

## **$P$ -SPACES AND INTERMEDIATE RINGS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS**

WILL MURRAY, JOSHUA SACK AND SALEEM WATSON

**ABSTRACT.** A completely regular topological space  $X$  is called a  $P$ -space if every zero-set in  $X$  is open. An intermediate ring is a ring  $A(X)$  of real-valued continuous functions on  $X$  containing all the bounded continuous functions. In this paper, we find new characterizations of  $P$ -spaces  $X$  in terms of properties of correspondences between ideals in  $A(X)$  and  $z$ -filters on  $X$ . We also show that some characterizations of  $P$ -spaces that are described in terms of properties of  $C(X)$  actually characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings on  $X$ .

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper, we let  $X$  denote a completely regular (Hausdorff) topological space, also known as a Tychonoff space. We say  $X$  is a  $P$ -space (pseudo-discrete space) if every zero-set in  $X$  is open. Such spaces were introduced by Gillman and Henriksen [8], who used a different but equivalent definition. Their definition is based on an observation by Kaplansky [11] that the ring  $C(X)$  of continuous functions on a discrete space  $X$  has a certain algebraic property. Further characterizations are given by Gillman and Jerison [9]. An intermediate ring of continuous functions  $A(X)$  is a subring of  $C(X)$  that contains  $C^*(X)$  (the ring of bounded functions in  $C(X)$ ). Intermediate rings have been extensively studied, for example, in [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14]. This paper examines relationships between  $P$ -spaces and intermediate rings of continuous functions.

For an intermediate ring  $A(X)$  there are two natural correspondences,  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ , between the ideals of  $A(X)$  and the  $z$ -filters on  $X$  (see [12, 14]). These correspondences extend to all intermediate rings the well-known correspondences, described in [9, subsections 2.3,

---

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 54C40, Secondary 46E25.

*Keywords and phrases.* Rings of continuous functions, ideals,  $P$ -spaces,  $z$ -filters, regular rings.

Received by the editors on October 9, 2015, and in revised form on July 29, 2016.

2L], for  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(X)$ , respectively. We give a new condition that determines whether  $X$  is a  $P$ -space in terms of the correspondences  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ , namely,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$  coincide for each intermediate ring  $A(X)$  (Theorem 2.3). Other new characterizations are given: in terms of the ideals  $M_A^p$  and  $O_A^p$  for  $p \in X$  (Theorems 2.5 and 2.8), by the property that  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  maps maximal ideals to  $z$ -ultrafilters (Theorem 2.10), and by the property that every  $z$ -filter is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter (Theorem 2.12). We note that the analogous characterization of  $P$ -spaces in terms of  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filters does not hold (Example 2.13).

There are a number of alternative characterizations of  $P$ -spaces which are given in terms of algebraic properties of  $C(X)$ . For example,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if the ring  $C(X)$  is (von Neumann) regular, equivalently, every prime ideal in  $C(X)$  is maximal [9, Section 4J]. We show that some properties which characterize  $P$ -spaces  $X$  in terms of  $C(X)$  actually characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings  $A(X)$  when  $X$  is a given  $P$ -space. For example, the property that  $A(X)$  is a regular ring characterizes  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings  $A(X)$  on a given  $P$ -space  $X$  (Theorem 3.3). Other characterizations of  $C(X)$  when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space are given: by the property that every  $z$ -ideal is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal ( $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideal) (Theorem 3.7), and by the property that  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for every  $p \in \beta X$  (Theorem 3.10).

Although the property that every  $z$ -filter is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter characterizes  $P$ -spaces, we show that this property does not in general characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space (Example 3.8). Symmetrically, although the property that every ideal in  $A(X)$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal ( $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideal) characterizes  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, we show that this property does not, for every intermediate ring  $A(X)$ , characterize  $P$ -spaces (Example 2.15). In the particular instance of  $A(X) = C(X)$ , we do know that the property that every ideal in  $C(X)$  is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_C$ -ideal characterizes  $P$ -spaces (see [9, 4J] and [12, Corollary 2.4]). Furthermore, although our Theorem 2.5 tells us that the property that  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for every  $p \in X$  characterizes  $P$ -spaces, we show that this property does not characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space (Example 3.11), and, although the property  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for every  $p \in \beta X$  characterizes  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, we show that this property does not characterize  $P$ -spaces (Example 3.9). In the particular instance of  $A(X) = C(X)$ , we do know that the property

To Characterize	Property			
	F	I	X	B
$P$ -spaces	yes	no	yes	no
$C(X)$ among $A(X)$ for $X$ a $P$ -space	no	yes	no	yes

that  $M_C^p = O_C^p$  for every  $p \in \beta X$  does characterize  $P$ -spaces [9, 7L]. In order to summarize, we provide the above chart, where we abbreviate by **F** the property that every  $z$ -filter is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter, **I** the property that every ideal is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal, **X** the property that  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for each  $p \in X$  and **B** the property that  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for each  $p \in \beta X$ . We mark by “no” the boxes where there is an appropriate space  $X$  and rings  $A(X)$  in which the property corresponding to the column does not characterize the property corresponding to the row.

**2. Characterizations of  $P$ -spaces.** For any real-valued continuous function  $f$  on  $X$ , we define the *zero-set* of  $f$  to be

$$Z(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\},$$

and

$$Z[X] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Z(f) \mid f \in C(X)\}$$

to be the set of all zero-sets. The complement of a zero-set is called a *cozero-set*. In this article, we use the following topological definition of a  $P$ -space.

