

**THE NUMERICAL APPROXIMATION OF THE
SOLUTION OF A NONLINEAR BOUNDARY INTEGRAL
EQUATION WITH THE COLLOCATION METHOD**

M. HAMINA, K. RUOTSALAINEN AND J. SARANEN

ABSTRACT. Recently, Galerkin and collocation methods have been analyzed in connection with the nonlinear boundary integral equation which arises in solving the potential problem with a nonlinear boundary condition. Considering this model equation, we propose here a discretized scheme such that the nonlinearity is replaced by its L^2 -orthogonal projection. We are able to show that this approximate collocation scheme preserves the theoretical L^2 -convergence. For piecewise linear continuous splines, our numerical experiments confirm the theoretical quadratic L^2 -convergence.

1. Introduction. We consider the solution of the potential equation in a bounded domain Ω with a given Neumann-type nonlinear boundary condition. Taking the model problem of [12, 13], consider

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta\Phi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\partial_n\Phi|_\Gamma = f(x, \Phi) - g, & \text{on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We assume that the boundary Γ is a smooth Jordan-curve in the plane. Conditions for the nonlinear function $f(x, \Phi)$ as well as for the given boundary data g will be specified later.

By using Green's representation formula for the potential Φ , problem (1.1) reduces to the following nonlinear boundary integral equation [13]

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}u - Ku + VF(u) = Vg.$$

Here V is the single layer boundary integral operator

$$Vu(x) := \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma u(y) \ln|x-y| ds_y,$$

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 65N35, 45L10, 35J65, 31A10.
Key words and phrases. Collocation, boundary integrals, nonlinear, potential.

K is the double layer boundary integral operator

$$Ku(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} u(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_y} \ln|x-y| ds_y,$$

and u is the boundary density. Ruotsalainen and Wendland [13] discuss the solvability of the equation (1.2) and analyze the convergence of the spline Galerkin approximation method. Another theoretical approach for the analysis of the numerical schemes, covering also the spline collocation method, was presented by Ruotsalainen and Saranen [12]. In addition, Atkinson and Chandler proposed [4] two other numerical approaches for problem (1.1), namely the use of the Nyström Method and a method based on trigonometric interpolation. Based on the framework of monotone operators, [12, 13] give optimal order convergence results, if the approximation error of the boundary density u is measured by the Sobolev norm of order $1/2$. Later, Saranen [14] was able to prove the optimal L^2 -convergence. From a practical point of view, the collocation method is superior to the Galerkin method. However, in the actual numerical implementation, the nonlinearity must be handled carefully in order to retain the convergence properties. The purpose of our paper is to introduce an approximation scheme for (1.2) by using an easily computable L^2 -orthogonal projection of the nonlinear function. This approach applies to general projection methods, but for simplicity, we discuss only collocation. It turns out that our method retains the optimal convergence order of the collocation method. We also take into account the effect of numerical integration in the scheme. Numerical experiments confirm our theoretical results.

2. Preliminaries. We assume that the boundary Γ has a regular 1-periodic parameterization $x(t) : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $|dx/dt| \geq \rho_0 > 0$. Let $\Delta_h = \{x_k = x(t_k) | 0 = t_0 < \dots < t_N = 1\}$, $h = 1/N$, be a mesh on Γ , and let S_h^d be the corresponding space of smoothest splines of degree $d \geq 0$ on the periodic partition $\{t_k | k \in \mathbf{Z}\} \subset \mathbf{R}$. We assume that the family of partitions $\{\Delta_h | h > 0\}$ is quasiuniform.

In the following, $H^s(\Gamma)$, where $s \in \mathbf{R}$, denotes the usual Sobolev space equipped with the norm $\|u\|_s = (u|u)_s^{1/2}$. In particular, we have $H^0(\Gamma) = L^2(\Gamma)$ and $(u|v)_0 = \int_{\Gamma} u(x)v(x) ds_x$. We frequently identify the 1-periodic function $u(x(t))$ with the function $u(x)$ defined on the boundary Γ .

The following approximation and inverse properties are well known [2, 7].

Approximation Property. Let $t \leq s \leq d + 1$, $t < d + 1/2$. Then, for all $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$, there exists $\phi \in S_h^d$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|u - \phi\|_t \leq ch^{s-t} \|u\|_s,$$

where the constant c is independent of u and h .

Inverse Property. Let $t \leq s < d + 1/2$. Then there exists a constant c independent of h such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|\phi\|_s \leq ch^{t-s} \|\phi\|_t$$

for all $\phi \in S_h^d$.

The real valued nonlinear function $f(\cdot, \cdot) : \Gamma \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is assumed to satisfy the Carathéodory conditions

$$(2.3.i) \quad f(\cdot, u) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ is measurable for all fixed } u \in \mathbf{R}$$

$$(2.3.ii) \quad f(x, \cdot) : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ is continuous for almost all } x \in \Gamma.$$

The associated Nemitsky operator is defined by

$$F(u)(x) = f(x, u(x)).$$

The Nemitsky operator $u \mapsto F(u)$ is a well-defined operator from $L^2(\Gamma)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$ if the Carathéodory conditions and the growth condition $|f(x, u)| \leq a(x) + b(x)|u|$, are valid [9]. For the analysis of the numerical approximation scheme, we make the supplementary assumption:

A1. The Nemitsky operator F is strongly monotone, i.e., for every $w, u \in L^2(\Gamma)$,

$$(F(u) - F(w)|u - w)_0 \geq c \|u - w\|_0^2.$$

A2. The Carathéodory function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is such that $F : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ is Lipschitz continuous.

