

## THE TOPOLOGY ON CERTAIN SPACES OF MULTIPLIERS OF TEMPERATE DISTRIBUTIONS

JAN KUCERA AND KELLY MCKENNON

**ABSTRACT.** In [2] and [3] the space  $\mathcal{S}'$  of temperate distributions was characterized as a union of Hilbert spaces  $L_{-q}$ ,  $q \in N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Then spaces  $\mathcal{O}_q$  were defined to consist of all functions  $u$  for which mappings  $f \rightarrow uf: L_{-q} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  are continuous, and it was proved that  $\bigcap_{q \in N} \mathcal{O}_q = \mathcal{O}_M$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_M$  is Schwartz' space of multipliers on  $\mathcal{S}'$ , see [6]. In [4], two topologies for  $\mathcal{O}_q$  were suggested. It is shown in this paper that those topologies coincide and each  $\mathcal{O}_q$ , equipped with this topology, is a bornological, complete, and reflexive space. In addition, bounded sets in  $\mathcal{O}_q$  are characterized.

**1. Notation.** For  $\alpha \in N^n$  and  $x \in R^n$  we write  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ ,  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ , and  $D^\alpha = \partial^{|\alpha|} (\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n})^{-1}$ . Further,  $C^\infty(R^n)$  is the space of all functions which have continuous derivatives of all orders on  $R^n$ ,  $C_0^\infty(R^n)$  is the space of all  $f \in C^\infty(R^n)$  with compact support,  $L^s(R^n)$ , where  $1 \leq s < +\infty$  (resp.  $s = +\infty$ ), is the space of all functions whose  $s$ -th power is integrable on  $R^n$  (resp. functions which are bounded almost everywhere on  $R^n$ ),

$$\mathcal{S} = \{f \in C^\infty(R^n) : \sup_{x \in R^n} |x^\alpha D^\beta f(x)| < \infty, \alpha, \beta \in N^n\},$$

and  $\mathcal{S}'$  is the strong dual of  $\mathcal{S}$ . It is convenient to introduce a weight function  $W(x) = (1 + \|x\|^2)^{1/2}$ , where  $\|x\|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ .

We use the so-called Sobolev (generalized, weak) derivatives. A function  $g$  is the Sobolev derivative of order  $\alpha$  of a locally integrable function  $f$  if, for any  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ , we have

$$\int_{R^n} g\phi \, dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{R^n} f D^\alpha \phi \, dx.$$

In [1] we defined for each  $q \in N$  a Hilbert space

$$L_q = \left\{ f: R^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|f\|_q^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \int_{R^n} |W^{q-|\alpha|} D^\alpha f|^2 \, dx < +\infty \right\}$$

and denoted by  $L_{-q}$  its strong dual. The derivatives in the definition of  $L_q$  are Sobolev. But it can be shown that each  $f \in L_{q+r}$ , where  $r = 1 + [(1/2)n]$ , has continuous classical derivatives of all orders  $\leq q$ . The projective limit of  $\bigcap_{q \in N} L_q$  equals  $\mathcal{S}$ . The set of all functionals from  $\bigcup_{q \in N} L_{-q}$ , restricted to  $\mathcal{S}$ , equals  $\mathcal{S}'$ . By [3] the inductive

---

Received by the editors on December 4, 1975, and in revised form on March 10, 1976.

topology of  $\bigcup_{q \in N} L_{-q}$  equals the strong topology  $\beta(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

For any  $p, q \in N$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{p,q}$  is the set of all functions  $u : R^n \rightarrow C$  for which the mapping  $f \rightarrow uf : L_p \rightarrow L_q$  is continuous. Since  $\mathcal{O}_{p,q}$  is a subspace of the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}_b(L_p, L_q)$ , of all continuous operators from  $L_p$  into  $L_q$ , it is a normed space. In fact, it is also Banach. The norm on  $\mathcal{O}_{p,q}$  is denoted by  $\| \cdot \|_{p,q}$ . For any  $q \in N$  the space  $\bigcup_{p \in N} \mathcal{O}_{p,q}$ , equipped with the inductive topology  $T_i$ , is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_q$ .