**Definition 2.1.** A completely regular space  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if every zero-set in  $X$  is open.

An equivalent topological formulation of this definition is:  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if every cozero-set in  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded [9, Section 4J]. There are numerous characterizations of  $P$ -spaces in terms of properties of the ring of all real-valued continuous functions on the space. For example a  $P$ -space is defined in [9] to be a space  $X$  such that every prime ideal in  $C(X)$  is maximal. We know of no previously given characterizations of  $P$ -spaces which are expressed in terms of intermediate rings  $A(X)$ . In this section, we introduce several new characterizations of  $P$ -spaces, all of which can be expressed in terms of intermediate rings  $A(X)$ .

**2.1. The correspondences  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ .** We give a characterization of  $P$ -spaces in terms of the correspondences  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ .

Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring of continuous functions. If  $f \in A(X)$  and  $E$  is a subset of  $X$ , we say that  $f$  is  $E$ -regular with respect to  $A(X)$  if there exists  $g \in A(X)$  such that  $fg \equiv 1$  on  $E$ . We use the correspondences  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ , introduced in [14, 12] respectively, between ideals of  $A(X)$  and  $z$ -filters on  $X$ , that are defined as follows. For  $f \in A(X)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{E \in \mathbf{Z}[X] \mid f \text{ is } E^c\text{-regular}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{E \in \mathbf{Z}[X] \mid f \text{ is } H\text{-regular for every zero-set } H \subseteq E^c\}.$$

For each ideal  $I \subset A(X)$ , it is known that

$$\mathcal{Z}_A[I] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup \{\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \mid f \in I\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A[I] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup \{\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \mid f \in I\}$$

are  $z$ -filters on  $X$  ([12, Proposition 2.2] and [14, Theorem 1]). These correspondences extend the well-known correspondences  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  for  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(X)$ , respectively, which are discussed in [9, subsections 2.3, 2L], to any intermediate ring  $A(X)$  ([12, Corollaries 1.3, 2.4]).

We begin with the following lemma, which clarifies the fourth and fifth lines of the proof of [12, Theorem 2.3].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f \in C(X)$  be non-invertible, and let  $E = \mathbf{Z}(f)$ . Let  $F \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ , such that  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then,  $f$  is  $F$ -regular.*

*Proof.* From [9, subsection 1.15], disjoint zero-sets are completely separated. Let  $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a separating function that is 0 on  $F$  and 1 on  $E$ . Let  $k = f^2 + h$ . Then,  $\mathbf{Z}(k) = \emptyset$ , and hence,  $k$  is invertible. Since  $h(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in F$ ,  $k(x) = f^2(x)$  for all  $x \in F$ . Let  $g = k^{-1} \cdot f$ . Then,  $f(x) \cdot g(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in F$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** *A completely regular space  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if for every intermediate ring  $A(X)$  we have*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$$

for every non-invertible  $f \in A(X)$ .

*Proof.* We first observe that, if  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, then every zero-set is both open and closed. Thus, if  $E$  is a zero-set in  $X$ , then the characteristic function on  $E^c$  is continuous.

$\Rightarrow$ . Let  $X$  be a  $P$ -space, and let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring on  $X$ . Suppose  $f \in A(X)$  and  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$ . Then,  $f$  is invertible on every zero-set  $H \subseteq E^c$ . However, since  $E^c$  itself is a zero-set, it follows that  $f$  is invertible on  $E^c$ . This precisely means that  $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ , which shows that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ . Since the other containment always holds, it follows that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ .

$\Leftarrow$ . Suppose that, for every intermediate ring  $A(X)$  and for every non-invertible  $f \in A(X)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ . In particular, for  $C(X)$  and for every  $f \in C(X)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_C(f) = \mathcal{Z}_C(f)$ . Now, suppose that  $E$  is a zero-set in  $X$ , and let  $f \in C(X)$  with  $E = \mathbf{Z}(f)$ . From Lemma 2.2,  $f$  is invertible in  $C(X)$  on every zero-set  $H$  contained in  $E^c$ , and thus,  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_C(f)$ . It follows (by our hypothesis) that  $E \in \mathcal{Z}_C(f)$ , which means that  $f$  is invertible on  $E^c$ . Therefore, there exists a  $g \in C(X)$  such that  $fg = 1$  on  $E^c$ , and of course,  $fg = 0$  on  $E = \mathbf{Z}(f)$ . Since  $fg$  is continuous on  $X$ , it follows that  $E$  is an open set in  $X$ . This shows that every zero-set in  $X$  is open, and thus,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space. □

**Corollary 2.4.** *A completely regular space  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if, for every intermediate ring  $A(X)$  and every ideal  $I$  in  $A(X)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_A[I] = \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ .*

*Proof.*

$\Rightarrow$ . From Theorem 2.3,  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$  for every  $f \in I$ , hence  $\mathcal{Z}_A[I] = \bigcup_{f \in I} \mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$ .