A3. The Nemitsky operator $F : H^s(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^s(\Gamma)$ is bounded for all $0 \leq s < 1$.

We remark that (A2) and (A3) are valid if f is Lipschitz continuous.

The mapping properties of the operators V and K are quoted from [8] and we collect them in the following theorem. The capacity of the boundary Γ is denoted by $\text{cap}(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 2.1. (1) *If $\text{cap}(\Gamma) \neq 1$, then $V : H^s(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism.*

(2) *If $\text{diam}(\Omega) < 1$, then there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad (V\psi|\psi)_0 \geq \sigma_0 \|\psi\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}^2, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma).$$

(3) *$K : H^s(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(\Gamma)$ is continuous for all s .*

The solvability of (1.2) and the regularity of the solution has been discussed in [13].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\text{cap}(\Gamma) \neq 1$.*

(1) *For every $g \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$, the integral equation (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$.*

(2) *For the solution u , the following regularity result is true: If $g \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$, $1/2 \leq s < 2$, and assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A3) are valid, then the solution satisfies $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$.*

The proof of this theorem is presented in [13]. It is based on the fact that the integral operator defined by

$$A(w) := \left(\frac{1}{2}I - K \right) w + VF(w)$$

is *strongly V^{-1} -monotone*, i.e., for all $u, w \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$, we have

$$(A(u) - A(w)|V^{-1}(u - w))_0 \geq c \|u - w\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2.$$

In [13], the assumption $\text{diam}(\Omega) < 1$ was used. However, the assumption $\text{cap}(\Gamma) \neq 1$ is sufficient. The proof [13] is still applicable.

3. The collocation approximation. Let us now consider the collocation method for finding an approximate solution of the equation (1.2). We require that $g \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$, $s > 1/2$. Then the function Vg is continuous, and the collocation equations are given by: Find $u_h \in S_h^d$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad Au_h(\tilde{x}_i) = Vg(\tilde{x}_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_i &= x(t_i), & d \text{ is odd} \\ \tilde{x}_i &= x\left(\frac{t_i + t_{i+1}}{2}\right), & d \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

For the midpoint collocation, we assume that the mesh is smoothly graded in the sense of [3]. An equivalent formulation of equation (3.1) is: Find $u_h \in S_h^d$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad I_h Au_h = I_h Vg,$$

where the interpolation operator $I_h : H^s(\Gamma) \rightarrow S_h^d$ is defined by

$$I_h \psi(\tilde{x}_i) = \psi(\tilde{x}_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

By our assumption, the interpolation error satisfies the estimate

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|I_h w - w\|_t &\leq ch^{s-t} \|w\|_s, \\ 0 \leq t &< d + 1/2, \quad 1/2 < s \leq d + 1, \quad t \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

We shall need the following result, known for the collocation method.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume $d > 0$. Let $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$, $1/2 < s \leq d + 1$, be the solution of (1.2) and suppose that (A1) and (A2) are valid. Then, for sufficiently small h , the collocation problem (3.1) admits a unique solution u_h . Moreover, we have the asymptotic error estimate*

$$(3.4) \quad \|u - u_h\|_t \leq ch^{s-t} \|u\|_s,$$

where $0 \leq t \leq s$ and $t < d + 1/2$.

The proof presented in [12] covers indices $1/2 \leq t \leq s$ and the recent results by Saranen [14] give (3.4) for $0 \leq t < 1/2$.

For numerical purposes, we define an approximate collocation equation as follows: Find $\tilde{u}_h \in S_h^d$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{A}_h(\tilde{u}_h) := \frac{1}{2}\tilde{u}_h - I_h K \tilde{u}_h + I_h V P_h F(\tilde{u}_h) = I_h V g.$$

Here $P_h : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow S_h^d$ is the orthogonal projection defined by equation

$$(3.6) \quad (P_h w | \chi)_0 = (w | \chi)_0 \quad \forall \chi \in S_h^d.$$

The orthogonal projection possesses the approximation property

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|P_h w - w\|_t \leq ch^{s-t} \|w\|_s, \\ & -d - 1 \leq t < d + \frac{1}{2}, \quad -d - \frac{1}{2} < s \leq d + 1, \quad t \leq s, \end{aligned}$$

([11], Corollary 4). Solvability of (3.5) as well as the error estimates are based on the following stability property.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $d > 0$. There exists a positive constant c_1 such that*

$$(3.8) \quad \|\tilde{A}_h(\chi) - \tilde{A}_h(\psi)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \geq c_1 \|\chi - \psi\|_{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all $\chi, \psi \in S_h^d$ when $0 < h \leq h_0$. Moreover, equation (3.5) has a unique solution for $0 < h \leq h_0$.

