

**RANKS OF CROSS COMMUTATORS  
ON BACKWARD SHIFT INVARIANT SUBSPACES  
OVER THE BIDISK**

KEI JI IZUCHI AND KOU HEI IZUCHI

**ABSTRACT.** On backward shift invariant subspaces  $M$  over the bidisk, it is proved that if  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, then

$$\text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] - 1 \leq \text{rank}[S_z, S_w^*] \leq \text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] + 1.$$

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\Gamma^2$  be the two-dimensional unit torus. We write  $z, w$  for variables in  $\Gamma^2 = \Gamma_z \times \Gamma_w$ . Let  $L^2 = L^2(\Gamma^2)$  be the space of square integrable functions on  $\Gamma^2$  with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (f\bar{g})(e^{is}, e^{it}) \frac{dsdt}{(2\pi)^2}.$$

Let  $H^2 = H^2(\Gamma^2)$  be the Hardy space over  $\Gamma^2$ , and let  $H^2(\Gamma_z)$  and  $H^2(\Gamma_w)$  be the Hardy spaces on the unit circle  $\Gamma$  with variables  $z$  and  $w$ , respectively. We think of  $H^2(\Gamma_z)$  and  $H^2(\Gamma_w)$  as closed subspaces of  $H^2$ . For a function  $\psi$  in  $L^\infty(\Gamma^2)$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\psi$  on  $H^2$  is defined by  $T_\psi f = P(\psi f)$ , where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection from  $L^2$  onto  $H^2$ . It is known that  $T_\psi^* = T_{\bar{\psi}}$ , and  $T_{\varphi(z)}^* T_{\psi(w)} = T_{\psi(w)} T_{\varphi(z)}^*$  for every  $\varphi(z), \psi(w) \in H^\infty(\Gamma)$ . A nonzero closed subspace  $M$  of  $H^2$  with  $M \neq H^2$  is called invariant if  $T_z M \subset M$  and  $T_w M \subset M$ . A function  $f$  in  $H^2$  is called inner if  $|f| = 1$  almost everywhere on  $\Gamma^2$ . A well-known theorem due to Beurling [2] says that an invariant subspace  $M$  of  $H^2(\Gamma_z)$  has a form  $M = q(z)H^2(\Gamma_z)$  for an inner function  $q(z)$ . In the two variables case, the structure of invariant subspaces of  $H^2$  is extremely complicated, see [3, 12].

---

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 47A15, 32A35, Secondary 47B35.

*Keywords and phrases.* Backward shift invariant subspace, invariant subspace, Hardy space, cross commutator.

Received by the editors on December 13, 2007, and in revised form on February 14, 2008.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2010-40-3-929 Copyright ©2010 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

We write

$$N = H^2 \ominus M := \{f \in H^2 : f \perp M\}.$$

Then  $T_z^*N \subset N$  and  $T_w^*N \subset N$ , and  $N$  is called a backward shift invariant subspace of  $H^2$ .

Let  $\psi \in L^\infty$  and  $R_\psi$  denote the operator on  $M$  defined by  $R_\psi f = P_M(\psi f)$ , where  $P_M$  is the orthogonal projection on  $L^2$  with range  $M$ . One has  $R_\psi^* = R_{\overline{\psi}}$  and  $R_z = T_z|_M$ . Let  $[R_z, R_w^*]$  denote the cross commutator of  $R_z$  and  $R_w$ , that is,  $[R_z, R_w^*] = R_z R_w^* - R_w^* R_z$ . On a backward shift invariant subspace  $N$ , one has the operator  $S_\psi$  on  $N$  defined by  $S_\psi f = P_N(\psi f)$ . It is easy to see that  $S_\psi^* = S_{\overline{\psi}}$  and  $S_z^* = T_z^*|_N$ .

In [10], Mandrekar proved that  $[R_z, R_w^*] = 0$  if and only if  $M$  is of Beurling type, that is,  $M = qH^2$  for some inner function  $q$  on  $\Gamma^2$ . This is a nice characterization of Beurling type invariant subspaces of  $H^2$  and is our starting point of the study. In [9], it is proved that  $[S_z, S_w^*] = 0$  if and only if  $M$  has one of the following forms;

$$M = q_1(z)H^2, \quad M = q_2(w)H^2, \quad M = q_1(z)H^2 + q_2(w)H^2$$

for nonconstant one variable inner functions  $q_1(z)$  and  $q_2(w)$ .

