

THE \mathbf{cd} -INDEX OF THE POSET OF INTERVALS AND E_t -CONSTRUCTION

DUŠKO JOJIĆ

ABSTRACT. Given a graded poset P , let $I(P)$ denote the associated poset of intervals and $E_t(P)$ the poset obtained from P by the E_t -construction of Paffenholz and Ziegler [7]. We analyze how the \mathbf{ab} -index behaves under those operations and prove that its change is expressed in terms of certain, quite explicit, recursively defined linear operators. If the poset P is Eulerian, the recursive relations for those linear operators are interpreted inside the coalgebra spanned by \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{d} . We use these relations to prove that the \mathbf{cd} -index of the dual of the poset of intervals of the simplest Eulerian poset is the same as the \mathbf{cd} -index of appropriate Tchebyshev poset defined by Heteyi in [5].

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, we will consider graded posets with rank function r . We refer to [8] as a good general reference for the poset terminology. For a poset P of rank $n + 1$ and $S \subseteq [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $f_S(P)$ denote the number of chains $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{|S|}$ such that $S = \{r(x_1), r(x_2), \dots, r(x_{|S|})\}$. The sequence $(f_S(P))_{S \subseteq [n]}$ is called the *flag f -vector* of P .

The flag f -vector of P can be encoded as a homogenous noncommutative polynomial in the variables \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} . Let P be a poset of rank $n + 1$. To every chain

$$c = \{\hat{0} < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_k < \hat{1}\}$$

of P we associate a *weight* $\text{wt}(c) = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$ where

$$w_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{b} & \text{if } i \in \{r(x_1), r(x_2), \dots, r(x_k)\}; \\ \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 06A07, 05E99.

Keywords and phrases. \mathbf{ab} -index, \mathbf{cd} -index, Eulerian posets, poset of intervals, E_t -construction, Tchebyshev posets.

Received by the editors on May 29, 2006, and in revised form on October 15, 2007.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2010-40-2-527 Copyright ©2010 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Now, the **ab**-index of P is defined as

$$(1) \quad \Psi_P = \sum_{c \text{ chain in } P} \text{wt}(c).$$

A finite graded poset is *Eulerian* if every interval of rank at least one contains as many elements of even rank as of odd rank. The face lattices of polytopes, and more generally, of regular CW -spheres are Eulerian. The linear span of the flag f -vectors of all polytopes (and all Eulerian posets) is described by generalized Dehn-Sommerville equations ([1, Theorem 2.1]).

Bayer and Klapper proved in [2] that the **ab**-index of an Eulerian poset can be written as a polynomial in the variables $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}$. This polynomial Φ_P is called the **cd**-index, and this is the most efficient way to encode the flag f -vector of Eulerian posets.

Ehrenborg and Readdy in [4] used some coalgebra techniques to determine the changes of the **cd**-index of a polytope (more generally, of an Eulerian poset) under certain geometric operations, such as taking a pyramid or prism.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the vector space over \mathbf{Q} spanned by all isomorphism types of graded posets. If \overline{P} denotes the isomorphism type of P , the coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ is defined on generators by

$$\Delta(\overline{P}) = \sum_{\hat{0} < x < \hat{1}} \overline{[\hat{0}, x]} \otimes \overline{[x, \hat{1}]}.$$

Using the Sweedler notation [9], we write $\Delta(\overline{P}) = \sum_P P_{(1)} \otimes P_{(2)}$. The above-defined coproduct is coassociative because it satisfies $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$. Therefore, we can define $\Delta^{k+1} = (\Delta^k \circ \text{id}) \circ \Delta$. Note that $\Delta^2 = \Delta$, and using Sweedler notation we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^k(\overline{P}) &= \sum_{\hat{0} = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = \hat{1}} \overline{[x_0, x_1]} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{[x_{k-1}, x_k]} \\ &= \sum_P P_{(1)} \otimes P_{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes P_{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

There is a natural coproduct Δ on the algebra $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$. For an **ab**-monomial $u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$, let $\Delta(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_1 \dots u_{i-1} \otimes u_{i+1} \dots u_n$,

and extend Δ by linearity to $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$. Obviously, Δ is coassociative and $\Delta^k(u) = 0$ when the degree of an **ab**-monomial u is less than k .

