

QUASI-MULTIPLIERS OF PEDERSEN'S IDEAL

BRUCE DEARDEN

ABSTRACT. For the Pedersen ideal K of a C^* -algebra A , the space $QM(K)$ of quasi-multipliers of K is investigated. It is shown that $QM(K)$ is a complete involutive locally convex space under a naturally defined topology $\omega\kappa$. A theory is presented whereby $QM(K)$ is represented by a space of densely defined sesqui-linear forms on a Hilbert space.

1. Introduction. A general C^* -algebra A is often considered as a noncommutative analog of the algebra $C_0(X)$ of all continuous functions, on a locally compact space X , vanishing at infinity. Along this line of thought, Pedersen [4] showed the existence of a minimal dense ideal $K(A)$, or K , in the C^* -algebra A , corresponding to the ideal $C_{00}(X)$ of $C_0(X)$ consisting of all continuous functions on X having compact support. Using Pedersen's ideal, Lazar and Taylor [1, 2] have studied the (double) multiplier algebra $\Gamma(K)$ of the ideal K as an analog of the algebra $C(X)$ of all continuous functions on X . This note is an investigation of another analog of $C(X)$ —that being the space $QM(K)$ of quasi-multipliers of Pedersen's ideal.

We recall that for an algebra D , a *quasi-multiplier* on D (or quasi-centralizer) is defined to be a bilinear map M from $D \times D$ into D such that for all a, b, c, d in D we have $M(ab, cd) = aM(b, c)d$. Let us denote the space of all quasi-multipliers of D by $QM(D)$. Let D be an algebra with an involution denoted by $*$. For any M in $QM(D)$ we define $M^*(a, b) = M(b^*, a^*)^*$, where $a, b \in D$. Clearly, this $*$ operation satisfies $M^{**} = M$ and $(\lambda M)^* = \bar{\lambda}M^*$ for $M \in QM(D)$, $\lambda \in C$. Hence, we say $QM(D)$ is an involutive vector space. Finally, if D is a normed $*$ -algebra, we may consider the space of *bounded quasi-multipliers* $QM_b(D)$ consisting of all $M \in QM(D)$ for which $\sup\{\|M(a, b)\| : a, b \in D, \|a\| \leq 1, \|b\| \leq 1\}$ is finite. Defining $\|M\|$ to be this finite supremum, we easily see that $QM_b(D)$ is a normed linear

AMS (MOS) *Subject classification* (1980). Primary 46L05; Secondary 46A05.
Key words and phrases. Quasi-multiplier, Pedersen's ideal, C^* -algebra, sesqui-linear form.

Received by the editors on October 4, 1988, and in revised form March 18, 1989.

space. Furthermore, if D is a Banach algebra, then $QM_b(D)$ is complete [3, Theorem 2]. The Cohen-Hewitt factorization theorem shows that for a Banach algebra D with a bounded approximate identity, every quasi-multiplier on D is bounded and jointly continuous [3, Theorem 1].

2. The structure of $QM(\mathbf{K})$. Restricting the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of A to K we may consider K as a normed $*$ -algebra. The following theorem identifies the space $QM_b(K)$ of bounded quasi-multipliers on K .

Theorem 2.1. *Every bounded quasi-multiplier on K may be extended uniquely to a quasi-multiplier on A .*

Proof. Let $M \in QM_b(K)$. Let $\{u_\alpha\}$ be an approximate identity for A contained in K . For $a, b \in A$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M(au_\alpha, u_\alpha b) - M(au_\beta, u_\beta b)\| \\ & \leq \|M(au_\alpha, u_\alpha b - u_\beta b)\| + \|M(au_\alpha - au_\beta, u_\beta b)\| \\ & \leq \|M\| \|au_\alpha\| \|u_\alpha b - u_\beta b\| + \|M\| \|au_\alpha - au_\beta\| \|u_\beta b\| \\ & \leq \|M\| \|a\| \|u_\alpha b - u_\beta b\| + \|M\| \|au_\alpha - au_\beta\| \|b\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since au_α converges to a and $u_\alpha b$ converges to b , this implies that $M(au_\alpha, u_\alpha b)$ is a Cauchy net in A . Hence, it converges to an element $\overline{M}(a, b)$ in A .