2. Auxiliaries.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces,  $Y$  reflexive,  $Y \subset X$ , and the identity mapping  $id : Y \rightarrow X$  continuous. Let  $x_0 \in X \setminus Y$ ,  $y_0 \in Y$ , and let  $V$  be a bounded closed convex neighborhood of 0 in  $Y$  such that  $y_0 \notin V$ . Then there exists a bounded closed convex neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $X$  such that  $V \subset U$  and  $x_0 \notin U, y_0 \notin U$ .*

PROOF. We show first that  $V$  is closed in  $X$ . Let  $a$  be an element of the closure of  $V$  in  $X$ . There exists a net  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I} \subset V$  such that  $a_i \rightarrow a$  in  $X$ . Since  $V$  is weakly compact in  $Y$  (Alaoglu Theorem), there exists  $K \subset I$  such that  $\{a_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in K}$  weakly converges in  $Y$  to  $b \in V$ . Therefore for all  $f \in X' \subset Y'$  we have  $f(a) = \lim_{\kappa \in K} f(a_\kappa) = f(b)$ , and by the Hahn-Banach theorem  $a = b$ .

Again, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exist  $f, g \in X'$  such that  $f(x_0) > 1, g(y_0) > 1$ , and  $f(x) < 1, g(x) < 1$  for all  $x \in V$ . Since  $V$  is bounded in  $X$ , we have  $d = \sup \{\|x\|_X, x \in V\} < +\infty$ , and the set  $\bigcup = f^{-1}((-\infty, 1]) \cap g^{-1}((-\infty, 1]) \cap \{x \in X; \|x\|_X \leq d\}$  has the required properties.

LEMMA 2. *For any pair  $p, q \in N$ , the space  $W^p L_q = \{u : R^n \rightarrow C; W^{-p}u \in L_q\}$  (with the norm of an element  $u \in W^p L_q$  given by  $\|W^{-p}u\|_q$ ) is a Hilbert space. The inductive limit  $\bigcup_{p \in N} W^p L_q$  equals  $(\mathcal{O}_q, T_i)$ .*

PROOF. Fix  $p, q \in N$  and put  $r = 1 + [(1/2)n]$ . Since  $W^{-p-r} \in L_p$  we have  $W^{-p-r}u \in L_q$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{O}_{p,q}$ . Moreover,  $\|W^{-p-r}u\|_q \leq \|W^{-p-r}\|_p \cdot \|u\|_{p,q}$ . Therefore, the identity mapping  $id : \mathcal{O}_{p,q} \rightarrow W^{p+r}L_q$  is continuous, which implies the continuity of

$$id : \mathcal{O}_q = \bigcup_{p \in N} \mathcal{O}_{p,q} \rightarrow \bigcup_{p \in N} W^{p+r}L_q = \bigcup_{p \in N} W^p L_q.$$

On the other hand, choose  $p, q \in N$ . There is a constant  $A > 0$  such that  $|D^\gamma W^p(x)| \leq A W^p(x)$  for all  $x \in R^n$  and all  $\gamma \in N^n, |\gamma| \leq q$ . It was shown in [1] that there exists another constant  $B > 0$  such that  $\|W^{p+q-|\delta|} D^\delta f\|_\infty \leq B \|f\|_{p+q+r}$  for all  $\delta \in N^n, |\delta| \leq p+q$ , and  $f \in L_{p+q+r}$ , where  $r = 1 + [(1/2)n]$ .

If  $u \in W^p L_q$  and  $f \in L_{q+q+r}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|uf\|_q &= \|W^{-p}uW^p f\|_q \\ &= \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \int_{R^n} |W^{q-|\alpha|} D^\alpha (W^{-p}uW^p f)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\cong \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta, \gamma, \delta \end{matrix} \right] \\ &\quad \left( \int_{R^n} |W^{q-|\alpha|} D^\beta (W^{-p}u) D^\gamma W^p D^\delta f|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\cong A \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta, \gamma, \delta \end{matrix} \right] \\ &\quad \left( \int_{R^n} |W^{p+q-|\alpha|} D^\beta (W^{-p}u) D^\delta f|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\cong AB \|f\|_{p+q+r} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta, \gamma, \delta \end{matrix} \right] \\ &\quad \left( \int_{R^n} |D^\beta (W^{-p}u)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\cong C \|f\|_{p+q+r} \cdot \|W^{-p}u\|_q \end{aligned}$$

for a sufficiently large constant  $C$ . It implies  $u \in \mathcal{O}_{p+q+r,q}$  and  $\|u\|_{p+q+r,q} \leq C \|W^{-p}u\|_q$ , i.e., the identity mapping  $id: W^p L_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p+q+r,q}$  is continuous and the mapping  $id: \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} W^p L_q \rightarrow \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{p+q+r,q} = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{p,q} = \mathcal{O}_q$  is continuous, too.