$\Leftarrow$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A[I] = \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$  for every intermediate ring  $A(X)$  and every ideal  $I$  in  $A(X)$ . Consider the principal ideals  $I_f = \langle f \rangle$ , for each non-invertible  $f \in A(X)$ . For any non-invertible  $f \in A(X)$  and for any  $g \in A(X)$ , we have  $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$  (this follows from [12, Lemma 1.5 (a)], which states that  $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) = \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \wedge \mathcal{Z}_A(g)$ ) and

$\mathfrak{Z}_A(fg) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$  (this similarly follows from [16, Corollary 13 (a)], which states that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(fg) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \wedge \mathfrak{Z}_A(g)$ ). It follows that

$$\mathcal{Z}_A[I_f] = \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A[I_f] = \mathfrak{Z}_A(f).$$

Thus, by hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$  for every non-invertible  $f \in A(X)$ . Then, by Theorem 2.3,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space. □

From [12, Theorem 3.1], we know that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = kh\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$  for each non-invertible  $f \in A(X)$ , where, for any  $z$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$ , the *hull*  $h\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of all  $z$ -ultrafilters containing  $\mathcal{F}$ , and, for every set  $\mathfrak{U}$  of  $z$ -ultrafilters, the *kernel*  $k\mathfrak{U}$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$  is the intersection of all  $z$ -ultrafilters in  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Thus, Theorem 2.3 is equivalent to saying that  $X$  is a  $P$  space if and only if, for every intermediate ring  $A(X)$  and non-invertible function  $f \in A(X)$ ,

$$\mathcal{Z}_A(f) = kh\mathcal{Z}_A(f).$$

From Theorem 2.3, we know that, for any  $P$ -space  $X$  and any intermediate ring  $A(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_A = \mathfrak{Z}_A$ . Conversely, we do not know that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, given that  $\mathcal{Z}_A = \mathfrak{Z}_A$  for some arbitrary  $A(X)$ . However, the proof of Theorem 2.3 shows that, if  $\mathcal{Z}_C = \mathfrak{Z}_C$ , then  $X$  must be a  $P$ -space.

**2.2. The ideals  $M_A^p$  and  $O_A^p$  for  $p \in X$ .** We consider, for each  $p \in X$  and intermediate ring  $A(X)$ , the fixed maximal ideal  $M_A^p$  of functions that vanish at  $p$ , and the ideal  $O_A^p$  of functions that vanish on a neighborhood of  $p$ . (A *fixed ideal* is an ideal  $I$  for which  $\bigcap \{Z(f) \mid f \in I\} \neq \emptyset$ .) In notation, for each  $p \in X$ , let

$$M_A^p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in A(X) : p \in Z(f)\}$$

$$O_A^p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in A(X) : p \in \text{int } Z(f)\}.$$

In Section 3.3, we examine extensions of these to  $p \in \beta X$ . In the case where  $A(X) = C(X)$  it is known that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for all  $p \in X$  [9, Section 4J]. We extend this result to all intermediate rings.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring. Then,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for every  $p \in X$ .*

*Proof.*

$\Rightarrow$ . Suppose that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, and let  $f \in M_A^p$ ,  $p \in X$ . So  $f(p) = 0$ . However, since  $X$  is a  $P$ -space,  $Z(f)$  is an open set containing  $p$ . Thus,  $f \in O_A^p$ . Therefore,  $M_A^p \subseteq O_A^p$ . Since the other containment is always true, it follows that  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for all  $p \in X$ .

$\Leftarrow$ . Suppose that  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for all  $p \in X$ . Let  $E$  be a zero-set in  $X$ . Since  $E$  is a zero-set, there is an  $f \in C(X)$  with  $Z(f) = E$ ; we may assume (by replacing  $f$  with  $(f \wedge 1) \vee -1$ , if necessary) that  $f \in C^*(X) \subseteq A(X)$ . Now, for every  $p \in E$ , we have  $f \in M_A^p = O_A^p$ , so  $E$  is a neighborhood of each of its points. Thus,  $E$  is open. Therefore,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space. □

We will show that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$  preserves this characterization, that is,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(M_A^p) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(O_A^p)$ . However, first we provide for  $p \in X$  a lemma and general results regarding the images of  $M_A^p$  and  $O_A^p$  under the correspondences  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $p \in X$  and  $E$  is a zero-set neighborhood of  $p$ , then there exists a continuous function  $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $h = 1$  on  $E^c$  and  $h = 0$  on some zero-set neighborhood of  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} cl_X E^c$ . Since  $p \notin H$ , it follows by complete regularity that there is a function

$$f : X \longrightarrow [0, 1], \quad f(p) = 0, \quad f = 1 \text{ on } H.$$

The sets

$$F_1 = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$$

and

$$F_2 = \{x \in X : f(x) = 1\}$$

are disjoint zero-sets in  $X$ ; thus, they are completely separated, that is, there exists an

$$h : X \longrightarrow [0, 1]$$

such that  $h = 0$  on  $F_1$  and  $h = 1$  on  $F_2$ . Clearly  $E^c \subseteq F_2$ , and  $F_1$  is a zero-set neighborhood of  $p$ .  $\square$

The first part of the next lemma is the special case where  $p \in X$  of [5, Theorem 4.1]; however, we give here a shorter and more direct proof of this case.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring of continuous functions. Then the following both hold for every  $p \in X$ :*

- (a)  $\mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p]$ .
- (b)  $\mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p]$ .

*Proof.*

- (a) Since  $O_A^p \subseteq M_A^p$ , it is clear that

$$\mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p].$$

For the other containment, suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p]$ . Then, there exists an  $f \in M_A^p$  such that  $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ . It follows that there is a  $g \in A(X)$  such that  $fg = 1$  on  $E^c$ . Now, the set

$$F = \{x \in X : |fg(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$$

is a zero-set neighborhood of  $p$ . Let

$$H = \{x \in X : |fg(x)| \geq 1\}.$$

Since  $F$  and  $H$  are disjoint zero-sets, they are completely separated [9, subsection 1.15]; thus, there is a function  $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $h = 0$  on  $F$  and  $h = 1$  on  $H$ . Clearly,  $h \in O_A^p$  and  $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(h)$ ; thus,  $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p]$ .

