

CAMPBELL'S CONJECTURE ON A  
MAJORIZATION-SUBORDINATION RESULT  
FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS

ROGER W. BARNARD AND CHARLES N. KELLOGG

Let  $S$  denote the set of all normalized analytic univalent functions  $f$ ,  $f(z) = z + \dots$ , in the open unit disc  $U$ . Let  $f$ ,  $F$ , and  $w$  be analytic in  $|z| < r$ . We say that  $f$  is majorized by  $F$ ,  $f \ll F$ , in  $|z| < r$ , if  $|f(z)| \leq |F(z)|$  in  $|z| < r$ . We say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $F$ ,  $f \prec F$ , in  $|z| < r$  if  $f(z) = F(w(z))$  where  $|w(z)| \leq |z|$  in  $|z| < r$ .

Majorization-subordination theory begins with Biernacki who showed in 1936 that if  $f'(0) \geq 0$  and  $f \prec F (F \in S)$ , in  $U$ , then  $f \ll F$  in  $|z| < 1/4$ . In the succeeding years Goluzin, Tao Shah, Lewandowski and MacGregor examined various related problems (for greater detail see [1]).

In 1951 Goluzin showed that if  $f'(0) \geq 0$  and  $f \prec F (F \in S)$  then  $f \ll F'$  in  $|z| < 0.12$ . He conjectured that majorization would always occur for  $|z| < 3 - \sqrt{8}$  and this was proved by Tao Shah in 1958.

In a series of papers [1, 2, 3], D. Campbell extended a number of the results to the class  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  of all normalized locally univalent ( $f'(z) \neq 0$ ) analytic functions in  $U$  with order  $\leq \alpha$  where  $\mathcal{U}_1 = K$  is the class of convex functions in  $S$ . In particular in [3] he showed that if  $f'(0) \geq 0$  and  $f \prec F (F \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$  then  $f' \ll F'$  in  $|z| < \alpha + 1 - (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha)^{1/2}$  for  $1.65 \leq \alpha < \infty$  where  $\alpha = 2$  yields  $3 - \sqrt{8}$ . Note that  $\alpha = 1$  yields  $2 - \sqrt{3}$ , the radius of convexity for  $S$ . Campbell's proof breaks down for  $1 \leq \alpha < 1.65$  because of two different bounds being used for the Schwarz function with different ranges of  $\alpha$ . Nevertheless, he conjectured that the result is true for all  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

In this paper we combine a subordination result of Ruscheweyh's, some variational techniques and some tedious computations to verify the conjecture for  $\alpha = 1$ , i.e., we show that if  $f'(0) \geq 0$  and  $f \prec F (F \in K)$  in  $U$  then  $f' \ll F'$  for  $|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$ . We note that our method of proof relies on the convexity of  $F$  in a number of places so that it is unlikely that it would extend to larger  $\alpha$ 's.

Received by the editors on October 26, 1978 and in revised form on December 2, 1982.

**THEOREM.** Let  $f \prec F$  with  $f'(0) \geq 0$ . Then  $f' \ll F'$  in  $|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$  for all  $F$  in  $K$  and the result is sharp.

**PROOF.** Sharpness follows by considering  $F(z) = z/(1 - z)$  and  $f(z) = z^2/(1 - z^2)$ . A Schwarz function is a function  $w$  analytic on  $U$  with  $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ . Let  $|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3} = r_0$  and  $w$  a fixed but arbitrary Schwarz function with  $w'(0) \geq 0$ . We must show that

$$\max_{|z| \leq r_0} \max_{F \in K} \left| \frac{F'(w(z)) \cdot w'(z)}{F'(z)} \right| \leq 1.$$

