

A REVERSED MEIR'S INEQUALITY AND SOME RELATED RESULTS

C.E.M. PEARCE AND J. PEČARIĆ

ABSTRACT. Reversed versions are developed for Meir's inequality and some related results.

1. Introduction. In 1981 Meir [4] proved the following theorem for nondecreasing sequences.

Theorem A. *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be nonnegative real numbers satisfying*

$$(1.1) \quad 0 = a_0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1},$$

$$(1.2) \quad a_i - a_{i-1} \leq p_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n.$$

If r and s are real numbers with $r \geq 1$ and $s \geq 2r + 1$, then

$$(1.4) \quad \left[(s+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^s (p_i + p_{i+1})/2 \right]^{1/(s+1)} \\ \leq \left[(r+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^r (p_i + p_{i+1})/2 \right]^{1/(r+1)}.$$

Theorem A is sufficiently complicated for its history to be worth noting. This began with Klamkin and Newman [3] noting in 1976 that the striking elementary identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^n j^3 = \left[\sum_{j=1}^n j \right]^2$$

Received by the editors on September 27, 1994, and in revised form on January 25, 1995.

Copyright ©1997 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

extends to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^3 \leq \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \right]^2,$$

where (a_j) is a nondecreasing sequence with $a_0 = 0$ and $a_j - a_{j-1} \leq 1$. This result turned out to be quite hard to prove in comparison with the integral inequality

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^3 dx \leq \left[\int_a^b f(x) dx \right]^2$$

for a function $f \in C^1$ with $f(a) = 0$ and $0 \leq f'(x) \leq 1$ on $[a, b]$, which appeared in the 1973 Putnam Competitions. Klamkin and Newman conjectured further the result

$$(1.5) \quad \left[(s+1) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^s \right]^{1/(s+1)} \leq \left[(r+1) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r \right]^{1/(r+1)}$$

for $s > r > 0$ and the a_i satisfying the same conditions as before, to which their first result reduces for $r = 1$, $s = 3$. We remark parenthetically that even for $a_i = i$, (1.5) is a nontrivial discrete analogue of the 'continuous' identity

$$\left[(s+1) \int_0^x t^s dt \right]^{1/(s+1)} = \left[(r+1) \int_0^x t^r dt \right]^{1/(r+1)}.$$

Klamkin and Newman were able to establish their conjecture for the case $s = 2r + 1$. Thus, for $r \geq 1$, Theorem A gives the second Klamkin and Newman result under the relaxed constraint $s \geq 2r + 1$ and further allows weights.

In 1986 G.V. Milovanović and I.Ž. Milovanović [5] presented an interesting refinement of Theorem A. Their result is as follows.

Theorem B. *If the numbers a_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, p_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, r and s satisfy the assumptions of Theorem A, then*

$$(1.6) \quad (s+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^s (p_i + p_{i+1})/2 + \frac{(s+1)(s-r)}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{s-1} (p_{i+1}^2 - p_i^2) \\ \leq \left[(r+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^r (p_i + p_{i+1})/2 \right]^{(s+1)/(r+1)}.$$

Pečarić [6] showed that the conclusion of Theorem A remains valid if assumption (1.3) is replaced by $p_i \leq p_n, i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Recently, Alzer [2] proved a further result by a different method.

Theorem C. *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be nonnegative real numbers satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) and*

$$p_i \leq p_n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

If r and s are real numbers with $r \geq 1$ and $s \geq 2r + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{(s+1)(s-r)}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{s-1} (p_{i+1}^2 - p_i^2) \\ (1.7) \quad &\leq \left[(r+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^r (p_i + p_{i+1})/2 \right]^{(s+1)/(r+1)} \\ &\quad - (s+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^s (p_i + p_{i+1})/2. \end{aligned}$$

In the next section we first consolidate these results. Our consolidation leads to some reverse inequalities for suitable domains of the parameters. In particular, these suggest that the constraint $s \geq 2r + 1$ cannot always be avoided in Meir-type inequalities.

2. Results. In fact, the proofs from both [4] and [5] implicitly give the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be nonnegative real numbers satisfying (1.1) and (1.2). If r and s are real numbers with $r \geq 1$ and $s \geq 2r + 1$, then (1.6) is valid.*

Further, if

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{s-1} (p_{i+1}^2 - p_i^2) \geq 0$$

applies, then (1.7) and (1.4) hold, too.

Remark. Of course, on using the identity

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{s-1} (p_{i+1}^2 - p_i^2) = a_1^{s-1} (p_n^2 - p_1^2) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (a_i^{s-1} - a_{i-1}^{s-1}) (p_n^2 - p_i^2),$$

we conclude from $p_n^2 \geq p_i^2$ and $a_i^{s-1} \geq a_{i-1}^{s-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, the truth of (2.1).

Similarly, we can prove reversed versions of the previous results. We begin with the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be nonnegative real numbers satisfying (1.1) and*

$$(2.3) \quad a_i - a_{i-1} \geq p_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

If r and s are real numbers with $0 < r \leq 1$ and $r \leq s \leq 2r + 1$, then (1.6) holds with the inequality reversed.