Proposition 1 [4]. *The **ab**-index of posets is a coalgebra homomorphism. That is, for a poset P we have*

$$\Delta(\Psi_P) = \sum_{\hat{0} < x < \hat{1}} \Psi_{[\hat{0}, x]} \otimes \Psi_{[x, \hat{1}]}.$$

From the above proposition and from coassociativity of the coproducts it follows that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\hat{0}=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k=\hat{1}} f_1(\Psi_{[x_0, x_1]}) \cdots f_k(\Psi_{[x_{k-1}, x_k]}) \\ = \sum_{\Psi_P} f_1(\Psi_{P_{(1)}}) \cdots f_k(\Psi_{P_{(k)}})$$

for any linear maps f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k on the algebra $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$.

Since $\Delta(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) = 2 \cdot 1 \otimes 1$ and $\Delta(\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) = \mathbf{c} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{c}$ it follows that $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ is closed under the coproduct Δ . Also, if \mathcal{E} denotes the subspace of \mathcal{P} spanned by all isomorphism types of Eulerian posets, it is easy to see that \mathcal{E} is closed under the coproduct. The **cd**-index is a coalgebra homomorphism between \mathcal{E} and $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$.

In [3] the following lemma is stated

Lemma 2 [3]. *The linear map $\Psi : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathbf{Z}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ is surjective.*

If P is the face lattice of a polytope V , then $P \times B_1$ is the face lattice of the pyramid over V . So, for a poset P we can define $\text{Pyr}(P) = P \times B_1$.

Proposition 3 [4]. *Let P be a graded poset. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{P \times B_1} &= \Psi_P \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \Psi_P + \sum_{\Psi_P} \Psi_{P_{(1)}} \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot \Psi_{P_{(2)}} \\ &= \Psi_P \cdot \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a} \cdot \Psi_P + \sum_{\Psi_P} \Psi_{P_{(1)}} \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot \Psi_{P_{(2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

The above proposition defines the linear map $\text{Pyr} : \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pyr}(u) &= u \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \cdot u + \sum_u u_{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u_{(2)} \\ (3) \quad &= u \cdot \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a} \cdot u + \sum_u u_{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and for any polytope (poset) P we have that $\Psi_{\text{Pyr} P} = \text{Pyr}(\Psi_P)$. Also, in [4], it is proven that the map Pyr can be described by

$$(4) \quad \text{Pyr}(u) = u \cdot (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) + G(u),$$

where the derivation G is defined on the generators of the algebra $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ by $G(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{ba}$ and $G(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{ab}$. Since $G(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{d}$ and $G(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{cd}$ holds, the restriction of the map Pyr on $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ is described with the formulae (4).

The \mathbf{ab} -index also has a product structure. For two posets P and Q we have that $\Psi_{P*Q} = \Psi_P \cdot \Psi_Q$. If we denote with H_{n+1} the finite ladder poset of rank $n+1$, i.e., H_{n+1} is the star product of n copies of B_2 , then $\Phi_{H_{n+1}} = \mathbf{c}^n$.

The *star involution* is defined on $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ such that it reads \mathbf{ab} -polynomials backwards. For $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ we have $u^* = u_n u_{n-1} \cdots u_1$. If P^* denotes the dual poset of P , then we have that $\Psi_{P^*} = \Psi_P^*$.

The diamond product on posets is defined by

$$P \diamond Q = (P \setminus \{\hat{0}\}) \times (Q \setminus \{\hat{0}\}) \cup \{\hat{0}\}.$$

This product corresponds to the Cartesian product of polytopes, and therefore for a poset P we define $\text{Prism}(P) = P \diamond B_2$. In [4] the linear map $\text{Prism} : \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ is defined by

$$\text{Prism}(u) = u \cdot (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) + \sum_u u_{(1)} \cdot (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot u_{(2)},$$

and it is proven that $\Psi_{\text{Prism}(P)} = \text{Prism}(\Psi_P)$ holds for any poset P .

