

## ON THE NONNEGATIVITY OF NORMAL HILBERT COEFFICIENTS OF TWO IDEALS

SHREEDEVI K. MASUTI AND J.K. VERMA

*Dedicated to Professor Jürgen Herzog on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

ABSTRACT. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified local ring of dimension  $d \geq 1$ , and let  $I, J$  be  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals. Let  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  be the coefficient of  $(-1)^{d-(i+j)} \binom{x+i-1}{i} \binom{y+j-1}{j}$  of the normal Hilbert polynomial of  $I$  and  $J$ . In this paper we prove that  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  are nonnegative for  $i + j \geq d - 3$  in Cohen-Macaulay local rings. We also prove that, if  $i + j = d - 1$ , then  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  are nonnegative in unmixed local rings.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $x \in R$  is integral over  $I$  if  $x$  satisfies

$$x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0$$

for some  $a_i \in I^i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The set  $\bar{I}$  of elements that are integral over  $I$  is an ideal, called the *integral closure* of  $I$ . A Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is called *analytically unramified* if its  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion is reduced. For an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  in an analytically unramified local ring  $R$  of dimension  $d$ , there exist uniquely determined integers  $\bar{e}_0(I), \dots, \bar{e}_d(I)$  such that, for large  $n$ ,

$$\lambda(R/\bar{I}^{n+1}) = \bar{e}_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - \bar{e}_1(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \dots + (-1)^d \bar{e}_d(I),$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes length [10, Theorem 1.4] and [11, Theorem 1.1]. Bhattacharya [1, Theorem 8] showed that, for  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  of dimension  $d$ , there exist integers  $e_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  such that, for large  $r, s$ ,

$$\lambda(R/I^r J^s) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} (-1)^{d-(i+j)} e_{(i,j)}(I, J) \binom{r+i-1}{i} \binom{s+j-1}{j}.$$

---

*Keywords and phrases.* Analytically unramified local ring, integral closure of an ideal, normal Hilbert polynomial.

The first author is supported by the National Board for Higher Mathematics, India.

Received by the editors on September 27, 2012.

DOI:10.1216/JCA-2013-5-2-281 Copyright ©2013 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Rees [13] proved that there exists a polynomial  $\overline{P}_{I,J}(x, y) \in \mathbf{Q}[x, y]$  of total degree  $d$  such that  $\overline{P}_{I,J}(r, s) = \overline{H}_{I,J}(r, s) := \lambda(R/I^r J^s)$  for  $r, s \gg 0$  in an analytically unramified local ring.

We write

$$\overline{P}_{I,J}(x, y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} (-1)^{d-(i+j)} \overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \binom{x+i-1}{i} \binom{y+j-1}{j}$$

for some integers  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$ . The coefficients  $e_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  such that  $i+j=d$  are called *mixed multiplicities* of  $I$  and  $J$ .

In Section 2, we prove that  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = e_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  for  $i+j=d$ , and hence these coefficients are positive [5, Corollary 17.4.7]. Marley proved that  $\overline{e}_1(I), \overline{e}_2(I)$  are nonnegative if  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay [7, Lemma 3.19 and Proposition 3.23]. Itoh proved nonnegativity of  $\overline{e}_3(I)$  in Cohen-Macaulay local rings [6, Theorem 3]. D’Cruz and Guerrieri proved that  $e_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  are nonnegative for  $i+j \geq d-2$  in Cohen-Macaulay local rings [2, Theorem 4.2].

In Section 3, we prove nonnegativity of  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  for  $i+j \geq d-3$  in Cohen-Macaulay local rings. Vasconcelos [14] conjectured that  $\overline{e}_1(I)$  is nonnegative in any analytically unramified local ring of positive dimension. Goto, Hong and Mandal in [3] proved nonnegativity of  $\overline{e}_1(I)$  in an analytically unramified unmixed local ring of positive dimension  $d$ .

In Section 4, we prove that  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  are nonnegative for  $i+j=d-1$  in analytically unramified unmixed local rings.