- (b) For each  $f \in A(X)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_A(f);$$

thus,

$$\mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p].$$

For the other containment, let  $p \in X$ , and suppose that  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p]$ . Then,  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$  for some  $f \in O_A^p$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{Z}(f)$  is a zero-set neighborhood of  $p$ , and, since  $E$  contains  $\mathcal{Z}(f)$  by [18, Lemma 3.1]

(which asserts that  $\mathbf{Z}(f) = \bigcap \{E \mid E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)\}$ ), it follows that  $E$  is a zero-set neighborhood of  $p$ . From Lemma 2.6, there exists an

$$h : X \longrightarrow [0, 1]$$

such that  $h = 0$  on some zero-set neighborhood of  $p$  and  $h = 1$  on  $E^c$ . Since  $h = 0$  on a zero-set neighborhood of  $p$ , and since  $h$  is bounded, it follows that  $h \in O_A^p$ . Further, since  $h = 1$  on  $E^c$ , it is clear that  $h$  is  $E^c$ -regular. By definition, this means that  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(h)$ . Therefore,  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *A completely regular space  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if, for every intermediate ring  $A(X)$  and every  $p \in X$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_A[M_A^p] = \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p]$ .*

*Proof.*

$\Rightarrow$ . If  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, then, by Theorem 2.5, for each  $p \in X$ ,  $M_A^p = O_A^p$ , and hence,  $\mathfrak{Z}_A[M_A^p] = \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p]$ .

$\Leftarrow$ . Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring. We claim that every  $E$  in  $\mathfrak{Z}_A[M_A^p]$  is also a neighborhood of  $p$ . We first show that every  $E$  in  $\mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p]$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ . Toward this end, let  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p]$ . Then,  $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$  for some  $f \in O_A^p$ . We always have  $\mathbf{Z}(f) \subseteq E$ . However,  $f \in O_A^p$ ; thus,  $\mathbf{Z}(f)$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ . Therefore,  $E$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ . It follows, by our hypothesis, that

$$\mathfrak{Z}_A[M_A^p] = \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p],$$

and that every  $E$  in  $\mathfrak{Z}_A[M_A^p]$  is also a neighborhood of  $p$ . This completes the proof of the claim. In particular, the claim holds for  $A(X) = C(X)$ .

Now, suppose that  $g \in M_C^p$ . Thus,  $g(p) = 0$ . From Lemma 2.2,  $g$  is invertible in  $C(X)$  on every zero-set in the complement of  $\mathbf{Z}(g)$ ; thus, it follows that  $\mathbf{Z}(g) \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(g)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{Z}(g) \in \mathfrak{Z}_A[M_C^p]$ , and thus, by the claim,  $\mathbf{Z}(g)$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ . It follows that every zero-set in  $X$  is a neighborhood of each of its points. Therefore, every zero-set in  $X$  is open, and thus,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space.  $\square$

**2.3. Mapping maximal ideals to  $z$ -ultrafilters.** The next theorem characterizes  $P$ -spaces as those spaces  $X$  where, for any intermediate ring  $A(X)$ , the image under  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$  of a maximal ideal in  $A(X)$  is a  $z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *If  $X$  is a  $P$ -space and  $E$  a zero-set in  $X$ , there exists a function  $f \in A(X)$  such that  $E = \mathbf{Z}(f)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \langle \mathbf{Z}(f) \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a zero-set in  $X$ , and let  $f$  be the characteristic function of  $E^c$ . By definition,  $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ , and hence,  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \supseteq \langle \mathbf{Z}(f) \rangle$ . From [15, Proposition 2.2], which asserts that

$$\mathbf{Z}(f) = \bigcap \{E \mid E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)\},$$

we have that  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \langle \mathbf{Z}(f) \rangle$ . □

The proof of the next theorem uses the following definition. For any intermediate ring  $A(X)$  and  $z$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$ , let

$$\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in A(X) \mid \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}.$$

We define  $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}$  similarly. According to [18, Theorem 5.2], if  $X$  is a  $P$ -space and  $A(X)$  is a  $C$ -ring (a ring  $A(X)$  that is isomorphic to  $C(Y)$  for some completely regular  $Y$ ), then  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  maps each maximal ideal in  $A(X)$  to a  $z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ . The next theorem strengthens this result not to depend upon  $A(X)$  being a  $C$ -ring and to give a full characterization of  $X$  being a  $P$ -space. It also addresses [18, Problem 5.3].

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring. Then,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if  $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$  is a  $z$ -ultrafilter whenever  $M$  is a maximal ideal in  $A(X)$ .*

*Proof.*

$\Rightarrow$ . Let  $X$  be a  $P$ -space. From [5, Theorem 3.2(a)], there is a unique  $z$ -ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}_A[M] \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Now, let  $E \in \mathcal{U}$ . From Lemma 2.9, there exists an  $f \in A(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \langle E \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . It is easy to see that

$$M \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{Z}_A[M]] \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}].$$

Since  $M$  is maximal, and from [15, Theorem 2.3],  $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$  is a proper ideal  $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ . It follows that  $f \in M$ ; thus,  $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A[M]$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{Z}_A[M] = \mathcal{U}$ .