2. The interval poset. The *interval poset* $I(P)$ of a graded poset P is the set of all closed intervals of P ordered by containment:

$$[x, y] \leq [x', y'] \text{ in } I(P) \text{ if and only if } x' \leq x \leq y \leq y' \text{ in } P.$$

We also adjoin the empty interval to $I(P)$ as the minimal element.

Lindström in [6] noted that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the interval poset of the Boolean lattice B_n (the face lattice of an $(n-1)$ -simplex) is the face lattice of an n -cube, i.e., $I(L(\Delta_{n-1})) \cong L(C_n)$. Also, in [6] he asked whether it is true for every polytope V that there exists a polytope W such that $I(L(V)) \cong L(W)$.

Proposition 4. (i) For any poset P we have that $I(P) \cong I(P^*)$.

(ii) Intervals in the poset $I(P)$ have the following form

$$[[x, y], [x', y']]_{I(P)} \cong [x', x]^* \times [y, y'], \quad [\hat{0}, [x, y]]_{I(P)} \cong I([x, y]).$$

(iii) Let P be a graded poset of rank n . Then $I(P)$ is a graded poset of rank $n+1$ and $r([x, y]) = r(y) - r(x) + 1$. Also, the f -vector of $I(P)$ is

$$f_i(I(P)) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-i+1} f_{\{j, j+i-1\}}(P).$$

(iv) For any poset P we have that

$$(5) \quad I(P \times B_1) \cong I(P) \diamond B_2.$$

Proof. Obviously, statements (i)–(iii) follow directly from the definition of $I(P)$.

We define $F : I(P \times B_1) \rightarrow I(P) \diamond B_2$ by $F([(x, p), (y, q)]) = ([x, y], r)$, where

$$r = \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } p = q = \emptyset, \\ \{2\} & \text{if } p = q = 1, \\ \{1, 2\} & \text{if } p \neq q. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that F is an isomorphism. \square

If V and W are polytopes such that $I(L(V)) \cong L(W)$, then (as a special case of (5)) we have that $I(L(\text{Pyr}(V))) \cong L(\text{Prism}(W))$.

Now, we wish to express the **ab**-index of $I(P)$ in terms of Ψ_P .

Proposition 5. *There exists a linear map $\mathcal{I} : \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ such that for any graded poset P the identity $\Psi_{I(P)} = \mathcal{I}(\Psi_P)$ holds.*

Proof. We say that a chain

$$C = \{\hat{0} = \emptyset < [x_0, y_0] < [x_1, y_1] < \cdots < [x_r, y_r] < [\hat{0}_P, \hat{1}_P] = \hat{1}\}$$

in $I(P)$ corresponds to a chain c in P if and only if the multi-chain

$$\hat{0}_P \leq x_r \leq x_{r-1} \leq \cdots \leq x_0 \leq y_0 \leq y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \cdots \leq y_r \leq \hat{1}_P$$

in P contains exactly those elements which appear in c . Note that for every chain C in $I(P)$ there exists the unique chain in P which corresponds with C . For a chain c in P we denote $S(c) = \{C \text{ chain in } I(P) : C \text{ corresponds with } c\}$. Obviously, $\{S(c) : c \text{ chain in } P\}$ is a partition of the set of all chains in $I(P)$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\Psi_{I(P)} = \sum_{C \text{ chain in } I(P)} \text{wt}(C) = \sum_{c \text{ chain in } P} \sum_{C \in S(c)} \text{wt}(C).$$

Further, if two chains c and c' have the same contribution to Ψ_P , i.e., $\text{wt}(c) = \text{wt}(c')$, then there exists an obvious bijection between the sets $S(c)$ and $S(c')$ which preserves the weights of chains. So, with

$$\mathcal{I}(\text{wt}(c)) = \sum_{C \in S(c)} \text{wt}(C)$$

a linear map is defined which satisfies the statement of the proposition. \square

Now, we describe recursive relations for \mathcal{I} . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{I}(1) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$.

Theorem 6. *For any \mathbf{ab} -monomial u the following formulas hold:*

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{I}(u \cdot \mathbf{a}) = \mathcal{I}(u) \cdot \mathbf{a} + (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot u^* + \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u_{(1)}^*,$$

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{I}(u \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \mathcal{I}(u) \cdot \mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot u^* + \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(1)}^*.$$

Proof. For an arbitrary poset P , we consider a new poset \overline{P} obtained from P by adding a new element $\overline{1}$ such that $x < \overline{1}$ for all $x \in P$. Obviously, we have that $\Psi_{\overline{P}} = \Psi_P \cdot \mathbf{a}$.