Further, if the reverse inequality to (2.1) holds, then the inequalities reverse to (1.4) and (1.7) hold too.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a_1 > 0$. Let us consider Stolarsky's means E defined by

$$E(u, v; x, y) = \left[\frac{v x^u - y^u}{u x^v - y^v} \right]^{1/(u-v)}, \quad u \neq v, \quad uv \neq 0, \quad x \neq y,$$

$$E(u, v; x, x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} E(u, v; x, y) = x.$$

It is well known [7] that $E(u, v; x, y)$ is increasing in u and v . So we have for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ that

$$E(r+1, 1; a_{i-1}, a_i) \geq E(2r, r; a_{i-1}, a_i),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^{r+1} - a_{i-1}^{r+1} &\geq \frac{r+1}{2}(a_i - a_{i-1})(a_i^r + a_{i-1}^r) \\ &\geq \frac{r+1}{2}p_i(a_i^r + a_{i-1}^r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} a_j^{r+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^j (a_i^{r+1} - a_{i-1}^{r+1}) \\ &\geq \frac{r+1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^j p_i (a_i^r + a_{i-1}^r) \\ &= (r+1) \left[A_j - \frac{1}{2} p_{j+1} a_j^r \right] \\ &= (r+1) \left[A_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2} p_j a_j^r \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_j = \sum_{i=1}^j a_i^r (p_i + p_{i+1})/2$$

and we set $A_0 = 0$ (the usual convention).

This implies

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{2}(A_{j-1} + A_j) \leq \frac{1}{r+1} a_j^{r+1} \left[1 + \frac{r+1}{4a_j} (p_{j+1} - p_j) \right].$$

Let $t = (s+1)/(r+1)$. Since $1 \leq t \leq 2$, we obtain

$$E(t, 1; A_{j-1}, A_j) \leq E(2, 1; A_{j-1}, A_j),$$

which leads to

$$(2.5) \quad (A_j^t - A_{j-1}^t)/[t(A_j - A_{j-1})] \leq ((A_j + A_{j-1})/2)^{t-1}.$$

From (2.4) we have

$$1 + \frac{r+1}{4a_j} (p_{j+1} - p_j) > 0,$$

so we conclude from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$(2.6) \quad (r+1)^t(A_j^t - A_{j-1}^t) \leq t(r+1)(A_j - A_{j-1})a_j^{(r+1)(t-1)} \cdot \left[1 + \frac{r+1}{4a_j}(p_{j+1} - p_j)\right]^{t-1}.$$

On applying Bernoulli's inequality

$$(1+x)^\alpha \leq 1 + \alpha x, \quad x > -1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$$

with

$$x = \frac{r+1}{4a_j}(p_{j+1} - p_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = t-1 = \frac{s-r}{r+1},$$

we get from (2.6) that

$$(r+1)^t(A_j^t - A_{j-1}^t) \leq t(r+1)(A_j - A_{j-1})a_j^{(r+1)(t-1)} \cdot \left[1 + (t-1)\frac{r+1}{4a_j}(p_{j+1} - p_j)\right],$$

whence

$$(r+1)^t(A_j^t - A_{j-1}^t) \leq \frac{s+1}{2}a_j^s(p_j + p_{j+1}) + \frac{(s+1)(s-r)}{8}a_j^{s-1}(p_{j+1}^2 - p_j^2).$$

Summation over $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ finally yields the desired reverse inequality to (1.6). \square

Corollary 1. *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be nonnegative real numbers satisfying (1.1), (2.3) and*

$$(2.7) \quad p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n.$$

If r and s are real numbers with $0 < r \leq 1$ and $r \leq s \leq 2r+1$, then (1.4) holds with the inequality reversed.

Proof. It is clear that (2.7) gives a reverse inequality in (2.1). \square

Corollary 2. *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be nonnegative real numbers satisfying (1.1) and (2.3). Then reverse inequalities apply to (1.4) and (1.7) if either*

- (i) $0 < r \leq 1 \leq s \leq 2r + 1$ and $p_i \geq p_n, i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, or
- (ii) $0 < r \leq s \leq 1$ and $p_i \leq p_1, i = 2, \dots, n$ holds.

Proof. We have the reverse inequality in (2.1) in case (i) as a simple consequence of (2.2), while if (ii) holds, we have a reverse inequality in (2.1) as a consequence of the formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{s-1} (p_{i+1}^2 - p_i^2) = a_{n-1}^{s-1} (p_n^2 - p_1^2) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (p_{k+1}^2 - p_1^2) (a_k^{s-1} - a_{k+1}^{s-1}). \quad \square$$

Remark. For a result related to Corollary 1, see [1].

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank the referee for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

1. H. Alzer, *On an inequality for non-decreasing sequences*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **22** (1992), 801–804.
2. ———, *Generalization of an inequality for non-decreasing sequences*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., to appear.
3. M.S. Klamkin and D.J. Newman, *Inequalities and identities for sums and integrals*, Amer. Math. Monthly **83** (1976), 26–30.
4. A. Meir, *An inequality for nondecreasing sequences*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **11** (1981), 577–579.
5. G.V. Milovanović and I.Ž. Milovanović, *A generalization of a result of A. Meir for non-decreasing sequences*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **16** (1986), 237–239.
6. J.E. Pečarić, *An extension of an inequality for nondecreasing sequences*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **22** (1992), 329–330.
7. K.B. Stolarsky, *Generalization of the logarithmic mean*, Math. Mag. **48** (1975), 87–92.

APPLIED MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, ADELAIDE UNIVERSITY, ADELAIDE, S.
AUSTRALIA 5005

FACULTY OF TEXTILE TECHNOLOGY, ZAGREB UNIVERSITY, ZAGREB, CROATIA