$\Leftarrow$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$  is a  $z$ -ultrafilter whenever  $M$  is a maximal ideal in  $A(X)$ . Let  $p \in X$ , and consider the maximal ideal  $M_A^p$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p]$  is a  $z$ -ultrafilter; therefore, it must be that

$\mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p] = \mathcal{U}_p$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_p$  is the  $z$ -ultrafilter consisting of all zero-sets containing  $p$ . From Proposition 2.7, it follows that  $\mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \mathcal{U}_p$ . However,  $\mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p]$  consists of all zero-set neighborhoods of  $p$ , except that, since  $\mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \mathcal{U}_p$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{U}_p$  consists of zero-set neighborhoods of  $p$ . Thus, every zero-set containing  $p$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space.  $\square$

Theorem 2.10 no longer holds if  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  is replaced by  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ . For example, by [9, subsection 2.5] and [12, Theorem 2.3], for any completely regular space  $X$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_C(M) = \mathbf{Z}(M)$  is a  $z$ -ultrafilter for any maximal ideal  $M$  of  $C(X)$ .

**2.4.  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ - and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filters;  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ - and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideals.** By a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter, we mean a  $z$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$  with the property that  $\mathcal{Z}_A\mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}] = \mathcal{F}$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter if  $\mathfrak{Z}_A\mathfrak{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}] = \mathcal{F}$ . The next proposition follows from the proof of (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) of [18, Theorem 4.2] (although [18, Theorem 4.2] is stated for  $A(X)$  a  $C$ -ring, the part (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) does not require that  $A(X)$  be a  $C$ -ring).

**Proposition 2.11.** *The following are equivalent for any intermediate ring  $A(X)$ :*

- (a) *Every  $z$ -filter on  $X$  is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter.*
- (b) *For every zero-set  $E$  in  $X$ , there exists an  $f \in A(X)$  such that  $E = \mathbf{Z}(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \langle \mathbf{Z}(f) \rangle$ .*

Note that, if  $A(X) = C(X)$ , then every  $z$ -filter is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter since, in this case,  $\mathfrak{Z}_A = \mathbf{Z}$ , and it is known that  $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}] = \mathcal{F}$  for every  $z$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$  ([9, subsection 2.5]). In general, for intermediate rings, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring. Then,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if every  $z$ -filter on  $X$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter.*

*Proof.*

$\Rightarrow$ . Suppose that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space. From Lemma 2.9 and Theorem 2.3, for every zero-set  $E$ , there exists a function  $f \in A(X)$  such that  $E = \mathbf{Z}(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \langle \mathbf{Z}(f) \rangle$ . Then, by Proposition 2.11, every

$z$ -filter is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter. From Theorem 2.3,  $\mathfrak{Z}_A = \mathcal{Z}_A$ , and hence, every  $z$ -filter is also a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter.

⇐. Suppose that  $A(X)$  is such that every  $z$ -filter on  $X$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter. Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal, and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the unique  $z$ -ultrafilter containing  $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$ , see [5, Theorem 3.2(a)]. From [12, Theorem 4.4],  $\mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{U}]$  is a maximal ideal. It is easy to see that  $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{U}]$  (it is always the case that  $M \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{U}]$ ). Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter, we then have that

$$\mathcal{Z}_A[M] = \mathcal{Z}_A \mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{U}] = \mathcal{U},$$

that is,  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  maps maximal ideals to  $z$ -ultrafilters. Hence, it follows by Theorem 2.10 that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space. □

The right-to-left direction of this theorem would not be true if we were to replace  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  by  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ . For  $A(X) = C(X)$ , every  $z$ -filter is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter, even if  $X$  is not a  $P$ -space. And, if  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ , the right-to-left direction does not hold for  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filters, as the next example shows.

**Example 2.13.** Let  $X = (0, 1) \cup \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ , and note that a zero-set  $E$  in  $X$  is of the form  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$  where  $E_1$  is a zero-set in  $(0, 1)$  and  $E_2$  is any subset of  $\{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ . Let  $A(X)$  be the ring of all continuous functions on  $X$  that are bounded on  $\{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ . Then, for every zero-set  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ , define a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ \chi_F(x) & \text{if } x \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $g$  is any continuous function on  $(0, 1)$  where  $\mathcal{Z}(g) = E_1$  and  $\chi_F$  is the characteristic function on  $F = (E_2)^c$ . Clearly,  $f \in A(X)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{Z}(f) = E$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \langle \mathcal{Z}(f) \rangle$ . Then, from Proposition 2.11, every  $z$ -filter on  $X$  is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter. However,  $X$  is not a  $P$ -space.

An ideal  $I$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal if  $\mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{Z}_A[I]] = I$ ; equivalently,  $I$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal if  $f \in I$  whenever  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A(I)$ . We analogously define a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideal.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring such that every ideal in  $A(X)$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal ( $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideal). Then,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space.*

*Proof.* Suppose that every ideal is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal. Let  $p \in X$ . From Proposition 2.7, we have  $\mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p]$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p].$$

By hypothesis,  $O_A^p$  and  $M_A^p$  are  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideals, which yields the first and third equalities of:

$$O_A^p = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p] = M_A^p.$$

Thus,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space by Theorem 2.5.

Now, suppose that every ideal is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideal. Again, let  $p \in X$ , and consider the ideal  $O_A^p$ . By hypothesis,  $O_A^p$  is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideal. Thus,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p] = O_A^p.$$

From Proposition 2.7, we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p]$ ; thus, we can write (2.1) as

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p] = O_A^p.$$

However,  $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p] = M_A^p$  also since, by [5, Theorem 3.2(a)],  $\mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p]$  is the unique  $z$ -ultrafilter containing  $M_A^p$ , and thus, by [12, Proposition 4.4],  $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p]$  is a maximal ideal which must contain  $O_A^p$  (by (2.2)). Therefore, that maximal ideal must be  $M_A^p$ , that is,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_A^{\leftarrow} \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p] = M_A^p.$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), it follows that  $O_A^p = M_A^p$  for every  $p \in X$ . Thus,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space by Theorem 2.5. □

The converse of Theorem 2.14 is not true in general; the next example shows why.

