

ON THE S -EQUIVALENCE OF SOME GENERAL SETS OF MATRICES

PATRICK W. KEEF

ABSTRACT. To help classify the set of square matrices over a ring R under the relation of S -equivalence there is defined a module A_V together with a pairing on its torsion submodule, which is referred to as the Seifert system of V . It is shown that if R is a field, or R is a PID and $\det(tV - V')$ has content 1, then the Seifert system characterizes an S -equivalence class. Furthermore, over a field S -equivalence is reducible to the notion of congruence.

1. Introduction. Two square matrices over a ring R are called S -equivalent if one can be derived from the other by a sequence of the following operations (or their inverses);

- (1.1) Congruences, i.e., replacing V by PVP' , with P unimodular over R ,
- (1.2) Row and column enlargements, i.e., replacing V by,

$$(i) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & b \\ 0 & c & V \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad (ii) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & V \end{bmatrix}$$

To help classify matrices under this relation, we define a module A_V over the ring $R[t, t^{-1}]$, together with a pairing on its torsion submodule, which will be an invariant of the S -equivalence class of V . We refer to this as the Seifert system for V .

The geometric aspects of the study of S -equivalence have principally been developed in the work of Levine [5, 6, 7]. If $K \subseteq S^{2n+1}$ is an odd dimensional knot, then any Seifert surface for K determines an integral matrix, called a Seifert matrix. S -equivalence can in this case be interpreted as the matrix theoretic analogue of adding or subtracting handles to these surfaces. S -equivalence actually characterizes the so-called simple embeddings (see Kearton [3]). The module A_V then corresponds to the integral homology of the universal abelian cover of $S^{2n+1} - K$, whose pairing is defined geometrically in Blanchfield [1].

Seifert matrices for knots can algebraically be characterized by the condition $\det(V - eV') = \pm 1$, where e is either $+1$ or -1 . These matrices have been classified algebraically by Trotter [10, 11]. The results of

this paper are generalizations of theorems of his. The present treatment has several advantages, though. It applies also to Seifert matrices for links in S^3 (see Keef [4]). In addition, the methods used here are considerably more elementary, although the general outline remains much the same. Finally, in the knot case it can be shown that multiplication by $1-t$ is an automorphism of A_V , a fact which has a central position in previous studies. The theorems in this paper will be proven without reference to this map, which is not in general either one-to-one or onto.

2. The Seifert system. We will assume all rings are integral domains. If R is a ring, we let R^m denote the set of all m by 1 matrices (column vectors) over R , and let R' be the field of fractions of R . We write RC for the group ring over R of the infinite cyclic group generated by t , written multiplicatively. So $RC \cong R[t, t^{-1}]$, the ring of Laurent polynomials over R . Clearly $RC' = R'(t)$. Let $\bar{}$ denote the conjugation on RC which interchanges t and t^{-1} .

If V is a square matrix over R , we let $D(V) = \det(tV - V')$ and $E(V) = tV - V'$. It is easy to verify that $D(V)$ is, up to multiplication by units of RC , an invariant of the S -equivalence class of V . The relation $E(V) = -t\overline{E(\overline{V})}$ is also easily checked.

In order to construct some algebraic invariants of an S -equivalence class, we begin with some general considerations. Suppose S is a ring with a conjugation $\bar{}$, and $u \in S$ is a unit. A matrix M over S will be called u -Hermitian if $u\overline{M}' = M$. Clearly $E(V)$ is $(-t)$ -Hermitian over RC . We let A_M denote the module S^m/MS^m , and TA_M denote its submodule of S -torsion. We define a pairing on TA_M , which takes its values in S'/S as follows: if $x, y \in S^m$ project into TA_M , then there exists $a, b \in S^m$ satisfying $Ma = rx, Mb = sy$. Let $[x, y] = \overline{b}'Ma/r\overline{s}u \in S'$. To show this is independent of the choices of a, b, r and s , we note that,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{b}'x/\overline{s}u &= \overline{b}'Ma/r\overline{s}u = \overline{b}'\overline{M}'a/r\overline{s} \\ &= \overline{Mb}'a/r\overline{s} = \overline{y}'a/r \end{aligned}$$

Observe further that if $x = Ma$ (so $r = 1$) or $y = Mb$ (so $s = 1$), then $[x, y] \in S$. This implies that we may view $[,]$ as a pairing on TA_M with values in S'/S .