In [13, 14, 15], Yang pointed out that  $[R_z, R_w^*]$  and  $[S_z, S_w^*]$  are Hilbert-Schmidt operators under some mild condition. So, to study the structure of invariant subspaces, it is important to study the cases when  $\text{rank } [R_z, R_w^*] < \infty$  and  $\text{rank } [S_z, S_w^*] < \infty$ . The authors studied these subjects in [5, 6, 7, 8]. As a consequence, we had the following conjecture in [7].

**Conjecture.**  $\text{rank } [R_z, R_w^*] - 1 \leq \text{rank } [S_z, S_w^*] \leq \text{rank } [R_z, R_w^*] + 1$ .

This conjecture is deeply connected with Guo and Yang’s works [4, 16, 17]. For an invariant subspace  $M$ , in [4] they defined the core operator  $C$  on  $H^2$  associated with  $M$ , and they showed

$$(1.1) \quad C = 1 - R_z R_z^* - R_w R_w^* + R_z R_w R_w^* R_z^*.$$

An invariant subspace  $M$  is said to be Hilbert-Schmidt if its core operator  $C$  is Hilbert-Schmidt. As pointed out in [16], almost all

known examples of invariant subspaces are Hilbert-Schmidt. In [17, Proposition 2.6], Yang showed that if  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, then

$$\text{rank} [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] = \text{rank} [R_z, R_w^*] + 1.$$

In Section 2, we prove that

$$\text{rank} [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] - 2 \leq \text{rank} [S_z, S_w^*] \leq \text{rank} [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z].$$

Combining the above results, we get

$$\text{rank} [R_z, R_w^*] - 1 \leq \text{rank} [S_z, S_w^*] \leq \text{rank} [R_z, R_w^*] + 1.$$

if  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt. We also show that if  $\text{rank} [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] < \infty$ , then  $\text{rank} [R_z, R_w^*] < \infty$  and  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt.

In Section 3, we give some examples concerned with the above inequalities.

For closed subspaces  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , when  $M_1 \subset M_2$ , we write  $M_2 \ominus M_1 = \{f \in M_2 : f \perp M_1\}$ , and when  $M_1 \perp M_2$ ,  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  means the orthogonal sum. For a subset  $E$  of  $H^2$ , we denote by  $\overline{E}$  the norm closure of  $E$ .

**2. Rank of cross commutators.**

**Lemma 2.1.**  $[S_z, S_w^*] = P_N T_w^* P_M T_z|_N$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_z T_w^* = T_w^* T_z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [S_z, S_w^*] &= P_N T_z T_w^*|_N - P_N T_w^* P_M T_z|_N \\ &= P_N (T_z T_w^* - T_w^* (I - P_M) T_z)|_N \\ &= P_N T_w^* P_M T_z|_N. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\overline{P_M T_z N} = (M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in N$  and  $g \in zM$ . Then  $T_z^* g \in M$  and  $\langle P_M T_z f, g \rangle = \langle f, T_z^* g \rangle = 0$ . This shows that  $P_M T_z N \subset M \ominus zM$ . Since  $T_z N \perp M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)$ ,

$$\overline{P_M T_z N} \subset (M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)).$$

Let  $f \in M \ominus zM$ . We have  $T_z^* f \in N$ . If  $f \perp P_M T_z N$ , then  $T_z^* f \perp N$ . Hence  $T_z^* f = 0$ . This shows that  $f \in M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)$ . Thus we get the assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.**

$$\text{rank}[S_z, S_w^*] = \dim T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))).$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$\overline{[S_z, S_w^*]N} = \overline{P_N T_w^*((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)))}.$$

Hence,

$$\text{rank}[S_z, S_w^*] = \dim P_N T_w^*((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))).$$

Let  $F \in (M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))$ . By the Wold decomposition theorem,

$$M = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus (M \ominus wM)w^n.$$

Then we can write

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus F_n w^n, \quad F_n \in M \ominus wM,$$

so

$$T_w^* F = T_w^* F_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus F_n w^{n-1}.$$

Since  $T_w^*(M \ominus wM) \subset N$ , we have

$$T_w^* F_0 \in N \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus F_n w^{n-1} \in M.$$

Thus,

$$P_N T_w^* F = T_w^* F_0 = T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM} F,$$

and we get the assertion.  $\square$

One easily sees the following.