We divide all chains of $I(\overline{P})$ into four sets:

1. Chains in which intervals of $I(\overline{P})$ containing $\overline{1}$ do not appear. These are exactly the "old" chains of $I(P)$ which may end with $[\hat{0}, \hat{1}]$ (but not necessarily). From relation (1) it follows that the contribution of all such chains to $\Psi_{I(\overline{P})}$ is exactly $\Psi_{I(P)} \cdot \mathbf{a}$.

2. Chains in $I(\overline{P})$ which begin with $[\overline{1}, \overline{1}]$. All such chains may contain the interval $[\hat{1}, \overline{1}]$ (but not necessarily). From (ii) of Proposition 4, we obtain that the contribution of all such chains to $\Psi_{I(\overline{P})}$ is

$$\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \cdot \sum_{c \text{ chain in } P} \text{wt}(c)^* + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \sum_{c \text{ chain in } P} \text{wt}(c)^* = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{a} \cdot \Psi_P^*.$$

3. Chains which contain $[\hat{1}, \overline{1}]$ but do not contain $[\overline{1}, \overline{1}]$. These chains may (but again, may not) begin with $[\hat{1}, \hat{1}]$ and their contribution to $\Psi_{I(\overline{P})}$ is

$$\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \sum_{c \text{ chain in } P} \text{wt}(c)^* + (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \sum_{c \text{ chain in } P} \text{wt}(c)^* = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} \cdot \Psi_P^*.$$

4. Chains in which $\overline{1}$ appears for the first time in the interval $[x, \overline{1}]$ (for an $x \in P$, $x \neq \hat{1}$, $x \neq \hat{0}$). Such chains may contain $[x, \hat{1}]$ (but not necessarily).

From (ii) of Proposition 4 we have that $[\emptyset, [x, \hat{1}]]_{I(\overline{P})} \cong I([x, \hat{1}]_P)$ and $[[x, \overline{1}], [\hat{0}, \overline{1}]] \cong [\hat{0}, x]_P^*$. So, the contribution of all such chains to $\Psi_{I(\overline{P})}$ is exactly

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \in P \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}} \sum_{c \text{ chain in } I([x, \hat{1}])} \sum_{c' \text{ chain in } [0, x]} \text{wt}(c) \cdot \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} \cdot \text{wt}(c')^* \\ &= \sum_{x \in P \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}} \mathcal{I}(\Psi_{[x, \hat{1}]}) \cdot \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} \cdot \Psi_{[x, \hat{0}]}^* = \sum_{\Psi_P} \mathcal{I}(\Psi_{P_{(2)}}) \cdot \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} \cdot \Psi_{P_{(1)}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation above follows from relation (2).

So, by adding the weights of all chains of $I(\overline{P})$ we obtain that (6) holds when u is the **ab**-index of some poset P . From the linearity of \mathcal{I} and Lemma 2 it follows that (6) holds for any **ab**-monomial u .

In order to prove the formula (7), for an arbitrary poset P we shall consider the poset $P' = P * B_2$. Let us denote two coatoms of P' with $1''$ and $1'''$, and the maximal element of P' with $\mathbf{1}$. Obviously,

$$\mathcal{I}(\Psi_{P'}) = \mathcal{I}(\Psi_P \cdot (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})) = \mathcal{I}(\Psi_P \cdot \mathbf{a}) + \mathcal{I}(\Psi_P \cdot \mathbf{b}).$$

Chains in $I(P')$ in which $1''$ does not appear are in bijection with chains of \overline{P} , and their contributions to $\Psi_{I(P')}$ are exactly $\mathcal{I}(\Psi_P \cdot \mathbf{a})$. So, we have that $\mathcal{I}(\Psi_P \cdot \mathbf{b})$ is equal to the contribution of chains of $I(P')$ in which $1''$ appears. Again, we divide the set of all such chains of $I(P')$ into four parts:

1. Chains in which $1''$ appears only at the end (in the interval $[\hat{0}, 1'']$). These are exactly the old chains of $I(P)$ with added $[\hat{0}, 1'']$. Their contribution to $\Psi_{I(P')}$ is exactly $\mathcal{I}(\Psi_P) \cdot \mathbf{b}$.