In the rest of the paper we use the following notation for various Rees algebras and associated graded rings.

$$\text{Rees ring of } I \text{ and } J = \mathcal{R}(I, J) = \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 0} I^r J^s t_1^r t_2^s,$$

$$\text{Extended Rees ring of } I \text{ and } J = \mathcal{R}'(I, J) = \bigoplus_{r,s \in \mathbf{Z}} I^r J^s t_1^r t_2^s,$$

$$\text{Rees ring of } \mathcal{I} = \{\overline{I^r J^s}\} = \overline{\mathcal{R}}(I, J) = \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 0} \overline{I^r J^s} t_1^r t_2^s,$$

$$\text{Extended Rees ring of } \mathcal{I} = \{\overline{I^r J^s}\} = \overline{\mathcal{R}}'(I, J) = \bigoplus_{r,s \in \mathbf{Z}} \overline{I^r J^s} t_1^r t_2^s.$$

Associated graded rings of

$$I, J \text{ with respect to } I \text{ as } \mathcal{R}(I, J \mid I) = \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{I^r J^s}{I^{r+1} J^s},$$

$$I, J \text{ with respect to } J \text{ as } \mathcal{R}(I, J \mid J) = \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{I^r J^s}{I^r J^{s+1}},$$

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\overline{I^r J^s}\} \text{ with respect to } I \text{ as } \overline{\mathcal{R}}(I, J \mid I) = \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{\overline{I^r J^s}}{\overline{I^{r+1} J^s}},$$

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\overline{I^r J^s}\} \text{ with respect to } J \text{ as } \overline{\mathcal{R}}(I, J \mid J) = \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{\overline{I^r J^s}}{\overline{I^r J^{s+1}}}.$$

**2. Preliminary results.** Rees [13] sketched a proof for the existence of integers  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  such that for  $r, s \gg 0$ ,

$$\lambda(R/\overline{I^r J^s}) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} (-1)^{d-(i+j)} \bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \binom{r+i-1}{i} \binom{s+j-1}{j}.$$

For the sake of completeness we give a complete proof in this section. We prove that  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = e_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  for  $i + j = d$ , and hence these are positive. If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete normal domain or complete reduced Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , we prove that quotients of a general extension of  $R$  by general elements are analytically unramified.

For a numerical function  $f : \mathbf{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  let  $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1} f, \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} f : \mathbf{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be defined as

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1} f(r, s) := f(r, s) - f(r - 1, s) \text{ and } \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} f(r, s) := f(r, s) - f(r, s - 1).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f : \mathbf{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  be a function such that there exist polynomials  $p(x, y), q(x, y) \in \mathbf{Q}[x, y]$  of total degree  $d$  such that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1} f(r, s) = p(r, s)$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} f(r, s) = q(r, s)$  for  $r, s \gg 0$ . Then there exists a polynomial  $F(x, y) \in \mathbf{Q}[x, y]$  of total degree  $d + 1$  such that  $f(r, s) = F(r, s)$  for  $r, s \gg 0$ .*

*Proof.* We write

$$p(x, y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} p_{(i,j)} \binom{x+i-1}{i} \binom{y+j-1}{j},$$

$$q(x, y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} q_{(i,j)} \binom{x+i-1}{i} \binom{y+j-1}{j}.$$

Let  $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1} f(r, s) = p(r, s)$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} f(r, s) = q(r, s)$  for  $r \geq r_0, s \geq s_0$ . Define

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} p_{(i,j)} \binom{x+i}{i+1} \binom{y+j-1}{j}.$$

Since  $P(x, y) - P(x-1, y) = p(x, y)$ , for  $r \geq r_0, s \geq s_0$ , we have

$$f(r, s) = f(r_0 - 1, s) + \sum_{k=r_0}^r p(k, s) = f(r_0 - 1, s) - P(r_0 - 1, s) + P(r, s).$$

Thus,  $f(r_0, s) = f(r_0 - 1, s) - P(r_0 - 1, s) + P(r_0, s)$ . Since  $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} f = q(r, s)$  for  $r \geq r_0, s \geq s_0$ , there exists a polynomial  $Q(x) \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$  such that, for  $s \geq s_0$ ,

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} f(r_0 - 1, s) := f(r_0 - 1, s) - f(r_0 - 1, s - 1) = Q(s).$$

Thus,

$$f(r_0 - 1, s) = f(r_0 - 1, s_0 - 1) + \sum_{k=s_0}^s Q(k).$$

Hence, for  $r \geq r_0$  and  $s \geq s_0$ ,

$$f(r, s) = f(r_0 - 1, s_0 - 1) - P(r_0 - 1, s) + \sum_{k=s_0}^s Q(k) + P(r, s). \quad \square$$

A proof of the next theorem is sketched in [13]. We provide a detailed proof.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified local ring of dimension  $d$ . Let  $I$  and  $J$  be  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals. Then there exists a*

*polynomial*  $\overline{P}_{I,J}(x, y) \in \mathbf{Q}[x, y]$  of total degree  $d$  such that  $\lambda(R/\overline{I^r J^s}) = \overline{P}_{I,J}(r, s)$  for  $r, s \gg 0$ .