DEFINITION 2.2. By the Seifert system of M we will mean the module A_M together with this pairing on TA_M . The Seifert systems of M and N are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism of A_M and A_N which restricts to an isometry of their S -torsion submodules.

We next consider the behavior of the Seifert system under a change of ground rings. Suppose S and S_0 are rings with conjugations, and $f: S \rightarrow S_0$ is a homomorphism which preserves these conjugations. If M is a u -Hermitian

tian matrix over S , then $f(M)$ (the matrix obtained by mapping all M 's entries into S_0) is clearly $f(u)$ -Hermitian over S_0 . Furthermore, f induces a map $F: A_M \rightarrow A_{f(M)}$ by mapping $x \in S^m$ to $f(x) \in S_0^m$. If f is also injective, then it determines a map $f: S'/S \rightarrow S'_0/S_0$. Using this map an easy verification shows that the pairing $[,]$ is preserved by F .

One particularly nice situation occurs when M is non-singular. In this case $TA_M = A_M$, and the following gives us a useful expression for $[,]$.

LEMMA 2.3 *If M is a non-singular u -Hermitian matrix, then $[x, y] = \bar{y}'M^{-1}x$.*

PROOF. Suppose $Ma = rx$. Therefore $a/r = M^{-1}x \in S'^m$, and so by (2.1), $[x, y] = \bar{y}'a/r = \bar{y}'M^{-1}x$.

If V is a square matrix over a ring R , we denote $A_{E(V)}$ by the simpler A_V , which we refer to as the Seifert system determined by V .

PROPOSITION 2.4. *If V and W are S -equivalent matrices over R , then the Seifert systems determined by V and W are isomorphic.*

PROOF. Since the argument varies only in minor detail from that in Trotter [11, p. 177-179], we will be content with an outline. It is easily verified that if Q is a unimodular matrix over RC , then $E(V)$ and $QE(V)\bar{Q}'$ give isomorphic Seifert systems. So if P is a unimodular matrix over R , and $W = PVP'$ then $E(W) = PE(V)\bar{P}'$, so their Seifert systems are isomorphic. If W is the row enlargement of 1.2 i, and,

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ tc - b' & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

then it is easy to verify that $E(V)$ and $QE(W)\bar{Q}'$, and hence $E(V)$ and $E(W)$, determine isomorphic Seifert systems. A similar argument applies to column enlargements.

The remainder of the paper will be an investigation of the converse of (2.4). Specifically, it will be shown that if R is a field, or if R is a PID and the content of $D(V)$ (i.e., the gcd of its coefficients) is a unit in R , then the Seifert system completely characterizes the S -equivalence class of V .

3. S-equivalence with field coefficients. Throughout this section we let F be a field. S -equivalence over F will be shown to be equivalent to the notion of congruence.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Any square matrix over F is S -equivalent to a matrix of the form,*

$$\begin{bmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } W \text{ non-singular.}$$

Matrices in this form will be called *reduced*.

PROOF. The following can actually be viewed as an algorithm for putting a matrix into reduced form. Let V be a square matrix over F . If V is non-singular we are done. If not, there exists a non-singular matrix P such that the top row of PV is identically zero. So PVP' has the form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & V_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note if $a = 0$, then V is also congruent to,

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and we can start our process over with $V = V_0$. If $a \neq 0$, then V is congruent to a matrix of the form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & d & b \\ 0 & c & V_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and once again we can start our process over with $V = V_1$. Continuing as long as possible yields the result.

The reduced form can be used to analyse the algebraic structure of A_V . Clearly if V is the reduced matrix in (3.1), then $A_V \cong A_W \oplus FC^k$ (where k is the number of rows and columns of zeros). To help determine the structure of A_W , we let $R_c^m \subseteq RC^m$ denote the R submodule consisting of vectors whose entries are elements of R .