Proof. Since $d > 0$, we have $S_h^d \subset H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$. For splines $\psi \in S_h^d$, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{A}_h(\psi) = \frac{1}{2}\psi - I_h K \psi + I_h V P_h F(\psi).$$

The mapping properties of K, V and the continuity of F together with (3.3), (3.7) imply the continuity of $\tilde{A}_h : S_h^d \rightarrow S_h^d$.

Next we prove the stability estimate (3.8). We abbreviate

$$\tilde{B}(\chi) := -K\chi + V P_h F(\chi) \quad B(\chi) := -K\chi + V F(\chi).$$

By the estimate

$$(A(\chi) - A(\psi)|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi))_0 \geq c|\chi - \psi|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$$

and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\tilde{A}_h(\chi) - \tilde{A}_h(\psi)|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi))_0 \geq c|\chi - \psi|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \\ & \quad - \|(I - I_h)(\tilde{B}(\chi) - \tilde{B}(\psi))\|_{\frac{1}{2}}\|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad - \|(\tilde{B} - B)(\chi) - (\tilde{B} - B)(\psi)\|_{\frac{1}{2}}\|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \geq \{c|\chi - \psi|_{\frac{1}{2}} - \|(I - I_h)(\tilde{B}(\chi) - \tilde{B}(\psi))\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad - \|(\tilde{B} - B)(\chi) - (\tilde{B} - B)(\psi)\|_{\frac{1}{2}}\}\|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for all splines ψ and χ . Using the approximation property (3.3), we get

$$\|(I - I_h)(\tilde{B}(\chi) - \tilde{B}(\psi))\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\{\|K(\chi - \psi)\|_1 + \|VP_h(F(\chi) - F(\psi))\|_1\}.$$

Since $K : H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma)$, $V : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma)$ and the orthogonal projection $P_h : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ are continuous and the Nemitsky operator $F : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ is Lipschitz continuous, we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \|(I - I_h)(\tilde{B}(\chi) - \tilde{B}(\psi))\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}|\chi - \psi|_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly, by Theorem 2.1 and the approximation property (3.7), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\tilde{B} - B)(\chi) - (\tilde{B} - B)(\psi)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} & \leq c\|(P_h - I)(F(\chi) - F(\psi))\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\|F(\chi) - F(\psi)\|_0 \\ & \leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}|\chi - \psi|_{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If the parameter h_0 is sufficiently small, then the estimates (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) imply

$$(3.13) \quad (\tilde{A}_h(\chi) - \tilde{A}_h(\psi)|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi))_0 \geq c|\chi - \psi|_{\frac{1}{2}}\|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

for $0 < h \leq h_0$. Now, the stability (3.8) follows from (3.13) by the Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} c|\chi - \psi|_{\frac{1}{2}}\|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} & \leq (\tilde{A}_h(\chi) - \tilde{A}_h(\psi)|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi))_0 \\ & \leq \|\tilde{A}_h(\chi) - \tilde{A}_h(\psi)\|_{\frac{1}{2}}\|V^{-1}(\chi - \psi)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the stability result (3.8), the operator \tilde{A}_h is an injection. According to the Brouwer theorem on invariance of the domain ([6], Theorem 4.3, p. 23) the range $R(\tilde{A}_h)$ is open. On the other hand, the stability (3.8) and the continuity of \tilde{A}_h imply that $R(\tilde{A}_h)$ is also closed. Thus, $R(\tilde{A}_h) = S_h^d$ and \tilde{A}_h is a homeomorphism. \square

The final theorem of this section describes the convergence of the approximate collocation solution \tilde{u}_h .

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $d > 0$. Let $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$, $1/2 < s \leq d + 1$ be the solution of (1.2) and suppose that the assumptions (A1), (A2) are valid. Then we have the estimates*

$$(3.14) \quad \|\tilde{u}_h - u_h\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq ch^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_s + ch^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}}\|F(u)\|_\tau$$

$$(3.15) \quad \|u - \tilde{u}_h\|_t \leq ch^{s-t}\|u\|_s + ch^{\tau+1-\max(t, \frac{1}{2})}\|F(u)\|_\tau,$$

for $0 \leq t \leq s$, $t < d + 1/2$, provided that $F(u) \in H^\tau(\Gamma)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq d + 1$.

Proof. Using the stability result [12]

$$\|\tilde{u}_h - u_h\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c\|I_h A(\tilde{u}_h) - I_h A(u_h)\|_{\frac{1}{2}},$$

the relation $\tilde{A}_h(\tilde{u}_h) = I_h A(u_h)$ and the approximation property of I_h , we are able to estimate

