

## A REMARK ON SIMPLE PATH FIELDS IN POLYHEDRA OF CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

EDWARD FADELL

1. **Introduction.** A *path field*  $\varphi$  on a space  $X$  is a map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X^I$  such that  $\varphi(x)(0) = x$ ,  $x \in X$ , and if  $\varphi(x)(t) = x$  for  $t > 0$  then  $\varphi(x)$  is the constant path.  $\varphi$  is non-singular if  $\varphi(x)$  is never the constant path. A non-singular path field  $\varphi$  is *simple* if  $\varphi(x)$  is a simple arc for each  $x$ . Differentiable manifolds of (Euler) characteristic zero admit simple path fields while topological manifolds of characteristic zero are known to admit non-singular path fields [1]. The existence of simple path fields in the topological category is an open question. The purpose of this note is to observe that in the case of *triangulated* manifolds of characteristic zero it is easy to find a simple path field. In fact, every polyhedron  $K$  satisfying the so-called Wecken condition of characteristic zero admits a simple path field  $\varphi$  such that the track of  $\varphi(x)$  is a broken line segment.

2. **Preliminaries.** Let  $K$  denote a finite polyhedron. We will not distinguish in the notation between  $K$  as a simplicial complex and  $K$  as the underlying space. If  $x$  is a point of  $K$ , then  $\sigma(x)$  is the unique (open) simplex of  $K$  which contains  $x$ . Furthermore, if  $\Delta$  represents the diagonal in  $K \times K$ , there is a special neighborhood of  $\Delta$  given by

$$(1) \quad \eta(\Delta) = \{(x, y) : \sigma(x) \text{ and } \sigma(y) \text{ have a common vertex}\}.$$

Each point  $x \in K$  also has a special neighborhood defined by

$$(2) \quad V(x) = \{y : (x, y) \in \eta(\Delta)\}.$$

Following R. F. Brown [2], we call a map  $f : K \rightarrow K$  a *proximity map* if  $f(x) \in V(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ .

If  $a, b$  are points of  $K$  in the same closed simplex, then  $[a, b]$  will denote the segment from  $a$  to  $b$ . The following lemma is a somewhat stronger version of a lemma contained in [2] and [3]. The proof is the same except for additional observations.

---

Received by the editors February 2, 1972.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 55-50, 54-78, 57-01.

LEMMA 2.1. *There exists a map  $\alpha : \eta(\Delta) \rightarrow K^I$  such that*

- (1)  $\alpha(x, y)$  is a path from  $x$  to  $y$ ,
- (2)  $\alpha(x, x)$  is the constant path at  $x$ ,
- (3) the track of  $\alpha(x, y)$  has the form  
 $[x, z] \cup [z, y]$ ,  
 where  $z = z(x, y)$ ,
- (4)  $\alpha(y, x)$  is the reverse of  $\alpha(x, y)$ , i.e.,  
 $\alpha(y, x)(t) = \alpha(x, y)(1 - t)$ , and
- (5) if  $x \neq y$ ,  $\alpha(x, y)$  is a simple path.

PROOF. Following [2] and [3] we assume  $K$  is realized in some Euclidean space and each  $x \in K$  is provided with coordinates, i.e.,

$$(3) \quad x = \sum \lambda_j v_j, \lambda_j \geq 0, \sum \lambda_j = 1,$$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are the vertices of  $K$ . If  $y = \sum \mu_j v_j$ , set

$$(4) \quad \beta = \beta(x, y) = \sum (\lambda_j \mu_j)^{1/2}$$

and

$$(5) \quad z = z(x, y) = (1/\beta) \sum (\lambda_j \mu_j)^{1/2} v_j.$$

A simple argument shows that

$$(6) \quad [x, z] \cap [z, y] = z, (x, y) \in \eta(\Delta).$$

Assuming that  $K$  is simplicially imbedded in some Euclidean space with the usual metric  $d$ , define

$$(7) \quad \ell(w) = \begin{cases} d(x, w), & w \in [x, z], \\ d(x, z) + d(z, w), & w \in [z, y], \end{cases}$$

and parametrize  $[x, z] \cup [z, y]$  with a map

$$(8) \quad \alpha(x, y) : I \rightarrow K, (x, y) \in \eta(\Delta)$$

characterized by

$$(9) \quad \ell(\alpha(x, y)(t)) = t\ell(\alpha(x, y)(1));$$

then

$$(10) \quad \alpha : \eta(\Delta) \rightarrow K^I$$

is the required map. The fact that  $\alpha(x, y)$  is a simple path when  $x \neq y$  is an immediate consequence of (6). The symmetry (4) is proved as

follows. Let  $\ell$  denote the length function (7) along  $[x, z] \cup [z, y]$  and  $\ell'$  the length function along  $[y, z] \cup [z, x]$ . Then,  $\alpha(x, y)(t)$  and  $\alpha(y, x)(1 - t)$  are characterized by

$$(11) \quad \ell(\alpha(x, y)(t)) = t\ell(\alpha(x, y)(1)) = t\ell(y),$$

$$(12) \quad \ell'(\alpha(y, x)(1 - t)) = (1 - t)\ell'(\alpha(y, x)(1)) = (1 - t)\ell'(x),$$

where  $\ell(y) = \ell'(x)$ . Since

$$(13) \quad \ell(\alpha(x, y)(t)) + \ell'(\alpha(x, y)(t)) = \ell'(x) = \ell(y),$$

we have

$$(14) \quad \ell'(\alpha(x, y)(t)) = \ell'(x) - t\ell'(x) = (1 - t)\ell'(x),$$

and, finally,

$$(15) \quad \alpha(x, y)(t) = \alpha(y, x)(1 - t), 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

**3. The Observation.** First we recall that a polyhedron  $K$  satisfied the *Wecken* conditions if every maximal simplex has dimension  $\geq 2$  and given maximal simplices  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  there is a sequence of maximal simplices  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$  such that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma$ ,  $\sigma_k = \tau$  and  $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_{i+1}$  has dimension  $\geq 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$ .

The following theorem is implicit in [2] or [3]. It is only necessary to observe that at each stage of the proof, one always obtains proximity maps.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $K$  denote a polyhedron which satisfies the Wecken condition. Then, there exists a proximity map  $f: K \rightarrow K$  which has no fixed points if  $\chi(K) = 0$  and exactly one fixed point if  $\chi(K) \neq 0$ .*

**OBSERVATION 3.2.** Let  $K$  denote a polyhedron which satisfies the Wecken condition. Then, if  $K$  has characteristic  $\chi(K) = 0$ ,  $K$  admits a simple path field  $\varphi: K \rightarrow K^I$  such that the track of  $\varphi(x)$  is a broken segment for every  $x \in K$ . [If  $\chi(K) \neq 0$ ,  $\varphi(x)$  is simple for all but one point.]

**PROOF.** Let  $f$  denote the map in Theorem 3.1 and let  $\varphi(x) = (\alpha(x, f(x)), f(x))$ , for  $x \in K$ , where  $\alpha$  is as in Lemma 2.1.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. F. Brown and E. Fadell, *Non-singular path fields on compact topological manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 1342-1349.
2. R. F. Brown, *The Lipschitz Fixed Point Theorem*, Scott-Foresman (1971).

3. Shi Gen Hua, *On the least number of fixed points and Nielsen numbers*, Chinese Math. 8 (1966), 234-243.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706