**LEMMA 3.** *The space  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is the strong dual of the Fréchet space  $\bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} W^{-p} L_{-q}$  with the duality form*

$$(u, v) \rightarrow \langle u, v \rangle = (W^p u)(W^{-p} \bar{v}) : \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} W^{-p} L_{-q} \times \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} W^p L_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof follows from [5], Chapter IV, Theorem 4.4.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $B \subset \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} W^{-p} L_{-q}$  be bounded and  $\Delta$  the unit ball in  $L_{-q}$ . Then there exists  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $B \subset \phi \Delta$ .*

PROOF. For each  $p \in N$  there exists  $C_p > 0$  such that  $B \subset C_p^{-1}W^{-p} \Delta$ . Let  $B^\circ$ , resp.  $\Delta^\circ$ , be the polar of  $B$ , resp.  $\Delta$ . Let  $v \in C_p W^p \Delta^\circ = (C_p^{-1}W^{-p} \Delta)^\circ$ . Then for each  $u \in C_p^{-1}W^p \Delta$  we have  $C_p W^p u \in \Delta$ ,  $C_p^{-1}W^{-p}v \in \Delta^\circ$ , and  $|\langle u, v \rangle| = |(C_p W^p u)(C_p^{-1}W^{-p}\bar{v})| \leq 1$ . Since  $B \subset C_p^{-1}W^{-p} \Delta$ , it follows that  $|\langle W^p u, W^{-p}v \rangle| = |\langle u, v \rangle| \leq 1$  for all  $u \in B$ , i.e.,  $v \in B^\circ$  and  $C_p W^p \Delta^\circ \subset B^\circ$ .

Take  $\psi \in C^\infty(R)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi(t) \leq 1$  for  $t \in R$ ,  $\psi(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$  and  $\psi(t) = 1$  for  $t > 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \Delta^\circ} \|\psi(\|\cdot\| - d)W^{-1}h\|_q \\ & \leq \limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \Delta^\circ} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \\ & \quad \left( \int_{R^n} |W^{q-|\alpha}| D^\beta h D^{\alpha-\beta} (\psi(\|x\| - d)W^{-1})|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \|D^{\alpha-\beta} (\psi(\|\cdot\| - d)W^{-1})\|_\infty = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the norm in  $R^n$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the norm in  $L^\infty(R^n)$ . Therefore for each  $p \in N$ , there exists  $d_p$  such that

$$\sup_{h \in \Delta^\circ} \|\psi(\|\cdot\| - d_p)W^{-1}h\|_q \leq C_{p+1}2^{-1-p}.$$

Choose  $\{d_p\}_{p \in N}$  so that  $d_{p+1} \geq 1 + d_p$ ,  $p \in N$ . For any  $p \in N$  and any  $h \in \Delta^\circ$  we have

$$\psi(\|\cdot\| - d_p)W^p h = W^{p+1}\psi(\|\cdot\| - d_p)W^{-1}h \in 2^{-1-p}C_{p+1}W^{p+1} \Delta^\circ.$$

Put  $\phi^{-1}(x) = (1/2)C_1 + \sum_{p=1}^\infty \psi(\|x\| - d_p)W^p(x)$ ,  $x \in R^n$ . Then  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  and, for any  $h \in \Delta^\circ$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}h &= (1/2)C_1 h + \sum_{p=1}^\infty \psi(\|\cdot\| - d_p)W^p h \in (1/2)C_1 \Delta^\circ \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^\infty 2^{-1-p}C_{p+1}W^{p+1} \Delta^\circ \subset \sum_{p=1}^\infty 2^{-p}C_p W^p \Delta^\circ \\ &\subset \sum_{p=1}^\infty 2^{-p}B^\circ = B^\circ, \text{ i.e., } \phi^{-1} \Delta^\circ \subset B^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Further,  $\|\phi W^p\|_\infty < \infty$  for each  $p \in N$ . Therefore  $\phi W^p L_q \subset L_q$  and  $B^\circ \subset \bigcup_{p \in N} W^p L_q \subset \phi^{-1} L_q$ . Take  $u \in B$ . Then  $|\langle u, v \rangle| = |\langle W^{+p}u \rangle (W^{-p}\bar{v})| \leq 1$  for each  $p \in N$  and  $v \in B^\circ \cap W^p L_q$ . Finally,  $\phi^{-1}u \in \mathcal{D}'$  and  $\phi^{-1} \Delta^\circ \subset B^\circ$ . Hence for any  $\omega \in \mathcal{D} \cap \Delta^\circ \subset \mathcal{D} \cap \phi B^\circ$ ,

we have  $|\langle \phi^{-1}u, \omega \rangle| = |u \langle \phi^{-1}\omega \rangle| = |\langle u, \phi^{-1}\bar{\omega} \rangle| \leq 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $L_q$ , this implies  $\phi^{-1}u \in \Delta$ , i.e.,  $B \subset \phi \Delta$ .