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_h - u_h\|_{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq c\|I_h A(\tilde{u}_h) - I_h A(u_h)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= c\|I_h V(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c\|(I_h - I)V(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} + c\|V(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\|V(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_1 + c\|(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_0 + c\|(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &=: T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have by (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= c\|(I - P_h)(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(I - P_h)F(\tilde{u}_h)\|_0 \equiv T_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $I - P_h$ is bounded and F is Lipschitz continuous in $L^2(\Gamma)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1 &\leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\{||(I - P_h)(F(\tilde{u}_h) - F(u_h))||_0 + ||(I - P_h)(F(u_h) - F(u))||_0 \\
 &\quad + ||(I - P_h)F(u)||_0\} \\
 &\leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\{||F(\tilde{u}_h) - F(u_h)||_0 + ||F(u_h) - F(u)||_0 + ||(I - P_h)F(u)||_0\} \\
 &\leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\{||\tilde{u}_h - u_h||_0 + ||u_h - u||_0 + ||(I - P_h)F(u)||_0\} \\
 &\leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\{||\tilde{u}_h - u_h||_{\frac{1}{2}} + ||u_h - u||_0 + ||(I - P_h)F(u)||_0\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The approximation property of the orthogonal projection P_h and the convergence result for u_h imply the estimate

$$(3.17) \quad ||\tilde{u}_h - u_h||_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq T_1 \leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}}||\tilde{u}_h - u_h||_{\frac{1}{2}} + ch^{s+\frac{1}{2}}||u||_s + ch^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}}||F(u)||_{\tau}.$$

The convergence estimate (3.14) is proved. Finally, Theorem 3.1 together with (3.14) and the inverse property (2.2) imply (3.15). \square

By (3.15), the rate of the convergence depends on the regularity of the solution u and of the regularity of the function $F(u)$. But the regularity of u and of $F(u)$ are related to each other. For example, if $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$, $g \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$, we conclude from equation (1.2) by the mapping properties of K and V , that $F(u) \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$ with

$$||F(u)||_{s-1} \leq c(||u||_s + ||g||_{s-1}).$$

Hence, we have

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose that (A1) and (A2) are valid. Let $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$ be the solution of (1.2) and let $g \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$, $1 \leq s \leq d+2$. Then we have*

$$(3.18) \quad ||u - \tilde{u}_h||_t \leq ch^{\min(s, d+1)-t}||u||_{\min(s, d+1)} + ch^{s-\max(t, \frac{1}{2})} (||u||_s + ||g||_{s-1}),$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq s$, $t < d+1/2$. In particular, for the L^2 -norm, we obtain

$$(3.18') \quad ||u - \tilde{u}_h||_0 \leq ch^{d+1} (||u||_{d+\frac{3}{2}} + ||g||_{d+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

On the other hand, assume that (A2) is valid. If only the regularity $g \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$ is known, we can still conclude that $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$, $1/2 < s \leq 1$. Thus, we obtain

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that (A1) and (A2) are valid. Let $u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ be the solution of (1.2) and let $g \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$, $1/2 < s \leq 1$. Then we have $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$ and*

$$(3.19) \quad \|u - \tilde{u}_h\|_t \leq ch^{s-t} \|u\|_s + ch^{1-\max(t, \frac{1}{2})} \|F(u)\|_0,$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq s$.

In this case we obtain the following estimate

$$(3.19') \quad \|u - \tilde{u}_h\|_0 \leq ch^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|u\|_1 + \|g\|_0).$$

These estimates can be improved by assuming more smoothness on the Nemitsky operator, e.g., (A3).

4. A modified equation. Here we will slightly generalize our results. Decomposing the single layer operator V into the principal part with logarithmic singularity and the smooth part, we write the equation (1.2) to an explicit form

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}u(x(t)) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 u(x(\tau))n(\tau) \cdot \frac{(x(\tau) - x(t))}{|x(\tau) - x(t)|^2} |x'(\tau)| d\tau \\ & - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 (F(u))(x(\tau)) \ln \frac{|x(t) - x(\tau)|}{|\tau - t|_*} |x'(\tau)| d\tau \\ & - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 (F(u))(x(\tau)) \ln |t - \tau|_* |x'(\tau)| d\tau = Vg(x(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Here the modified distance defined by

$$|t - \tau|_* = \min(|t - \tau|, |t - \tau + 1|, |t - \tau - 1|)$$

makes the kernel

$$\ln \frac{|x(t) - x(\tau)|}{|\tau - t|_*}$$

a smooth function. We approximate with splines the product $v(t) = u(x(t))\kappa(t)$, where $\kappa(t) := |x'(t)|$ is the Jacobian of the parametric representation. This computational method is frequently used since the integrals corresponding to the logarithmic singularity can be integrated exactly. Thus, numerical integration is needed only for the remaining part with a smooth kernel.

Writing (1.2) in terms of v , we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}v - \kappa K \frac{1}{\kappa}v + \kappa V F \frac{1}{\kappa}(v) = \kappa V g.$$

We define the operator

$$(4.3) \quad A_\kappa(v) := \kappa A \frac{1}{\kappa}(v) = \frac{1}{2}v - \kappa K \frac{1}{\kappa}v + (\kappa V \kappa) \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} F \frac{1}{\kappa} \right)(v).$$

Denoting $g_\kappa = \kappa V g$ we are led to the equation

$$(4.4) \quad A_\kappa(v) = \frac{1}{2}v - K_\kappa v + V_\kappa F_\kappa(v) = g_\kappa,$$

where

$$K_\kappa = \kappa K \frac{1}{\kappa}, \quad V_\kappa = \kappa V \kappa, \quad F_\kappa = \frac{1}{\kappa} F \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \right).$$

We remark that the operator A_κ is of the form needed in [14]. Appropriate choices for function spaces in order to make assumptions (C1)–(C5) in [14] hold are

$$X^0 = L^2(\Gamma), X = H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), X^* = H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), Z = H^1(\Gamma).$$

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

- (1) $K_\kappa : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma)$ is bounded.
- (2) $V_\kappa : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism and $V_\kappa : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma)$ is bounded.
- (3) $F_\kappa : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ is bounded.
- (4) $(A_\kappa(w) - A_\kappa(w')) | (V_\kappa^{-1})^*(w - w')_0 \geq c \|w - w'\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2$.