**Example 2.15.** Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  be the set of positive integers, and let  $A(X) = C^*(X)$ . Note that  $X$  is discrete, and hence, a  $P$ -space. Let  $I = \langle 1/n \rangle$  be the ideal generated by  $f(n) = 1/n$ . Note that  $1/\sqrt{n} \notin I$ , for otherwise, there would be a function  $g$  such that  $gf = g/n = 1/\sqrt{n}$ . However, then  $g = \sqrt{n}$ , is unbounded, and hence, not in  $C^*(X)$ . It is easy to see from the definition that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(f^2)$  for any  $f \in A(X)$ , and hence, we have that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(1/n) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(1/\sqrt{n})$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(1/\sqrt{n}) \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(I)$ . We conclude that  $I$  is not a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideal. The same

argument applies if  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  (also recall by Corollary 2.4 that  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(I) = \mathcal{Z}_A(I)$ ).

**3. Characterizing  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings on  $P$ -spaces.** Several characterizations of  $C(X)$  among its subrings are known (see [4, 17, 18]). In this section, we show that several of the characterizations of  $P$ -spaces in terms of the ring structure of  $C(X)$  actually characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings on the  $P$ -space  $X$ .

**3.1. Algebraic characterizations.** A commutative ring  $R$  is (*von-Neumann*) *regular* if, for every  $x \in R$ , there exists a  $y \in R$  such that  $x = x^2y$ . We first recall that it is well known that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if  $C(X)$  is a regular ring [9, subsection 4J]. We show that any proper intermediate ring is never a regular ring.

The next lemma is immediate from [19, pages 293, 294, Problem 44C]; however, we give short proof of it here.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ , then there exists an  $f \in A(X)$  such that  $f$  is never zero and  $f$  is not invertible in  $A(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in C(X) \setminus A(X)$ . It can be assumed that  $g \geq 0$ , for, if not,  $g$  must be replaced by one of  $g_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g \vee 0$  or  $g_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -g \vee 0$ . (Both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  cannot be in  $A(X)$ , since then  $g = g_1 - g_2$  would be in  $A(X)$ .) Now,  $g + 1 \notin A(X)$ ; thus, let  $f = 1/(g + 1)$ . Then,  $f \in C^*(X) \subseteq A(X)$ ,  $f$  never vanishes and  $f$  is not invertible in  $A(X)$ . □

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ , then  $A(X)$  is not a regular ring.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A(X)$  is a regular ring. From Lemma 3.1, there exists an  $f \in A(X)$  such that  $f$  is never zero and  $f$  is not invertible in  $A(X)$ . Since  $A(X)$  is regular, there exists an  $f_0 \in A(X)$  such that  $f^2 f_0(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $f(x)$  is never zero on  $X$ , we can divide by  $f(x)$  to get  $f f_0(x) = 1$ . Hence, this means that  $f$  is invertible in  $A(X)$ , a contradiction. □

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $P$ -space and  $A(X)$  an intermediate ring. Then,  $A(X) = C(X)$  if and only if  $A(X)$  is a regular ring.*

*Proof.* If  $A(X) = C(X)$ , then  $A(X)$  is a regular ring [9, Section 4J]. If  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ , then  $A(X)$  is not a regular ring by Proposition 3.2. □

**Remark 3.4.** From [10, Theorem 1.16], any commutative ring  $R$  that has no non-zero nilpotents is regular if and only if every prime ideal of  $R$  is maximal. Since intermediate rings have no non-zero nilpotents, an intermediate ring  $A(X)$  is regular if and only if every prime ideal in  $A(X)$  is maximal. Thus, Theorem 3.3 is equivalent to the assertion that, when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, then  $A(X) = C(X)$  if and only if every prime ideal in  $A(X)$  is maximal.

We now give an alternative proof that, if  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ , then there exists a prime ideal that is not maximal. This property was first proven in [1] using a different method than that used in this paper. In the following proof, we specify such a prime ideal. Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring of continuous functions, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $z$ -filter on  $X$ . Define

$$(3.1) \quad I_0(\mathcal{F}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in A(X) : \mathbf{Z}(f) \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Note that  $I_0(\mathcal{F})$  is an ideal in  $A(X)$  and, in general,  $I_0(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $A(X) = C(X)$ , then  $I_0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F})$  since, in this case, for each  $f \in C(X)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_C(f) = \langle \mathbf{Z}(f) \rangle$ . In general, we have the following.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $A(X)$  be an intermediate ring, and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a prime  $z$ -filter on  $X$ . Then,  $I_0(\mathcal{G})$  is a prime ideal in  $A(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f, g \in A(X)$  and  $fg \in I_0(\mathcal{G})$ . Then  $\mathbf{Z}(fg) \in \mathcal{G}$ . However,  $\mathbf{Z}(fg) = \mathbf{Z}(f) \cup \mathbf{Z}(g)$  so  $\mathbf{Z}(f) \cup \mathbf{Z}(g) \in \mathcal{G}$ ; and, since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a prime  $z$ -filter, it follows that  $\mathbf{Z}(f)$ , say, belongs to  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then,  $f \in I_0(\mathcal{G})$ . Therefore,  $I_0(\mathcal{G})$  is a prime ideal. □

We use Proposition 3.5 to give an alternative proof for [1, Theorem 3.2].