Ruscheweyh has proved in [5, p. 277] that if  $g$  is in  $S^*$ , the normalized starlike functions on  $U$ , then  $tg(sz)/sg(tz) \prec (1 - tz)/(1 - sz)^2$  for all  $|s| \leq 1$ ,  $|t| \leq 1$ . Letting  $t = 1$  it follows that

$$\max_{|z| \leq r} \max_{g \in S^*} \left| \frac{g(sz)}{g(z)} \right| \leq \max_{|z| \leq r} \left| \frac{\frac{sz}{(1 - sz)^2}}{\frac{z}{(1 - z)^2}} \right|$$

for  $|s| \leq 1$ . Since  $F$  is convex,  $zF'(z)$  is starlike. So, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z| \leq r_0} \max_{F \in K} \left| \frac{F'(w(z))w'(z)}{F'(z)} \right| &= \max_{|z| \leq r_0} \max_{F \in K} \left| \frac{\frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \cdot wF'(w)}{zF'(z)} \right| \\ &\leq \max_{|z| \leq r_0} \left| \frac{\frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \cdot \frac{w(z)}{(1 - w(z))^2}}{\frac{z}{(1 - z)^2}} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the theorem will be proved if for all  $|z| \leq r_0$  and all Schwarz functions  $w$ ,  $w'(0) \geq 0$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \left| \frac{w'(z)(1 - z)^2}{(1 - w(z))^2} \right| \leq 1.$$

This follows from Lemma 1 and concludes the proof of the theorem.

Before we turn to the proof of Lemma 1 we note the parallel between (1) and the ordinary Schwarz's lemma. Schwarz's lemma says that

$$\frac{|w'(z)|(1 - |z|^2)}{1 - |w(z)|^2} \leq 1$$

throughout  $|z| < 1$ . It weighs information about  $z$  and  $w(z)$  in a uniform manner relative to  $|z| = 1$ . In our case we weigh information about  $z$  and  $w(z)$  relative to one point of  $|z| = 1$ , namely  $z = 1$ . In such a case we find that inequality (1) holds only for  $|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$ .

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $w$ ,  $w'(0) \geq 0$ , be a Schwarz function. Let  $p$ , with  $p(z) =$

$1 + 2az + \dots, a \geq 0$ , be a function of positive real part in  $U$ . Then for all  $|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$ ,

$$(2) \quad |(1 - z)^2 p'(z)| \leq 2,$$

$$(3) \quad |w'(z)(1 - z)^2/(1 - w(z))^2| \leq 1$$

and the results are sharp.

PROOF. Let  $P_1 = \{p: p(z) = 1 + 2az + \dots, a \geq 0, \text{Re } p(z) > 0\}$ . Since a Schwarz function  $w, w(0) = a \geq 0$ , is associated with the function  $p(z)$  of  $P_1$  by the relation  $p(z) = (1 + w(z))/(1 - w(z))$  it is easy to check that (2) and (3) are equivalent.

We first prove that (2) holds for any  $p$  in  $P_1$  with  $p'(0) = 0$ . In this case  $p$  has the form  $p(z) = (1 + w)/(1 - w)$  with  $w$  a Schwarz function satisfying

$$(4) \quad |w(z)| \leq |z|^2, z \in U.$$

It follows from Goluzin's improved Schwarz's estimate given in [4, Lemma 2] with  $a = 0$  that

$$(5) \quad |w'(z)| \leq 2r(1 - |w(z)|^2)/(1 - r^4)$$

for  $|z| \leq r$ . Thus using (5) and then (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(z)(1 - z)^2| &= |2 w'(z) (1 - z)^2|/|1 - w(z)|^2 \\ &\leq 4r(1 - |w|^2)(1 + r)^2/(1 - r^4)(1 - |w|^2) \\ &= 4r(1 + |w|)(1 + r)/(1 - r)(1 + r^2)(1 - |w|^2) \\ &\leq 4r/(1 - r)^2 \end{aligned}$$

which is  $\leq 2$  for  $0 \leq r \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$ .

We now prove (2) for functions in  $P_1$  with  $p'(0) = 2a > 0$ . The Pfaltzgraff-Pinchuk result [4, Thm. 7.4] guarantees that a function  $p_0$  that maximizes for a given  $z$  in  $U$  the quantity  $|(1 - z)^2 p'(z)|$  over all  $p$  in  $P_1$  will have at most three jumps in its representing measure. We apply a variational method to show that for  $|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$  the function can have at most two jumps.

Suppose there were an  $a > 0$  and a  $z$  in  $|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3} = r_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j \frac{1 + ze^{it_j}}{1 - ze^{it_j}}, \quad 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < 2\pi \\ (6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j &= 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j e^{it_j} = 2a > 0, \\ &0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and for all  $p$  in  $P_1, |(1 - z)^2 p'(z)| \leq |(1 - z)^2 p'_0(z)|$ .