LEMMA 3.2. *If M and N are unimodular matrices over R of the same size, then $RC^m/(tM - N)RC^m$ is isomorphic as an R module to R^m , where the automorphism is given by multiplication by NM^{-1} .*

PROOF. Clearly, mapping the standard basis for RC^m to the standard basis for R^m produces a map $f: RC^m \rightarrow R^m$, which is clearly an R -linear isomorphism when restricted to R_c^m . Furthermore, if $s \in R_c^m$, then $f((tM - N)s) = NM^{-1}Ms - Ns = 0$, and so since R_c^m generates RC^m as an RC module, we can define, $\bar{f}: RC^m/(tM - N)RC^m \rightarrow R^m$. Clearly \bar{f} is an isomorphism if we can show that RC^m splits as an R module into $(tM - N)RC^m \oplus R_c^m$. Since f is identically zero on the first summand and is an isomorphism on the second, their intersection is zero. An easy compu-

tation shows that $(tM - N)RC^m + R_c^m$ is an RC submodule of RC^m , and since it contains R_c^m , which generates RC^m , the proof is complete.

We call attention specifically to the fact contained in the proof of (3.2) that $R_c^m \cong RC^m$ is mapped isomorphically onto $RC^m/(tM - N)RC^m$ under the natural map. The standard basis for R_c^m therefore gives a basis for this module which we will often use (without specifically mentioning it) to determine matrix representations of bilinear forms or linear functions.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If V is a non-singular matrix over F , then A_V is isomorphic as an F vector space to F^m , where the t -automorphism corresponds to multiplication by $V'V^{-1}$.*

COROLLARY 3.4. *If V is a square matrix over F , then $D(V) \neq 0$ if and only if $\dim_F A_V$ is finite if and only if V is S -equivalent to a non-singular matrix. In this case, $\deg(D(V)) = \dim_F A_V$, which equals the size of any non-singular matrix S -equivalent to V .*

THEOREM 3.5. *Let*

$$V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} W_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

be matrices in reduced form. The following are then equivalent.

- (1) V_0 is S -equivalent to V_1 .
- (2) V_0 is congruent to V_1 .

Further, if these two matrices have the same size, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to

- (3) W_0 is S -equivalent to W_1 , and
- (4) W_0 is congruent to W_1 .

PROOF. Clearly (4) implies (1), (2) and (3). Furthermore (2) and (3) imply (1). We now show (1) implies (4), which will conclude the proof. Note if

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is in reduced form, then clearly A_W is isometric to TA_V . By (2.4) there is an isometry of TA_{V_0} and TA_{V_1} , so the proof will be complete once it is shown that an isometry of A_{W_0} and A_{W_1} implies that W_0 and W_1 are congruent.

Using the assumed isometry identify A_{W_0} and A_{W_1} , and call the resulting module A . Note the two interpretations of A give two representations of A as a set of column vectors using (3.2). Observe further that if $i = 0$ or 1 , $D(W_i) = \det(W_i) \det(tI - W_i'W_i^{-1})$. This implies that up to a constant $D(W_i)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the automorphism of A given by

multiplication by t , which is independent of the basis used to compute it. We call this common polynomial $D(A)$.

Let $a \in F$. By the theory of partial fractions $F(t)$ is isomorphic as an F vector space to $F[t, t^{-1}, (t - a)^{-1}] \oplus L_a$, where L_a can be described as the set of all rational polynomial expressions h/g such that $\deg(h) < \deg(g)$, $g(0) \neq 0$, $g(a) \neq 0$. Define an F linear map $f_a: F(t)/FC \rightarrow F$ by setting it equal to zero on $F[t, t^{-1}, (t - a)^{-1}]$, and letting it equal $h(a)/g(a)$ for $h/g \in L_a$.

Let A^* be the dual space of F linear functionals on A . Note maps $h: A \rightarrow A^*$ correspond to bilinear forms on A , and maps $h: A^* \rightarrow A$ correspond to bilinear forms on A^* (since A^{**} can be identified with A).

