

## FIXED-POINT THEOREMS FOR MAPPINGS WITH NON-CONVEX DOMAIN AND RANGE

PETER KUHFITIG

**ABSTRACT.** The main purpose of this paper is to obtain two fixed-point theorems under conditions weaker than the usual condition of convexity: if  $H$  is a subset of a linear space and  $K$  a subset of  $H$ , then  $H$  is defined to be "star-shaped with respect to  $K$ " if  $x \in K$  and  $y \in H$  implies that  $(1-t)x + ty \in H$  ( $0 < t < 1$ ).

Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space,  $H$  a closed subset of  $X$ , and  $K$  a closed (respectively compact and star-shaped) subset of  $H$ . Suppose further that  $H$  is star-shaped with respect to  $K$ . If  $T: K \rightarrow H$  is a contractive (respectively nonexpansive) mapping, and if  $T: \partial_H K \rightarrow K$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K$ .

One of the most common assumptions in fixed-point theory, especially for nonexpansive mappings from a set  $C$  to itself, is that  $C$  be convex. In an attempt to relax this assumption, Dotson [1] has recently obtained a fixed-point theorem for nonexpansive self-mappings of compact star-shaped sets in Banach space. Generalizing in another direction, Kirk [2] has replaced the self-mappings by a wider class: if  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space,  $H$  a closed convex subset of  $X$ , and  $K$  a bounded, closed convex subset of  $H$  with normal structure, then  $T: K \rightarrow H$ ,  $T$  nonexpansive, has a fixed point in  $K$ , under the natural assumption that the relative boundary of  $K$  is mapped back into  $K$ . The main purpose of this paper is to obtain, under certain conditions, two fixed-point theorems for  $T: K \rightarrow H$ , where  $H$  is "star-shaped with respect to  $K$ " (defined below), again assuming that the relative boundary of  $K$  (denoted by  $\partial_H K$ ) is mapped into  $K$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** A subset  $H$  of a linear space is said to be *star-shaped* if there exists at least one point  $c \in H$  (called a *star-center*), such that, if  $x \in H$  and  $0 < t < 1$ , then  $(1-t)c + tx \in H$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** Suppose  $H$  is a subset of a linear space and  $K$  a subset of  $H$ . We shall call  $H$  *star-shaped with respect to  $K$*  if every  $x \in K$  is a star-center of  $H$ .

The first theorem is proved by an iteration procedure similar to that used in proving the contraction mapping principle of Banach.

---

Received by the editors on June 5, 1975, and in revised form on November 17, 1975.

*AMS subject classifications.* Primary 47H10; Secondary 47H15.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed points, nonexpansive mappings, contractions.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space,  $H$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , and  $K$  a closed subset of  $H$ . Suppose further that  $H$  is star-shaped with respect to  $K$ . If  $T : K \rightarrow H$  is a contraction mapping, and if  $T : \partial_H K \rightarrow K$ , then  $T$  has a (unique) fixed point in  $K$ .*

**PROOF.** To prove this theorem we construct a sequence in  $H$  as follows: let  $x_0 \in K$  and  $x_1' = Tx_0$ . If  $x_1' \in K$ , let  $x_1 = x_1'$ , but if  $x_1' \notin K$ , choose

$$x_1 \in \partial_H K \cap \{(1-t)x_0 + tx_1' : 0 \leq t \leq 1\},$$

which is nonempty since the set

$$\{(1-t)x_0 + tx_1' : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

is connected. In either case  $x_1 \in K$ . Next we let  $x_2' = Tx_1$  and set  $x_2 = x_2'$  if  $x_2' \in K$ ; otherwise choose

$$x_2 \in \partial_H K \cap \{(1-t)x_1 + tx_2' : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Proceeding inductively we obtain the sequence  $\{x_n'\}$  in  $H$  as follows:

- (1)  $x_{n+1}' = Tx_n$
- (2)  $x_{n+1} = x_{n+1}'$  if  $x_{n+1}' \in K$ , or, if  $x_{n+1}' \notin K$ , choose
- (3)  $x_{n+1} \in \partial_H K \cap \{(1-t)x_n + tx_{n+1}' : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ .

To show that  $\{x_n'\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, consider

$$\|x_n' - x_{n+1}'\| = \|Tx_{n-1} - Tx_n\| \leq \lambda \|x_{n-1} - x_n\|,$$

where  $\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ) is the Lipschitz constant of  $T$ . First we observe that if  $x_{n-1}' \neq x_{n-1}$ , then  $x_{n-1} \in \partial_H K$ , so that  $x_n' = Tx_{n-1} \in K$ , and  $x_n' = x_n$ . Similarly,  $x_{n-2}' = x_{n-2}$ . Now if  $x_{n-1}' = x_{n-1}$  and  $x_n' \neq x_n$ ,  $\|x_{n-1} - x_n\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - x_n'\| = \|x_{n-1}' - x_n'\|$  by 3; but if  $x_{n-1}' \neq x_{n-1}$  and  $x_n' = x_n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n-1} - x_n\| &\leq \|x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}'\| + \|x_{n-1}' - x_n'\| \\ &= \|x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}'\| + \|Tx_{n-2} - Tx_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}'\| + \lambda \|x_{n-2} - x_{n-1}\| \\ &< \|x_{n-2} - x_{n-1}'\| \quad (\text{by 3}) \\ &= \|x_{n-2}' - x_{n-1}'\|. \end{aligned}$$

So if  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$\|x_n' - x_{n+1}'\| \leq \lambda \|x_{n-1}' - x_n'\|$$

or

$$\|x_n' - x_{n+1}'\| \leq \lambda \|x_{n-2}' - x_{n-1}'\|.$$

Letting  $M = \max \{ \|x_0' - x_1'\|, \|x_1' - x_2'\| \}$ , it is easily shown by induction that  $\|x_n' - x_{n+1}'\| \leq \lambda^{(n-1)/2}M$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_n' - x_{n+m}'\| \\ &= \|x_n' - x_{n+1}' + x_{n+1}' - \cdots - x_{n+m-2}' + x_{n+m-2}' \\ &\quad - x_{n+m-1}' + x_{n+m-1}' - x_{n+m}'\| \\ &\leq \|x_n' - x_{n+1}'\| + \cdots + \|x_{n+m-2}' - x_{n+m-1}'\| \\ &\quad + \|x_{n+m-1}' - x_{n+m}'\| \\ &\leq (\lambda^{(n-1)/2} + \cdots + \lambda^{(n+m-3)/2} + \lambda^{(n+m-2)/2})M \\ &= \lambda^{(n-1)/2}(1 + \cdots + \lambda^{(m-2)/2} + \lambda^{(m-1)/2})M \\ &\leq \lambda^{(n-1)/2}(1 - \lambda^{1/2})M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{x_n'\}$  is a Cauchy sequence converging to some  $x \in H$ , since  $H$  is closed.

To obtain a convergent sequence in  $K$ , recall that whenever  $x_n' \neq x_n$ , we have that  $x_{n+1}' = x_{n+1}$ , implying the existence of an infinite subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  in  $K$  for which  $x_{n_i}' = x_{n_i}$ . Since  $K$  is closed in  $H$ , it follows that  $x \in K$ .

Finally, for the sequence  $\{x'_{i+1}\}$

$$\|x'_{n_i+1} - Tx\| = \|Tx_{n_i} - Tx\| \leq \lambda \|x_{n_i} - x\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $\{x'_{n_i+1}\} \rightarrow Tx$ . But  $\{x'_{i+1}\} \rightarrow x$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , implying that  $Tx = x$ .

Following a procedure similar to Dotson's we obtain

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space,  $H$  a closed subset of  $X$ , and  $K$  a compact star-shaped subset of  $H$ . Suppose further that  $H$  is star-shaped with respect to  $K$ . If  $T : K \rightarrow H$  is nonexpansive, and if  $T : \partial_H K \rightarrow H$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $c$  be a star-center of  $K$  and let  $t_n = n/(n + 1)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Define a mapping  $T_n : K \rightarrow H$  by  $T_n x = (1 - t_n)c + t_n Tx$  for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $T : K \rightarrow H$ ,  $T_n$  is well-defined. If  $x \in \partial_H K$ ,  $Tx \in K$ , and  $(1 - t_n)c + t_n Tx \in K$  for all  $n$ ; hence  $T_n$  maps the relative boundary of  $K$  into  $K$ . Furthermore, for each  $n$  and all  $x, y \in K$

$$\|T_n x - T_n y\| = t_n \|Tx - Ty\| \leq t_n \|x - y\|,$$

so that  $T_n$  is a contraction mapping. Since  $K$  is closed,  $T_n$  has a unique fixed point  $x_n \in K$  by Theorem 1. That  $K$  is compact implies that there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  converging to some  $x \in K$ . Being

nonexpansive,  $T$  is continuous, so that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n_i} = Tx$ . Each  $x_{n_i}$  is a fixed point of  $T$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i} &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{n_i} x_{n_i} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [(1 - t_{n_i})c + Tx_{n_i}] = Tx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i} = x$ , it follows that  $Tx = x$ .

