excerpt the following from [8] with this notation.

THEOREM 1.1. *If $\text{Ext}(A, B) \neq 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} D = 2^{\aleph_0}$ and p -rank $T = r_p(A)r_p(B) - r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B))$.*

A useful characterization of when $\text{Ext}(A, B) = 0$ is the following. Let $R(B)$ be the subring of \mathbf{Q} generated by 1 and $1/p$ for all p with $pB \neq B$. Note $\text{type } R(B) \leq \text{IT}(B)$.

THEOREM 1.2. (WICKLESS [9]). *$\text{Ext}(A, B) = 0$ if and only if $\text{OT}(A) \leq \text{type } R(B)$.*

We will say that C is n -related to A if $\text{Ext}(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C, B)$ for all B of rank $\leq n$. Any group C can be written as $C = F \oplus C'$ with F free and $\text{Hom}(C', \mathbf{Z}) = 0$. Since C' is n -related to A if and only if C is n -related to A , we may as well assume that $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{Z}) = 0 = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z})$ in considering these relations.

THEOREM 1.3. *Assume that $\text{Hom}(A, Z) = \text{Hom}(C, Z) = 0$ and $n > 0$. The following are equivalent:*

1. C is n -related to A .
2. (i) $r_p(C) = r_p(A)$ for all p ; (ii) $r_p(\text{Hom}(C, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B))$ for all p and all B with $\text{rank } B \leq n$; and (iii) $\text{OT}(C) = \text{OT}(A)$.

PROOF. We will first show that if $\text{Ext}(A, B) = 0$, then $r_p(A)r_p(B) - r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B)) = 0$ for all p . Consider $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow QB \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ and note that p -rank $T = r_p(B)$ [1, Theorem 2]. By Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 0, $\text{rank Hom}(A, B) = (\text{rank } A)(\text{rank } B)$, and consequently the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, QB) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, T) \rightarrow 0$ is exact and $\text{Hom}(A, T)$ is a torsion group. In this case $\text{Hom}(A, QB)$ is the divisible hull of $\text{Hom}(A, B)$, and therefore p -rank $\text{Hom}(A, T) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B))$ [1, Theorem 2]. But, by [8, Theorem 1], p -rank $\text{Hom}(A, T) = r_p(A)(p\text{-rank } T) = r_p(A)r_p(B)$.

Hence the torsion subgroup of $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ always has p -rank equal to $r_p(A)r_p(B) - r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B))$.

(1 \Rightarrow 2). Let $\text{rank } B \leq n$. Since the torsion subgroups of $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ and $\text{Ext}(C, B)$ are isomorphic, $(*)$ $r_p(A)r_p(B) - r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B)) = r_p(C)r_p(B) - r_p(\text{Hom}(C, B))$ for every p .

In particular, $r_p(A) = r_p(A)r_p(\mathbf{Z}) = r_p(C)r_p(\mathbf{Z}) = r_p(C)$ for all p . We can solve $(*)$ to get (ii).

Assume that not both $\text{OT}(C)$ and $\text{OT}(A)$ equal $\bar{\infty}$ ($\bar{\infty} = \text{type } \mathbf{Q}$). Say $\text{OT}(A) = \tau < \bar{\infty}$. Let p be such that $\tau \leq \text{type } \mathbf{Z}_p$. By Theorem 1.2, $\text{Ext}(A, \mathbf{Z}_p) = 0 = \text{Ext}(C, \mathbf{Z}_p)$, and, by the same theorem, $\text{OT}(C) \leq \text{type } \mathbf{Z}_p < \bar{\infty}$. By proposition 0, $r_p(C) = \text{rank } C$.

Let X be a rank-1 group of type τ . Since, by Proposition 0, $\text{OT}(\text{Hom}(A, X)) \leq \tau$, $r_p(\text{Hom}(A, X)) = \text{rank Hom}(A, X) = \text{rank } A = r_p(A)$. Therefore $r_p(C) = r_p(\text{Hom}(C, X)) = \text{rank Hom}(C, X) = \text{rank } C$ and $\text{OT}(C) \leq \text{type } X = \tau$.

Since we can repeat the argument to show $\text{OT}(C) \geq \tau$, we have established (iii).

(2 \Rightarrow 1). Let $\text{rank } B \leq n$. By (iii) and Theorem 1.2, $\text{Ext}(C, B) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Ext}(A, B) = 0$. If $\text{Ext}(C, B) \neq 0$ then, by (i), (ii) and

Theorem 1.1, the torsion subgroups of $\text{Ext}(C, B)$ and $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ are isomorphic. Whence $\text{Ext}(C, B) \cong \text{Ext}(A, B)$ in this case, too. \square

If A and C are quasi-isomorphic, then they are n -related for all n . However if $\text{OT}(A) = \bar{\infty}$, then $A \oplus \mathbf{Q}$ is related to A by the above.

Also if A is a nonzero divisible group, then $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ is a vector space of dimension 2^{\aleph_0} over \mathbf{Q} . For C to be related to A , C must be a nonzero divisible group plus a free group.

