

## SWEEPING MEASURES FROM THE POLYDISC TO THE TORUS

HOWARD LEWIS PENN<sup>(1)</sup>

Let  $A(\Delta^n)$  be the polydisc algebra consisting of functions continuous on the closed polydisc,  $\Delta^n$ , and analytic on the interior. The distinguished boundary of the polydisc is the  $n$ -dimensional torus,  $T^n$ . This is a compact connected Abelian group. Its dual is  $Z^n$ , the cross product of  $n$  copies of the integers. Let  $Z^n_+$  be the set of all  $\alpha \in Z^n$  with  $\alpha_i \in Z_+$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $A(T^n)$  be the algebra of continuous functions on  $T^n$  whose Fourier series vanish off  $Z^n_+$ . Theorem 2.2.1 in *Function Theory in Polydiscs* by Walter Rudin [4] shows that  $A(\Delta^n)$  and  $A(T^n)$  are in one-to-one correspondence. The element in  $A(T^n)$  corresponding to  $f \in A(\Delta^n)$  is denoted by  $f^*$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\Delta^n$ . The measure,  $\mu$ , can be considered as a linear functional on  $A(\Delta^n)$  and hence on  $A(T^n)$ . The linear functional can be extended to a linear functional on  $C(T^n)$ . This linear functional gives a measure,  $\sigma$ , defined on  $T^n$  such that

$$\int_{\Delta^n} f d\mu = \int_{T^n} f^* d\sigma$$

for every  $f \in A(\Delta^n)$ . The theorem below gives a construction method of finding the measure  $\sigma$ . In one variable a similar construction was given by Rubel and Shields [3].

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure defined on  $\Delta^n$  then a measure  $\sigma$  can be constructed on  $T^n$  such that  $\int_{\Delta^n} f d\mu = \int_{T^n} f^* d\sigma$  for all  $f \in A(\Delta^n)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\Delta^n$ . Then  $\mu = \mu_T + \mu_0 + \mu_I$ , where  $\mu_T$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $T^n$ ,  $\mu_0$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to the open polydisc,  $U^n$  and  $\mu_I$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to the indistinguished boundary.

Consider first  $\mu_0$ . Look at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U^n} f(z) d\mu_0(z) \\ &= \int_{U^n} \int_{T^n} f^*(t) P(r, \theta - t) dm(t) d\mu_0(r, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors on September 23, 1975.

(1) The work in this paper was completed as part of the author's doctoral thesis at the University of Michigan.

where  $P(r, \theta - t)$  is the Poisson kernel and  $r$  and  $\theta$  are multivariables such that  $z_i = r_i e^{i\theta}$ . Now  $f^*(t)P(r, \theta - t)$  is an integral function with respect to  $t$ .  $\int_{T^n} f^*(t)P(r, \theta - t) dm(t)$  is an integral function with respect to  $\mu(r, \theta)$  since it is just  $f(z)$ . Therefore we may apply Fubini's Theorem.

This gives us

$$\int_{T^n} f^*(t) \left\{ \int_{U^n} P(r, \theta - t) d\mu_0(r, \theta) \right\} dm(t) = \int_{U^n} f(z) d\mu_0(z).$$

In particular, if we let  $f = 1$  we see that the quantity inside the brackets is an integral function. Let

$$\sigma_0(t) = \int_{U^n} P(r, \theta - t) d\mu_0(r, \theta)m(t);$$

then  $\sigma_0$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $m$  and  $\int_{T^n} f d\sigma_0 = \int_{U^n} f d\mu_0$  for all  $f \in A(T^n)$ .

We will now replace  $\mu_l$  by a measure supported on  $T^n$ . We break  $I$  up into  $2^n - 2$  subsets which are determined by which coordinates are equal to 1 in modulus. One such subset,  $U_j$ , would look like  $\Delta x T x T x \Delta x \Delta x T x \cdots x T$ . We will denote an element of  $U_j$  by  $(z_j, e^{it_j})$ , where  $z_j$  is a vector having coordinates which are equal to one in modulus. If we fix  $t_j$ , then the points of  $U_j$  having these coordinates form the interior of a polydisc of dimension less than  $n$ . The boundary of this polydisc, i.e., those points in  $T^n$  with the proper coordinates equal to  $t_j$ , shall be denoted by  $T_t$ . The restriction of  $\mu_l$  to  $U_j$  shall be called  $\mu_j$ . We shall replace  $\mu_j$  by a measure supported on  $T^n$  which represents the same linear functional on  $A(T^n)$  as  $\mu_j$ . Let  $f^* \in A(T^n)$ . Let  $f$  be the extension of  $f^*$  to  $\Delta^n$  and consider  $f(z_j, e^{it_j})$ . Look at