From (6) we would have  $\sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j \sin t_j = 0$  and  $\lambda_3 = 1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ . Since  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < 2\pi$ , two of the  $t_j$ 's say  $t_1$  and  $t_3$  would be such that  $\sin t_1 \neq \sin t_3$ . We could then solve for  $\lambda_1$  as a linear function of  $\lambda_2$ .

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\sin t_3}{\sin t_3 - \sin t_1} + \lambda_2 \left( \frac{\sin t_2 - \sin t_3}{\sin t_3 - \sin t_1} \right).$$

Letting  $k_j = [(1 - z)^2/z][ze^{it_j}/(1 - ze^{it_j})^2]$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , we would obtain  $(1 - z)^2 p'_0(z) = 2\lambda_1 k_1 + 2\lambda_2 k_2 + 2\lambda_3 k_3$ . Substituting in for  $\lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_1$  would yield

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - z)^2 p'_0(z) &= 2\lambda_2 \left[ (k_1 - k_3) \left( \frac{\sin t_2 - \sin t_3}{\sin t_3 - \sin t_1} \right) + (k_2 - k_3) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{2k_1 \sin t_3 - 2k_3 \sin t_1}{\sin t_3 - \sin t_1} \\ &= A\lambda_2 + B, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are complex constants.

We now prove that  $A \neq 0$ . If  $A$  were 0 then letting  $s = (\sin t_2 - \sin t_3)/(\sin t_3 - \sin t_1)$  we would have

$$k_3 = \frac{s}{1 + s} k_1 + \frac{1}{1 + s} k_2,$$

that is,  $k_3$  would lie on the line through  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . We note that  $k_1, k_2$  and  $k_3$  lie on the curve  $h(e^{it})$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , where  $h(e^{it}) = [(1 - z)^2/z] \cdot [ze^{it}/(1 - ze^{it})^2]$ . However,  $h(e^{it})$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , is simply the fixed  $(1 - z)^2/z$  scalar multiple of the image of  $|\zeta| = r$  under the Kőbe function  $\zeta(1 - \zeta)^{-2}$ . The Kőbe function maps all circles  $|\zeta| = r \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$  onto convex analytic curves containing no straight line segments. Thus  $k_3$  can not lie on the line through  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . Consequently  $A$  is non-zero.

Since  $A$  is non-zero the image of  $(0, 1)$  under the map  $A\lambda + B$  would be a straight line segment containing the point  $(1 - z)^2 p'_0(z)$  in its interior. By continuity we could vary  $\lambda$  to obtain a  $p_1$  in  $P_1$  such that  $|(1 - z)^2 \cdot p'_1(z)| > |(1 - z)^2 p'_0(z)|$  contradicting the extremal property of  $p_0$ . (Note that although the  $a_1$  of  $p_1(z) = 1 + 2a_1z + \dots$  may not equal the  $a$  of  $p_0(z)$ , nevertheless, by continuity  $a_1$  will be real and positive.)

Letting  $k(z) = z/(1 - z)^2$  we have shown for any  $z$  in  $|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$  that if  $p_2(z)$ ,  $p'_2(0) = 2a > 0$ , maximizes  $|zp'(z)/k(z)|$  over  $P_1$  then

$$p_2(z) = \lambda \frac{(1 + e^{it_1}z)}{(1 - e^{it_1}z)} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{(1 + e^{it_2}z)}{(1 + e^{it_2}z)}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,$$

and therefore proving (2) reduces to showing that

$$|\lambda k(e^{it_1}z) + (1 - \lambda) k(e^{it_2}z)| \leq |k(z)|, \quad |z| \leq r_0,$$

for all  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and all  $t_1, t_2$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$  with  $\lambda e^{it_1} + (1 - \lambda)e^{it_2} = a$ . Letting  $\phi_2 = -(t_1 + t_2)/2$ ,  $\phi_1 = (t_1 - t_2)/2$  and  $z = \xi \exp(i\phi)$ , we can rewrite the above inequality as