For $E(A) = \text{Hom}(A, A)$, let $N = N(E(A))$ denote the nilradical of $E(A)$ which is the ideal generated by all of the nilpotent right ideals of $E(A)$. We say that $E(A)$ is semi-prime if $N = 0$ or, equivalently, if $\mathbf{Q}E(A) = \mathbf{Q} \otimes E(A)$ is a semi-simple ring. Call A semi-prime if $E(A)$ is a semi-prime ring and note that being semi-prime is a quasi-isomorphism invariant (Chapter 9 in [1]).

By a result of J. Reid [7, Corollary 4.3], if A is strongly indecomposable, then A is semi-prime if and only if every endomorphism of A is a monomorphism. If C is quasi-isomorphic to A we will write $C \sim A$. Let $S_A(C)$ denote $\langle f(A) \mid f : A \rightarrow C \rangle$.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let A be semi-prime of rank n . The following are equivalent:*

1. C is related to A .
2. C is n -related to A .
3. (i) *If A is a free group plus a divisible group, then $C = D \oplus F$ with F free, and D divisible and $D = 0$ if and only if A is reduced.*
(ii) *Otherwise $C = D \oplus F \oplus R$, where F is free, D is divisible and zero if $\text{OT}(A) \neq \bar{\infty}$ and R is quasi-isomorphic to A .*

PROOF. Write $A \doteq A_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{n_k}$ (\doteq means quasi equal) with each A_i strongly indecomposable and $A_i \approx A_j$ if $i \neq j$. Since $A_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{n_k}$ is semi-prime and C is related to A if and only if C is related to $A_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{n_k}$, we may assume without loss of generality that $A = A_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{n_k}$.

Suppose $f : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ with $i \neq j$ and regard $f \in E = E(A)$. We will show that $I = fE$ is nilpotent so that $f = 0$.

Let $g \in E$ and, for the natural maps $\pi_i : A \rightarrow A_i \subseteq A$ and $\pi_j : A \rightarrow A_j \subseteq A$, let $h = \pi_i g \pi_j$. Then $f g f = f h f$ and $(f g)^n = f (h f)^{n-1} g$ with $h f \in E(A_i)$. If $h f$ is a monomorphism, then $\alpha = h f$ is invertible in $\mathbf{Q}E(A_i)$ (Proposition 6.1 in [1]). If $k \neq 0$ is such that $k\alpha^{-1} \in E(A_i)$, then $(k\alpha^{-1}h)f = k1_{A_i}$, and, for $u = k\alpha^{-1}h$, $A_j \supseteq f(A_i) \oplus \ker u \supseteq kA_j$. Since A_j is strongly indecomposable and f is a monomorphism, $\ker u = 0$, which contradicts the assumption that $A_i \approx A_j$. Whence $h f \in NE(A_i)$ is nilpotent. If $(h f)^n = 0$, then $(f g)^{n+1} = f (h f)^n g = 0$ so that $f g$ is nilpotent. Since $\mathbf{Q}I$ is finite dimensional and contains only nilpotent elements, it is easy to check that $\mathbf{Q}I$ hence I is nilpotent.

Therefore $E(A) = E(A_1^{n_1}) \times \cdots \times E(A_k^{n_k})$. Since $E(A_i^{n_i})$ could have no nilpotent ideals, $0 = N(E(A_i^{n_i})) = \text{Mat}_{n_i}(N(A_i))$ and A_i is semi-prime and strongly indecomposable. If A is divisible, the theorem follows from Theorem 1.1.

(2 \Rightarrow 3). Assume that C is n -related to A . If A is free, then C is clearly free by Theorem 1.3. Otherwise, if $A = F' \oplus A'$ with F' free and $\text{Hom}(A', Z) = 0$, then $\text{Hom}(F', A')$ is a nilpotent ideal in $E(A)$. Hence $F' = 0$ and $\text{Hom}(A, Z) = 0$. Similarly A is reduced since A is not divisible.

Writing $C = B \oplus F$ with F free and $\text{Hom}(B, Z) = 0$ we see that B is n -related to A . Write $B = D \oplus R$ with D divisible and R reduced. We will show that $R \sim A$.

Now, by Theorem 1.3, $r_p(R) = r_p(A)$ and $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, G))$ for every p and G with $\text{rank } G \leq n$. We will show, by induction on $\text{rank } R$, that if, for some summand $K = A_1^{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{m_k}$ of A we have $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(K, G))$ for all p and groups G with $\text{rank } G \leq n$, then $R \sim A_1^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{e_k} \oplus R'$ with R' satisfying $S_A(R') \leq R'[A]$.

If $\text{rank } R = 0$, then there is nothing to show. Otherwise let $K = A_1^{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{m_k}$ with $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(K, G))$ for all p and G having $\text{rank } G \leq n$. If $S_A(R) \leq R[A]$, then we are finished. Assume $S_A(R) = \sum_i S_{A_i}(R) \not\leq R[A]$.