(5) $K_\kappa : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma)$ is bounded.

Proof. We note that multiplication by regular functions κ and $1/\kappa$ defines an isomorphism from $H^s(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^s(\Gamma)$ for all s . Clearly K_κ is a bounded linear operator from $H^s(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(\Gamma)$ for all s , which implies (1) and (5). The Lipschitz continuity of F implies the Lipschitz continuity of F_κ from $L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$. Thus (3) is valid. The properties (2) of V_κ follow easily from the mapping properties of V . Finally, since the operator A is strongly V^{-1} monotone, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad & (A_\kappa(w) - A_\kappa(w')) | (V_\kappa^{-1})^* (w - w') \rangle_0 \\
 &= \left(\kappa \left(A \left(\frac{w}{\kappa} \right) - A \left(\frac{w'}{\kappa} \right) \right) \mid \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} V^{-1} \frac{1}{\kappa} \right)^* (w - w') \right)_0 \\
 &= \left(A \left(\frac{w}{\kappa} \right) - A \left(\frac{w'}{\kappa} \right) \mid V^{-1} \left(\frac{w}{\kappa} - \frac{w'}{\kappa} \right) \right)_0 \\
 &\geq c \left\| \frac{w}{\kappa} - \frac{w'}{\kappa} \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \geq c \|w - w'\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4). \square

Let $v_h \in S_h^d$ be the collocation approximation of v such that

$$(4.6) \quad I_h A_\kappa v_h = I_h g_\kappa.$$

We have

Theorem 4.1. *Assume $d > 0$. Let $v \in H^s(\Gamma)$, $1/2 < s \leq d + 1$, be the solution of (4.4) and suppose that (A1), (A2) are valid. Then, for sufficiently small h , there exists a unique collocation solution such that*

$$(4.7) \quad \|v - v_h\|_t \leq ch^{s-t} \|v\|_s,$$

where $0 \leq t \leq s$ and $t < d + 1/2$.

Proof. According to Lemma 4.1, the assumptions of [14] Theorem 2 are fulfilled and the estimate (4.7) follows by interpolation. \square

Correspondingly, let $\tilde{v}_h \in S_h^d$ be the approximate collocation solution of v such that

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h}(\tilde{v}_h) := \frac{1}{2}\tilde{v}_h - I_h K_\kappa \tilde{v}_h + I_h V_\kappa P_h F_\kappa(\tilde{v}_h) = I_h \kappa V g.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $d > 0$. There exists a positive constant c_1 such that*

$$(4.9) \quad \|\tilde{A}_{\kappa_h}(\chi) - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h}(\psi)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \geq c_1 \|\chi - \psi\|_{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all $\chi, \psi \in S_h^d$ when $0 < h \leq h_0$. Moreover, equation (4.8) has a unique solution for $0 < h \leq h_0$.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume $d > 0$. Let $v \in H^s(\Gamma)$, $1/2 < s \leq d + 1$ be the solution of (4.4), and suppose that the assumptions (A1), (A2) are valid. Then we have the estimates*

$$(4.10) \quad \|\tilde{v}_h - v_h\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq ch^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_s + ch^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}}\|F_\kappa(v)\|_\tau$$

$$(4.11) \quad \|v - \tilde{v}_h\|_t \leq ch^{s-t}\|v\|_s + ch^{\tau+1-\max(t, \frac{1}{2})}\|F_\kappa(v)\|_\tau,$$

for $0 \leq t \leq s$, $t < d + 1/2$, provided that $F_\kappa(v) \in H^\tau(\Gamma)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq d + 1$.

The proofs of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 are analogous to the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. We remark that also Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 can be correspondingly extended to v, v_h instead of u, u_h .

5. The effect of numerical integration. There is still the effect of numerical integration to be estimated. Here we assume that the mesh is uniform. Let μ be the characteristic function of the unit interval. The basis functions μ_j^d of the space S_h^d are defined as translations $\mu_j^d(t) = \mu_0^d(t - jh)$, where μ_0^d is the 1-periodic extension of the d -fold convolution $\mu_0^d(t) = \mu^d(t/h) := (\mu * \dots * \mu)(t/h)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Let $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the kernel corresponding to the double layer operator and let

$S(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the kernel of the smooth part of the single layer operator. We introduce the representations

$$\tilde{v}_h = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_j \mu_j^d, \quad P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_h}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_h}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \mu_j^d.$$

