

## ON THE INVERSE OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH KERNEL OPERATORS

AMIN BOUMENIR

**ABSTRACT.** We study the boundedness of integral operators whose kernels are functions of operators  $Vf(x) := f(x) + \int k(x, t, L)f(t) d\mu(t)$ , where  $k(x, t, \lambda)$  is an entire function of  $\lambda$  and  $L$  is an unbounded self-adjoint operator in  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$ . By using Korotkov's theorem we derive a simple necessary condition for  $V$  to be a Carleman type operator. We are particularly interested in the cases when the inverse operator exists and has the same form as  $V$ . This study provides a new method for the inversion of integral equation of Carleman type.

**1. Introduction.** We first are interested in the boundedness of operators defined by

$$Vf(x) = f(x) + \int k(x, t, L)f(t) d\mu(t), \quad d\mu(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

in the Hilbert space  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ , where  $k(x, t, \lambda)$  is an entire function of  $\lambda$ ,  $d\mu$  measurable in  $x$  and  $t$ , and  $L$  is an unbounded self-adjoint operator acting in the separable Hilbert space  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$ . In fact one needs  $k(x, t, \lambda)$  to be an analytic function of  $\lambda$  in the neighborhood of the spectrum of  $L$  only.

For the sake of simplicity we shall assume that

$$k(x, t, \lambda) := \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x, t) \lambda^n$$

and so  $Vf(x)$  is defined by

$$(1) \quad Vf(x) := f(x) + \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x, t) L^n f(t) d\mu(t), \quad d\mu(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

---

Received by the editors on July 27, 1994, and in revised form on August 6, 1995.  
AMS *Mathematics Subject Classifications.* 46.

Copyright ©1995 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

where  $a_n(x, t)$  are  $d\mu(t)$ -measurable and  $\int |a_n(x, t)|^2 d\mu(t) < \infty$  for all  $n$ . In the next section, we shall define the domain of the operator  $V$  by using the transform associated with the self-adjoint operator  $L$ . In the same way we study the possible extension, to spaces containing eigenfunctionals. In these rigged spaces the representation of the operator  $V$  reduces to a one-parameter family of Fredholm operators. Thus we reduce the inversion of a Carleman integral operator to the inversion of a family of Fredholm operators. Here the analyticity of the kernel  $k(x, t, \lambda)$  in terms of  $\lambda$  plays a major role. We shall prove the following result:

**Theorem.** *Assume that*

- (i)  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{V}^{-1}$  are bounded in  $\Phi'$ , and
- (ii)  $\int \int |k(x, t, \lambda)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(t) < \infty d\Gamma(\lambda)$  almost everywhere for all  $\lambda \in \sigma$ .

*Then there exists an entire function  $h(x, t, \lambda)$  of  $\lambda$  and a self-adjoint operator  $P$  such that*

$$V^{-1}f := f(x) + \int h(x, t, P)\Pi(P - \lambda_i)^{-n_i} f(t) d\mu(t)$$

*where  $h(x, t, \lambda)/\Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}$  is the resolvent kernel of  $T_\lambda^{-1}$ .*

Equations involving operators of this kind occur frequently in applied mathematics, for example the inversion of the Laplace transform on the real line leads to

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} := \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{L} T \cosh(i\pi D) T^{-1}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Laplace transform, i.e., an integral operator,  $D$  is the derivation operator and  $T$  is a unitary transformation. It is easy to understand the nature of operators defined by (1), by examining the following simple example:

$$Vf(x) = f(x) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{1}{1+t^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(x-t)^n}{n!} D^n f(t) dt, \quad x \in R.$$

It is clear that if  $f(x)$  is entire then

$$Vf(x) = 2f(x)$$

and hence is a bounded operator in  $L^2_{dt}$ .

In fact the method is applicable any time we can decompose the kernel, i.e.,

$$H(x, t) := \sum L^n a_n(x, t)$$

and under certain conditions it follows

$$\int H(x, t)f(t) dt := \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x, t)L^n f(t) dt.$$

**2. Notation.** Without loss of generality, we can assume the spectrum of  $L$ ,  $\sigma$  say, to be simple. The concept of rigged spaces will help us describe the different parts of the spectrum and find appropriate spaces for the generalized eigenfunctions, see [5]. Recall that if  $\lambda \in \sigma$  then there exists a minimizing sequence, sometimes referred to as a Weyl sequence,  $f_n$ , such that

$$f_n(t, \lambda) \in D_L \subset L^2_{d\mu(t)}, \quad \|f_n\| = 1, \quad \|Lf_n - \lambda f_n\| \xrightarrow{L^2_{d\mu(t)}} 0.$$

If the Weyl sequence  $f_n$  is compact in  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$ , then  $\lambda$  is in the discrete spectrum, i.e., eigenvalue. If  $f_n$  is not compact in  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$ , then we assume the existence of a countably normed space  $\Phi$  imbedded in  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$ , and so it follows  $\Phi \hookrightarrow L^2_{d\mu(t)} \hookrightarrow \Phi'$ . For the topology, we shall assume that  $\Phi$  is densely imbedded in  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$  and invariant under  $L$ , i.e.,  $L\Phi \subset \Phi$ . Due to the continuous imbedding  $L^2_{d\mu(t)} \hookrightarrow \Phi'$  the Weyl sequence  $\{f_n(\cdot, \lambda)\}$  is bounded in  $\Phi'$  and so compact. Clearly this means  $f_n(x, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\Phi'} \varphi(x, \lambda)$  and a simple argument shows that

$$(2) \quad L'\varphi(x, \lambda) = \lambda\varphi(x, \lambda) \quad \text{in } \Phi'.$$

Indeed, for  $g \in \Phi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (L - \lambda)g, f_n \rangle_{\Phi \times \Phi'} &\longrightarrow \langle (L - \lambda)g, \varphi(x, \lambda) \rangle_{\Phi \times \Phi'} \\ &= \langle g, (L' - \lambda)\varphi(x, \lambda) \rangle_{\Phi \times \Phi'}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle (L - \lambda)g, f_n \rangle_{\Phi \times \Phi'} = \langle g, Lf_n - \lambda f_n \rangle_{\Phi \times \Phi'} \rightarrow 0$$

and so (2) follows.