If $pK \neq K$, then $r = \text{rank Hom}(R, A) \geq r_p(\text{Hom}(R, K)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(K, K)) > 0$. Using standard arguments, we may embed

$R/R[A]$ into $A^r = A_i^{r_{n_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{r_{n_k}}$ and identify $R/R[A]$ with its image. Take $\pi_j : A^r \rightarrow A_j^{r_{n_j}}$ and $\pi : R \rightarrow R/R[A]$ to be the natural maps.

Since $S_{A_j}(R) \not\leq R[A]$ for some j , there is an $f : A_j \rightarrow R$ such that $f(A_j) \not\leq R[A]$. Since $\text{Hom}(A_j, A_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$, then $\pi_i \pi f(A_j) = 0$ and $\pi_j \pi f(A_j) = (f(A); +R[A])/R[A] \neq 0$. Therefore there is a $g \in \text{Hom}(R, A_j)$ such that $0 \neq gf \in E(A_i)$. But A_j is strongly indecomposable and semi-prime so that gf is a monomorphism. As before, gf is invertible in $\mathbf{Q}E(A_j)$ and we get a quasi-splitting of $R \xrightarrow{g} A_j$, i.e., $R \sim A_j \oplus R_1$. Now $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A_j \oplus R_1, G))$ for every p and G of rank $\leq n$. If $pA_j \neq A_j$, then $r_p(\text{Hom}(A_j \oplus R_1, A_j)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(K, A_j)) = m_j r_p(E(A_j)) \geq r_p(E(A_j)) > 0$ and $m_j \neq 0$.

Hence $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A_j, G)) + r_p(\text{Hom}(R_1, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A_j, G)) + r_p(\text{Hom}(A_1^{l_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{l_k}, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(K, G))$ for all p where $l_i = m_i$ if $i \neq j$; $l_j = m_j - 1$ and induction applies to R_1 .

Whence $R \sim A_1^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{e_k} \oplus R'$ with $S_A(R') \leq R'[A]$ as desired. Returning to the proof, $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, A_i)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, A_i)) = n_i r_p(E(A_j)) \geq e_i r_p(E(A_j))$ from which we infer that $n_i \geq e_i$ for every i .

Consider $K = A_1^{n_1 - e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k^{n_k - e_k}$. Clearly $r_p(\text{Hom}(R', G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(K, G))$ for all p and G of rank $\leq n$. If $\text{rank } R' > 0$, then R' has a quasi-summand A_j for some j and $S_{A_j}(R') \leq S_A(R') \leq R'[A]$ is impossible. Thus $R' = 0$ which implies that $r_p(\text{Hom}(K, K)) = 0$ for all p . Since K is reduced, $K = 0$, and $n_i = e_i$ for all i . Whence $R \sim A$.

If $\text{OT}(A) \neq \infty$, then $\text{OT}(C) \neq \infty$ by Theorem 1.3 and D must be zero.

(3 \Rightarrow 1). The case when 3(i) holds is covered by the remark preceding the statement of the theorem. If $C = D \oplus R \oplus F$ as stated, then $\text{OT}(D \oplus R) = \infty$ if $\text{OT}(A) = \infty$ and $\text{OT}(D \oplus R) = \text{OT}(R) = \text{OT}(A)$ if $\text{OT}(A) \neq \infty$. Since $r_p(\text{Hom}(D \oplus R, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(R, G)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, G))$ for all p and G , $D \oplus R$, hence C , is related to A . \square

COROLLARY 1.5. *If A is semi-prime, then C is quasi-isomorphic to A if and only if (i) $r_p(C) = r_p(A)$ for all p ; (ii) $r_p(\text{Hom}(C, G)) =$*

$r_p(\text{Hom}(A, G))$ for all p and G with $\text{rank } G \leq \text{rank } A$; (iii) $\text{OT}(C) = \text{OT}(A)$; and (iv) $\text{rank } C = \text{rank } A$.

PROOF. (\Leftarrow) If A is free, then $\text{OT}(A) = \text{OT}(C)$ implies C is free and (iv) implies $A \cong C$. If A is divisible, then (i) and (iv) imply $A \cong C$. Otherwise, if $C \sim F \oplus D \oplus A$ then, by (iv), $F = D = 0$. \square

Any finite rank group A can be decomposed $A = D \oplus F \oplus A'$ with D divisible, F free and A' reduced with $\text{Hom}(A', \mathbf{Z}) = 0$. Call A' a *free and divisible complementary* summand of A , or *fdc-summand* for short.

2. When is C related to an almost completely decomposable group A ? The simplest example of a group without a semi-prime endomorphism ring is $A = X \oplus Y$ where $X, Y \leq Q$ with type $X < \text{type } Y$. The nilradical of $E(A)$ is $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be completely decomposable with a linearly ordered typeset and $n = \text{rank } A$. The following are equivalent:*

1. C is related to A .
2. C is n -related to A .
3. (i) *If A is a free group plus a divisible, then $C = D \oplus F$ with F free and D divisible and zero if and only if A is reduced.*
 (ii) *Otherwise write $C = D \oplus F \oplus R$ and $A = D' \oplus F' \oplus R'$ with R and R' respective fdc-summands, D and D' divisible groups and F and F' free groups. Then R is isomorphic to R' , and D is zero if $\text{OT}(A) < \bar{\infty}$, and nonzero if both $\text{OT}(R') < \bar{\infty}$ and $D' \neq 0$.*

PROOF. If $A = D' \oplus F'$ with D' divisible and F' free, then $C = D \oplus F$ with D divisible and F free with the further restriction that $D = 0$ if and only if $D' = 0$. We will exclude this case in the following.