$$|\lambda k(e^{i\phi_1}\xi) + (1 - \lambda)k(e^{-i\phi_1}\xi)| \leq |k(e^{i\phi_2}\xi)|$$

for all  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and all  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$  with  $\lambda e^{i\phi_1} + (1 - \lambda)e^{-i\phi_1} = ae^{i\phi_2}$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda k(e^{i\phi_1}\xi) + (1 - \lambda)k(e^{-i\phi_1}\xi) &= \xi \left[ \frac{\lambda e^{i\phi_1}}{(1 - e^{i\phi_1}\xi)^2} + \frac{(1 - \lambda)e^{-i\phi_1}}{(1 - e^{-i\phi_1}\xi)^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{\xi[ae^{i\phi_2} + \xi^2 e^{-i\phi_2} - 2\xi]}{(1 - e^{i\phi_1}\xi - e^{-i\phi_1}\xi + \xi^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show

$$\max_{|\xi| \leq r_0} \left| \frac{\xi(ae^{i\phi_2} + \xi^2 e^{-i\phi_2} - 2\xi)}{(1 - e^{i\phi_1}\xi - e^{-i\phi_1}\xi + \xi^2)^2 k(e^{i\phi_2}\xi)} \right| \leq 1,$$

a quantity which depends only on the independent variables  $a$  and  $\phi_2$ . Since the maximum is taken on the boundary we let  $\xi = r_0 e^{i\theta}$ ,  $r_0 = 2 - \sqrt{3}$ ,  $\phi_2 = \phi$  and square the above expression to obtain

$$\frac{|ae^{i\phi} - 2\xi + (2a \cos\phi - ae^{i\phi})\xi^2|^2 [1 + r_0^2 - 2r_0 \cos(\phi + \theta)]^2}{[1 + r_0^2 + 2r_0^2 \cos 2\theta + 4a^2 r_0^2 \cos^2\phi - 4r_0 a \cos(\phi + \theta)]^2}$$

which, upon noting that  $1 + r_0^2 = 4r_0$ ,  $1 + r_0^4 = 4r_0 - 2r_0^2 + 4r_0^3$ , becomes, after a fairly long computation,

$$(7) \quad \frac{[1 + a^2(3 + \cos^2(\theta - \phi)) - 4a \cos(\theta - \phi)] [2 - \cos(\theta + \phi)]^2}{[a^2 \cos^2\phi + 3 + \cos^2\theta - 4a \cos\theta \cos\phi]^2}$$

Since the denominator of (7) is  $(a \cos\phi - 2 \cos\theta)^2 + 3(1 - \cos^2\theta)$ , we see that it never vanishes. Therefore, the quantity in (7) being  $\leq 1$  is equivalent upon cross multiplication to

$$\begin{aligned} h(a) &= (-\cos^4\phi)a^4 + (8 \cos^3\phi \cos\theta)a^3 \\ &+ [RP - 2(\cos^2\phi)Q - 16\cos^2\theta \cos^2\phi]a^2 \\ &+ [8Q \cos\theta \cos\phi - 4P \cos(\theta - \phi)]a + [P - Q^2] \\ &= A_4 a^4 + A_3 a^3 + A_2 a^2 + A_1 a + A_0 \leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $R \equiv 3 + \cos^2(\theta - \phi)$ ,  $P \equiv (2 - \cos(\theta + \phi))^2$ ,  $Q \equiv 3 + \cos^2\theta$ , and  $M \equiv \cos^2\phi + 3 + \cos^2\theta - 4 \cos\theta \cos\phi$ .