Since the operator is symmetric, i.e.,  $L \subset L'$ , we shall agree to write

$$L\varphi(x, \lambda) = \lambda\varphi(x, \lambda) \quad \text{in } \Phi'.$$

The associated unitary transform or  $\varphi$ -transform is first defined only in  $\Phi$  and so denoted by  $\hat{f}(\lambda) := \langle f, \varphi(x, \lambda) \rangle_{\Phi \times \Phi'}$ . It is then extended by continuity to the whole space  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$  and reads as

$$\forall f \in L^2_{d\mu(t)}, \quad \hat{f}(\lambda) := \int f(t)\varphi(t, \lambda)d\mu(t).$$

The inverse transform of  $\hat{f}(\lambda) \in L^2_{d\Gamma}$  is defined by

$$f(t) := \int \hat{f}(\lambda)\overline{\varphi(t, \lambda)}d\Gamma(\lambda)$$

where  $\Gamma(\lambda)$  is the spectral function associated with the operator  $L$ . Since  $L$  is self-adjoint, the set of eigenfunctionals is complete in  $\Phi'$ , that is

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \forall f \in \Phi, \quad \hat{f}(\lambda) &= \int f(t)\varphi(t, \lambda) d\mu(t) = 0 \\ \forall \lambda \in \sigma &\implies f(t) := 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that if the spectrum is simple but contains a negative part then the multiplicity of the spectrum of even powers of  $L$  will be two.

We now introduce some notations and conditions to be used in the next sections.

Let  $D_{L^n}$  denote the domain of the operator  $L^n$  in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$  and  $D_{L^\infty} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} D_{L^n}$ . For self-adjoint operators, it is well known that  $D_{L^\infty} \neq \emptyset$  and even dense in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ . Since the kernel contains functions of two variables, by  $L_t a(x, t)$  we shall mean the action of  $L$  on the variable  $t$ . In case we transform functions with several variables, the hat, “ $\hat{\phantom{x}}$ ” designates the transformed variable, e.g.,

$$a(x, \hat{\lambda}) := \int a(x, t)\varphi(t, \lambda)d\mu(t).$$

For the sake of simplicity we shall sometimes need to use the following conditions to hold as  $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$  :

A) There exists an  $a \geq 0$  for all  $j > 0$ ,  $|\varphi(t, \lambda) \sum_{n=0}^{n=j} a_n(x, t) \lambda^n| \leq c(x) |\lambda|^{a\lambda^2}$ ;  $d\Gamma(\lambda)$  almost everywhere.

B)  $\int \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n(x, \hat{\lambda})|^2 (n! / a^n) d\Gamma(\lambda) < \infty$ ,  $d\mu(x)$  almost everywhere.

C) There exists a  $p > 0$ ,  $(d\Gamma/d\lambda)(\lambda) = O(|\lambda|^p)$  and  $\int (1/(1 + |\lambda|)^{p+2}) d\Gamma(\lambda)$ .

D)  $\int |k(x, t, \lambda) \varphi(t, \lambda)| d\mu(t) = O(|\lambda|^s)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

For the sake of simplicity it is enough to define

$$\Phi := \{f \in L^2_{d\mu(t)} / e^{a\lambda^2} \hat{f}(\lambda) \in S\}$$

where  $S$  is the space of rapidly decreasing functions, or Schwartz space. Thus

$$\forall f \in \Phi, \exists \psi \in S \text{ such that } \hat{f}(\lambda) = e^{-a\lambda^2} \psi(\lambda).$$

The semi-norms associated with  $\Phi$  are defined as follows

$$\Phi := \{f \in L^2_{d\mu(x)} / \sup_{k \leq p, x} |(1 + |\lambda|)^p D^k(\hat{f}(\lambda) e^{a\lambda^2})| < \infty\}.$$

Under condition C), the space  $\Phi \subset D_{L^\infty}$ , is invariant under  $L$  and perfect. This is a simple consequence of the fact  $S$  is a perfect space, see [5]. Finally we recall that  $V$  is seen as an operator acting in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$

$$L^2_{d\mu(x)} \xrightarrow{V} L^2_{d\mu(x)} \text{ and } D_V = \Phi.$$

The maximal domain of definition of the operator  $V$  in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$  is denoted by

$$D_V^m := \{f \in L^2_{d\mu(x)} / Vf \in L^2_{d\mu(x)}\}.$$

Let us introduce the following Hilbert space indexed by  $a > 0$

$$H_a := \{f \in L^2_{d\mu(t)} / \|f\|_a < \infty\}$$

where  $\|f\|_a^2 := \int e^{a\lambda^2} |\hat{f}(\lambda)|^2 d\Gamma(\lambda)$  and  $a$  is a fixed constant.