$$\int_{U_j} f(z_j, e^{it_j}) d\mu_j.$$

But  $f(z_j, e^{it_j}) = \int_{T_t} P(r, \theta - \alpha) f^*(e^{i\alpha}, e^{it_j}) dm_t(\alpha)$ , where  $P(r, \theta - \alpha)$  is the Poisson kernel and  $m_t$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $T_t$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{U_j} f d\mu_j = \int_{U_j} \left\{ \int_{T_t} P(r, \theta - \alpha) f^*(e^{i\alpha}, e^{it_j}) dm_t(\alpha) \right\} d\mu_j.$$

The formula on the right is meaningful for all continuous functions on  $T^n$ . It defines a linear function of  $C(T^n)$ , and hence is a measure, which we shall call  $\sigma_j$ . So we have

$$\int_{T^n} g d\sigma_j = \int_{U_j} \left\{ \int_{T_t} P(r, \theta - \alpha) g(e^{i\alpha}, e^{it_j}) dm_t(\alpha) \right\} d\mu_j.$$

Therefore,  $\int_{T^n} f^* d\sigma_j = \int_{U_j} f d\mu_j$  for all  $f \in A(\Delta^n)$ . Let  $\sigma = \mu_T$

$+\sigma_0 + \sum \sigma_i$ . It is clear by the construction that  $\int_{\Delta^n} f d\mu = \int_{T^n} f^* d\sigma$ .

The following definitions involve the theory of uniform algebras [2].

**DEFINITION.** A compact set  $K$  is said to be a peak interpolation set (PI) with respect to the algebra,  $\mathcal{A}$ , if given any  $f \in C(K)$  there exists  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $g = f$  on  $K$  and  $|g(x)| < \|f\|_K$  for all  $x \in X \setminus K$ , where  $X$  is the compact connected Hausdorff space on which the algebra is defined.

**DEFINITION.** The set  $K$  is called a peak set ( $P$ ) if there exists  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in K$  and  $|f(x)| < 1$  for  $x \in X \setminus K$ .

**DEFINITION.** The set  $K$  is a null set ( $N$ ) if  $|\mu|(K) = 0$  for every complex measure,  $\mu$ , on  $X$  such that  $\int g d\mu = 0$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ . In other words  $K$  has total variation zero for any measure which annihilates  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Since the algebra  $A(\Delta^n)$  can be defined either on  $\Delta^n$  or on  $T^n$ , there are two possible definitions for each of the properties. They will be called respectively  $PI_\Delta$ ,  $PI_T$ ,  $P_\Delta$ ,  $P_T$ ,  $N_\Delta$ , and  $N_T$ . Theorem 6.1.2 in Rudin [4] shows the equivalence of 5 properties, including  $N_T$ ,  $PI_\Delta$ , and  $P_\Delta$ . The following example shows that  $P_\Delta$  and  $P_T$  are not equivalent.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $K = \{(z, w) \in T^2 : z = 1\}$ . The set  $K$  has the property  $P_T$ . Let  $f(z) = (1 + z)/2$ . Then  $f = 1$  on  $K$  and  $|f(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in T^2 \setminus K$ . However,  $K$  does not have the property  $P_\Delta$ . Any function having the value one on  $K$  and belonging to the 2-disc algebra will also have the value one at any point of the polydisc with  $z$  coordinate equal to one. Indeed, the function,  $f$ , being a member of the 2-disc algebra, has a restriction to  $\{(z, w) : z = 1\}$  which is a member of the disc algebra. This restricted function has boundary values equal to one and, hence, is equal to one throughout the disc.

The proof that  $PI_T$  implies  $N_\Delta$  is accomplished by showing  $PI_T$  implies  $PI_\Delta$  and then using a theorem due to Bishop [1] which shows that for any uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space  $PI$  and  $N$  are equivalent. This clearly also shows that  $N_T$  and  $N_\Delta$  are equivalent. The previous theorem can be used to give a direct proof that  $N_T$  and  $N_\Delta$  are equivalent.