(2 \Rightarrow 3). Suppose C is n -related to A . We may assume that $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$. Write $A = G \oplus D'$ with D' divisible and G reduced, and write $C = D \oplus F \oplus R$ with D divisible, F free and R an fdc-summand. We will show that $R \cong G$.

Write $G = G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_n$ with $G_i = X_i^{r_i}$ where X_i is a rank-1 group of type τ_i . Assume that $\tau_i < \tau_j$ if $i < j$. We will construct an embedding of R into G below.

We note that $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(C, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(G, B))$ and that $r_p(R) = r_p(G)$ for all p and all B of rank $\leq n$. For a group K and a rank-1 group X it is easy to check that $f_1, \dots, f_l \in \text{Hom}(K, X)$ are independent if and only if $\text{rank } K - \text{rank}(\cap_{i=1}^l \ker f_i) = l$ (Proposition 0).

Since, for $pX_1 \neq X_1$, $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, X_1)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(G, X_1)) = r_1$ (Proposition 0), there are linearly independent maps $g_1, \dots, g_{r_1} \in \text{Hom}(R, X_1)$. Define $\theta_1 : R \rightarrow G_1$ by $\theta_1(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_{r_1}(x))$. Then $\text{rank Im } \theta_1 = \text{rank } R - \text{rank ker } \theta_1 = r_1$ and $\text{coker } \theta_1$ is torsion.

Assume that a map $\theta : R \rightarrow G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k$ has been constructed so that $\text{coker } \theta$ is a torsion group T , for $k < n$. Now $\text{OT}(\text{Im } \theta) \leq \text{OT}(G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k) = \tau_k < \tau_{k+1}$ by Proposition 0. This implies $\text{rank Hom}(\text{Im } \theta, X_{k+1}) = \text{rank Im } \theta = \text{rank Hom}(G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k, X_{k+1}) = r_1 + \cdots + r_k$.

From $0 \rightarrow \ker \theta \rightarrow R \rightarrow \text{Im } \theta \rightarrow 0$ we derive $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Im } \theta, X_{k+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, X_{k+1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}(\ker \theta, X_{k+1})$. If $pX_{k+1} \neq X_{k+1}$, then $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, X_{k+1})) = \text{rank Hom}(R, X_{k+1}) = r_p(\text{Hom}(G, X_{k+1})) = \text{rank Hom}(G, X_{k+1}) = r_1 + \cdots + r_{k+1}$. Hence there are linearly independent maps $f_1, \dots, f_{r_{k+1}} \in \text{Hom}(R, X_{k+1})$ so that $\alpha f_1, \dots, \alpha f_{r_{k+1}}$ are linearly independent in $\text{Hom}(\ker \theta, X_{k+1})$. Define $\phi : R \rightarrow G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{k+1}$ by $\phi(x) = (\theta(x), (f_1(x), \dots, f_{r_{k+1}}(x)))$. Since $\ker \phi = \ker \theta \cap \cap_i \ker f_i$, $\text{rank}(R/\ker \phi) = \text{rank}(R/\ker \theta) + \text{rank}(\ker \theta/\ker \phi) = \text{rank}(R/\ker \theta) + \text{rank}(\ker \theta/\cap_i \ker \alpha f_i) = r_1 + \cdots + r_k + r_{k+1} = \text{rank}(G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{k+1})$ and $\text{coker } \phi$ is torsion.

We have constructed a map $\theta : R \rightarrow G$ with $T = \text{coker } \theta$ a torsion group. By [1, Theorem 2], $r_p(R/\ker \theta) + \dim T/pT = r_p(G) + \dim T[p]$ for all p where $T[p] = \{x \in T \mid px = 0\}$. Since $\dim T/pT \leq \dim T[p]$ and $r_p(R) = r_p(R/\ker \theta) = r_p(\ker \theta) = r_p(G)$ for all p , the inequality $r_p(G) \leq r_p(R/\ker \theta) \leq r_p(R)$ implies $r_p(\ker \theta) = 0$ for all p . Whence $\ker \theta = 0$ since R is reduced.

Let p satisfy $pX_n \neq X_n$. From $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\theta} G \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ we derive $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, R)$. Since $\text{OT}(R) \leq \text{OT}(G) = \text{type } X_n$, $r_p(R) = \text{rank } R$. By Proposition 0, $r_p(\text{Hom}(R, R)) = \text{rank}$

$\text{Hom}(R, R) = \text{rank Hom}(G, R) = r_p(\text{Hom}(G, R))$. Let $m \neq 0$ satisfy $m1_r = f \in \text{Hom}(G, R)$. Since f is clearly 1-1, $G \sim R$ [1, Corollary 6.2]. By a theorem of Beaumont-Pierce [1, Theorem 2.3] $G \cong R$.