The approximate collocation problem (4.8) is equivalent to the following set of nonlinear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_j \mu_j^d(t_i) - \frac{h}{2\pi} \kappa(t_i) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_j \int_0^{d+1} K(t_i, h\tau + hj) \mu^d(\tau) d\tau \\ & - \frac{h}{2\pi} \kappa(t_i) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_h}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \int_0^{d+1} S(t_i, h\tau + hj) \mu^d(\tau) d\tau \\ & - \frac{h}{2\pi} \kappa(t_i) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_h}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \int_0^{d+1} \mu^d(\tau) \ln \left| \left(\rho(i, j) + \tau - \frac{d+1}{2} \right) h \right| d\tau \\ & = \kappa(t_i) Vg(x(t_i)), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(i, j) = \min(|i-j|, |i-j+N|, |i-j-N|)$. By using the notation (5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} k_{ij} &= h \int_0^{d+1} K(t_i, h\tau + hj) \mu^d(\tau) d\tau, \\ s_{ij} &= s_{ij}^0 + s_{ij}^1; \quad s_{ij}^0 = h \int_0^{d+1} \mu^d(\tau) \ln \left| \left(\rho(i, j) + \tau - \frac{d+1}{2} \right) h \right| d\tau, \\ s_{ij}^1 &= h \int_0^{d+1} S(t_i, h\tau + hj) \mu^d(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

the nonlinear system can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & (5.2) \\ & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_j \mu_j^d(t_i) - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_j k_{ij} - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_h}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j s_{ij}^1 \\ & - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_h}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j s_{ij}^0 \\ & = \kappa(t_i) Vg(x(t_i)), \quad i = 0, \dots, N-1. \end{aligned}$$

We replace the integrals by numerical quadratures,

$$\tilde{k}_{ij} = h \sum_l \beta_l K(t_i, \tau_{jl}), \quad \tilde{s}_{ij}^1 = h \sum_l \beta_l S(t_i, \tau_{jl}),$$

where the numbers β_l are weights and the points τ_{jl} are corresponding abscissae of the numerical quadrature rule. We suppose that the quadrature satisfies

$$(5.3) \quad |k_{ij} - \tilde{k}_{ij}| \leq ch^\sigma, \quad |s_{ij}^1 - \tilde{s}_{ij}^1| \leq ch^\sigma.$$

For the right hand side of (5.2) we use the orthogonal projection approximation $\kappa Vg(x_i) \approx \kappa V P_h g(x_i)$. The resulting set of nonlinear equations is

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{\alpha}_j \mu_j^d(t_i) - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{\alpha}_j \tilde{k}_{ij} - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\hat{v}_h}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \tilde{s}_{ij}^1 \\ & \quad - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\hat{v}_h}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j s_{ij}^0 \\ & = \kappa(t_i) V P_h g(x_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \end{aligned}$$

The system (5.4) defines the mapping $\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} : S_h^d \rightarrow S_h^d$ such that

$$(5.5) \quad \hat{A}_{\kappa_h}(\hat{v}_h) = I_h \kappa V P_h g.$$

We deduce the solvability of (5.5) from the stability and continuity of the operator \hat{A}_{κ_h} .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $d > 0$. There exists a positive constant c_2 such that*

$$(5.6) \quad \|\hat{A}_{\kappa_h}(\chi) - \hat{A}_{\kappa_h}(\psi)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \geq c_2 \|\chi - \psi\|_{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all $\chi, \psi \in S_h^d$ when $0 < h \leq h_0$. Moreover, equation (5.5) has a unique solution for $0 < h \leq h_0$.

Proof. By using the $(V_\kappa^{-1})^*$ -stability of the operator \tilde{A}_{κ_h} we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.7) \quad & (\hat{A}_{\kappa_h}(\chi) - \hat{A}_{\kappa_h}(\psi))(V_\kappa^{-1})^*(\chi - \psi)_0 \\
&= (\tilde{A}_{\kappa_h}(\chi) - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h}(\psi))(V_\kappa^{-1})^*(\chi - \psi)_0 \\
&\quad + ((\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h})(\chi) - (\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h})(\psi))(V_\kappa^{-1})^*(\chi - \psi)_0 \\
&\geq c \|\chi - \psi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|(V_\kappa^{-1})^*(\chi - \psi)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad - c \|(\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h})(\chi) - (\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h})(\psi)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|(V_\kappa^{-1})^*(\chi - \psi)\|_{-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all splines χ, ψ . For further estimation, we compare the operators \tilde{A}_{κ_h} and \hat{A}_{κ_h} componentwise. With $\chi = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_j \mu_j^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.8) \quad & (\tilde{A}_{\kappa_h}(\chi))_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{2} - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} k_{ij} \alpha_j - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_{ij}^1 P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \\
&\quad - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_{ij}^0 P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j, \\
& (\hat{A}_{\kappa_h}(\chi))_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{2} - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \tilde{k}_{ij} \alpha_j - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \tilde{s}_{ij}^1 P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \\
&\quad - \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_{ij}^0 P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Writing $\psi = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega_j \mu_j^d$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.9) \quad & ((\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h})(\chi))_i - ((\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h})(\psi))_i = \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\tilde{k}_{ij} - k_{ij})(\alpha_j - \omega_j) \\
&\quad + \frac{\kappa(t_i)}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\tilde{s}_{ij}^1 - s_{ij}^1) \left\{ P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j - P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\psi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The norm equivalence [5]