**Proposition 3.6.** *If  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ , then  $A(X)$  contains a nonmaximal prime ideal.*

*Proof.* If  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ , then  $A(X)$  contains a non-invertible function  $f$  which never vanishes. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any  $z$ -ultrafilter containing  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ . Then,  $I_0(\mathcal{U})$  is, by Proposition 3.5, a prime ideal, and it is not maximal since the ideal  $\mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{U}]$  properly contains  $I_0(\mathcal{U})$  (in particular,  $f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{U}]$ , except that, as  $f$  never vanishes,  $f \notin I_0(\mathcal{U})$ ).  $\square$

**3.2.  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ - and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideals;  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ - and  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filters.** It is known from [9, Section 4J] that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if every ideal in  $C(X)$  is a  $z$ -ideal. Noting that the  $z$ -ideals coincide with  $\mathfrak{Z}_C$ -ideals, we see that the next theorem shows that  $C(X)$  is the only intermediate ring for which this holds. In particular, we show that the property that every  $z$ -ideal is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal (which guarantees  $X$  to be a  $P$ -space by Theorem 2.14) also characterizes  $C(X)$  among all intermediate rings when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a  $P$ -space and  $A(X)$  an intermediate ring. Then,  $A(X) = C(X)$  if and only if every ideal in  $A(X)$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal ( $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -ideal).*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A(X) = C(X)$ . Then, by [12, Corollary 2.4] (which states that, for any ideal  $I$  in  $C(X)$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_C[I] = \mathbf{Z}[I]$ ), any  $z$ -ideal is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_C$ -ideal. Since  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, every ideal is a  $z$ -ideal according to [9, page 211]. Thus, every ideal is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_C$ -ideal. From Theorem 2.3,  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$  for all  $f \in A(X)$ . Hence, every ideal is also a  $\mathcal{Z}_C$ -ideal.

Conversely, suppose that  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ . Then,  $A(X)$  contains a non-invertible function  $f$  which never vanishes. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ , and let  $I_0(\mathcal{F})$  be defined according to equation (3.1). Now,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A[I_0(\mathcal{F})]$  since  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, and hence, for each  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , the characteristic function  $\chi_{E^c}$  of the complement of  $E$  is in  $I_0(\mathcal{F})$  and

$$E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(\chi_{E^c}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_A[I_0(\mathcal{F})].$$

Thus,  $f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^\leftarrow[\mathcal{Z}_A[I_0(\mathcal{F})]]$ . However,  $f \notin I_0(\mathcal{F})$  since  $f$  never vanishes. Hence,  $I_0(\mathcal{F})$  is not a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -ideal. The same argument holds when  $\mathcal{Z}_A$  is replaced by  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ .  $\square$

Next, we note that the condition that every  $z$ -filter be a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter ( $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter) does not characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings. The following example provides a reason.

**Example 3.8.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{N}$ , which is discrete, and hence, a  $P$ -space. Consider  $A(X) = C^*(X)$ . Let  $E$  be any subset of  $X$  (as  $X$  is discrete,  $E$  is a zero-set), and let  $f = \chi_{E^c}$  be the binary-valued characteristic function on the complement of  $E$ . Then,  $\mathcal{Z}(f) = E$ , and clearly,  $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \langle \mathcal{Z}(f) \rangle$ . Then, by Proposition 2.11, every  $z$ -filter is a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter. However, clearly,  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ . Hence, the property that every  $z$ -filter be a  $\mathfrak{Z}_A$ -filter does not characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space. From Theorem 2.3, the property that every  $z$ -filter be a  $\mathcal{Z}_A$ -filter does not characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space either.

**3.3. The ideals  $M_A^p$  and  $O_A^p$  for  $p \in \beta X$ .** The ideals  $O_A^p$  defined for  $p \in X$  in Section 2.3 can be defined for any  $p \in \beta X$  by using the characterization for maximal ideals given in [16], as follows. For  $p \in \beta X$ , let

$$M_A^p = \{f \in A(X) \mid p \in h\mathcal{Z}_A(f)\}$$

$$O_A^p = \{f \in A(X) \mid p \in \text{int } h\mathcal{Z}_A(f)\}$$

This coincides with the definition in [5, 13] and agrees with our definition in subsection 2.2 when  $p \in X$ .

We know from [9, Section 7L] that the property that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space can be characterized by the property that  $M_C^p = O_C^p$  for all  $p \in \beta X$ , and we know, from [9, §4J], that the property that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space can also be characterized by  $M_C^p = O_C^p$  for all  $p \in X$ . We showed in Theorem 2.5 that the characterization in terms of  $p \in X$  can be extended from  $C(X)$  to all intermediate rings. The next example, however, shows that the characterization in terms of  $p \in \beta X$  does not extend to all intermediate rings.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$ , which is discrete, and hence, a  $P$ -space. Let  $A(X) = C^*(X)$ . We show that a  $p \in \beta X$  exists such that  $M_A^p \neq O_A^p$ , and hence, the property  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for all  $p \in \beta X$  does not characterize  $P$ -spaces. It follows from [9, Section 4K1] that  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  is not a regular ring, and hence, by [9, Section 4J], that  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  is not a  $P$ -space. From Theorem 2.5, there is a point  $p \in \beta X$  such that  $M_{C(\beta\mathbb{N})}^p \neq O_{C(\beta\mathbb{N})}^p$ . Then, however, as  $A(X)$  (which is equal to  $C^*(\mathbb{N})$ ) is isomorphic to  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , it follows that  $M_A^p \neq O_A^p$  for some  $p \in \beta X$ .