2. Chains which contain $[1'', \mathbf{1}]$, but do not contain $[1'', 1''']$. All such chains may begin with the interval $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}]$ (but not necessarily). The contribution of all such chains to $\Psi_{I(P')}$ is $\mathbf{ab} \cdot \Psi_P^*$.

3. Chains in which $1'''$ appears for the last time in an interval $[x, 1''']$ (for an $x \in P \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$). Any such chain may contain $[x, \mathbf{1}]$ (but not necessarily). By using (ii) of Proposition 4 we obtain that the contribution of all such chains to $\Psi_{I(P')}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \in P \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}} \sum_{c \text{ chains in } I([x, \hat{1}])} \sum_{c' \text{ chains in } [\hat{0}, x]} wt(c) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot wt(c')^* \\ &= \sum_{x \in P \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}} \mathcal{I}(\Psi_{[x, \hat{1}]}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot \Psi_{[x, \hat{0}]}^* = \sum_{\Psi_P} \mathcal{I}(\Psi_{P_{(2)}}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot \Psi_{P_{(1)}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

4. Chains in $I(P')$ which contain $[1'', 1''']$ but do not contain $[x, 1''']$ (for all $x \in P$). All such chains may (but again, may not) contain $[1'', \mathbf{1}]$, and their contribution to $\Psi_{I(P')}$ is $\mathbf{ba} \cdot \Psi_P^*$.

By adding all the obtained contributions we can conclude that the formula (7) holds when u is the **ab**-index of a poset. Using the same argument as before we obtain that (7) is true for any **ab**-monomial u . \square

From (i) and (iv) of Proposition 4 we obtain that

$$\mathcal{I}(\Psi_{P^*}) = \mathcal{I}(\Psi_P) \text{ and } \mathcal{I}(\text{Pyr}(\Psi_P)) = \text{Prism}(\mathcal{I}(\Psi_P))$$

holds for any graded poset P . Lemma 2 and the linearity of \mathcal{I} provide that the above formulas hold for any **ab**-monomial u . Further, from the previous theorem, we obtain that the operator \mathcal{I} commutes with the “bar” involution (which interchanges variables **a** and **b**), i.e., for any **ab**-monomial u we have that $\mathcal{I}(\bar{u}) = \overline{\mathcal{I}(u)}$.

From (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 4, it is easy to see that the operation $P \mapsto I(P)$ preserves the property of being Eulerian. Therefore, for an Eulerian poset P we have that $\Phi_{I(P)} = \mathcal{I}(\Phi_P)$.

The recursive relations for the operator \mathcal{I} inside the algebra $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ are described with the following

Corollary 7. *Let u be a **cd**-monomial. Then*

$$\mathcal{I}(u \cdot \mathbf{c}) = \mathcal{I}(u) \cdot \mathbf{c} + 2\mathbf{d} \cdot u^* + \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot u_{(1)}^*,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(u \cdot \mathbf{d}) &= \mathcal{I}(u) \cdot \mathbf{d} + (\mathbf{dc} + \mathbf{cd}) \cdot u^* + \mathbf{d} \cdot u^* \cdot \mathbf{c} \\ &\quad + \sum_u \left(\mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \text{Pyr}(u_{(1)}^*) + \mathbf{d} \cdot u_{(2)}^* \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first relation is easy to obtain by adding (6) and (7).