**Lemma 2.4.**

$$[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] = (I - R_w R_w^*)(I - R_z R_z^*) = P_{M \ominus wM} P_{M \ominus zM}.$$

**Theorem 2.5.**

$$\text{rank} [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] - 2 \leq \text{rank} [S_z, S_w^*] \leq \text{rank} [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z].$$

*Proof.* We write  $M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w) = q_1(w)H^2(\Gamma_w)$ , where  $q_1(w)$  is either 0 or an inner function. We have

$$M \ominus zM = ((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))) \oplus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) &= P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{C} \cdot P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1(w)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) &= T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{C} \cdot T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) - 1 &\leq \text{rank} [S_z, S_w^*] \\ &\leq \dim T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM). \end{aligned}$$

If there are no  $f \in P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM)$  with  $f \neq 0$  satisfying  $T_w^* f = 0$ , then we have

$$\dim P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) - 1 \leq \text{rank} [S_z, S_w^*] \leq \dim P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM).$$

Suppose that there is an  $f \in P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM)$  with  $f \neq 0$  satisfying  $T_w^* f = 0$ . Then  $f = f(z) \in M \cap H^2(\Gamma_z)$ . By the Beurling theorem,

$M \cap H^2(\Gamma_z) = q_2(z)H^2(\Gamma_z)$  for an inner function  $q_2(z)$ . There exists a  $G \in M \ominus zM$  such that  $f(z) = P_{M \ominus wM}G$ . We have  $G = f(z) + wG_1$  for some  $G_1 \in M$ , and

$$f(z) = G - wG_1 \in (M \ominus zM) + wM.$$

Note that  $M \ominus zM \perp zq_2(z)H^2(\Gamma_z)$  and  $wM \perp zq_2(z)H^2(\Gamma_z)$ . Hence,  $f(z) \perp zq_2(z)H^2(\Gamma_z)$ . Therefore,  $f(z) = cq_2(z)$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ , and

$$\dim T_w^*P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) = \dim P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) - 1.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) - 2 &\leq \text{rank}[S_z, S_w^*] \\ &\leq \dim P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.4, we get the assertion.  $\square$

As mentioned in the introduction, in [17] Yang showed that if  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, then

$$\text{rank}[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] = \text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] + 1,$$

so that we get the following.

**Corollary 2.6.** *If an invariant subspace  $M$  of  $H^2$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, then*

$$\text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] - 1 \leq \text{rank}[S_z, S_w^*] \leq \text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] + 1.$$

Here we have a question whether it holds that  $\text{rank}[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] < \infty$  if and only if  $\text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] < \infty$ . We have the following.

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $\text{rank}[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] < \infty$ , then  $\text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] < \infty$  and  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] = \text{rank}[R_z^*, R_w]$ . It is not difficult to see that  $[R_z^*, R_w] = 0$  on  $zM$ . Let  $F \in M \ominus zM$ . Then  $T_z^*F \in N$  and

$$[R_z^*, R_w]F = R_z^*R_wF = P_M(\bar{z}wF) = \bar{z}P_{zM}(wF).$$

Hence,

$$(2.1) \quad [R_z^*, R_w]M = \bar{z}P_{zM}(w(M \ominus zM)).$$

Suppose that  $n = \text{rank}[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] < \infty$ . We write

$$[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z]M = \mathbf{C} \cdot A_1 \oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot A_n,$$

where  $A_j \in M \ominus wM$  and  $\|A_j\| = 1$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since  $R_w^*(M \ominus zM) \subset M \ominus zM$ , we can write

$$F = f_1(w)A_1 \oplus f_2(w)A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n(w)A_n,$$

where  $f_j(w) \in H^2(\Gamma_w)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Write

$$(2.2) \quad wF = F_1 \oplus F_2 \in (M \ominus zM) \oplus zM.$$

Also we can write

$$F_1 = g_1(w)A_1 \oplus g_2(w)A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus g_n(w)A_n,$$

where  $g_j(w) \in H^2(\Gamma_w)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Hence,

$$F_2 = (wf_1(w) - g_1(w))A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (wf_n(w) - g_n(w))A_n.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.3) \quad P_{zM}(w(M \ominus zM)) \subset zM \cap (H^2(\Gamma_w)A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^2(\Gamma_w)A_n).$$