**THEOREM.** A compact set,  $K$ , has the property  $N_\Delta$  if and only if it has the property  $N_T$ .

**PROOF.** The fact that  $N_\Delta \Rightarrow N_T$  is trivial. Assume  $K$  is an  $N_T$  set. We first show that  $m(K) = 0$ , where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure on the torus. Look at the annihilating measure formed in the following man-

ner. Let  $T'$  be the subcircle of  $T^n$  formed by the collection of points in  $T^n$  which have all coordinates equal. Let  $m'$  be the Lebesgue measure of  $T'$ . Then  $m'$  is a representing measure for evaluation at the point  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Consider the measure  $dm - dm'$ . Since both  $dm$  and  $dm'$  are representing measures for the same point in the maximal ideal space, their difference is an annihilating measure and therefore has no mass on  $K$ . But  $dm$  and  $dm'$  are mutually singular and therefore neither  $dm$  nor  $dm'$  can have any mass  $K$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\Delta^n$  which annihilates  $A(\Delta^n)$ . Then as in the previous theorem  $\mu = \mu_T + \mu_0 + \mu_I$ . We will replace  $\mu$  by  $\sigma = \mu_T + \sigma_0 + \sigma_I$ . The measure  $\sigma$ , is an annihilating measure on  $T^n$ . Therefore  $|\sigma|(K) = 0$  because  $K$  is a  $N_T$  set. Therefore  $|\mu_T|(K) = 0$  and hence  $|\mu|(K) = 0$ . This will prove the theorem.

Recall that  $\sigma_0(t) = \int_{U^n} P(r, \theta - t) d\mu_0(r, \theta)m(t)$ , and that  $\sigma_0$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure,  $m$ . Therefore  $|\sigma_0|(K) = 0$ . Now recall that  $\mu_I$  was divided into the sum of measures,  $\mu_j$ , which have disjoint support. Each of these was replaced by a measure  $\sigma_j$ . We wish to show that  $|\sigma_j|(K) = 0$ . We will do this by showing that  $\sigma_j(K') = 0$  for all  $K' \subset K$ .

$$* \quad \sigma_j(K') = \int_{U_j} \left\{ \int_{T_i} P(r, \theta - \alpha) \chi_{K'}(e^{i\alpha}, e^{it_j}) dm_t(\alpha) \right\} d\mu_j,$$

where  $\chi_{K'}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $K'$ . Fix  $t_j$ . I claim that  $m_t(K' \cap T_j) = 0$ . Consider the measure  $\tau$  defined by

$$\int f d\tau = \int_{T_i} f(e^{i\alpha})g(e^{i\alpha}) dm_t(\alpha)$$

where

$$g(e^{i\alpha}) = (e^{i\alpha_{j1}}) \cdot (e^{i\alpha_{j2}}) \cdot \dots \cdot (e^{i\alpha_{jn}})$$

where the  $\alpha_{j1}$ 's are the indices of  $\alpha$ .  $\tau$  is an annihilating measure for  $A(T^n)$ . But  $\tau$  and  $m_t$  are mutually absolutely continuous. Therefore, the inner integral in  $*$  is always zero. Therefore  $\sigma_j(K') = 0$ , and hence  $|\sigma_j|(K) = 0$ . Now let

$$\sigma = \mu_T + \sum_0^{2^n-2} \sigma_j.$$

Then  $\sigma$  is an annihilating measure of  $A(T^n)$  supported on  $T^n$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T^n} f d\sigma &= \int_{T^n} d\mu_T + \sum_0^{2^n-2} \int_{T^n} f d\sigma_j \\ &= \int_{T^n} f d\mu_T + \sum_0^{2^n-2} \int_{U_j} f d\mu_j = \int_{\Delta^n} f d\mu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $|\sigma|(K) = 0$  because  $K$  is an  $N_T$  set. So  $|\mu_T|(K) = 0$  since  $\mu_T$  is the sum of a finite number of measures, each with no mass on  $K$ . Therefore  $K$  is an  $N_\Delta$  set.

## REFERENCES

1. Erret Bishop, *A minimal boundary for function algebras*, Pac. J. Math. 9 (1959), 629-642.
2. Theodore W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. (1969).
3. L. A. Rubel and A. L. Shields, *The space of bounded analytic functions on a region*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 16, 1(1966), 235-277.
4. Walter Rudin, *Function Theory in Polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York (1969).

UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21402