From (7) it follows that

$$\mathcal{I}(u \cdot \mathbf{ab}) = \mathcal{I}(ua) \cdot \mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot \mathbf{a}u^* + \sum_{ua} \mathcal{I}((ua)_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot (ua)_{(1)}^*.$$

As we have that $\Delta(ua) = u \otimes 1 + \sum_u u_{(1)} \otimes u_{(2)} a$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{ua} \mathcal{I}((ua)_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot (ua)_{(1)}^* &= (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u^* + \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)} a) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \\
 &= \text{applying relation (6)} \\
 &= (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u^* + \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{aba} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \\
 &\quad + \sum_u (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot u_{(2)}^* \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \\
 &\quad + \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(3)}) \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u_{(2)}^* \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(1)}^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the above relation and (6) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}(uab) &= \mathcal{I}(u) \cdot \mathbf{ab} + (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot u^* \cdot \mathbf{b} \\
 (8) \quad &+ \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \cdot \mathbf{b} \\
 &+ (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot \mathbf{a} \cdot u^* + (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u^* \\
 &+ \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \\
 &+ \sum_u (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot u_{(2)}^* \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \\
 &+ \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(3)}) \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u_{(2)}^* \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(1)}^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by applying (6) and (7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}(uba) &= \mathcal{I}(u) \cdot \mathbf{ba} + (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot u^* \cdot \mathbf{a} \\
 (9) \quad &+ \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \cdot \mathbf{a} \\
 &+ (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot u^* + (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u^* \\
 &+ \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u_{(1)}^* + \sum_u (\mathbf{ab} + \mathbf{ba}) \cdot u_{(2)}^* \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u_{(1)}^* \\
 &+ \sum_u \mathcal{I}(u_{(3)}) \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot u_{(2)}^* \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot u_{(1)}^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by adding relations (8) and (9), and by using Proposition 1 and relation (3), we obtain the second formulae of the corollary. \square

Hetyei in [5] introduced general Tchebyshev posets as follows:

For a locally finite poset Q , let $T(Q)$ denote the set of all ordered pairs $(x, y) \in Q \times Q$ satisfying $x < y$, and we define $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ when $y_1 < x_2$ or $x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 < y_2$.

Let \mathbf{P}^\pm denote the poset $-1 < 1 < -2, 2 < -3, 3 < \dots < \dots$. Pairs of elements separated with a comma are considered incomparable.

Definition 8. The Tchebyshev poset T_n is the interval $[(-1, 1), -(n+1), -(n+2)]$ in $T(\mathbf{P}^\pm)$.

One of the most interesting properties of the posets T_n is that the n th Tchebyshev polynomial arises from the **cd**-index upon evaluating Φ_{T_n} at $\mathbf{c} = x$, $\mathbf{d} = (x^2 - 1)/2$. For a **cd**-monomial w and a **cd**-polynomial Φ , let $[w]_\Phi$ denote the coefficient of w in Φ . In [5, Theorem 7.1], the following is proved

$$(10) \quad [\mathbf{c}^{k_1} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_2} \mathbf{d} \dots \mathbf{c}^{k_r} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_{r+1}}]_{\Phi_{T_{n+1}}} = 2^r (k_1 + 1)(k_2 + 1) \dots (k_r + 1).$$

Theorem 9. The poset $I(H_{n+1})^*$ and the Tchebyshev poset T_{n+2} have the same **cd**-index.

Proof. From Corollary 7 we obtain

$$(11) \quad \Phi_{I(H_{n+1})} = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{c}^n) = \Phi_{I(H_n)} \cdot \mathbf{c} + 2\mathbf{d}\mathbf{c}^n + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Phi_{I(H_j)} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{c}^{n-1-j}.$$

Using induction, we can prove that the coefficient of the **cd**-monomial $w = \mathbf{c}^{k_1} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_2} \mathbf{d} \dots \mathbf{c}^{k_r} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_{r+1}}$ in $\Phi_{I(H_{n+1})}$ is

$$(12) \quad [w]_{\Phi_{I(H_{n+1})}} = 2^r (k_{r+1} + 1)(k_r + 1) \dots (k_2 + 1).$$

When $k_{r+1} > 0$, from (11) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [w]_{\Phi_{I(H_{n+1})}} &= \left[\mathbf{c}^{k_1} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_2} \mathbf{d} \cdots \mathbf{c}^{k_r} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_{r+1}-1} \right]_{\Phi_{I(H_n)}} \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot [\mathbf{c}^{k_1} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}_2^k \mathbf{d} \cdots \mathbf{c}^{k_r}]_{\Phi_{I(H_{n-1-k_{r+1}})}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, from the inductive assumption we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [w]_{\Phi_{I(H_{n+1})}} &= 2^r k_{r+1} (k_r + 1) \cdots (k_2 + 1) \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot 2^{r-1} (k_r + 1) (k_{r-1} + 1) \cdots (k_2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

and (12) holds. The proof of relation (12) is similar if $k_{r+1} = 0$. Now, the statement of the theorem follows from (10). \square