Now $R \oplus D$ is related to $A = G \oplus D'$ so that $\text{OT}(R \oplus D) = \text{OT}(R) \vee \text{OT}(D) = \text{OT}(A)$ by Theorem 1.3. Clearly $D = 0$ if $\text{OT}(A) < \infty$. If $\text{OT}(A) = \infty$ but $\text{OT}(G) < \infty$, then $D \neq 0$ in order for $\text{OT}(C) = \infty$.

(3 \Rightarrow 1). Assume $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{Z}) = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ and suppose $C = R \oplus D$ with D divisible, R reduced and $R \oplus D' = A$ for some divisible group D' . Moreover $D = 0$ if and only if $D' = 0$ since the hypothesis that R is completely decomposable with linearly ordered typeset implies $\text{OT}(R) < \infty$. Therefore $\text{OT}(C) = \text{OT}(A)$, the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 hold, and C is related to A . \square

REMARK. The n in part 2 could be taken to be the maximum rank of a fdc-summand of A in the case that A is not a free plus a divisible.

Let X be a rank-1 group which is neither free nor divisible. It is easy to construct a strongly indecomposable group C of rank-2 such that any rank-1 image of C is isomorphic to X . Then, from Theorem 1.3, C is 1-related to $A = X \oplus X$, but not 2-related. If, however, both C and A are presumed almost completely decomposable, then C is related to A if and only if C is 1-related to A .

Unfortunately the proof of Theorem 2.1 does not go through under the assumption that A is almost completely decomposable. To prove the analogue in this case we must use several results about Butler groups. Recall that A is a Butler group if A is a pure subgroup of a completely decomposable group G (see [2] and [3]).

For a set S of primes, let A_S be the localization of A at S . That is, for \mathbf{Z}_S the subring of \mathbf{Q} generated by 1 and $1/p$ if $p \in S$, $A_S = \mathbf{Z}_S \otimes A$. We identify $A \leq A_S$ as usual. Using the notation from [9] let $\text{supp } A = \{p \mid pA \neq A\}$. Note that if C and A are n -related and $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{Z}) = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$, then $r_p(A) = r_p(C)$ for all p . In this case $\text{supp } C = \text{supp } A$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}) = \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$. Then C is n -related to A if and only if C_S is n -related to A_S for every set S of primes.*

PROOF. We will only consider the necessity since, if S is the set of all primes, $C_S = C$ and $A_S = A$. Let S be a set of primes. Then $\text{OT}(A_S) = \text{OT}(A) + \text{type } \mathbf{Z}_S = \text{OT}(C) + \text{type } \mathbf{Z}_S = \text{OT}(C_S)$ (see Exercise 1.2 in [1]). Therefore $\text{Ext}(A_S, B) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Ext}(C_S, B) = 0$ by Theorem 1.2.

If $\text{supp } B \subseteq S$, then QB/B has a zero p -component if $p \notin S$ and both A_S/A and C_S/C have a zero p -component if $p \in S$. This implies $0 = \text{Hom}(A_S/A, \mathbf{Q}B/B) = \text{Hom}(C_S/S, \mathbf{Q}B/B) = \text{Ext}(A_S/A, B) = \text{Ext}(C_S/C, B)$ so that $\text{Ext}(A_S, B) \cong \text{Ext}(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C_S, B)$.

Note $\text{supp } A_S = (\text{supp } A) \cap S = (\text{supp } C) \cap S = \text{supp } C_S$ since $r_p(A) = r_p(C)$ for all p . For B with $\text{supp } B \not\subseteq S$ take $P = (\text{supp } B) \setminus \text{supp } A_S$. Let $P^\omega B$ be the pure subgroup $\{b \in B \mid b \in p^m B \text{ for all } p \in P \text{ and } m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Then $P^\omega(B/P^\omega B) = 0$ (defined analogously). If $f : A_S \rightarrow B$ then $\text{Im } f$ is p -divisible for all $p \in P$. Furthermore, $\text{Hom}(A_S, B/P^\omega B) = 0$. A similar arrangement holds for C . Thus

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(C_S, P^\omega B) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(C_S, B) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(C_S, B/P^\omega B) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(A_S, P^\omega B) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(A_S, B) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(A_S, B/P^\omega B) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

has split exact rows.

The first isomorphism is due to $\text{supp } P^\omega B = (\text{supp } B) \setminus P \subseteq S$. The latter isomorphism is due to $r_p(A_S)r_p(B/p^\omega B) = 0 = r_p(C_S)r_p(B/p^\omega B)$ for all p . This implies the torsion subgroups of $\text{Ext}(A_S, B/p^\omega B)$ and $\text{Ext}(C_S, B/p^\omega B)$ are isomorphic. By the opening remark and Theorem 1.1, the two groups are isomorphic. Therefore $\text{Ext}(A_S, B) \cong \text{Ext}(C_S, B)$. \square

Some key properties are preserved by our relation.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Assume that C is n -related to A for $n = \text{rank } A$.*

(i) *If A is locally completely decomposable, then C is locally completely decomposable.*

(ii) *If A is a Butler group, then C is a Butler group.*

PROOF. In the proof we may assume that $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{Z}) = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$.