Suppose $a \in F$ satisfies $D(A)(a) \neq 0$. We define a bilinear form on A with values in F by combining the pairing $[,]$ into $F(t)/FC$ with the map f_a into F , i.e., $(x, y)_a = f_a([x, y])$. If $W = W_0$ or W_1 , and we consider the vector representation of A given by (3.2), we claim $(,)_a$ has matrix $(aW - W')^{-1}$. To see this observe that if $b, c \in F_c^m$ represent $x, y \in A$, then by (2. 3), $[x, y] = \bar{b}'E(W)^{-1}c \in F(t)/FC$.

Note $E(W)^{-1} = \text{adj}(E(W))/D(W)$. This implies that all the entries of $E(W)^{-1}$ are in L_a , since $D(W)(a) = D(A)(a) \neq 0$ by supposition, $D(W)(0) = \det(-W') \neq 0$ since W' is non-singular, and $D(W)$ has a larger degree than any entry of $\text{adj}(E(W))$. Since f_a when restricted to L_a is merely substitution by a , we have, $f_a([x, y]) = b'(aW - W')^{-1}c$ which establishes the claim.

Suppose there actually exists a pair of distinct non-roots of $D(A)$, $r, s \in F$. We then have a pair of bilinear forms on A , and hence a pair of adjoint maps $h_r, h_s: A \rightarrow A^*$. Let $g: A^* \rightarrow A$ be given by $(r - s)^{-1}(h_r^{-1} - h_s^{-1})$. So g has matrix representation $(r - s)^{-1}((rW - W') - (sW - W')) = W$. g , in turn defines a bilinear form on A^* which also has matrix W .

We summarize this construction by noting that there is a bilinear form on A^* completely determined by the pairing $[,]$, and with respect to one basis it has matrix W_0 and with respect to another basis it has matrix W_1 , and so W_0 and W_1 are congruent.

Assume now that $D(A)$ does not have two non-roots. Embed F in a field F' where $D(A)$ does have two non-roots (say by adjoining an indeterminate). Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A^* & \xrightarrow{g} & A \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (F'A)^* & \xrightarrow{g'} & F'A
 \end{array}$$

By the naturality of the Seifert system under an extension of the ground ring, g' exists as above. In fact, since g' has matrix W , all of whose entries are in F , g' can easily be seen to restrict to g as shown. g once again de-

termines a congruence class of matrices to which W_0 and W_1 must both belong, which therefore completes the proof.

Note that we only used S -equivalence in the above proof to establish an isometry between A_{W_0} and A_{W_1} . Since the number of zero rows and columns in a reduced matrix V equals the rank of A_V/TA_V as an FC module, we have actually shown the following result.

THEOREM 3.6. *If V_0 and V_1 are square matrices over a field, then they are S -equivalent if and only if their Seifert systems are isomorphic.*

We single out one fact established in the proof of (3.5).

COROLLARY 3.7. *If V is a non-singular matrix over a field F , then there exists an F linear map $g: A_V^* \rightarrow A_V$, whose matrix with respect to the basis for A_V given by the isomorphism $F_c^m \cong FC^m \rightarrow A_V$ and its dual basis in A_V^* is V . Furthermore, g does not depend on the way A_V is presented as the Seifert system of some matrix.*

4. S-equivalence of knot-like matrices. Throughout this section we assume R is a PID. If V is a square matrix over R , then if we view it as a matrix over R' , its Seifert system is given by the R' vector space $R'A_V$, for which all the results of the previous section apply.

DEFINITION 4.1. A matrix V over R is called knot-like if the content of $D(V)$ (i.e., the gcd of its coefficients) is a unit in R .

Any Seifert matrix for a knot is knot-like over the integers. This can be seen by the relation $D(V)(e) = \pm 1$ where e is $+1$ or -1 , which is true for these matrices (see Trotter [11]).