*Proof.* Since there exists an  $h \geq 0$  such that  $\overline{I^r J^s} \subseteq I^{r-h} J^{s-h}$  for all  $r, s$ , by [10, Theorem 1.4],  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(I, J) \subseteq \mathcal{R}'(I, J)t_1^h t_2^h$ . Thus,  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(I, J)$  is a finite graded  $\mathcal{R}'(I, J)$ -module. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(I, J)$  be generated by elements of the form  $b_{(r,s)} t_1^r t_2^s$ , where  $b_{(r,s)} \in \overline{I^r J^s}$  and  $r, s \leq N$ . Then  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(I, J)$  is generated by homogeneous elements of degree  $(r, s)$ , where  $r, s \leq N$ , and hence  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(I, J)$  is a finite  $\mathcal{R}(I, J)$ -module. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the ideal of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(I, J)$  consisting of all polynomials  $\sum_{r,s} c_{(r,s)} t_1^r t_2^s$  with  $c_{(r,s)} \in \overline{I^{r+1} J^s}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be the ideal consisting of all polynomials  $\sum_{r,s} c_{(r,s)} t_1^r t_2^s$  with  $c_{(r,s)} \in \overline{I^r J^{s+1}}$ . Then  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(I, J)/\mathfrak{a}$  is a finite  $\mathcal{R}(I, J | I)$ -module and  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(I, J)/\mathfrak{b}$  is a finite  $\mathcal{R}(I, J | J)$ -module. Now, by [4, Theorem 4.1], there exist polynomials  $p(x, y), q(x, y) \in \mathbf{Q}[x, y]$  of total degree  $d - 1$  such that  $\lambda(\overline{I^r J^s}/\overline{I^{r+1} J^s}) = p(r, s)$  and  $\lambda(\overline{I^r J^s}/\overline{I^r J^{s+1}}) = q(r, s)$  for  $r, s \gg 0$ . Since

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1} \overline{H}_{I,J}(r, s) = \lambda\left(\frac{\overline{I^{r-1} J^s}}{\overline{I^r J^s}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} \overline{H}_{I,J}(r, s) = \lambda\left(\frac{\overline{I^r J^{s-1}}}{\overline{I^r J^s}}\right),$$

by Lemma 2.1, the result follows.  $\square$

In order to prove  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = e_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$  so that  $i + j = d$ , we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let*

$$p(x, y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} (-1)^{d-(i+j)} p_{(i,j)} \binom{x+i-1}{i} \binom{y+j-1}{j}$$

and

$$q(x, y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} (-1)^{d-(i+j)} q_{(i,j)} \binom{x+i-1}{i} \binom{y+j-1}{j}$$

be polynomials of total degree  $d$  in  $\mathbf{Q}[x, y]$ . If there exist integers  $g, h$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad p(r - g, s - g) \leq q(r, s) \leq p(r - h, s - h) \quad \text{for } r, s \gg 0,$$

then  $p_{(i,j)} = q_{(i,j)}$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $i + j = d$ .

*Proof.* By equation (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r^d} p(r - g, s - g) &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r^d} q(r, s) \\ &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r^d} p(r - h, s - h). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $p_{(d,0)} = q_{(d,0)}$ . By symmetry,  $p_{(0,d)} = q_{(0,d)}$ . Therefore, for  $r, s \gg 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(r - g, s - g) - p_{(d,0)} r^d &\leq q(r, s) - q_{(d,0)} r^d \\ &\leq p(r - h, s - h) - p_{(d,0)} r^d. \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $r = n^d$  and  $s = n^{d-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{d^2-1}} [p(n^d - g, n^{d-1} - g) - p_{(d,0)} n^{d^2}] \\ \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{d^2-1}} [q(n^d, n^{d-1}) - q_{(d,0)} n^{d^2}] \\ \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{d^2-1}} [p(n^d - h, n^{d-1} - h) - p_{(d,0)} n^{d^2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $p_{(d-1,1)} = q_{(d-1,1)}$ . Continuing, we get  $p_{(i,j)} = q_{(i,j)}$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $i + j = d$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified local ring of dimension  $d$ . Let  $I$  and  $J$  be  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals in  $R$ . Then, for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $i + j = d$ ,*