In Example 3.9, we could have used Theorem 3.3 instead of [9, Section 4K1] to show that  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  is not a regular ring by observing that  $C^*(\mathbb{N}) \neq C(\mathbb{N})$  (and hence by Theorem 3.3,  $C^*(\mathbb{N})$  is not regular) and that  $C^*(\mathbb{N})$  is isomorphic to  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ .

According to the next theorem, the condition  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for  $p \in \beta X$  characterizes  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings  $A(X)$  when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space. This highlights, in the event that  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ , the significance of the two cases  $p$  ranging over  $X$  and  $p$  ranging over  $\beta X$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $X$  be a  $P$ -space and  $A(X)$  an intermediate ring. Then,  $A(X) = C(X)$  if and only if, for all  $p \in \beta X$ ,  $M_A^p = O_A^p$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A(X) = C(X)$ , then  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for every  $p \in \beta X$  [9, Section 7L]. Suppose that  $A(X) \neq C(X)$ . Then, there exists a function  $f \in A(X)$  that is not invertible in  $A(X)$  but never vanishes. Let  $\mathcal{U}_p$  be a  $z$ -ultrafilter such that  $\mathcal{U}_p \supseteq \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ . Thus,  $f \in M_A^p$ . Note that, as  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$  (and any  $z$ -filter containing it) must be a free  $z$ -filter; hence,  $h\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \beta X \setminus X$ . Then, since  $X$  is dense in  $\beta X$ ,  $h\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$  has empty interior. Thus, by definition,  $f \notin O_A^p$ .  $\square$

We see that this characterization of  $C(X)$  does not hold if the condition that  $p \in \beta X$  is replaced by the condition that  $p \in X$ .

**Example 3.11.** Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $A(X) = C^*(X)$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{N}$  is discrete, and hence, is a  $P$ -space. Furthermore, since  $\mathbb{N}$  is discrete, for every subset  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E = \text{int } E$ . Hence, by definition,  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for all  $p \in X$  and for any intermediate ring  $A(X)$ , in particular, where  $A(X) = C^*(X)$ . Clearly, however,  $C(\mathbb{N}) \neq C^*(\mathbb{N})$ . Therefore, the condition that  $M_A^p = O_A^p$  for every  $p \in X$  does not characterize  $C(X)$  among intermediate rings when  $X$  is a  $P$ -space.

## REFERENCES

1. Sudip Kumar Acharyya and Bedanta Bose, *A correspondence between ideals and  $z$ -filters for certain rings of continuous functions—Some remarks*, Topol. Appl. **13** (2013), 1603–1605.
2. Sudip Kumar Acharyya, K.C. Chattopadhyay and D.P. Ghosh, *On a class of subalgebras of  $C(X)$  and the intersection of their free maximal ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 611–615.
3. J.L. Blasco, *On the existence of subalgebras of  $C(X)$  which are not isomorphic to  $C(Y)$  for any space  $Y$* , Acta Math. Hungar. **88** (2000), 221–226.

4. R.B. Burckel, *Characterization of  $C(x)$  among its subalgebras*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **6** (1972).
5. H. Linda Byun and Saleem Watson, *Prime and maximal ideals in subrings of  $C(X)$* , Topol. Appl. **40** (1991), 45–62.
6. J.M. Domínguez, J. Gómez and M.A. Mulero, *Intermediate algebras between  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(X)$  as rings of fractions of  $C^*(X)$* , Topol. Appl. **77** (1997), 115–130.
7. J.M. Domínguez and J. Gómez Pérez, *There do not exist minimal algebras between  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(X)$  with prescribed real maximal ideal spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar. **94** (2002), 351–355.
8. L. Gillman and M. Henriksen, *Concerning rings of continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1954), 340–362.
9. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
10. K.R. Goodearl, *von Neumann regular rings*, Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, FL, 1991.
11. I. Kaplansky, *Topological rings*, Amer. J. Math. **69** (1947), 153–183.
12. P. Panman, J. Sack and S. Watson, *Correspondences between ideals and  $z$ -filters for rings of continuous functions between  $C^*$  and  $C$* , Comment. Math. **52** (2012), 11–20.
13. Donald Plank, *On a class of subalgebras of  $C(X)$  with applications to  $\beta X - X$* , Fund. Math. **64** (1969), 41–54.
14. L. Redlin and S. Watson, *Maximal ideals in subalgebras of  $C(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 763–766.
15. ———, *Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications*, Fund. Math. **152** (1997), 151–163.
16. Joshua Sack and Saleem Watson, *Characterizations of ideals in intermediate  $C$ -rings  $A(X)$  via the  $A$ -compactifications of  $X$* , Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2013** (2013), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/635361>.
17. ———,  *$C$  and  $C^*$  among intermediate rings*, Topol. Proc. **43** (2014), 69–82.
18. ———, *Characterizing  $C(X)$  among intermediate  $C$ -rings on  $X$* , Topol. Proc. **45** (2015), 301–313.
19. S. Willard, *General topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
LONG BEACH, CA 90840

**Email address:** [will.murray@csulb.edu](mailto:will.murray@csulb.edu)

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
LONG BEACH, CA 90840

**Email address:** [Joshua.Sack@csulb.edu](mailto:Joshua.Sack@csulb.edu)

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
LONG BEACH, CA 90840

**Email address:** [saleem.watson@csulb.edu](mailto:saleem.watson@csulb.edu)