If  $H$  is convex, it is star-shaped with respect to any subset:

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $H$  a closed convex subset of  $X$ , and  $K$  a compact star-shaped subset of  $H$ . If  $T : K \rightarrow H$  is nonexpansive, and if  $T : \partial_H K \rightarrow K$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K$ .*

Another consequence of the theorem results by taking  $H = X$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space,  $K$  a compact star-shaped subset of  $X$ , and  $T : K \rightarrow X$  a nonexpansive mapping such that  $T : \partial_X K \rightarrow K$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K$ .*

Suppose  $U = \{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a family of mappings from a set  $K$  to a set  $H \supseteq K$ , such that each member has at least one fixed point in  $K$ . Denote by  $F_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) the set of fixed points of  $T_\lambda$ , and let  $D = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda$ . We shall call  $U$  *commutative on the set of fixed points* provided that  $T_\lambda(D) \subseteq K$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $T_\lambda T_\alpha x = T_\alpha T_\lambda x$  for all  $\lambda, \alpha \in \Lambda$  and all  $x \in D$ .

Another definition required in the next theorem is the following: a Banach space is called *strictly convex* if for any pair of non-zero elements  $x, y \in X$ , from  $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$  it follows that  $x = ay$ ,  $a > 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $X$  is a strictly convex Banach space,  $H$  a closed subset of  $X$ , and  $K$  a compact and convex subset of  $H$ . Suppose further that  $H$  is star-shaped with respect to  $K$ . Let  $U = \{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of nonexpansive mappings each member of which satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2. If  $U$  is commutative on the set of fixed points, then  $U$  has a common fixed point in  $K$ .*

**PROOF.** To justify the hypothesis, we observe that each  $T_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) has a fixed point in  $K$  by Theorem 2. Now if  $x \in F_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then  $T_\lambda(T_\alpha x) = T_\alpha(T_\lambda x) = T_\alpha x$  for any  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ; hence  $T_\alpha x \in F_\lambda$ , so that  $T_\alpha$  maps  $F_\lambda$  into itself.

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  be a sequence from  $\Lambda$ , and assume that  $F = F_{\lambda_1} \cap F_{\lambda_2} \cap \dots \cap F_{\lambda_{n-1}}$  is nonempty. Since  $T_{\lambda_n}$  maps  $F_{\lambda_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) into itself,  $T_{\lambda_n}$  may be viewed as a self-mapping of  $F$ .

Next we show that  $F_\lambda$  is convex and closed for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . If  $x, y \in F_\lambda$ , there exists a line segment in  $K$  joining the two points, since  $K$  is convex. For any  $z$  on this segment

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| &\leq \|x - T_\lambda z\| + \|T_\lambda z - y\| \\ &= \|T_\lambda x - T_\lambda z\| + \|T_\lambda z - T_\lambda y\| \\ &\leq \|x - z\| + \|z - y\| \\ &= \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|x - y\| = \|x - T_\lambda z\| + \|T_\lambda z - y\|$ , which implies that  $T_\lambda z$  lies on the segment joining  $x$  and  $y$  by strict convexity. Since  $T_\lambda$  is non-expansive,

$$\|x - T_\lambda z\| = \|T_\lambda x - T_\lambda z\| \leq \|x - z\|,$$

so that  $T_\lambda z$  lies on the segment joining  $x$  and  $z$ . Similarly,  $T_\lambda z$  lies on the segment joining  $z$  and  $y$ , which is possible only if  $T_\lambda z = z$ . That  $F_\lambda$  is closed follows from the continuity of  $T_\lambda$ .

As a result,  $F$  is both convex and closed and therefore compact. Since  $T_{\lambda_n}$  is a self-mapping of  $F$ , it has a fixed point by the fixed-point theorem of Schauder, as well as by Theorem 2, proving that  $F \cap F_{\lambda_n}$  is nonempty. Consequently, the family  $\{F_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  has the finite intersection property, and since  $K$  is compact,  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda$  is nonempty.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. G. Dotson, Jr., *Fixed point theorems for non-expansive mappings on star-shaped subsets of Banach spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 4 (1972), 408-410.
2. W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for nonlinear nonexpansive and generalized contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. 39 (1971), 89-94.

MILWAUKEE SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING, MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53201