**3. The Operator  $\mathcal{K}$ .** In order to define the operator  $V$ , it is sufficient to define the action of the integral part of the operator  $V$ , which we denote by  $\mathcal{K}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K}f(x) := \int k(x, t, L)f(t) d\mu(t) := \int \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n=k} a_n(x, t) L^n f(t) d\mu(t).$$

Since the definition of the operator  $\mathcal{K}$  involves infinite powers of the self-adjoint operator  $L$ , we need to use the spaces  $H_a$  and  $\Phi$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let conditions B) and C) hold, then for  $f \in H_a$ , where  $a > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{K}f(x)$  exists  $d\mu(x)$  almost everywhere. If, in addition,  $A(x) := \sqrt{\int |\sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n(x, t)|^2 (n!/a^n)| d\mu(t)} \in L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ , then  $H_a \subset D_V^m$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int k(x, t, L)f(t) d\mu(t) \right| &:= \left| \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x, t) L^n f(t) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\leq \int \left| \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x, t) L^n f(t) \right| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n(x, t)|^2 \frac{1}{b_n(t)} d\mu(t)} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\int \sum_{n \geq 0} |L^n f(t)|^2 b_n(t) d\mu(t)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n(x, t)|^2 \frac{1}{b_n(t)} d\mu(t)} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\int \sum_{n \geq 0} \int |L^n f(t)|^2 b_n(t) d\mu(t)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n(x, t)|^2 \frac{1}{b_n(t)} d\mu(t)} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\int \sum_{n \geq 0} |\lambda^n \hat{f}(\lambda)|^2 b_n(t) d\mu(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we choose  $b_n(t) = a^n/\sqrt{n!}$ , we obtain

$$|\mathcal{K}f(x)| \leq A(x)\|f\|_a$$

where by condition B),  $A(x) < \infty$ . It is clear that if  $A(x) \in L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ , then  $\mathcal{K}f(x) \in L^2_{d\mu(x)}$  and therefore  $H_a \subset D_V$ . Hence  $D_V$  is not trivial.  $\square$

The next result is essential for obtaining an equivalent representation, of the action of  $V$  when restricted to a certain space of test functions.

**Proposition 2.** *Assume that conditions A), C), and D) hold. Then*

$$\forall f \in \Phi \quad Vf(x) = f(x) + \int R(x,t)f(t) d\mu(t)$$

where

$$(4) \quad R(x,t) = \int k(x,t,\lambda)\overline{\varphi(t,\lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda)$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $f \in \Phi$ ; then there exists  $\psi(\lambda) \in S$  such that  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \text{Exp}(-a\lambda^2)\psi(\lambda)$  and so  $L^n f = \int \lambda^n \hat{f}(\lambda)\overline{\varphi(t,\lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}f(x) &= \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x,t)L^n f(t) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x,t) \int \lambda^n \hat{f}(\lambda)\overline{\varphi(t,\lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x,t) \int \lambda^n \text{Exp}(-a\lambda^2)\psi(\lambda)\overline{\varphi(t,\lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that since  $k(x,t,\lambda)$  is entire, then the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x,t)\lambda^n$  converges for all  $\lambda$  and by condition A), as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\left| \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x,t)\lambda^n \text{Exp}(-a\lambda^2)\psi(\lambda)\overline{\varphi(t,\lambda)} \right| = O(|\lambda|^{-p-2}),$$

which means that the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem is applicable, and it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}f(x) &= \iint \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x, t) \lambda^n \hat{f}(\lambda) \overline{\varphi(t, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) d\mu(t) \\ &= \iint k(x, t, \lambda) \hat{f}(\lambda) \overline{\varphi(t, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) d\mu(t).\end{aligned}$$

Since condition C) holds, Fubini's theorem is applicable

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}f(x) &= \iint k(x, t, \lambda) \overline{\varphi(t, \lambda)} d\mu(t) \hat{f}(\lambda) d\Gamma(\lambda) \\ &:= \int R(x, \hat{\lambda}) \hat{f}(\lambda) d\Gamma(\lambda) \\ &= \int R(x, t) f(t) d\mu(t).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result,

$$(5) \quad \forall f \in \Phi \quad Vf(x) = f(x) + \int R(x, t) f(t) d\mu(t).$$

This means that when  $V$  is restricted to  $\Phi$ , it can be represented as an integral operator, and  $Vf(x)$  is defined  $d\mu(x)$  almost everywhere. We now show that  $\mathcal{K}$  admits closure in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *If conditions A), B), and D) hold, then  $V$  admits closure in  $\mathcal{L}^2_{d\mu(t)}$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that if  $y_k \in \Phi$  such that  $y_k \xrightarrow{L^2_{d\mu(t)}} 0$  and  $Vy_k \xrightarrow{L^2_{d\mu(x)}} c$ , then  $c = 0$ . Since  $y_k \in \Phi$ , then (5) holds, and by Cauchy Schwartz

$$|Vy_k(x)| \leq |y_k| + \|R(x, \cdot)\| \|y_k\|$$

So that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we should have  $c(x) = 0$   $d\mu(x)$  almost everywhere as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

We shall denote the closure of the operator  $V$  in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$  by  $\overline{V}$ .



*Remark.*  $V$  admits closure in  $\Phi'$  if and only if  $D_{V'} \cap \Phi$  is dense in  $\Phi$ , see [3]. This provides a sufficient condition for  $V$  to admit closure in  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$ . Recall that a Carleman operator may have an empty domain.

