

NONINVERTIBILITY OF INVARIANT
DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON LIE GROUPS
OF POLYNOMIAL GROWTH

PETER OHRING

In recent years weighted L^2 spaces have been useful in proving solvability results for invariant differential operators on Lie groups (e.g., [2, 3]). This is done by showing that the operators in question are boundedly invertible on a suitable weighted L^2 space.

In this note we present a result which demonstrates some of the limitations of this approach. We show that left invariant differential operators on a connected Lie group, G , of polynomial growth, are not boundedly invertible on $L^2(G, \omega(x) dx)$ where dx is the right Haar measure and $\omega(x)$ is a polynomial weight. This should be considered in the context of Levy-Bruhl's use of exponential weights [2].

For a measurable subset A of G , let $|A|$ denote the measure of A .

Definition 1. A connected, locally compact group, G , has polynomial growth if there is a polynomial p such that for each compact neighborhood U of e , there is a constant $C(U)$ so that $|U^n| \leq C(U)p(n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) ($U^n = \{u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot \dots \cdot u_n | u_i \in U, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.) (J. Jenkins has given a characterization of the locally compact groups with polynomial growth in [1].)

Note that since G is connected, its growth will be determined by the behavior of $|U^n|$ for any one compact neighborhood U of e .

Definition 2. A nonnegative measurable function ω on a connected Lie group has polynomial growth if there is a polynomial q such that for each compact neighborhood U of e there is a constant $C(U)$ so that

$$\int_{U^n} \omega(x) dx \leq C(U)q(n), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Received by the editors on February 22, 1989, and in revised form on April 6, 1989.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22E30, 58G35.

This research supported in part by a grant from the State of Louisiana.

Copyright ©1992 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Theorem 3. *Let G be a connected Lie group, ω a function of polynomial growth on G with $\omega(x) > 0$ a.e. dx and D a left invariant differential operator on G with zero constant term. Then D does not have a bounded inverse on $L^2(G, \omega(x)dx)$.*

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $U = U^{-1}$ be a compact neighborhood of e in G . To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $L^2(G, \omega(x)dx)$ norms uniformly bounded away from 0 such that Df_n goes to 0 in $L^2(G, \omega(x)dx)$ as n goes to infinity.

As D is the sum of monomials of the form $X_m X_{m-1} \dots X_1$, where the X_i s are left invariant vector fields on G , it will suffice to show that $X_m X_{m-1} \dots X_1 f_n$ goes to 0. Let $\phi > 0$ be a C^∞ function with support in U such that $\int_G \phi(x) dx \neq 0$.

Define

$$f_n(x) = \left(\int_{U^{n-1}} \omega(x) dx \right)^{-1/2} \chi_{U^n} * \phi(x)$$

where χ_{U^n} is the characteristic function of U^n . A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\|f_n(x)\|_\omega^2 \geq \left| \int_U \phi(x) dx \right|^2$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ denotes the norm in $L^2(G, \omega(x)dx)$.

From left invariance, it follows that

$$X_m X_{m-1} \dots X_m f_n = X_m (\chi_{U^n} * X_{m-1} \dots X_2 X_1 \phi)$$

where $X_{m-1} \dots X_2 X_1 \phi$ is C^∞ with support in U . Let $\psi =$

$X_{m-1} \dots X_2 X_1 \phi$.

For sufficiently small t , $\exp tX_m \in U$. Thus, if $y \in U$, $x \notin U^{n+2}$, then $x \cdot \exp X_m \cdot y^{-1} \notin U^n$. From this, the right invariance of Haar measure,

and the fact that $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq U$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X_m(\chi_{U^n} * \psi)\|_\omega^2 &= \int_G \left| \frac{d}{dt} (\chi_{U^n} * \psi)(x \cdot \exp tX_m) \Big|_{t=0} \right|^2 \omega(x) dx \\
&= \int_G \left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[\int_U (\chi_{U^n}(x \cdot \exp tX_m \cdot y^{-1}) \psi(y) dy \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_U \chi_{U^n}(x \cdot y^{-1}) \psi(y) dy \right] \right|^2 \omega(x) dx \\
&= \int_{U^{n+2} - U^{n-2}} \left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[\int_U \chi_{U^n}(x \cdot y^{-1}) \psi(y \cdot \exp tX_m) dy \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_U \chi_{U^n}(x \cdot y^{-1}) \psi(y) dy \right] \right|^2 \omega(x) dx \\
&= \int_{U^{n+2} - U^{n-2}} \left| \int_U \chi_{U^n}(x \cdot y^{-1}) \cdot X_m \psi(y) dy \right|^2 \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq K|U|^2 \int_{U^{n+2} - U^{n-2}} \omega(x) dx \quad \text{where} \quad K = \left(\int_U |X_m \psi(y)| dy \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

depends only on U , X_m and ψ . Thus,

$$\|X_m f_n\|_\omega^2 \leq K|U|^2 \left(\int_{U^{n+2} - U^{n-2}} \omega(x) dx \right) / \left(\int_{U^{n-1}} \omega(x) dx \right).$$

Let

$$a_n = \left(\int_{U^{n+2} - U^{n-2}} \omega(x) dx \right) / \left(\int_{U^{n-1}} \omega(x) dx \right).$$

It suffices to show that there exists a subsequence of a_n s converging to 0.

Suppose no such sequence exists. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that for $n \geq N$

$$\int_{U^{n+2} - U^{n-2}} \omega(x) dx > \varepsilon \int_{U^{n-1}} \omega(x) dx.$$

If we set $b_n = \int_{U^{n-2}} \omega(x) dx$, then this can be rewritten as

$$b_{n+4} - b_n > \varepsilon b_{n+1}.$$

Since b_n is a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers, we have

$$b_{n+4} > (\varepsilon + 1)b_n$$

for all $n \geq N$. It follows that

$$b_{N+4m \cdot n} > (\varepsilon + 1)^{mn} b_N > \varepsilon^m b_N n^m.$$

For fixed $m > \deg q$, q as in definition 2, and sufficiently large n , this leads to a contradiction to our polynomial growth assumption. In this context, this assumption implies that

$$b_{N+4m \cdot n} \leq cn^{\deg q}$$

where c is a positive constant independent of n . \square

Corollary 4. *Let G be a Lie group of polynomial growth. Let ω be a measurable function with $\omega(x) > 0$ a.e. dx and satisfying*

$$\omega(x) \leq r(n)$$

for all $x \in U^n$, U a compact neighborhood of e , $r(n)$ a polynomial. Then the left invariant differential operators on G with zero constant term do not have bounded inverses on $L^2(G, \omega(x) dx)$.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to express his thanks to L. Baggett, R. Fabec and A. Hulanicki for many helpful discussions related to this work.

REFERENCES

1. J.W. Jenkins, *A characterization of growth in locally compact groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 103–106.
2. P. Levy Bruhl, *Remarques sur le resolubilité d'equations differentielles, a propos de resultats de R.L. Lipsmon*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **13** (6), (1988), 769–773.
3. P. Ohring, *Solvability of invariant differential operators on metabelian groups*, Pacific J. Math. **142** (1), (1990), 135–158.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, 735 ANDERSON HILL ROAD, PURCHASE, NY 10577-1400