We write

$$K = zM \cap (H^2(\Gamma_w)A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^2(\Gamma_w)A_n).$$

Note that  $K$  is a  $T_w$ -invariant closed subspace of  $H^2(\Gamma_w)A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^2(\Gamma_w)A_n$ . By (2.2),  $F = R_w^*F_1 + R_w^*F_2$ . Since  $F, R_w^*F_1 \in M \ominus zM$ , we have  $R_w^*F_2 \in M \ominus zM$ . This, (2.2), and (2.3) show that

$$P_{zM}(w(M \ominus zM)) \subset K \ominus wK.$$

It is known that  $\dim K \ominus wK \leq n$ , see [1, 11]. Therefore, by (2.1),  $\text{rank}[R_z^*, R_w] \leq n < \infty$ .

In the proof of Theorem 2.7 of [17], Yang showed that for the core operator  $C$  defined by (1.1), we have

$$\text{rank } C = \text{rank } [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] + \text{rank } [R_w^*, R_z].$$

Hence  $C$  is of finite rank, so  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *If  $\text{rank } [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] < \infty$ , then*

$$\text{rank } [R_z, R_w^*] - 1 \leq \text{rank } [S_z, S_w^*] \leq \text{rank } [R_z, R_w^*] + 1.$$

Suppose that  $M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w) = q_1(w)H^2(\Gamma_w)$  for an inner function  $q_1(w)$ . By the proof of Theorem 2.5, to give a more exact relation between  $\text{rank } [S_z, S_w^*]$  and  $\text{rank } [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z]$  we need to know whether

$$T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1(w)) \in T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)))$$

holds or not. Here we give some properties concerning with  $T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM} \times (q_1(w))$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *Suppose that  $M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w) = q_1(w)H^2(\Gamma_w)$  for an inner function  $q_1(w)$ . Then we have the following.*

- (i)  $T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1(w)) \neq 0$ , that is,  $P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1(w)) \notin H^2(\Gamma_z)$ .
- (ii) *If there is an  $f(z)$  in  $H^2(\Gamma_z) \cap P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM)$  but which is not contained in  $P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)))$ , then*

$$T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1(w)) \in T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)))$$

and

$$\text{rank } [S_z, S_w^*] = \text{rank } [R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] - 1.$$

- (iii) *If*

$$P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1(w)) \notin P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)))$$

and

$$T_w^*P_{M\ominus wM}(q_1(w)) \in T_w^*P_{M\ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))),$$

then  $H^2(\Gamma_z) \cap P_{M\ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) \neq \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $P_{M\ominus wM}(q_1(w)) \in H^2(\Gamma_z)$ . Then we can write  $q_1(w) = f(z) + wF$ , where  $f(z) \in H^2(\Gamma_z)$  and  $F \in M$ . We have  $T_w^*q_1(w) = F \in M$ . Since  $M \neq H^2$ ,  $q_1(w)$  is nonconstant, and  $T_w^*q_1(w) \notin q_1(w)H^2(\Gamma_w) = M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)$ . This is a contradiction.

(ii) We can write

$$f(z) = cP_{M\ominus wM}(q_1(w)) + f_1,$$

where

$$f_1 \in P_{M\ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))) \quad \text{and} \quad c \neq 0.$$

Since  $T_w^*f(z) = 0$ , we get the assertion.

(iii) There exists an  $F \in P_{M\ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)))$  satisfying  $T_w^*P_{M\ominus wM}(q_1(w)) = T_w^*F$ . Then

$$P_{M\ominus wM}(q_1(w)) - F \in H^2(\Gamma_z) \cap P_{M\ominus wM}(M \ominus zM)$$

and  $P_{M\ominus wM}(q_1(w)) - F \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**3. Examples.** In [4], it is proved that if  $M$  has finite codimension in  $H^2$ , then  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt. Also if  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, then  $\varphi M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt for every inner function  $\varphi$ .