**4. Boundedness of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ .** Let us find conditions such that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. From (4) follows

**Proposition 4.** *Let conditions A), B), and D) hold; then  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator in  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$  if and only if*

$$\int \int |k(x, \hat{\lambda}, \lambda)|^2 d\Gamma(\lambda) d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in \Phi$ , then it follows from (4) and Parseval that

$$\mathcal{K}f(x) = \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x, \hat{\lambda}) \lambda^n \hat{f}(\lambda) d\Gamma(\lambda);$$

since the  $\varphi$ -transform is a bounded operator, it follows that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if and only if its kernel is square integrable, that is,  $k(x, \hat{\lambda}, \lambda) \in L^2_{d\mu(x) d\mu(t)}$ , see [2].  $\square$

The next proposition will help us express  $R(x, t)$  in terms of the coefficients  $a_n(x, t)$ .

**Proposition 5.** *If  $a_n(x, t) \in D_{L^n}$  for all  $n$ , and conditions A), B) and D) hold, then*

$$R(x, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} L_t^n a_n(x, t)$$

$$\forall f \in \Phi \quad \bar{V}f(x) = f(x) + \int \sum_{n \geq 0} L_t^n a_n(x, t) f(t) d\mu(t).$$

*Proof.* Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, t) &= \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x, \hat{\lambda}) \lambda^n \overline{\varphi(t, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) \\ &= \int \sum_{n \geq 0} L^n \widehat{a_n}(x, t) \overline{\varphi(t, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The representation (4) will help us obtain sufficient conditions for  $\mathcal{K}$  to be a Carleman operator.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *Assume that conditions A), B), and C) hold and*

$$\int |R(x, t)|^2 d\mu(t) = \int |k(x, \hat{\lambda}, \lambda)|^2 d\Gamma(\lambda) < \infty,$$

*then  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Carleman operator.*

The representation provides an alternative definition for  $\overline{V}$ , in case condition A) holds and  $f \in \Phi$

$$(6) \quad \overline{V}f(x) := f(x) + \int k(x, \hat{\lambda}, \lambda) \hat{f}(\lambda) d\Gamma(\lambda).$$

$$(7) \quad \overline{V}f(x) := f(x) + \int R(x, t) f(t) d\mu(t).$$

where  $R(x, t) := \int k(x, \hat{\lambda}, \lambda) \overline{\varphi(t, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda)$ .

**5. Extension to  $\Phi'$ .** We would like to see how  $V$  can be defined on the set  $\{\varphi(x, \lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \sigma} \in \Phi'$ . Let us denote by  $\widetilde{V}$  the extension of  $V$  to the space  $\Phi'$ .

**Proposition 7.** *Assume that  $V$  admits closure in  $\Phi'$  and  $V\varphi(x, \lambda) \in \Phi'$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma$ . Then*

$$V\varphi(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) + \overline{R(x, \hat{\lambda})}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that if  $f(x) \in \Phi \subset L^2_{d\mu(x)}$  then  $f(x) = \int \hat{f}(\lambda)\varphi(x, \lambda)d\Gamma(\lambda)$ . Since  $V$  admits closure and  $V\varphi(x, \lambda)$  is defined in  $\Phi'$ , it follows that

$$Vf(x) = \int \hat{f}(\lambda)\overline{V\varphi(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda).$$

On the other hand, from (4) and Parseval equality, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} Vf(x) &= f(x) + \int R(x, \hat{\lambda})\hat{f}(\lambda) d\Gamma(\lambda) \\ &= \int \hat{f}(\lambda)\overline{\varphi(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) + \int R(x, \hat{\lambda})\hat{f}(\lambda) d\Gamma(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e^{a\lambda^2}\hat{f}(\lambda) \in S$ . Hence the result, since the space  $S$  is dense in  $L^2_{d\Gamma(\lambda)}$ .  $\square$

In what follows we obtain sufficient conditions such that  $V\varphi(x, \lambda)$  is defined for all  $\lambda \in \sigma$  by obtaining sufficient conditions for  $V$  to extend as a continuous operator in  $\Phi'$ . This is equivalent to requiring boundedness of the operator  $V'$  in the space  $\Phi$ . Observe that, instead of working in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ , it will be easier to work in  $L^2_{d\Gamma(\lambda)}$  by using the  $\varphi$  transform. In this way we do not have to deal with  $L$  directly. From equation (4) we can set

$$W := \widehat{V}^{-1},$$

which is defined in  $L^2_{d\Gamma(\lambda)}$  by

$$(8) \quad W\psi(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda) + \int H(\lambda, \mu)\psi(\mu) d\Gamma(\mu)$$

where

$$H(\lambda, \mu) := k(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}, \mu) = \int k(x, \hat{\mu}, \mu)\varphi(x, \lambda) d\mu(x).$$

It follows

$$W'f(\lambda) := f(\lambda) + \int \overline{H(\mu, \lambda)}f(\mu) d\Gamma(\mu).$$

By using the semi-norms of the space  $S$ , we shall obtain sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the operator  $W'$  in the space  $S$ .