Although posets T_{n+1} and $I(H_n)^*$ have the same **cd**-index, for $n > 2$ they are not combinatorially equivalent. Note that in T_{n+1} there is no element of rank 2 which covers $[-1, -2]$ and $[1, 2]$ simultaneously, while any two elements of $I(H_n)$ of rank n have at least one element of rank $n - 1$ which is covered by both of them.

Remark 10. Using the same ideas as in [5, Section 4], we can prove that the order complex of the unsigned Tchebyshev poset U_n (without the maximal element) and the order complex of the poset of intervals of the poset $1 < 2 < \cdots < (n + 1)$ (without the minimal element) are the same triangulation of the n -simplex.

Let Γ be a geometric realization of the order complex of $\Delta(P \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\})$. The geometric realization of $\Delta(I(P) \setminus \{\hat{0}_{I(P)}, \hat{1}_{I(P)}\})$ induces a triangulation of the suspension of Γ . As a consequence, it follows that the order complex $\Delta(I(H_{n-1}) \setminus \{(\hat{0}, \hat{1})\})$ gives us the same triangulation of the n dimensional cross-polytope as the order complex $\Delta(T_n \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\})$.

3. E_t -construction for posets. Paffenholz and Ziegler define in [7] the E_t -construction for posets as follows:

Let P be a graded poset of rank $d + 1$ with a rank function r . For an integer $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, d - 1\}$, let $E_t(P)$ denote the set

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x, x) : x \in P, r(x) = t + 1\} \cup \{(y, z) : \exists x \in P, r(x) = t + 1, y < x < z\} \\ \cup \{\emptyset\} \end{aligned}$$

ordered by reversed inclusion. For example, $E_t(B_n)$ is combinatorially equivalent with the dual of the face lattice of the hypersimplex $\Delta_{n-1}(t+1)$. Note that $E_t(P)$ is also a graded poset of rank $d+1$. For any graded poset P we have that

$$(13) \quad E_{r(P)-1}(P) \cong P, \quad E_0(P) \cong P^*, \quad E_t(P) \cong E_{r(P)-t-1}(P^*).$$

Now, we are looking for linear maps $\mathcal{E}_t : \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ such that $\Psi_{E_t(P)} = \mathcal{E}_t(\Psi_P)$ holds for any graded poset P . The existence of such maps can be proven in the same way as in Proposition 5.

From Lemma 2 and relation (13) we have that for all **ab**-monomials the following holds:

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{E}_{|u|-1}(u) = u, \quad \mathcal{E}_0(u) = u^* \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_t(u) = \mathcal{E}_{|u|-t-1}(u^*),$$

where $|u|$ denotes the degree of monomial u . Using the same ideas as in the previous section we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 11. *Let u be an **ab**-monomial. Then, for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, |u| - 2$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_t(u \cdot \mathbf{a}) &= \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathcal{E}_t(u) + \sum_{u, |u_{(1)}| < t} u_{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{ba} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{t-1-|u_{(1)}|}(u_{(2)}), \\ \mathcal{E}_t(u \cdot \mathbf{b}) &= \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathcal{E}_t(u) + \sum_{u, |u_{(1)}| < t} u_{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{ab} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{t-1-|u_{(1)}|}(u_{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above sums only those summands of $\Delta(u)$ appear in which the degree of $u_{(1)}$ is lower than t .