(i). By Lemma 2.2, C_p is n -related to A_p . Hence, by Theorem 2.1, C_p is completely decomposable.

(ii). By Theorem 1.12 in [2], A is a Butler group if and only if there is a partition S_1, \dots, S_m of the set of primes such that A_S is completely decomposable with a linearly ordered typeset for all $S \in \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$.

By Lemma 2.2, C_S is n -related to A_S for any $S \in \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ and, by Theorem 2.1, C_S is completely decomposable with linearly ordered typeset. \square

To prove a generalization of Theorem 2.1 we need a few results from [3]. Let A be a Butler group. If X is a rank-1 group of type τ , then $A[\tau] = \cap\{\ker f \mid f \in \text{Hom}(A, X)\}$ and $A^*[\tau] = \cap\{A[\sigma] \mid \sigma < \tau\}$. It is easy to see that if K is a pure subgroup of A with $K \subseteq A[\sigma]$ for all $\sigma < \tau$, then $(A/K)[\sigma] = A[\sigma]/K$ and $(A/K)^*[\tau] = A^*[\tau]/K$.

THEOREM 2.4. (ARNOLD-VINSONHALER [3]). *The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A^*[\tau]/A[\tau] \rightarrow A/A[\tau] \rightarrow A/A^*[\tau] \rightarrow 0$ is split exact.*

Recall that $A^*[\tau]/A[\tau]$ is homogeneous completely decomposable of type τ (see [3]).

THEOREM 2.5. *Let A be an almost completely decomposable group and $n = \text{rank } A$. The following are equivalent.*

1. C is related to A .
2. C is n -related to A .
3. (i) *If A is a free group plus a divisible group, then $C = D \oplus F$ with D divisible and zero if and only if A is reduced, and F is free.*

(ii) *Otherwise write $A = D' \oplus F' \oplus G$ and $C = D \oplus F \oplus R$ with D and D' divisible, F and F' free, and R and G fdc-summands. Then R is quasi-isomorphic to G , and $D = 0$ if $\text{OT}(A) < \infty$ and $D \neq 0$ if $D' \neq 0$ but $\text{OT}(G) < \infty$.*

PROOF. (2 \Rightarrow 3). If A is a free group plus a divisible group, then clearly the conclusion of 3(i) must hold. Otherwise assume $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}) = \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$ and $A = D' \oplus G$ with G an fdc-summand. Without loss of generality, assume $G = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$, where each A_i is homogeneous completely decomposable of type τ_i and $\text{rank } r_i$ and $\tau_i \not\leq \tau_j$ if $i < j$.

We will construct the map $\theta : C \rightarrow A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $C/\ker \theta \sim A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k$ by induction on k . Since C is a Butler group, by Proposition 2.3, there is a splitting map $f_i : C/C[\tau_i] \rightarrow C^*[\tau_i]/C[\tau_i]$, for each i , by Theorem 2.4.

If X is a rank-1 group of type $< \tau_1$, then $\text{Hom}(G, X) = 0$. By Theorem 1.3, $\text{Hom}(C, X) = 0$ so that $C^*[\tau_1] = C$. By Theorem 2.4, $C/C[\tau_1] \cong C^*[\tau_1]/C[\tau_1] \oplus C/C^*[\tau_1] = C^*[\tau_1]/C[\tau_1]$ is homogeneous completely decomposable of type τ_1 . Since, for $pX_1 \neq X_1$, $\text{rank } C/C[\tau_1] = r_p(\text{Hom}(C, X_1)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(G, X_1)) = r_1$, we have $C/C[\tau_1] \cong A_1$.

Assume for the sake of induction, that the map $\theta : C \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} C^*[\tau_i]/C[\tau_i]$, given by $\theta(c) = (f(c), \dots, f_{k-1}(c))$, satisfies $\text{Im } \theta \sim \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} C^*[\tau_i]/C[\tau_i]$ and that $A_i \cong C^*[\tau_i]/C[\tau_i]$. Identify A_i with $C^*[\tau_i]/C[\tau_i]$.

Since our characterization is only up to quasi-isomorphism, we may assume without loss of generality that $\text{Im } \theta = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{k-1}$. Let $K = \ker \theta$, and consider $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{k-1} \rightarrow 0$. Applying $\text{Hom}(-, X)$ for a rank-1 group X we have $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{k-1}, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, X) \xrightarrow{t} \text{Hom}(K, X)$. Let $\tau = \text{type } X$.