$$(5.10) \quad c_1 \|\chi\|_0 \leq \left\{ h \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_j^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_2 \|\chi\|_0, \quad \chi \in S_h^d$$

gives the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.11) \quad & \|(\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h})(\chi) - (\hat{A}_{\kappa_h} - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h})(\psi)\|_0 \\
 & \leq c \left\{ h \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\tilde{k}_{ij} - k_{ij})(\alpha_j - \omega_j) \right]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + c \left\{ h \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\tilde{s}_{ij}^1 - s_{ij}^1) \left\{ P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j - P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\psi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \right\} \right]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\tilde{k}_{ij} - k_{ij})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ h \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\alpha_j - \omega_j)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + c \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (\tilde{s}_{ij}^1 - s_{ij}^1)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ h \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[P_h \left\{ F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa - F \left(\frac{\psi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right\}_j \right]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq ch^{\sigma-1} \left(\|\chi - \psi\|_0 + \left\| \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) - F \left(\frac{\psi}{\kappa} \right) \right) \kappa \right\|_0 \right) \\
 & \leq ch^{\sigma-1} \|\chi - \psi\|_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The stability estimate (5.6) follows from (5.7) combined with (5.11), (2.2) and the Schwarz inequality. Existence of the solution as well as uniqueness are proved as in Theorem 3.2. \square

The following consistency estimate is valid.

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.12) \quad & \|(\tilde{A}_{\kappa_h} - \hat{A}_{\kappa_h})(\chi)\|_0 \leq c \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |k_{ij} - \tilde{k}_{ij}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi\|_0 \\
 & \quad + c \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |s_{ij}^1 - \tilde{s}_{ij}^1|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right) \right\|_0 \\
 & \leq ch^{\sigma-1} \left(\|\chi\|_0 + \left\| F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right\|_0 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi \in S_h^d$. Furthermore,

$$(5.13) \quad \|(I_h \kappa V g - I_h \kappa V P_h g)\|_0 \leq ch^s \|g\|_{s-1}$$

for any $g \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$, $1/2 < s \leq d+1$.

Proof. As in the proof of the previous theorem (cf. (5.11)), we obtain

$$\|(\tilde{A}_{\kappa_h} - \hat{A}_{\kappa_h})(\chi)\|_0 \leq \|T_1(\chi)\|_0 + \|T_2(\chi)\|_0,$$

where

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_2(\chi)\|_0 &\leq c \left\{ h \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (s_{ij}^1 - \tilde{s}_{ij}^1)^2 \right] \left[\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \right) \right]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (s_{ij}^1 - \tilde{s}_{ij}^1)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ h \left[\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right)_j \right) \right]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (s_{ij}^1 - \tilde{s}_{ij}^1)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| P_h \left(F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right) \right\|_0 \\ &\leq ch^{\sigma-1} \left\| F \left(\frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right) \kappa \right\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we have $\|T_1(\chi)\|_0 \leq ch^{\sigma-1} \|\chi\|_0$. Thus, (5.12) is valid. The estimate (5.13) follows directly from the approximation properties (3.3), (3.7) and from the mapping properties of V . \square

Having found the spline approximation \hat{v}_h of $v = \kappa u$, we define for $u = (1/\kappa)v$ the (nonspline) approximation by setting $\hat{u}_h = (1/\kappa)\hat{v}_h$. We summarize all convergence results in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume $d > 0$. Let $g \in H^{s-1}(\Gamma)$ and let $v \in H^s(\Gamma)$, $1/2 < s \leq d+1$ be the solution of (4.4) and suppose that the assumptions*

(A1), (A2) are valid. Then we have the estimates

$$(5.15) \quad \|\tilde{v}_h - \hat{v}_h\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq ch^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\|g\|_{s-1} + ch^{\sigma-\frac{3}{2}}(\|v\|_{\frac{1}{2}} + \|F(0)\|_0)$$

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u - \hat{u}_h\|_t &\leq c\|v - \hat{v}_h\|_t \leq ch^{s-t}(\|v\|_s + \|g\|_{s-1}) \\ &\quad + ch^{\tau+1-\max(t, \frac{1}{2})}\|F_\kappa(v)\|_\tau \\ &\quad + ch^{\sigma-1-\max(t, \frac{1}{2})}(\|v\|_{\frac{1}{2}} + \|F(0)\|_0), \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq s$, $t < d+1/2$, provided that $F_\kappa(v) \in H^\tau(\Gamma)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq d+1$.