Factoring out the  $P$  and expanding  $M^2$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} h(1) &= -\cos^4\phi + 8\cos^3\phi \cos\theta + RP - 2Q \cos^2\phi - 16\cos^2\theta \cos^2\phi \\ &+ 8Q \cos\theta \cos\phi - 4P \cos(\theta - \phi) + P - Q^2 \\ &= -M^2 + P(2 - \cos(\theta - \phi))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M = (2 - \cos(\theta - \phi))(2 - \cos(\theta + \phi))$ , we conclude that  $h(1) = 0$ . Thus  $h(a) = (1 - a)H(a)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} H(a) &= [(\cos^4\phi)a^3 + (\cos^4\phi - 8\cos^3\phi\cos\theta)a^2 \\ &\quad + (\cos^4\phi - 8\cos^3\phi\cos\theta - RP + 2Q\cos^2\phi \\ &\quad + 16\cos^2\theta\cos^2\phi)a + P - Q^2] \\ &= (\cos^4\phi)(a^3 + B_2a^2 + B_1a + B_0) = (\cos^4\phi)h_1(a). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show  $H(a) \leq 0$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} H(0) &= P - Q^2 = (2 - \cos(\theta + \phi))^2 - (3 + \cos^2\theta)^2 \\ &= (5 - \cos(\theta + \phi) + \cos^2\theta)(-1 - \cos(\theta - \phi) - \cos^2\theta) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} H(1) &= 3\cos^4\phi - 16\cos^3\phi\cos\theta - RP + 2Q\cos^2\phi + 16\cos^2\phi\cos^2\theta \\ &\quad + P - Q^2 = 2[\cos^4\phi - 4\cos^3\phi\cos\theta + P - Q^2 - 2P\cos(\theta - \phi) \\ &\quad + 4Q\cos\theta\cos\phi] + [\cos^4\phi - 8\cos^3\phi\cos\theta - P + Q^2 \\ &\quad + 4P\cos(\theta - \phi) - 8Q\cos\theta\cos\phi - RP + 2Q\cos^2\phi \\ &\quad + 16\cos^2\theta\cos^2\phi]. \end{aligned}$$

The term in the last set of square brackets is  $M^2 - P(2 - \cos(\theta - \phi))^2 \equiv 0$  exactly as before. Note that we can rewrite what is left as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\cos^4\phi + 4\cos^3\phi\cos\theta + 2P\cos(\theta - \phi) - P + Q^2 - 4Q\cos\theta\cos\phi \\ = & -(1 - \sin^2\phi)^2 + 4(1 - \sin^2\phi)\cos\phi\cos\theta + (2\cos\theta\cos\phi + \sin\theta\sin\phi - 1) \\ & \cdot (2 - \cos\theta\cos\phi + \sin\theta\sin\phi)^2 + (4 - \sin^2\theta)^2 - 4(4 - \sin^2\theta)\cos\theta\cos\phi \\ = & 15 + 2\sin^2\phi - \sin^4\phi - 8\sin^2\theta + \sin^4\theta - 12\cos\theta\cos\phi + 4\sin^2\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi \\ & - 4\sin^2\phi\cos\phi\cos\theta + (2\cos\theta\cos\phi + 2\sin\theta\sin\phi - 1) \cdot (5 - \sin^2\theta \\ & - \sin^2\phi + 2\sin^2\theta\sin^2\phi - 4\cos\theta\cos\phi + 4\sin\theta\sin\phi - 2\cos\theta\cos\phi\sin\theta\sin\phi) \\ = & 10 + 3\sin^2\phi - \sin^4\phi - 7\sin^2\theta + \sin^4\theta + 2\cos\theta\cos\phi \\ & + 2\sin^2\theta\cos\phi\cos\theta - 6\sin^2\phi\cos\theta\cos\phi - 4\cos^2\theta\cos^2\phi\sin\theta\sin\phi \\ & - 8\cos^2\theta\cos^2\phi + 6\sin\theta\sin\phi - 2\sin^3\theta\sin\phi - 2\sin^3\phi\sin\theta \\ & + 4\sin^3\theta\sin^3\phi + 6\sin^2\theta\sin^2\phi + 2\cos\theta\cos\phi\sin\theta\sin\phi = 2 + 11\sin^2\phi \\ & - \sin^4\phi + \sin^4\theta + (2\cos\theta\cos\phi) \cdot (1 + \sin^2\theta - 3\sin^2\phi + \sin\theta\sin\phi) \\ & + 2\sin\theta\sin\phi(1 - \sin\theta\sin\phi + \sin^2\theta + \sin^2\phi) + [8 - 8\sin^2\phi - 8\sin^2\theta \\ & - 8\cos^2\theta\cos^2\phi + 8\sin^2\theta\sin^2\phi] + [4\sin\theta\sin\phi - 4\sin^3\theta\sin\phi \\ & - 4\sin^3\phi\sin\theta - 4\cos^2\theta\cos^2\phi\sin\theta\sin\phi + 4\sin^3\theta\sin^3\phi]. \end{aligned}$$