If $\tau < \tau_k$ and $pX \neq X$, then $\text{rank } \text{Hom}(C, X) = r_p(\text{Hom}(C, X)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(G, X)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{k-1}, X)) = \text{rank } \text{Hom}(A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{k-1}, X)$ so that $t = 0$ since $\text{Hom}(K, X)$ is torsion-free. This implies $K \subseteq C[\tau]$ and consequently $K \subseteq C^*[\tau_k]$. Moreover, $(C/K)[\tau] =$

$C[\tau]/K$ here so that $(C/K)^*[\tau_k] = C^*[\tau_k]/K$ by the remark preceding Theorem 2.4. Therefore $C/C^*[\tau_k] = (C/K)/((C/K)^*[\tau_k]) = \bigoplus\{A_i | \tau_i < \tau_k\} = A/A^*[\tau_k]$.

If $pX_k \neq X_k$, then $r_p(\text{Hom}(C, X_k)) = \text{rank Hom}(C, X_k) = \text{rank } C/C[\tau_k] = \text{rank } A/A[\tau_k] = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, X_k))$. Since $C/C^*[\tau_k] \cong A/A^*[\tau_k]$ we must have $\text{rank } C^*[\tau_k]/C[\tau_k] = \text{rank } A^*[\tau_k]/A[\tau_k]$ by Theorem 2.4. Hence $C^*[\tau_k]/C[\tau_k] \cong A^*[\tau_k]/A[\tau_k]$ since both are homogeneous completely decomposable of type τ_k .

Hence $C/C[\tau_k] = C^*[\tau_k]/C[\tau_k] \oplus C/C^*[\tau_k] = C^*[\tau_k]/C[\tau_k] \oplus \{C^*[\tau_i]/C[\tau_i] | \tau_i < \tau_k\}$ and the map $f: C \rightarrow \bigoplus\{C^*[\tau_i]/C[\tau_i] | \tau_i < \tau_k\}$ defined by $f(c) = (\theta(c), f_k(c))$ is an isomorphism.

Hence, by induction, there is a map $\theta: C \rightarrow G$ such that $C/\ker\theta \sim G$. Since $r_p(C) = r_p(G) + r_p(\ker\theta) = r_p(G)$ for all p , $\ker\theta$ is divisible. Then $C \cong \ker\theta \oplus \text{Im}\theta \sim \ker\theta \oplus G$. Since $\text{OT}(C) = \text{OT}(A)$, if $\text{OT}(A) < \infty$, then $\ker\theta$ must be zero. If $\text{OT}(A) = \infty$ but $\text{OT}(G) < \infty$, then $\text{OT}(C) = \infty$ so $\ker\theta$ cannot be zero.

(3 \Rightarrow 1). This follows along the line of the proof of (3 \Rightarrow 1) in theorem 2.1. \square

In the proof of the theorem we only used the full strength of the relation in deducing that C was a Butler group.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Assume C is a Butler group and A is almost completely decomposable. If $r_p(C) = r_p(A)$ and $r_p(\text{Hom}(C, X)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, X))$ for all p and rank-1 groups X , then $C \sim D \oplus C'$, where D is divisible and $A = D' \oplus C'$ with D' divisible and C' reduced. In particular, C is almost completely decomposable.*

PROOF. If A is divisible, then so is C . Otherwise repeat along the lines of Theorem 2.5 noting that $\text{rank Hom}(A, Z) = \text{rank Hom}(C, Z)$. \square

3. Rank-2 groups. We can apply the results from §1 and §2 to settle the question for rank-2 groups. By doing so we determine a complete system of quasi-invariants for rank-2 groups.

In [7] James Reid gave a classification of rank-2 groups in terms of their quasi-endomorphism rings. This classification is similar to the Beaumont-Pierce classification (Theorem 3.3 in [1]). Of the strongly indecomposable rank-2 groups A , $\mathbf{QE}(A)$ is either (i) a quadratic number field; (ii) \mathbf{Q} ; or (iii) the ring of upper triangular 2×2 matrices over \mathbf{Q} with equal diagonal entries.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\text{rank } A = 2$. Then C is 2-related to A if and only if*

(i) *If A is a free group plus a divisible, then $C = D \oplus F$ with D divisible and zero if and only if A is reduced, and F free.*

(ii) *Otherwise write $C = D \oplus F \oplus R$ with F free, R quasi-isomorphic to an fdc-summand of A , and D divisible and zero if $\text{OT}(A) < \infty$ and nonzero if $\text{OT}(R) < \infty$ and A is not reduced.*

PROOF. We will only consider the necessity, and assume $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{Z}) = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$.

Theorem 2.5 handles the case that A is almost completely decomposable. The case when $\mathbf{QE}(A)$ is a field is covered by Theorem 1.4. The final case is where $\mathbf{QE}(A) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix} \mid x, y \in \mathbf{Q} \right\}$ and A is strongly indecomposable.

Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ satisfy $\text{rank Im } f = 1$. Clearly $f^2 = 0$. Let $a \in A$ be such that $b = f(a) \neq 0$. Since $0 \neq b \in \ker f$, a and b are independent and thus, for $\bar{A} = A/\langle b \rangle_*$ and $\bar{B} = A/\langle a \rangle_*$, $\text{OT}(A) = \text{type } \bar{A} \vee \text{type } \bar{B}$. Since \bar{A} embeds in \bar{B} as $x + \langle b \rangle_* \rightarrow f(x) + \langle a \rangle_*$, $\text{type } \bar{A} \leq \text{type } \langle b \rangle_* \leq \text{type } \bar{B} = \text{OT}(A)$.

Let p satisfy $p\langle b \rangle_* \neq \langle b \rangle_*$. Embed $\langle a \rangle_*$ into \bar{A} and $\langle b \rangle_*$ into \bar{B} naturally. Then $\bar{A}/\langle a \rangle_* \cong A/(\langle a \rangle_* + \langle b \rangle_*) \cong \bar{B}/\langle b \rangle_*$. Since $\bar{A}/\langle a \rangle_*$ is p -reduced, $\bar{B}/\langle b \rangle_*$ is p -reduced. Since $p\langle b \rangle_* \neq \langle b \rangle_*$, $p\bar{B} \neq \bar{B}$ and $\text{OT}(C) = \text{OT}(A) < \infty$. Therefore $r_p(\text{Hom}(C, A)) = \text{rank Hom}(C, A) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, A)) = \text{rank } E(A) = 2$, and $\text{OT}(C) < \infty$ so that C must be reduced and $\text{rank } C = r_p(C) = r_p(A) = 2$.

Let $f, g \in \text{Hom}(C, A)$ be independent. To show that there is a map in $\text{Hom}(C, A)$ with a rank-2 image assume $\text{rank Im } f = \text{rank Im } g = 1$.

If $\ker f = \ker g = K$, then $f, g \in \text{Hom}(C/K, A)$ and therefore, for $U = g(C) + f(C)$, $\text{rank } U = 2$. This implies that any $x \in A$ has type $\geq \text{type } C/K$. But $\text{rank Hom}(A, C/K) = \text{rank Hom}(C, C/K) \neq 0$ so any $0 \neq h : A \rightarrow C/K$ is quasi-split, a contradiction.

If $\ker f \neq \ker g$ but $\langle f(C) \rangle_* = \langle g(C) \rangle_* = W$, then we must have $\text{OT}(C) \leq \text{type } W$ (C embeds in W^2). But $\text{OT}(A) \leq \text{type } W$ implies that W is a summand of A [6, Corollary 1.8], a contradiction.

Define $\theta : C \rightarrow A$ by $\theta(c) = f(c) + g(c)$. If $x \in \ker \theta$, then $f(x) = -g(x) \in \langle \text{Im } f \rangle_* \cap \langle \text{Im } g \rangle_* = 0$ so that $x \in \ker f \cap \ker g = 0$. By the above, $\text{rank Im } \theta = 2$ so that $\text{coker } \theta = T$ is torsion.

From $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\theta} A \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ we have $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, C) \xrightarrow{\theta^*} \text{Hom}(C, C)$. Since $\text{rank Hom}(C, C) = \text{rank Hom}(A, C)$, there is an $m \neq 0$ so that $\phi = m1_C : A \rightarrow C$. Clearly ϕ is 1-1 and therefore $A \sim C$.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $\text{rank } A = 2$. The following are equivalent:*

1. C is quasi-isomorphic to A .
2. (i) $\text{rank } C = 2$; (ii) $r_p(C) = r_p(A)$ for all p ; (iii) $r_p(\text{Hom}(C, B)) = r_p(\text{Hom}(A, B))$ for all p and B with $\text{rank } B \leq 2$; and (iv) $\text{OT}(C) = \text{OT}(A)$.

REFERENCES

1. David M. Arnold, *Finite Rank Torsion-Free Abelian Groups and Rings*, LNM **931**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
2. ———, *Pure subgroups of finite rank completely decomposable groups*, Abelian Group Theory Proceedings. (Oberwolfach 1981), LNM **874**, Springer-Verlag, New York.
3. ——— and Charles I. Vinsonhaler, *Pure subgroups of finite rank completely decomposable groups II*, Abelian Group Theory Proceedings. (Honolulu, 1982/83), LNM **1006**, Springer-Verlag, New York.
4. R.A. Beaumont and R.J. Wisner, *Rings with additive group which is a torsion-free group of rank two*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **20** (1959), 105–116.
5. Laszlo Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vols. I and II, Academic Press, New York, 1970 and 1973, respectively.
6. H. Pat Goeters, *When is $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ torsion-free?, and related problems*, Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), 1605–1619.
7. James D. Reid, *On the ring of quasi-endomorphisms of a torsion-free group*, in *Topics in Abelian Groups*, Scott, Foresman and Company, 1963.

8. Robert B. Warfield, *Extensions of torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank*, Arch. Math. **XXIII** (1972), 145–150.

9. William J. Wickless, *Projective classes of torsion-free abelian groups II*, Acta, Math., Acad. Sci. Hungar. **44** (1984), 13–20.

DEPARTMENT ACA, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AL 36830