PROPOSITION 4.2. *V is knot-like if and only if A_V is a torsion free R module of finite rank.*

PROOF. By (3.4), $\text{rank}(A_V) = \dim_{R'}(R'A_V)$ is finite if and only if $D(V) \neq 0$. So if $D(V) \neq 0$ we have an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow RC^m \xrightarrow{E(V)} RC^m \longrightarrow A_V \longrightarrow 0.$$

If we tensor this with $R_p (= R/pR$, where $p \in R$ is a prime) we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_R(R_p, A_V) \longrightarrow R_p C^m \xrightarrow{E(V)} R_p C^m \longrightarrow R_p \otimes A_V \longrightarrow 0.$$

So A_V has no p -torsion if and only if $E(V)$ is non-singular over $R_p C$ if and only if $p \nmid D(V)$. Letting p vary over all primes in R gives the result.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *If V is a square matrix over R , and the content of $D(V)$ is square-free (e.g., if V is knot-like), then V is S -equivalent to a non-singular matrix.*

PROOF. Assume V is singular. Then V is congruent to a matrix V_0 whose top row is zero. If $p \in R$ divides the first column of V_0 , then clearly $p^2 \mid D(V)$, which cannot happen. So V_0 is in turn congruent to a matrix V_1 which can be row reduced. Continuing as long as possible yields the result.

COROLLARY 4.4. V is S -equivalent to a unimodular matrix if and only if A is a finitely generated free R module.

PROOF. If V is S -equivalent to a unimodular matrix, then by (3.2), A_V has the stated form. Conversely suppose $A_V \cong R^m$. By (4.2) V is knot-like, so by (4.3) we may assume it is non-singular. If $p \in R$ is a prime, then $R_p \otimes A_V \cong R_p^m$, so by (2.4) (with $F = R_p$), V is non-singular mod p , i.e. $p \nmid \det(V)$. Letting p vary over all primes gives the result.

We are heading towards the following result on knot-like matrices.

THEOREM 4.5. Two knot-like matrices over a PID are S -equivalent if and only if their Seifert systems are isomorphic.

Before we can enter into its proof we will need some auxiliary concepts and Lemmas.

Assume M is a finite dimensional R' vector space. A free R module $N \subseteq M$ is called a lattice if $R'N = M$. Let $N^* \subseteq M^*$ be the set of all $f \in M^*$ satisfying $f(N) \subseteq R$. N^* is called the dual lattice of N . If $\{a_i\}$ is a basis for N over R (which clearly also must be a basis for M over R'), then the dual basis $\{a_i^*\}$ for M^* must clearly also be a basis for N^* over R .

Suppose $g: M^* \rightarrow M$ is some fixed homomorphism. We call a lattice $N \subseteq M$ integral if $g(N^*) \subseteq N$. An integral lattice N determines a congruence class of matrices over R as follows: if $\{a_i\}$ and $\{a_i^*\}$ are dual basis for N and N^* respectively, then the matrix for g with respect to these basis has all of its entries in R , since $g(N^*) \subseteq N$, and is clearly well defined up to a congruence over R . We call a representative of this congruence class “the” matrix generated by N and denote it by V_N . The ambiguity in this terminology will be offset by the fact that a basis for N will usually be implied.

Assume V is a non-singular knot-like matrix over R . By (3.7) there is a homomorphism $g: (R'A_V)^* \rightarrow R'A_V$. Furthermore, if we consider the lattice $N_V \subseteq R'A_V$ given by the image of the maps $R_c^c \subseteq R_c^c \cong R'A_V$, we note that N_V is integral and generates the matrix V . The above discussion now makes the following obvious.

PROPOSITION. 4.6. Suppose V and W are non-singular knot-like matrices whose Seifert systems are isomorphic. We identify A_V and A_W using this isomorphism and call the resulting module A . If $N_V = N_W$, then V and W are congruent.

4.4 and 4.6. now imply the following result.

COROLLARY 4.7. *If V and W are unimodular matrices over R , then they are S -equivalent if and only if they are congruent.*

The strategy of the proof of (4.5) will be to identify A_V and A_W as above, then to augment N_V and N_W in some reasonable fashion until they agree and then invoke (4.6). So we assume we have an RC module $A \cong R'A$ and a homomorphism $g: R'A^* \rightarrow R'A$. We call an integral lattice $N \subseteq A$ *admissible* if and only if it generates A as an RC module, and V_N in knot-like.