**Topology of  $\mathcal{O}_q$ .** We have already introduced the inductive topology  $T_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_q = \bigcup_{p \in N} \mathcal{O}_{p,q}$ . In [4] for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  a seminorm  $\|u\|_\phi = \|\phi u\|_q$  was defined on  $\mathcal{O}_q$ . Let  $T$  be the topology of  $\mathcal{O}_q$  generated by the system  $\{\|\cdot\|_\phi; \phi \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . Evidently the topology  $T$  is for any  $s, 1 \leq s \leq +\infty$ , generated by the seminorms  $\|\phi D^\alpha u\|_{L^s}, \phi \in \mathcal{S}, \alpha \in N^n, |\alpha| \leq q$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{L^s}$  is the norm in  $L^s(R^n)$ .

**THEOREM.**  $(\mathcal{O}_q, T_i) = (\mathcal{O}_q, T)$ .

**PROOF.** It was shown in [4] that  $T_i$  is stronger than  $T$ . To prove the other inclusion, choose  $U \in T_i, 0 \in U$ . By Lemma 3, there exists a bounded set  $B$  in  $\bigcap_{p \in N} W^{-p}L_{-q}$  such that the neighborhood  $V = \{u \in \mathcal{O}_q : |\langle u, v \rangle| < 1 \text{ for all } v \in B\}$  is contained in  $U$ . The inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  was introduced in Lemma 3. By Lemma 4, there exists  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $B \subset \phi \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the unit ball in  $L_{-q}$ . Since for any  $u \in \mathcal{O}_q$  we have  $\sup\{|\langle u, v \rangle| : v \in B\} \leq \sup\{|\langle u, \phi w \rangle|; w \in \Delta\} = \sup\{|\bar{w}(\phi u)| : w \in \Delta\} \leq \|\phi u\|_q = \|u\|_\phi$ , the  $T_i$ -neighborhood  $V$  of 0 contains the  $T$ -neighborhood  $\{u \in \mathcal{O}_q : \|u\|_\phi < 1\}$  of 0. Hence  $V \in T$ .

**3. Bounded sets in  $\mathcal{O}_q$ .**

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $B \subset (\mathcal{O}_q, T_i)$  be bounded. Then there exists  $p \in N$  such that  $B \subset W^p L_q$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $B \setminus W^p L_q \neq \emptyset$  for every  $p \in N$ . Then there exist sequences  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$  and  $\{f_k\}_{k \in N} \subset B$  such that  $f_k \in W^{p_k} L_q \setminus W^{p_{k-1}} L_q$ . Choose a closed bounded convex neighborhood  $V_1$  of 0 in  $W^{p_1} L_q$  such that  $f_1 \notin V_1$ . When  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{k-1}$  are chosen, we choose, according to Lemma 1, a closed bounded convex neighborhood  $V_k$  of 0 in  $W^{p_k} L_q$  so that  $V_{k-1} \subset V_k$  and  $s^{-1}f_s \notin V_k$  for all  $s = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $\bigcup_{k \in N} V_k$  is a convex neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathcal{O}_q$  which does not absorb the bounded set  $\{f_k\}_{k \in N}$ , which is a contradiction.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $B \subset (\mathcal{O}_q, T_i)$  be bounded. Then there exists  $p \in N$  such that  $B$  is bounded in  $W^p L_q$ .*

**PROOF.** There exists  $p \in N$  such that  $B \subset W^p L_q$ . Assume that  $B$  is not bounded in any  $W^s L_q, s \geq p$ . Put  $V_p = \{x \in W^p L_q : \|W^{-p}x\|_q \leq 1\}$  and take  $f_p \in B \setminus pV_p$ . For the induction, assume that  $V_p \subset V_{p+1} \subset \dots \subset V_{k-1}$  and  $f_p, f_{p+1}, \dots, f_{k-1}$  are chosen. Since  $\sup\{\|W^{-s}f\|_q : f \in B\} = +\infty$  for any  $s \geq p$ , there exists  $f_k \in B$

such that  $f_k \notin kV_{k-1}$ . By Lemma 1 there exists a closed bounded convex neighborhood  $V_k$  of 0 in  $W^kL_q$  such that  $V_{k-1} \subset V_k$  and  $f_s \notin sV_k$  for  $s = p, p + 1, \dots, k$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k$  is a convex neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathcal{O}_q$  which does not absorb the bounded set  $\{f_k\}_{k \geq p} \subset B$ , which is a contradiction.