Denote by

$$S_p := \{f(\lambda) \in C^\infty / \|f\|_p := \sup_{k \leq p} M_p(\lambda) |D^k e^{a\lambda^2} f(\lambda)|\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|W'f\|_p &\leq \|f\|_p \\ &+ \sup_{k \leq p} M_p(\lambda) \left| D^k \int e^{a\lambda^2} \overline{H(\eta, \lambda)} e^{-a\eta^2} e^{a\eta^2} f(\eta) d\Gamma(\eta) \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \\ &+ \sup_{k \leq p} M_p(\lambda) \left| \int \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda^k} \overline{e^{a\lambda^2} H(\eta, \lambda)} f(\eta) e^{-a\eta^2} e^{a\eta^2} d\Gamma(\eta) \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \\ &+ \sup_{k \leq p} M_p(\lambda) \left| \int \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda^k} \frac{\overline{e^{a\lambda^2} H(\eta, \lambda)}}{M_q(\eta)} M_q(\eta) f(\eta) d\Gamma(\eta) \right| \\ &\leq c_{pq} \|f\|_q + \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma} M_p(\lambda) \left| \int \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda^k} \frac{\overline{e^{a\lambda^2} H(\eta, \lambda)}}{M_q(\eta)} e^{-a\eta^2} d\Gamma(\eta) \right| \\ &\quad \sup_{j \leq q} M_q(\eta) |D^j e^{a\eta^2} f(\eta)| \\ &\leq \left\{ c_{pq} + \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma} M_p(\lambda) \left| \int \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda^k} \frac{\overline{e^{a\lambda^2} H(\eta, \lambda)}}{M_q(\eta)} e^{-a\eta^2} d\Gamma(\eta) \right| \right\} \|f\|_q \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_p(\lambda) := (1 + |\lambda|)^p$ . Hence if  $\sup_{\lambda \in \sigma} M_p(\lambda) \left| \int (\partial^k / \partial \lambda^k) \overline{(e^{a\lambda^2} H(\eta, \lambda)) / (M_q(\eta) e^{a\eta^2})} d\Gamma(\eta) \right| < \infty$ , then  $V$  is bounded in  $\Phi'$ . Also if  $\{\varphi(x, \lambda)\} \in \Phi'_p$ , then  $V\varphi(x, \lambda) \in \Phi'_q$  and

$$\tilde{V}\varphi(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) + \int \sum a_n(x, t) L^n \varphi(t, \lambda) d\mu(t), \quad \text{in } \Phi'$$

together with the identity  $L^n \varphi(x, \lambda) = \lambda^n \varphi(x, \lambda)$  in  $\Phi'$  would imply the following

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\{\varphi(x, \lambda)\} \in \Phi'_p$  and  $\sup_{\lambda \in R} M_p(\lambda) \left| \int (\partial^k / \partial \lambda^k) \overline{(e^{a\lambda^2} H(\eta, \lambda)) / (M_q(\eta) e^{a\eta^2})} d\Gamma(\eta) \right| < \infty$  where  $q \geq p$ ; then  $V\Phi' \rightarrow \Phi'$  is continuous and*

$$y(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) + \int k(x, t, \lambda) \varphi(t, \lambda) d\mu(t) \quad \text{in } \Phi'.$$

*Remark.* In case  $d\mu(t) = dt$  we do not need to use the space  $L^2_{d\Gamma(\lambda)}$ . Indeed the growth of eigenfunctionals of any self adjoint operator in the Hilbert space  $L^2_{R^n}$  is known; they are precisely in  $\Phi'_1$  where

$$\Phi_1 := \{f / \sup(1 + |x|^{3n/2+\varepsilon})|Df(x)| < \infty\},$$

see [5]. This is simply due to the fact that  $\varphi(\hat{\nu}, \lambda) = \delta(\nu - \lambda)$  has a simple representation. Once a space containing all eigenfunctionals has been obtained, we can proceed in a similar way.

**6. The Operator  $T_\lambda$ .** If for  $\lambda \in \sigma$ , the operator  $\int k(x, t, \lambda)f(t) d\mu(t)$  is compact, then one can use the existing theory of analytic Fredholm theory, see [8]. This is easily achieved if  $k(x, t, \lambda)$  is a square integrable function of  $x$  and  $t$ . Now if we assume that  $\tilde{V}$  is bounded in  $\Phi'$ , then it is defined on the set  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  which is outside  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$  and, as shown previously, the following holds in  $\Phi'$

$$(9) \quad y(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) + \int k(x, t, \lambda)\varphi(t, \lambda) d\mu(t).$$

The question then is under what conditions would there exist a kernel  $h(x, t, \lambda)$  such that

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = y(x, \lambda) + \int h(x, t, \lambda)y(t, \lambda) d\mu(t)$$

holds also in  $\Phi'$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma$ . The idea is to fix the parameter  $\lambda$  and then restrict the operator to  $L^2_{d\mu(t)}$ . This allows us to compute the inverse and then extend the operator back to  $\Phi'$ . The final operation would be to exchange the  $\lambda$  with a certain self-adjoint operator,  $P$ . Now looking at  $\lambda$  as a fixed parameter, let us define

$$T_\lambda : f(x) \longrightarrow f(x) + \int k(x, t, \lambda)f(t) d\mu(t) \quad \text{in } L^2_{d\mu(x)}.$$

Clearly  $V$  can be seen as an extension of the whole family  $T_\lambda$  to the space  $\Phi'$ . During the extension the kernel will remain unchanged and (9) will hold in the weak sense, i.e., in  $\Phi'$ . Hence the question becomes, when would the inverse of  $T_\lambda$  be of the same nature as  $T_\lambda$  and have an extension to  $\Phi'$  or at least be defined on the set of  $V\varphi(x, \lambda)$ ? In some

cases we can answer the question in a precise manner. For example, if  $T_\lambda$  is a family of Fredholm operators then it is known, see [7], that the resolvent either exists and is a rational function of  $\lambda$  or does not exist at all. It is clear that, in the event of the existence of a resolvent

$$(10) \quad T_\lambda^{-1} : f(x) \longrightarrow f(x) + \int \frac{\sum b_n(x, t)\lambda^n}{\sum c_n\lambda^n} f(t) d\mu(t)$$

where  $\sum c_n\lambda^n$  is the Fredholm determinant. Thus, even if one succeeds in extending  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  to  $\Phi'$ , then it follows from (10)

$$(11) \quad \varphi(x, \lambda) := V^{-1}y(x, \lambda) = y(x, \lambda) + \int \frac{\sum b_n(x, t)\lambda^n}{\sum c_n\lambda^n} y(t, \lambda) d\mu(t).$$

Thus, at first sight the inverse is not of the same type as  $V$ . It is readily seen that we need the Fredholm determinant,  $\sum c_n\lambda^n$ , to have no zeros in  $\sigma$ , see [8]. We now obtain a sufficient condition for the existence of  $V^{-1}$  in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ .

**Proposition 9.** *Assume that  $\tilde{V}$  is closed in  $\Phi'$ . Then  $\bar{V}$  is invertible in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$  if and only if*

$$\int \hat{f}(\lambda) \overline{y(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) = 0 \quad \implies \quad f = 0.$$

*Proof.* The inverse of  $\bar{V}$  exists if and only if

$$\bar{V}f = 0 \quad \implies \quad f = 0.$$

Since  $f \in L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ ,  $f(x) = \int \hat{f}(\lambda) \overline{\varphi(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda)$ , and  $\tilde{V}$  is a closed operator,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}f &= \bar{V} \int \hat{f}(\lambda) \overline{\varphi(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) \\ &= \int \hat{f}(\lambda) \tilde{V} \overline{\varphi(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda) \\ &= \int \hat{f}(\lambda) \overline{y(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 10** (Existence of the operator  $P$ ).

i) If  $\bar{V}^{-1}$  exists, then there exists a self-adjoint operator  $P$  acting in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$  and defined by  $P := \bar{V}L\bar{V}^{-1}$ , and

ii) If  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{V}^{-1}$  are bounded operators in  $\Phi'$ , then  $\tilde{P} := \tilde{V}L\tilde{V}^{-1}$  is an extension of  $P$  to  $\Phi'$ , and  $\tilde{P}y(x, \lambda) = \lambda y(x, \lambda)$  in  $\Phi'$  where  $y(x, \lambda) = \tilde{V}\varphi(x, \lambda)$ .

*Proof.* The existence of  $\bar{V}^{-1}$  allows us to consider the operator  $P = \bar{V}L\bar{V}^{-1}$  in  $L^2_{d\mu(x)}$ . For the second part it is sufficient to observe that, since the inverse  $\tilde{V}^{-1}$  exists in  $\Phi'$ , it follows that

$$\tilde{P} := \tilde{V}L\tilde{V}^{-1}.$$

Indeed, we obviously have

$$\tilde{P}y(x, \lambda) = \tilde{V}L\varphi(x, \lambda) = \lambda\tilde{V}\varphi(x, \lambda) = \lambda y(x, \lambda) \quad \text{in } \Phi'.$$

The following properties can easily be shown.

- a) if  $\bar{V}^{-1}$  is bounded in  $L^2_{d\mu}$ , then  $P$  is densely defined in  $L^2_{d\mu}$ ,
- b)  $P$  has a simple spectrum
- c)  $y(x, \lambda)$  form a complete set of eigenfunctionals
- d) there exists a spectral function  $\Gamma_2(\lambda)$  such that

$$f(x) = \int \hat{f}^2(\lambda)\overline{y(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma_2(\lambda)$$

where  $\hat{f}^2(\lambda) := \int f(x)y(x, \lambda) d\mu(x)$ .

Hence we can replace (9) by

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) := T_\lambda^{-1}y(x, \lambda) = y(x, \lambda) + \int h(x, t, P)y(t, \lambda) d\mu(t).$$

We now can examine the case when  $T_\lambda$  is a Fredholm operator.

**Theorem.** *Assume that*

- (i)  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{V}^{-1}$  are bounded in  $\Phi'$ , and
- (ii)  $\int \int |k(x, t, \lambda)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(t) < \infty$ ,  $d\Gamma(\lambda)$  almost everywhere.

*That is,  $T_\lambda$  is a Fredholm operator for all  $\lambda \in \sigma$ . Then there exists a function  $h(x, t, \lambda)$  and a self-adjoint operator  $P$  such that*

$$V^{-1}f(x) := f(x) + \int h(x, t, P)\Pi(P - \lambda_i)^{-n_i} f(t) d\mu(t)$$

where  $h(x, t, \lambda)/\Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}$  is the resolvent kernel of  $T_\lambda^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* The assumption on the existence of  $\tilde{V}^{-1}$  and its boundedness in  $\Phi'$  will help simplify the proof. From (ii) we know from the classical Fredholm theory, see [7], that the inverse  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  exists and its kernel will be a rational function of  $\lambda$ .

$$T_\lambda^{-1}f(x) = f(x) + \int \frac{h(x, t, \lambda)}{\Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}} f(t) d\mu(t).$$

From (i) we have  $y(x, \lambda) = T_\lambda(\varphi(x, \lambda))$ , and it follows

$$T_\lambda^{-1}y(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) = y(x, \lambda) + \int \frac{h(x, t, \lambda)}{\Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}} y(t, \lambda) d\mu(t). \quad \square$$