**Example 3.1.** (i) Let  $M_1 = zH^2 + wH^2$  and  $N_1 = H^2 \ominus M_1 = \mathbf{C} \cdot 1$ . Then  $M_1$  is Hilbert-Schmidt,  $[S_z, S_w^*]N_1 = \{0\}$  and  $P_{M_1 \ominus wM_1}(M_1 \ominus zM_1) = \mathbf{C} \cdot z + \mathbf{C} \cdot w$ . Hence,

$$\text{rank} [R_z, R_w^*] - 1 = \text{rank} [S_z, S_w^*] = 0.$$

(ii) Let  $M_2 = wM_1$  and  $N_2 = H^2 \ominus M_2$ . Then  $M_2$  is Hilbert-Schmidt,  $[S_z, S_w^*]N_2 = \mathbf{C} \cdot z$ , and  $P_{M_2 \ominus wM_2}(M_2 \ominus zM_2) = \mathbf{C} \cdot zw + \mathbf{C} \cdot w^2$ . Hence,

$$\text{rank} [R_z, R_w^*] = \text{rank} [S_z, S_w^*] = 1.$$

(iii) Let  $M_3 = zM_2$  and  $N_3 = H^2 \ominus M_3$ . Then  $M_3$  is Hilbert-Schmidt,  $[S_z, S_w^*]N_3 = \mathbf{C} \cdot z^2 + \mathbf{C} \cdot zw$ , and  $P_{M_3 \ominus wM_3}(M_3 \ominus zM_3) = \mathbf{C} \cdot z^2w + \mathbf{C} \cdot zw^2$ . Hence,

$$\text{rank} [R_z, R_w^*] + 1 = \text{rank} [S_z, S_w^*] = 2. \quad \square$$

We give examples  $M$  for which  $M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w) = q_1(w)H^2(\Gamma_w)$  and  $T_w^*P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1(w))$  is (not) contained in

$$T_w^*P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))).$$

**Example 3.2.** (i) For each positive integer  $n$  with  $n \geq 2$ , let  $M$  be the invariant subspace of  $H^2$  generated by  $w^n$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n z^{n-j}w^{j-1}$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^n z^{n-j}w^{j-1} \right) \oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j}w^j \right) \oplus \dots \\ &\oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^2 z^{n-j}w^{j+n-3} \right) \oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot z^{n-1}w^{n-1} \oplus w^n H^2 \\ &\oplus z^n H^2(\Gamma_z) \oplus z^n w H^2(\Gamma_z) \oplus \dots \oplus z^n w^{n-1} H^2(\Gamma_z). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  has finite codimension in  $H^2$ ,  $M$  is Hilbert-Schmidt. Let  $q_1(w)$  be an inner function given in the proof of Theorem 2.5 for  $M$ , then  $q_1(w) = w^n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} M \ominus zM &= \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^n z^{n-j}w^{j-1} \right) \oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j}w^j \right) - (n-1)z^n \right) \\ &\oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} z^{n-j}w^{j+1} \right) - (n-2)z^n w \right) \oplus \dots \\ &\oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot (z^{n-1}w^{n-1} - z^n w^{n-2}) \oplus w^n H^2(\Gamma_w) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
M \ominus wM &= \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^n z^{n-j} w^{j-1} \right) \\
&\oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^j \right) - (n-1)w^n \right) \\
&\oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} z^{n-j} w^{j+1} \right) - (n-2)zw^n \right) \oplus \dots \\
&\oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot (z^{n-1}w^{n-1} - z^{n-2}w^n) \oplus z^n H^2(\Gamma_z).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1) = \frac{1}{n} \left( (n-1)w^n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^j \right)$$

and

$$T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1) = \frac{1}{n} \left( (n-1)w^{n-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^{j-1} \right).$$

We have

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^j \right) - (n-1)z^n \in (M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w)),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{M \ominus wM} \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^j \right) - (n-1)z^n \right) \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^j \right) - \frac{n-1}{n} w^n - (n-1)z^n,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM} \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^j \right) - (n-1)z^n \right) \\
= \frac{1}{n} \left( - (n-1)w^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^{j-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1) \notin P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))),$$

$$T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}(q_1) \in T_w^* P_{M \ominus wM}((M \ominus zM) \ominus (M \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))),$$

and

$$H^2(\Gamma_z) \cap P_{M \ominus wM}(M \ominus zM) \neq \{0\}.$$

In this case, we have  $\text{rank}[S_z, S_w^*] = n$  and

$$\text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] + 1 = \text{rank}[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] = n + 1.$$