Now it easily follows that $\overline{M}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is in $QM(A)$. A calculation similar to that above shows that \overline{M} is a well-defined extension of M to $A \times A$. Uniqueness follows from the fact that any quasi-multiplier on A is jointly continuous [3]. \square

From Theorem 2.1 it follows that the map restricting any M in $QM(A)$ to $K \times K$ provides an imbedding of $QM(A)$ into $QM(K)$ with image $QM_b(K)$. Furthermore, the density of the unit ball of K in that of A implies that this imbedding is an isometry of $QM(K)$ onto $QM_b(K)$. Thus, we may consider $QM(A)$ as a subset of $QM(K)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let B be a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of $K(A)$. Then the restriction of each M in $QM(K)$ to $B \times B$ is an element of $QM(B)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in QM(K)$ and $a, b \in B$. Applying a variant of the Cohen-Hewitt factorization theorem found in [1, Lemma 3.1], we may find $c \in B^+$ and $x, y \in B$ such that $a = cx$ and $b = yc$. Then we have $M(a, b) = M(cx, yc) = cM(x, y)c$. Since B is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra, it follows that $M(a, b)$ is in B . Therefore, $M|_{B \times B}$ is in $QM(B)$. \square

A similar argument proves the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let B and B' be hereditary C^* -subalgebras in K such that $B \subseteq B'$. Then the restriction of each M in $QM(B')$ to $B \times B$ is an element of $QM(B)$.*

For B and B' as in the above lemma we define maps p_B from $QM(K)$ into $QM(B)$ and $P_{BB'}$ from $QM(B')$ into $QM(B)$ by the restriction of an appropriate quasi-multiplier to $B \times B$. It follows easily that the maps p_B and $p_{BB'}$ are linear and preserve the $*$ operation.

We define a topology on $QM(K)$ that is an analog of the compact-open topology on $C(X)$. For each $a, b \in K$, define the function ρ_{ab} from $QM(K)$ into \mathbf{R}^+ by $\rho_{ab}(M) = \|M(a, b)\|$ for all $M \in QM(K)$. It is clear that ρ_{ab} is a seminorm for all a and b . We denote the topology on $QM(K)$ generated by the family $\{\rho_{ab} : a, b \in K\}$ of seminorms by $\omega\kappa$.

Theorem 2.4. *Under the $\omega\kappa$ -topology, $QM(K)$ is complete.*

Proof. Let $\{M_\alpha\}$ be an $\omega\kappa$ -Cauchy net in $QM(K)$. Then for all $a, b \in K$, the net $\{M_\alpha(a, b)\}$ is a Cauchy net in A under the norm topology. Hence, there exists an element $M(a, b)$ in A to which $\{M_\alpha(a, b)\}$ converges. Since each M_α is a quasi-multiplier, it follows from the uniqueness of the limit that M is also a quasi-multiplier. Thus, $\{M_\alpha\}$ is $\omega\kappa$ -convergent to M . Hence, $(QM(K), \omega\kappa)$ is complete. \square

A similar argument shows that the $*$ -operation on $QM(K)$ is $\omega\kappa$ -continuous. Thus, we may say that under $\omega\kappa$, $QM(K)$ is a complete involutive locally convex space.

Theorem 2.5. *Pedersen's ideal K is $\omega\kappa$ -dense in $QM(K)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in QM(K)$ and $c, d \in K$. Let B be the hereditary C^* -subalgebra generated by c and d . Proposition 5.6.2 in Pedersen [5] shows that $B \subseteq K$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $p_B[M]$ is contained in $QM(B)$, Theorem 5 in McKennon [3] shows that there exists an element $a_n \in B^+$ with $\|a_n\| = 1$ such that $\|cM(a_n, a_n)d - M(c, d)\| < 1/n$. That is, $\{M(a_n, a_n)\}$ converges in the $\omega\kappa$ -topology to M . \square

Corollary. *The linear space $QM(K)$ is the $\omega\kappa$ -completion of K .*

Let B be a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of K . We give $QM(B)$ the quasi-norm topology generated by $\{\rho_{ab} : a, b \in K\}$, where each such seminorm is restricted to $QM(B)$. It is clear that p_B and p_{BB} are continuous in the quasi-norm topologies, where B and B' are hereditary C^* -subalgebras. By the standard construction of the projective limit of locally convex spaces [6, Chapter II, sec. 5], we have the following.