Since each of the terms in square brackets is identically zero, we can

conclude  $H(1)$  is nonpositive upon noting the expansion of the following nonnegative expression.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 3 \sin^2\psi + (\sin\psi + \sin^3\theta)^2 + (1 + \cos^2\theta) \sin^4\theta + 2(1 - \cos\theta \cos\psi) \sin^2\psi \\
 & + 2[1 - \cos(\theta + \psi)] \sin^2\psi + [1 + \cos(\theta - \psi)]^2 + (\cos\theta - \cos\psi)^2 \sin^2\psi \\
 & + (\cos\theta + \cos\psi)^2 \sin^2\theta + 2 \sin^2\psi \cos^2\theta = 1 + 10 \sin^2\psi + \cos^2\theta \sin^2\psi \\
 & + 2 \sin^4\theta + \cos^2\theta \sin^2\theta + [\cos^2\theta \sin^2\psi + \cos^2\psi \sin^2\theta + \cos^2\psi \cos^2\theta \\
 & + \sin^2\psi \sin^2\theta] - 2 \sin^2\psi \sin^2\theta + 2 \sin\psi \sin^3\theta + 2 \sin\theta \sin^3\psi \\
 & + 2 \sin\theta \sin\psi - 6\cos\theta \cos\psi \sin^2\psi + 2 \sin\theta \sin\psi \cos\theta \cos\psi \\
 & + 2\cos\theta \cos\psi \sin^2\theta + 2\cos\theta \cos\psi. = 2 + 11 \sin^2\psi - \sin^4\psi \\
 & + \sin^4\theta + \sin^2\theta + 2 \sin\theta \sin\psi(1 + \sin^2\psi + \sin^2\theta - \sin\psi \sin\theta) \\
 & + 2 \cos\theta \cos\psi(1 + \sin^2\theta - 3 \sin^2\psi + \sin\theta \sin\psi)
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we observe that  $\sin^4\theta + \sin^2\theta \cos^2\theta = \sin^2\theta$ , while the term in brackets is identically 1.

Recall  $h_1(a) = a^3 + B_2a^2 + B_1a + B_0$  where

$$B_2 = 1 - 8\sec\psi \cos\theta$$

$$B_1 = 1 - 8\sec\psi \cos\theta - RP\sec^4\psi + 2Q\sec^2\psi + 16\cos^2\theta \sec^2\psi$$

and  $B_0 = \sec^4\psi(P - Q^2)$ .

Now,  $h_1(0) = B_0 = \sec^4\psi[(2 - \cos(\theta + \psi))^2 - (3 + \cos^2\theta)^2]$ . So  $B_0 \leq 0$

$$\text{if and only if } (2 - \cos(\theta + \psi))^2 \leq (3 + \cos^2\theta)^2$$

$$\text{if and only if } 2 - \cos(\theta + \psi) \leq 3 + \cos^2\theta$$

$$\text{if and only if } -\cos(\theta + \psi) \leq 1 + \cos^2\theta$$

which certainly holds as  $-\cos(\theta + \psi) \leq 1 \leq 1 + \cos^2\theta$ . Hence

$$h_1(0) \leq 0.$$

Now, we will assume that  $h_1(1) \leq 0$ . This will be proved later. Then, from the properties of a cubic,  $h_1$  will have 3 roots,  $r_1, r_2$ , and  $r_3$ , with  $r_1 \geq 1$ . Since  $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = -B_2 = 8\sec\psi \cos\theta - 1$ , we consider two cases.

CASE I.  $B_2 \geq -1$ . Then  $-B_2 \leq 1$  and  $1 \geq -B_2 = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 \geq 1 + r_2 + r_3$  and so  $r_2 + r_3 \leq 0$ . Since  $h_1(0) \leq 0$  and  $h_1(1) \leq 0$ , we conclude that  $h_1$  has no roots in  $(0, 1)$ . Therefore  $h_1(a) \leq 0$  for  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  and we are done.