Suppose $N, N' \subseteq R'A$ are lattices. We choose bases $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ for N and N' , and let $d(N, N')$ equal the determinant of a change of basis matrix from $\{b_i\}$ to $\{a_i\}$. Note $d(N, N')$ is only determined up to multiplication by units of R . If $p \in R$ is a prime, and $R_{(p)}$ is the local ring at p , then $R_{(p)}N$ and $R_{(p)}N'$ can be viewed as lattices over $R_{(p)}$. If $o(\)$ is the valuation determined by p , then $o(d(R_{(p)}N, R_{(p)}N')) = o(d(N, N'))$.

LEMMA 4.8. *Let N be an admissible lattice. An integral lattice N' which generates A as an RC module is admissible if and only if $d(N, N')$ is a unit of R .*

PROOF. Let P be a change of basis matrix from a basis for N' to one for N . Clearly $D(V_{N'}) = \det(P)^2 D(V_N)$, so the content of $D(V_{N'})$ is 1 if and only if $\det(P)$ is a unit.

The augmentation of our admissible lattices is based on the following operation called *transferral of factors*.

LEMMA 4.9. *If a and k are relatively prime in R , then the matrices,*

$$V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} x & a & q' \\ kw & k^2y & ks' \\ p & kr & V \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} k^2x & a & kq' \\ kw & y & s' \\ kp & r & V \end{bmatrix}$$

are S -equivalent.

This is proven in Trotter [11]. In going from V_0 to V_1 we say we are transferring a factor from the second row to the first column. We would like to relate this to our admissible lattices. Suppose $N \subseteq R'A$ is an integral lattice which has as a basis $\{c_i\}$, which generates the matrix V_0 above. If we let N' be the lattice generated by $k^{-1}c_1, kc_2, c_3, \dots, c_m$, then clearly $V_1 = V_{N'}$.

LEMMA 4.10. *N is admissible if and only if N' is,*

PROOF. By (4.8) V_0 is knot-like if and only if V_1 is. The lemma therefore reduces to showing that $A_{V_0} = A$ if and only if $A_{V_1} = A$. We show

$N' \subseteq A_{V_0}$, $N \subseteq A_{V_1}$ being handled similarly. A_{V_0} is presented by $E(V_0)$, and examining its second column (and using $(a, k) = 1$), we see that $t c_1 \in k A_{V_0}$, and so $k^{-1} c_1 \in A_{V_0}$, which implies the result.

PROOF OF 4.5. We assume V and W are non-singular knot-like matrices, and $N_V, N_W \subseteq A$. Let $d = d(N_V, N_V \cap N_W)$. Since $N_V \cap N_W \subseteq N_V$, d is an element of R . Note $d(N_W, N_W \cap N_V) = d(N_W, N_V) \cdot d(N_V, N_V \cap N_W) = d$ by (4.8). We induct on the sum of the exponents in a prime factorization of d . Clearly if d is a unit $N_V = N_W$, and so by (4.6) V and W are congruent. So assume p is a prime which divides d .

By the invariant factor theorem, we can select bases b_1, \dots, b_m and c_1, \dots, c_m for N_V and N_W respectively satisfying $c_i = r_i b_i$ for some $r_i \in R'$. Assume these are ordered so that $o(r_i) > 0$ for $i \leq s$, $o(r_i) = 0$ for $s < i \leq q$, and $o(r_i) < 0$ for $i > q$. We let S_V and S_W be the free $R_{(p)}$ modules generated by the b_i and c_i respectively for $i \leq s$, U be the $R_{(p)}$ module generated by the b_i and c_i for $s < i \leq q$, and T_V and T_W be the $R_{(p)}$ modules generated by the remaining b 's and c 's. So $S_W \subseteq p S_V$ and $T_V \subseteq p T_W$. Let S_W^*, S_V^*, U^*, T_W^* and T_V^* be the $R_{(p)}$ modules generated by the corresponding elements of the dual bases $\{b_i^*\}$ and $\{c_i^*\}$ for $R'A^*$ (e.g., S_V^* is generated by $b_i^*, i < s$). So $S_V^* \subseteq p S_W^*$ and $T_W^* \subseteq p T_V^*$.