(ii) Let  $M' = wM \oplus z^n H^2(\Gamma_z)$ . In this case,  $q_1(w) = w^{n+1}$  and

$$P_{M' \ominus wM'}(q_1) = \frac{1}{n} \left( (n-1)w^{n+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^{j+1} \right).$$

We also have

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^{j+1} \right) - (n-1)z^n w \in (M' \ominus zM') \ominus (M' \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))$$

and

$$P_{M' \ominus wM'} \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^{j+1} \right) - (n-1)z^n w \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z^{n-j} w^{j+1} \right) - \frac{n-1}{n} w^{n+1} = -P_{M' \ominus wM'}(q_1).$$

Hence,

$$P_{M' \ominus wM'}(q_1) \in P_{M' \ominus wM'}((M' \ominus zM') \ominus (M' \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))).$$

Note that  $\text{rank}[S_z, S_w^*] = n$ . Since  $M'$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, we have

$$\text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] + 1 = \text{rank}[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] = n + 1.$$

(iii) Let  $M'' = zM \oplus w^n H^2(\Gamma_w)$ . In this case,  $q_1(w) = w^n$  and  $P_{M'' \ominus wM''}(q_1) = q_1$ . We have

$$T_w^* q_1 = w^{n-1} \notin T_w^* P_{M'' \ominus wM''}((M'' \ominus zM'') \ominus (M'' \cap H^2(\Gamma_w))).$$

Note that  $H^2(\Gamma_z) \cap P_{M'' \ominus wM''}(M'' \ominus zM'') = \{0\}$ ,  $\text{rank}[S_z, S_w^*] = n$ , and

$$\text{rank}[R_z, R_w^*] + 1 = \text{rank}[R_w^*, R_w][R_z^*, R_z] = n + 1. \quad \square$$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to express hearty thanks to the referee for a lot of suggestions to improve the original manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Bercovici, *Operator theory and arithmetic in  $H^\infty$* , Math. Surv. Mono. **26**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
2. A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Math. **81** (1949), 239–255.
3. X. Chen and K. Guo, *Analytic Hilbert modules*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003.
4. K. Guo and R. Yang, *The core function of submodules over the bidisk*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004), 205–222.
5. K.J. Izuchi and K.H. Izuchi, *Cross commutators on backward shift invariant subspaces over the bidisk*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **72** (2006), 251–270.
6. ———, *Rank one commutators on invariant subspaces of the Hardy space on the bidisk II*, J. Operator Theory **60** (2008), 239–251.
7. ———, *Rank-one cross commutators on backward shift invariant subspaces over the bidisk*, Acta Math. Sinica (English Ser.) **25** (2009), 693–714.
8. K.J. Izuchi and K.H. Keiji, *Rank one commutators on invariant subspaces of the Hardy space on the bidisk*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **316** (2006), 1–8.
9. K.J. Izuchi, T. Nakazi and M. Seto, *Backward shift invariant subspaces in the bidisc II*, J. Operator Theory **51** (2004), 377–385.
10. V. Mandrekar, *The validity of Beurling theorems in polydiscs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 145–148.
11. N.K. Nikol'skiĭ, *Treatise on the shift operator*, Springer, New York, 1986.
12. W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
13. R. Yang, *The Berger-Shaw theorem in the Hardy module over the bidisk*, J. Operator theory **42** (1999), 379–404.

14. R. Yang, *Operator theory in the Hardy space over the bidisk* (III), J. Functional Anal. **186** (2001), 521–545.
15. ———, *On two-variable Jordan blocks II*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **56** (2006), 431–449.
16. ———, *Hilbert-Schmidt submodules and issues of unitary equivalence*, J. Operator Theory **53** (2005), 169–184.
17. ———, *The core operator and congruent submodules*, J. Functional Anal. **228** (2005), 469–489.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN  
**Email address:** [izuchi@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp](mailto:izuchi@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 136-701, KOREA  
**Email address:** [kh.izuchi@gmail.com](mailto:kh.izuchi@gmail.com)