Proof. Stability and consistency imply convergence and the order of convergence is at least the order of consistency. Since the identities $\tilde{A}_{\kappa_h}(\tilde{v}_h) = I_h\kappa Vg$ and $\hat{A}_{\kappa_h}(\hat{v}_h) = I_h\kappa VP_hg$ are valid, we have by (5.12), (5.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{v}_h - \tilde{v}_h\|_{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq c\|\hat{A}_{\kappa_h}(\hat{v}_h) - \tilde{A}_{\kappa_h}(\tilde{v}_h)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c\|I_h\kappa V(P_h - I)g\|_{\frac{1}{2}} + \|(\tilde{A}_{\kappa_h} - \hat{A}_{\kappa_h})(\tilde{v}_h)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq ch^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\|g\|_{s-\frac{1}{2}} + ch^{\sigma-\frac{3}{2}}(\|v\|_{\frac{1}{2}} + \|F(0)\|_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (5.15) is proved. Since

$$\|u - \hat{u}_h\|_t \leq c\|v - \hat{v}_h\|_t,$$

the estimate (5.16) follows from Theorem 4.3 combined with (5.15) and (2.2). \square

6. Numerical results. Finally, we present some numerical results in order to illustrate our asymptotic convergence estimates. First, we consider the following nonlinear integral equations of type $A(u) = Vg$ on the boundary of a disk of radius r . We denote $F_{abc}(u) = au + bu^3 + cu^5$, $|u| \leq M$, where a, b, c are real numbers such that F_{abc} is monotone. We define the nonlinearity

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} F_{abc}(u), & \text{if } |u| \leq M \\ F_{abc}(M) + F'_{abc}(M)(u - M), & \text{if } u > M \\ F_{abc}(M) + F'_{abc}(-M)(u - M), & \text{if } u < -M \end{cases}$$

and the right side $Vg = g_{abc}$ by the formula

$$g_{abc}(t) = \left[\frac{4 + 4ar + 3br + 5cr}{8} \right] \cos(2\pi t) + \left[\frac{br}{24} + \frac{5cr}{96} \right] \cos(6\pi t) + \frac{cr}{160} \cos(10\pi t).$$

Then the function $u(t) = \cos(2\pi t)$ is the solution of the nonlinear integral equation (1.2). Numerical solution leads to a system of nonlinear equations which is solved with the Newton method. We use piecewise linear approximations. For numerical integration, we apply the low order spline-weighted grid-point rule with three points in the reference interval. This formula gives the accuracy $\sigma = 5$ [1]. The results in the following table are typical of this family of model problems. For nonzero values of c , the table remains essentially the same. Only the number of Newton iterations will increase.

TABLE 1.

$a = 1.0, b = 1.0, c = 0.0, r = 0.4$		
Nodes	L^2 -error	Rate
16	0.009149	2.004
32	0.002281	2.003
64	0.000569	

Our second example is taken from [4]. Here we have the nonlinear potential problem (1.1) with $g(x, u) = u + \sin(u)$. The function f is chosen such that the true solution will be $u_0(x, y) = e^x \cos(y)$. We use the elliptical region $\Omega = \{x \mid (x/a)^2 + (y/b)^2 < 1\}$ with the values $a = 1$ and $b = 2$. In the following table we give the L^2 -error for the boundary density.

TABLE 2.

$a = 1.0, b = 2.0$		
Nodes	L^2 -error	Rate
16	0.1531	1.82
32	0.0434	1.95
64	0.0112	

In both examples the convergence measured with respect to L^2 -norm is quadratic. Finally, we remark that the use of spline-weighted grid-point rule corresponding to the accuracy $\sigma = 3$ also gives the quadratic convergence with respect to L^2 -norm. In this case our theoretical rate of convergence is $3/2$.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Prof. K.E. Atkinson and the referee for their suggestions to improve the original manuscript of this article.

REFERENCES

1. D.N. Arnold and W.L. Wendland, *Collocation versus Galerkin procedures for boundary integral methods*, in *Boundary element methods in engineering*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982, 18–38.
2. ———, *On the asymptotic convergence of collocation methods*, Math. Comp. **41** (1983), 349–381.
3. ———, *The convergence of spline collocation for strongly elliptic equations on curves*, Numer. Math. **47** (1985), 317–343.
4. K.E. Atkinson and G. Chandler, *BIE methods for solving Laplace equation with nonlinear boundary conditions: The smooth boundary case*, Math. Comp. **55** (1990), 451–472.
5. J.P. Aubin, *Approximation of elliptic boundary value problems*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1972.
6. K. Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1985.
7. J. Elschner and G. Schmidt, *On spline interpolation in periodic Sobolev spaces*, preprint 01/83, Dept. Math. Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR, Berlin (1985).

8. G.C. Hsiao and W.L. Wendland, *A finite element method for some integral equations of the first kind equations on curves*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **58** (1977), 449–481.
9. D. Pascali and S. Sburlan, *Nonlinear mappings of monotone type*, Sijthoff and Noordhoff International Publishers, Bucharest, 1978.
10. K. Ruotsalainen and J. Saranen, *A dual method to the collocation method*, Math. Meth. in Applied Sci. **10** (1988), 439–445.
11. ———, *On the convergence of the Galerkin method for nonsmooth solutions of integral equations*, Numer. Math. **54** (1988), 295–302.
12. ———, *On the collocation method for a nonlinear boundary integral equation*, J. Comput. Appl. Math **28** (1989), 339–348.
13. K. Ruotsalainen and W.L. Wendland, *On the boundary element method for some nonlinear boundary value problems*, Numer. Math. **53** (1988), 299–314.
14. J. Saranen, *Projection methods for a class of Hammerstein equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **27** (1990), 1445–1449.

SECTION OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF OULU,
SF-90570 OULU, FINLAND