**Lemma.**  $\tilde{V}^{-1}$  bounded  $\Rightarrow \lambda_i \notin \sigma$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $T_{\lambda_i}^{-1}$  does not exist since its kernel is not even defined. We shall show that if  $\lambda \in \sigma$  then  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  is defined. Indeed, since  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{V}^{-1}$  are bounded then  $y(x, \lambda) \neq 0$ . In other words,  $\sigma_P = \sigma$ , where  $\sigma_P$  is the spectrum of  $P$ . Indeed, if  $\lambda \in \sigma - \sigma_P$  then it follows  $y(x, \lambda) = 0$ , and in  $\Phi'$  this leads to  $y(x, \lambda) = 0 = V\varphi(x, \lambda) \neq 0$ . Hence  $V^{-1}0 \neq 0$ . Thus a contradiction, i.e.,  $y(x, \lambda) \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma$ . On the other hand, we know from the previous section that  $V\varphi(x, \lambda) = y(x, \lambda)$  is equivalent to  $T_\lambda\varphi(x, \lambda) = y(x, \lambda)$ , and so it follows that

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = T_\lambda^{-1}y(x, \lambda).$$



Hence, if  $\lambda \in \sigma$ , then  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  is well defined and so the Lemma is proven. A simple consequence of the Lemma is that  $\lambda_i \notin \sigma$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \lambda) &= T_\lambda^{-1}y(x, \lambda) \\ &= y(x, \lambda) + \int \frac{h(x, t, \lambda)}{\Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}}y(t, \lambda) d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then use the fact that

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}}y(t, \lambda) = (P - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}y(t, \lambda),$$

and since  $\lambda_i \notin \sigma$  then  $(P - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}$  is a bounded operator. Also  $h(x, t, \lambda)y(t, \lambda) = \sum b_n(x, t)\lambda^n y(t, \lambda) = h(x, t, P)y(t, \lambda)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h(x, t, \lambda)}{\Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i}}y(t, \lambda) &= \sum b_n(x, t)\lambda^n \Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}y(t, \lambda) \\ &= \sum b_n(x, t)\Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}\lambda^n y(t, \lambda) \\ &= \sum b_n(x, t)\Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}P^n y(t, \lambda) \\ &= \sum b_n(x, t)P^n \Pi(\lambda - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}y(t, \lambda) \\ &= \sum b_n(x, t)P^n \Pi(P - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}y(t, \lambda) \\ &= h(x, t, P)\Pi(P - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}y(t, \lambda) \\ &= h(x, t, P)B(p)y(t, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(12) \quad B(P) = \Pi(P - \lambda_i)^{-n_i}$$

is a bounded operator.

It remains to show that

$$V^{-1}f(x) = f(x) + \int h(x, t, P)B(p)f(t) d\mu(t).$$

It is easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned}
 VV^{-1}f &= Vf + V \int h(x, t, P)B(p)f(t) d\mu(t) \\
 &= V \int \hat{f}^2(\lambda) \left\{ \overline{y(x, \lambda)} + \int h(x, t, P)B(p)\overline{y(t, \lambda)} d\mu(t) \right\} d\Gamma_2(\lambda) \\
 &= V \int \hat{f}^2(\lambda) \overline{\varphi(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma_2(\lambda) \\
 &= \int \hat{f}^2(\lambda) \overline{V\varphi(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma_2(\lambda) \\
 &= \int \hat{f}^2(\lambda) \overline{y(x, \lambda)} d\Gamma_2(\lambda) \\
 &= f(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

One simple answer is provided by Volterra operators, since  $\sum c_n \lambda^n = 1$ . Let us recall that if

$$T_\lambda f(x) := f(x) + \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} k(x, t, \lambda) f(t) d\mu(t)$$

where  $k(x, t, \lambda) \in L_{d\mu(t) d\mu(x)}^{2, \text{loc}} < \infty$  then  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  exists and

$$T_\lambda^{-1} f(x) = f(x) + \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} h(x, t, \lambda) f(t) d\mu(t)$$

where  $h(x, t, \lambda)$  is an entire function of  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The interval of integration can be chosen according to the support of  $d\mu(t)$ . Recall that the space of continuous functions provides a simple and easy to use space of functionals, see [5] and [4].

**Proposition 11.** *Let*

(i)  $k(x, t, \lambda)$  be a continuous function of  $x, t$  for  $\lambda \in \sigma$ .

(ii)  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  are continuous in  $x$ .

(iii)  $T_\lambda \varphi(x, \lambda) := y(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x, \lambda) + \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} k(x, t, \lambda) \varphi(t, \lambda) d\mu(t)$ .

Then there exists  $h(x, t, \lambda)$  such that

$$(13) \quad \varphi(x, \lambda) := T_\lambda^{-1} y(x, \lambda) = y(x, \lambda) + \int_{-|x|}^{|x|} h(x, t, \lambda) y(t, \lambda) d\mu(t).$$

*Proof.* The first condition ensures that  $T_\lambda$  is a Volterra operator in the space of continuous functions.  $\square$

We then extend each  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  to  $\Phi'$  and then replace the  $\lambda$  appearing in  $h(x, t, \lambda)$ , see (13), by an operator, for which  $y(x, \lambda)$  are eigenfunctionals.

*Remark.* In practice the main difficulty one encounters is the choice of rigged spaces  $\Phi$ . This question has been investigated by several authors. In fact it is possible to use Hilbert spaces only,  $H_+ \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow H_-$  with compact embedding. In case the solution is known to be smooth then spaces of continuous functions can be used. The operator  $B(P)$  defined by (12) and may be unbounded, in case  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \in \sigma$ .

**7. Conclusion.** By studying the inverse operator we came up with a new technique for constructing the inverse of an integral operator of “Carleman type of the second kind.” The main idea is to expand the Carleman kernel  $H(x, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} L_t^n a_n(x, t)$  whose action, under certain conditions, reduces to

$$\int H(x, t)f(t) d\mu(t) = \int k(x, t, L)f(t) d\mu(t),$$

and then use the operators  $T_\lambda^{-1}$  and  $P$  to reconstruct  $V^{-1}$ . The idea of generalizing the Taylor expansion to arbitrary operators goes back to Delsatres, where it was used to define the generalized translation operators.