Assume now that $s \geq m - q$. If $m - q > s$, the same proof applies, reversing the roles of V and W .

Since $g(N_V^*) \subseteq N_V$ and $g(N_W^*) \subseteq N_W$, $V \bmod p$ must have the form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & C \\ 0 & B & Z \\ D & X & Y \end{bmatrix}$$

where the three blocks of rows (respectively columns) correspond to S_V , U and T_V (respectively S_V^* , U^* and T_V^*). Observe the upper left corner must actually be divisible by p^2 .

We claim that C and D are not both square and non-singular. Assume they are. $R_p \otimes A$ is then presented by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & tC-D' \\ 0 & tB-B' & tZ-X' \\ tD-C' & tX-Z' & tY-Y \end{bmatrix}$$

The image of T_V under the natural map $R_{(p)}A \rightarrow R_p \otimes A$ is evidently isomorphic to the $R_p C$ module presented by $tD-C'$ which is non zero by (3.2). However $T_V \subseteq p T_W$ implies that it must in fact be zero.

We now assume D is not a square non-singular matrix. If C is the one which is not non-singular we apply a similar proof, switching rows and columns.

Since D is non-singular and $s \geq m - q$, we may perform column operations on the first s columns of V so that the resulting matrix has a first column divisible by p . If we apply the corresponding row operations to V the result is a matrix V_1 congruent over R to V . The first row of V_1 cannot be divisible by p , since $p \nmid D(V)$, so clearly we can apply row and column operations to the last $m - q$ rows and columns of V_1 to produce a matrix V_2 , congruent to V , whose first column is divisible by p , whose first diagonal entry is divisible by p^2 and whose first row has only one entry not divisible by p . Therefore we see that it is possible to transfer a factor out of a column of V corresponding to some element of S_p^* and into a row corresponding to some element of T_V .

Consider the lattice N' determined as in (4.10), where $V_{N'}$ is the result of transferring the factor. N' is evidently admissible, and it is easy to see that $\alpha(d(N'), N' \cap N_W) < \alpha(d)$, while all the other primes in $d(N')$, $N' \cap N_W$ and d occur to equal powers.

This completes the proof of (4.5).

The parallel between Seifert matrices for knots and knot-like matrices can be extended (see Keef [4]). For instance it can be shown that any pair of S -equivalent knot-like matrices whose determinants are a prime are in fact congruent. Further extensions are limited by the fact that $1-t$ is not an automorphism of A_V for a general knot-like matrix.

REFERENCES

1. R.C. Blanchfield, *Intersection theory of manifolds with operators with applications to knot theory*, Ann. Math. **65** (1957), 340–356.
2. R.H. Crowell, and R.H. Fox, *Introduction to Knot Theory*, New York: Blaisdell 1963.
3. C. Kearton, *Classification of simple knots by Blanchfield duality*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **202** (1975), 141–160.
4. P.W. Keef, *On the S-equivalence of Seifert matrices for links*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University (1980).
5. J. Levine, *An algebraic classification of some knots of codimension two*, Comm. Math. Helv. **45** (1970), 185–198.
6. ———, *Knot modules I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **229** (1977), 1–50.
7. ———, *Polynomial invariants of knots of codimension two*, Ann. Math. **84** (1966), 537–554.
8. H. Seifert, *Über das Geschlecht von Knoten*, Math. Ann. **110** (1934), 571–592.
9. ———, *Die Verschlingungsinvarianten der zyklischen Knotenüberlagerungen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg Univ. **11** (1936), 84–101.
10. H.F. Trotter, *Homology of group systems with application to knot theory*, Ann. Math. **76** (1962), 464–498.
11. ———, *On S-equivalence of Seifert matrices*, Inv. Math. **20** (1973), 173–207.