If P is an Eulerian poset, then $E_t(P)$ is also Eulerian ([7, Theorem 1.4]). In that case, the computation of the **cd**-index of $E_t(P)$ is described by

Corollary 12. *Let u be a **cd**-monomial. Then, for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, |u| - 2$:*

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{E}_t(u \cdot \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathcal{E}_t(u) + \sum_{u, |u_{(1)}| < t} u_{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{t-1-|u_{(1)}|}(u_{(2)}).$$

Also, for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, |u| - 3$, we have that

$$\mathcal{E}_t(u \cdot \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathcal{E}_t(u) + \sum_{u, |u_{(1)}| < t} \text{Pyr}(u_{(1)}) \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{t-1-|u_{(1)}|}(u_{(2)}).$$

From relation (14) it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{|u|-2}(u \cdot \mathbf{d}) = \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{d} \cdot u^*)$, which completes the recursive relations for operators \mathcal{E}_t inside the coalgebra $\mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$.

The above formulas seem overly complicated, and we cannot express the coefficients of $\mathcal{E}_t(\mathbf{c}^n)$ (as we did in the proof of Theorem 9 for $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{c}^n)$). But, if we define an operator $\mathcal{X} : \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ with $\mathcal{X}(u) = \mathcal{E}_0(u) + \mathcal{E}_1(u) + \dots + \mathcal{E}_{|u|-1}(u)$, we have the following

Theorem 13. *Let $w = \mathbf{c}^{k_1} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_2} \mathbf{d} \dots \mathbf{c}^{k_r} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_{r+1}}$ be a \mathbf{cd} -monomial of degree n . Then the coefficient of w in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{c}^n)$ is*

$$2^r (k_1 + 1)(k_2 + 1) \dots (k_r + 1) k_{r+1}.$$

Proof. We apply relation (15) and obtain

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{c}^n) = \mathbf{c}^n + \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{c}^{n-1}) + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-3} \mathbf{c}^j \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{c}^{n-j-2}).$$

The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 9. \square

For two posets P and Q of the same rank $n + 1$ we define

$$P \circ Q = (P \setminus \{\hat{0}_P, \hat{1}_P\}) \cup (Q \setminus \{\hat{0}_Q, \hat{1}_Q\}) \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}.$$

When P and Q are Eulerian with an odd rank $2k + 1$, then $P \circ Q$ is Eulerian, and $\Phi_{P \circ Q} = \Phi_P + \Phi_Q - (\mathbf{c}^2 - 2\mathbf{d})^k$, see [4]. For $n = 2k + 1$, we define the poset X_n as follows

$$X_n = E_0(H_n) + E_1(H_n) + \dots + E_{n-1}(H_n).$$

Corollary 14. *Let n be an odd positive integer. Then the coefficient of the **cd**-monomial $w = \mathbf{c}^{k_1} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_2} \mathbf{d} \cdots \mathbf{c}^{k_r} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{c}^{k_{r+1}}$ of degree $n-1$ in Φ_{X_n} is*

$$[w] = \begin{cases} 2^r (k_1 + 1)(k_2 + 1) \cdots (k_r + 1)k_{r+1} - (-2)^r (n-1) & \text{if all } k_i \text{ are even;} \\ 2^r (k_1 + 1)(k_2 + 1) \cdots (k_r + 1)k_{r+1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From [7, Theorem 2.1], we can conclude that the order complexes $\Delta(E_t(H_n))$ give us the subdivisions of the cross-polytope, which differs from the subdivisions described in [5, Section 4] and Remark 10.

REFERENCES

1. M. Bayer and L. Billera, *Generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations for polytopes, spheres and Eulerian partially ordered sets*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 143–157.
2. M. Bayer and A. Klapper, *A new index for polytopes*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **6** (1991), 33–47.
3. R. Ehrenborg and H. Fox, *Inequalities for **cd**-indices of joins and products of polytopes*, Combinatorica **23** (2003), 427–452.
4. R. Ehrenborg and M. Readdy, *Coproducts and the **cd**-index*, J. Algebraic Combin. **8** (1998), 273–299.
5. G. Hetyei, *Tchebyshev posets*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **32** (2004), 493–520.
6. B. Lindström, *Problem P 73*, Aequat. Math. **6** (1971), 113.
7. A. Paffenholz and G. Ziegler, *The E_t -construction for lattices, spheres and polytopes*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **32** (2004), 601–621.
8. R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics*, Vol. I, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, 1986.
9. M. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BANJA LUKA 78 000 BANJA LUKA, BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Email address: `ducci68@blic.net`