**8. Examples.**

*Example 1.* Consider the following operator

$$Vf(x) = f(x) + \int_0^x \sum c_n(x)a(x, t)L^n f(t) dt, \quad x > 0$$

where

$$a(x, t) := \begin{cases} \text{Exp}(-1/((x - t)^2 t^2)) & 0 < t < x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} Lf(t) := (-d^2/dt^2)f(t), & t > 0, \\ f(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

since  $a(x, t)$  is smooth and is an exponential

$$L^n a(x, t) = (-1)^n D^{2n} a(x, t) = p_n(x, t) a(x, t),$$

where  $p(x, t)$  is a polynomial in  $1/(x - t)$  and  $1/t$ .

We then choose  $c_n(t)$  such that

$$a(x, t) \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(x) p_n(x, t) \text{ is smooth.}$$

Since condition C) holds, Proposition 3 implies that the operator  $V$  can be written as

$$Vf(x) = f(x) + \int_0^x \left[ \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(x) p_n(x, t) \right] a(x, t) f(t) dt.$$

The operator  $T_\lambda$  would be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} y(x, \lambda) &= T_\lambda \cos(x\sqrt{\lambda}) \\ &= \cos(x\sqrt{\lambda}) + \int_0^x a(x, t) \left[ \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(x) \lambda^n \right] \cos(t\sqrt{\lambda}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

If the set  $y(x, \lambda)$  is complete, i.e.,  $V^{-1}$  exists, then there exists an operator  $P$  such that  $Py(x, \lambda) = \lambda y(x, \lambda)$  and

$$V^{-1}f(x) = f(x) + \int_0^x s(x, t, P)f(t) dt.$$

*Example 2.* Let

$$Vf(x) = f(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x - t, -id/dt) f(t) dt$$

where

$$k(x - t, \lambda) := \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(t - x) \lambda^n.$$

The operator  $\bar{V}$  is therefore given by  $\bar{V}f := f + \int \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n^{(n)}(t - x)(-i)^n f(t) dt$  where we assume that  $a_n(t) \in C_0^\infty$  and  $\sum a_n^{(n)}(x) \in L_R^1$ . Clearly, the functionals

$$y(x, \lambda) := V e^{it\lambda} = [1 + k(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda)] e^{it\lambda}$$

are multiples of  $e^{it\lambda}$ .

On the other hand,  $T_\lambda f := f + \int k(x - t, \lambda) f(t) dt$ . We assume  $k(x - t, \lambda) \in L^1$ , for all  $\lambda \in R$ . Clearly, since we have a convolution equation we can compute the inverse. By Wiener's theorem, if  $1 + k(\hat{\mu}, \lambda) \neq 0$  then there exists a function  $h(\hat{\mu}, \lambda) \in L_{d\mu}^1$  such that

$$(14) \quad 1 + h(\hat{\mu}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{1 + k(\hat{\mu}, \lambda)}$$

and using the Fourier transform, we end up with

$$T_\lambda^{-1} f(x) := \psi(x) = f(x) + \int h(x - t, \lambda) f(t) dt.$$

The extension of the operator to the eigenfunctionals, follows simply from (14),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ix\lambda} &= T_\lambda^{-1} [1 + k(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda)] e^{ix\lambda} \\ &= [1 + k(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda)] e^{ix\lambda} \\ &\quad + \int h(x - t, \lambda) [1 + k(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda)] e^{it\lambda} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since the operator  $V^{-1}$  exists, we obviously obtain an operator  $P$ , whose eigenfunctionals are  $[1 + k(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda)] e^{ix\lambda}$   $P := -id/dt$ . Hence, the inverse operator  $\tilde{V}^{-1}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ix\lambda} &= T_\lambda^{-1} [1 + k(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda)] e^{ix\lambda} \\ &= [1 + k(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda)] e^{ix\lambda} \\ &\quad + \int h(x - t, -id/dt) [1 + k(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda)] e^{it\lambda} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$V^{-1} f(x) := f(x) + \int h(x - t, -id/dt) f(t) dt.$$

In general the operator  $P$  is different from the operator  $L$ .

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to acknowledge the support of K.F.U.P.M. during my visit to the University of Illinois at Urbana.

#### REFERENCES

1. R.A. Aleksandrjan, *Spectral decomposition of arbitrary self-adjoint operators into eigenfunctionals*, Soviet Math. **5** (1965), 607–611.
2. F.A. Berezin and M.A. Shubin, *The Schrodinger equation*, M.I.A., Soviet Series **66**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991.
3. A. Boumenir, *Comparison theorem for self-adjoint operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1991), 161–175.
4. R.W. Carroll, *Transmutations and operator differential equations*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
5. I.M. Gelfand and G. Shilov, *Generalized functions*, Academic Press, Boston, 1963.
6. V.B. Korotkov, *Classification and characteristic properties of Carleman operators*, Soviet. Math. Dokl. **11** (1970), 276–279.
7. V.A. Smirnov, *A Course of higher mathematics*, Vol. 4, Pergamon Press, Elmsford, 1964.
8. D.R. Yafaev, *Mathematical scattering theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. **105** (1992),

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, K.F.U.P.M. DHAHRAN, SAUDI ARABIA.  
*E-mail address:* boumenir@csse.kfupm.sa.edu