CASE II.  $B_2 < -1$ . Assume that  $r_2 \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $r_2(r_2^2 + B_2r_2 + B_1) = -B_0$  and  $r_2^2 + B_2r_2 + B_1 = -B_0/r_2 > -B_0$ . Hence  $0 < B_0 + B_1 - r_2 + r_2^2$  and thus

$$(9) \quad B_0 + B_1 > r_2(1 - r_2) > 0.$$

However, using (8) and the fact that  $h(1) = 0$  we can solve for  $B_0 + B_1$  to obtain  $B_0 + B_1 = -(A_1 + 2A_0)/A_4 = (2\sec^4\psi)T$  where  $T = P - Q^2 - 2P\cos(\theta - \psi) + 4Q\cos\theta \cos\psi$ . Now, if we expand the expression for  $-T$  and express most of the quantities in terms of  $\sin\theta$  and  $\sin\psi$ , we obtain

$$-T = [3 + \sin^2\theta + 9\sin^2\psi + \sin^4\theta + 2\sin\theta \sin\psi(1 - \sin\theta \sin\psi + \sin^2\theta + \sin^2\psi)] + 2(\cos\theta \cos\psi)[-1 + \sin\theta \sin\psi + \sin^2\theta - \sin^2\psi].$$

Upon performing the same expansion of the nonnegative expression

$$4\sin^2\psi + (\sin\psi + \sin^3\theta)^2 + \sin^4\theta \cos^2\theta + (1 - \cos\theta \cos\psi)^2 + 2[1 - \cos(\theta + \psi)](1 + \sin^2\psi + \cos\theta \cos\psi) + \cos^2\theta \sin^2\psi + (1 + \sin\theta \cos\psi \sin^2\theta)$$

we see that they are the same. Hence  $-T \geq 0$  and this contradicts (9) so that  $B_2$  cannot be  $< -1$ . Hence only Case I holds.

Upon proving  $h_1(1) \leq 0$ , we will have  $h_1(a) \leq 0$  for  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  as claimed. Accordingly we note that

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(1) &= 1 + B_2 + B_1 + B_0 \\ &= 2 - 8\sec\psi \cos\theta + B_1 + B_0 \\ &= 2\sec^4\psi[\cos^4\psi - 4\cos^3\psi \cos\theta + T]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $S = \cos^4\psi - 4\cos^3\psi \cos\theta + T$  and expanding as before we see that

$$S = 2 + \sin^2\theta + 11\sin^2\psi + \sin^4\theta - \sin^4\psi + 2(1 - \sin\theta \sin\psi + \sin^2\theta + \sin^2\psi) \sin\theta \sin\psi + 2(1 + \sin\theta \sin\psi + \sin^2\theta - 3\sin^2\psi) \cos\theta \cos\psi.$$

Likewise, upon expanding the nonnegative expression

$$3\sin^2\psi + (\sin\psi + \sin^3\theta)^2 + (1 + \cos^2\theta)\sin^4\theta + 2(1 - \cos\theta \cos\psi) \sin^2\psi + 2[1 - \cos(\theta + \psi)]\sin^2\psi + [1 + \cos(\theta - \psi)]^2 + (\cos\theta - \cos\psi)^2 \sin^2\psi + (\cos\theta + \cos\psi)^2 \sin^2\theta + 2\sin^2\psi \cos^2\theta,$$

we see that  $-S \geq 0$  and hence  $h_1(1) \leq 0$  as we claimed.

The sharpness result of the lemma follows by choosing  $w = z^2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Campbell, *Majorization-Subordination theorems for locally univalent functions*, Bull. AMS, **78** (1972), 535-538.
2. ———, *Majorization-Subordination theorems for locally univalent functions II*, Can. J. Math., Vol. XXV, No. 2, (1973), 420-425.
3. ———, *Majorization-Subordination theorems for locally univalent functions III*,



Trans. AMS **198** (1974), 297–306.

4. J.A. Pfaltzgraff and B. Pinchuk, *A variational method for classes of meromorphic functions*, Jnl. De Anal. **24** (1971), 101–150.

5. S. Ruscheweyh, *A subordination theorem for  $\Phi$ -like functions*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **13** (1973), 275–280.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY  
LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79409