MISECELLANEA.  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is a reflexive, complete, bornological space.

PROOF. The Fréchet space  $F = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} W^{-p}L_{-q}$  is barreled. By [5], IV, 5.8, it is semi-reflexive. Hence, by [5], IV, 5.6, it is reflexive. Finally, the strong dual  $\mathcal{O}'_q$  of a reflexive Fréchet space  $F$  is reflexive, complete, and bornological.

$\mathcal{S}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{O}'_q$ .

PROOF. Since  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is reflexive, the strong topology on  $\mathcal{O}'_q$  is the Mackey topology of the duality  $\langle \mathcal{O}'_q, \mathcal{O}_q \rangle$ . Hence convex subsets of  $\mathcal{O}'_q$  have the same closures in both the weak\* and norm topologies, see [5], IV, 3.3. Thus, we need only to show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is weakly dense in  $\mathcal{O}'_q$ .

If  $g \in \mathcal{O}_q, g \neq 0$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int fg d\mu \neq 0$ , where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{S}$  separates points of  $\mathcal{O}_q$  with respect to the duality and by [5], IV, 1.3,  $\mathcal{S}$  is weakly dense in  $\mathcal{O}'_q$ .

EXAMPLE.  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is neither Montel nor nuclear. Let  $\Omega(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|x\| \leq r\}$ . The set  $B = \{u \in L_q; \|u\|_q \leq 1 \text{ and } \text{supp } u \subset \Omega(1)\}$  is bounded and closed in  $\mathcal{O}_q$ . To show that it is not compact, take  $u \in B, u \neq 0$ , and put  $u_k(x) = u(kx), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, v_k = u_k \|u_k\|_q^{-1}, k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $v_k \in B$  and for any  $\omega \in \mathcal{S}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle v_k, \omega \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v_k \bar{\omega} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega(k^{-1})} v_k \bar{\omega} dx \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega(k^{-1})} |v_k \omega|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega(k^{-1})} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|\omega\|_\infty \cdot \|v_k\|_q \cdot \mu(\Omega(k^{-1})) \\ &\leq \|\omega\|_\infty \cdot \mu(\Omega(1)) \cdot k^{-(1/2)n} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{O}'_q$ ,  $\{v_k\}$  converges weakly to 0 in  $\mathcal{O}_q$ .

On the other hand, take  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\phi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in \Omega(1)$ . Then the seminorm  $\|v_k\|_\phi = \|\phi v_k\|_q = 1$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore, neither  $\{v_k\}$  nor any of its subsequences converge to 0 in  $\mathcal{O}_q$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is not Montel.

Since  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is complete and  $B$  is closed in  $\mathcal{O}_q$ ,  $B$  is not precompact and  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is not nuclear.

**PROPOSITION.** *The inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_q \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}, L_q)$  holds. The bounded and the pointwise topology of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}, L_q)$  coincide on  $\mathcal{O}_q$ .*

**PROOF.** Since the topology  $T$  of  $\mathcal{O}_q$  is the pointwise topology, it remains to show that  $T$  is stronger than the topology of  $\mathcal{O}_q$  relative to  $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}, L_q)$ .

The identity mapping  $id : \mathcal{O}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(L_p, L_q)$  is continuous. Therefore  $id : \mathcal{O}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}, L_q)$  and  $id : (\mathcal{O}_q, T_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}, L_q)$  are continuous too. Since  $T = T_i$ , the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. J. Kučera, *Fourier  $L_2$ -transform of Distributions*, Czech. Math. J. 19 (1969), 143-153.
2. ———, *On Multipliers of Temperate Distributions*, Czech. Math. J. 21 (1971), 610-618.
3. J. Kučera, K. McKennon, *Certain Topologies on the Space of Temperate Distributions and its Multipliers*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24 (1975), 773-775.
4. J. Kučera, *Extension of the  $L$  Schwartz space  $\mathcal{O}_M$  of Multipliers of Temperate Distributions*, J. of Math. Analysis and Appl. 56 (1976), 368-372.
5. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 3, 3rd printing, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1971.
6. L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Nouvelle édition, Hermann, Paris, 1966.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, PULLMAN, WASHINGTON 99163