Theorem 2.6. *Under the $\omega\kappa$ topology, $QM(K)$ is the projective limit of the family $\{QM(B) : B \text{ hereditary } C^*\text{-subalgebra of } K\}$ with respect to the mappings $\{p_{BB}, : B \subseteq B' \text{ hereditary } C^*\text{-subalgebras}\}$.*

3. A representation theorem for $QM(K)$. Consider A as a nondegenerate C^* -algebra of operators on a Hilbert space H . As usual, let K denote Pedersen's ideal of A . For a set D of operators on H , the linear span of the set $\{d\xi : d \in D, \xi \in H\}$ will be denoted by $[DH]$. The nondegeneracy of A is equivalent to $[AH] = H$. The inner product on H is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Lemma 3.1. *The span $[KH]$ is precisely the set $\{a\xi : a \in K, \xi \in H\}$.*

This follows easily from the factorization theorem found in Lazar and Taylor [1, Lemma 3.1]. We use H' to represent the span $[KH]$.

Lemma 3.2. *For each $M \in QM(K)$ define the mapping \hat{M} on $H' \times H'$ into \mathbf{C} by*

$$\hat{M}(b\xi, a^*\eta) = \langle M(a, b)\xi, \eta \rangle$$

for $b\xi, a^\eta \in H'$. Then \hat{M} is a well-defined sesqui-linear form on H' .*

Proof. Let $M \in QM(K)$. Suppose that $a^*\eta, b\xi, b'\xi' \in H'$ where $b\xi = b'\xi'$. Let B be the hereditary C^* -subalgebra generated by a, b and b' . We can assume B acts on the closed subspace $[BH]$ of H . Since $p_b(M)$ is in $QM(B)$, Proposition 3.12.3 of Pedersen [5] shows that there exists a unique operator m_B in the weak closure of B in the bounded operators on $[BH]$ such that $M(c, d) = cm_B d$ for all $c, d \in B$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M(a, b)\xi, \eta \rangle &= \langle am_B b\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle am_B b'\xi', \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle M(a, b')\xi', \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\hat{M}(b\xi, a^*\eta)$ is independent of the particular representation of $a^*\eta$. Hence, it follows that \hat{M} is well-defined.

Sesqui-linearity of \hat{M} is a result of an application of the now familiar factorization lemma [1, Lemma 3.1]. \square

Let μ be a sesqui-linear form on H' and $a, b \in K$. Define ${}_a\mu_b$ to be the sesqui-linear form on H given by ${}_a\mu_b(\xi, \eta) = \mu(b\xi, a^*\eta)$ for every $\xi, \eta \in H$. When ${}_a\mu_b$ is continuous, we write $\check{\mu}(a, b)$ for that operator guaranteed by the Riesz representation theorem, such that

$${}_a\mu_b(\xi, \eta) = \langle \check{\mu}(a, b)\xi, \eta \rangle$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in H$. We denote by $SQ(H')$ the set of all sesqui-linear forms on H' such that ${}_a\mu_b$ is continuous for every $a, b \in K$.

For $\mu \in SQ(H')$ define $\mu^*(b\xi, a^*\eta) = \overline{\mu(a^*\xi, b\eta)}$ for all $a^*\eta, b\xi \in H'$. Then this definition of $*$ makes $SQ(H')$ into an involutive vector space. For $a, b \in K$, define the seminorm $\hat{\rho}_{ab}$ on $SQ(H')$ by

$$\hat{\rho}_{ab}(\mu) = \sup\{|\mu(b\xi, a^*\eta)| : \xi, \eta \in H, \|\xi\| \leq 1, \|\eta\| \leq 1\}.$$

This family of seminorms defines a topology, denoted $\omega\hat{\kappa}$, on $SQ(H')$.

Theorem 3.3. (Representation Theorem) *Let A be a C^* -algebra of operators on a Hilbert space H such that $[AH] = H$. Let $H' = [KH]$. Then the map \wedge provides a $*$ -isomorphism of involutive vector spaces $QM(K)$ onto $SQ(H')$, under the $\omega\kappa$ and $\omega\hat{\kappa}$ topologies, respectively, whose inverse is given by the map v .*

Proof. Let $M \in QM(K)$. For $a, b \in K$, we have

$${}_a(\hat{M})_b(\xi, \eta) = \langle M(a, b)\xi, \eta \rangle$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in H$. By the Riesz representation theorem, $M(a, b)$ is the unique bounded operator on H that makes the above equality true. Hence, we obtain that $\hat{M} \in SQ(H')$ and $(\hat{M})^\vee = M$.

On the other hand, take $\mu \in SQ(H')$. For $a, b, c, d \in K$, we have

$$\langle \check{\mu}(ab, cd)\xi, \eta \rangle = \mu(cd\xi, b^*a^*\eta) = \langle \check{\mu}(b, c)d\xi, a^*\eta \rangle = \langle a\check{\mu}(b, c)d\xi, \eta \rangle$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in H$. Thus, by uniqueness, we obtain $\check{\mu}(ab, cd) = a\check{\mu}(b, c)d$. The bilinearity of $\check{\mu}$ follows easily from the definition. Thus, $\check{\mu} \in QM(K)$ and $(\check{\mu})^\wedge = \mu$. Hence \wedge is onto and is obviously linear. A calculation similar to that above shows that \wedge preserves the $*$ -operation.

Continuity of \wedge and \vee is clear from the definitions of the $\omega\kappa$ and $\omega\hat{\kappa}$ -topologies. \square

4. Examples. It is clear that the double multiplier algebra $\Gamma(K)$ of Lazar and Taylor is contained in $QM(K)$. The last example will show how different these two spaces can be.

Example 4.1. If A is an abelian C^* -algebra, then $QM(K)$ can be identified with the set $C(\hat{A})$ of all continuous functions on the spectrum \hat{A} . The demonstration of this is similar to the argument in Lazar and Taylor [1] identifying $\Gamma(K)$ with $C(\hat{A})$.

Example 4.2. Let A be the C^* -algebra $B_0(H)$ of compact operators on a Hilbert space H , with Pedersen's ideal $B_{00}(H)$, the operators of

finite rank. Then $QM(K)$ is represented by the set of all sesqui-linear forms on H . This easily follows from two facts. First, that $[KH]$ equals H . Second, if, for any sesqui-linear form μ on H , we define

$$M(t_{\xi\eta}, t_{\xi'\eta'}) = \mu(\xi', \xi)t_{\eta'\eta}$$

for $\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta' \in H$, (where $t_{\xi\mu}(\zeta) = \langle \zeta, \mu \rangle \xi$ for all $\xi, \mu, \zeta \in H$), then linearly extending M to all of K , we obtain a quasi-multiplier M such that $\hat{M} = \mu$.

Contrast the above result with the fact that the multiplier algebra $\Gamma(K)$ is the set of all bounded operators on H ; as Lazar and Taylor show.

REFERENCES

1. A.J. Lazar and D.C. Taylor, *Multipliers of Pedersen's ideal*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **169** (1976).
2. ———, *Double centralizers of Pedersen's ideal of a C^* -algebra*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 992–997.
3. K. McKennon, *Quasi-multipliers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **233** (1977), 105–123.
4. G.K. Pedersen, *Measure theory for C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand. **19** (1966), 131–145.
5. ———, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
6. H.H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, Macmillan, New York, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA, GRAND FORKS,
ND 58202