$$\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = e_{(i,j)}(I, J).$$

*Proof.* There exists an  $n \geq 0$  such that  $I^r J^s \subseteq \overline{I^r J^s} \subseteq I^{r-n} J^{s-n}$  for  $r, s \geq n$ , [10, Theorem 1.4]. Therefore,

$$\lambda(R/I^{r-n} J^{s-n}) \leq \lambda(R/\overline{I^r J^s}) \leq \lambda(R/I^r J^s).$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.3,  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = e_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  for  $i + j = d$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified local ring of dimension  $d$ . Then*

$$\bar{e}_{(0,0)}(I, J) = \bar{e}_d(IJ).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\lambda(R/\overline{I^r J^s}) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} (-1)^{d-(i+j)} \bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \binom{r+i-1}{i} \binom{s+j-1}{j}$$

for  $r, s \gg 0$ . Taking  $r = s = n \gg 0$ ,

$$\lambda(R/\overline{(IJ)^n}) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} (-1)^{d-(i+j)} \bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \binom{n+i-1}{i} \binom{n+j-1}{j}.$$

Therefore,  $\bar{e}_d(IJ) = \bar{e}_{(0,0)}(I, J)$ . □

We shall prove a few preliminary results about the behavior of normal Hilbert functions under general extension of local rings. These will be used to apply induction on the dimension of  $R$ . To study  $e_{(i,j)}(I, J)$ , one can use superficial elements and use induction on  $d$ . But, in general,  $\overline{I+(x)/(x)} \neq \overline{I+(x)}/(x)$  in  $R/(x)$ . To overcome this, one uses general elements. Let  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$  and  $J = (b_1, \dots, b_q)$ . Let  $T = R[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$ , where  $\mathbf{X}$  denotes the sequence of indeterminates  $\{X_1, \dots, X_p\}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  denotes the sequence of indeterminates  $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_q\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}T$ ,  $R' = T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . First we prove that the normal Hilbert function of  $I, J$  in  $R$  and the normal Hilbert function of  $IR', JR'$  in  $R'$  are equal.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Under the notation as above,  $\dim R' = \dim R$  and, for all integers  $r, s \geq 0$ ,*

$$\overline{H}_{I,J}(r, s) = \overline{H}_{IR',JR'}(r, s).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{a} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  be a system of parameters in  $R$ . As  $R'$  is a flat extension of  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{m}R'$  is the maximal ideal of  $R'$ , we have

$$\lambda_{R'}(R'/\mathfrak{a}R') = \lambda_R(R/\mathfrak{a}) < \infty.$$

Hence,  $\dim R' \leq d$ . Let  $\wp_0 \subsetneq \wp_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \wp_d = \mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal chain of prime ideals in  $R$ . Then

$$\wp_0 R' \subsetneq \wp_1 R' \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \wp_d R' = \mathfrak{m} R'.$$

Hence,  $\dim R' \geq d$ . Thus,  $\dim R = \dim R'$ . To prove the second assertion first we show that, for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $R$ ,  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}T} = \overline{\mathfrak{a}}T$ . It is enough to prove it for  $T = R[z]$ . Clearly,  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}T} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{a}}T$ . Let  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}T}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}T$  is a homogeneous ideal in  $T$ ,  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}T}$  is a homogeneous ideal in  $T$  by [7, Lemma A.1.5]. Let  $\alpha = az^n$ , where  $a \in R$ . Then  $\alpha$  satisfies an equation

$$\alpha^m + r_1 \alpha^{m-1} + \cdots + r_m = 0,$$

where  $r_i \in \mathfrak{a}^i T$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Comparing the coefficient of  $z^{nm}$ , we get an equation of the form

$$a^m + s_1 a^{m-1} + \cdots + s_m = 0,$$

where  $s_i \in \mathfrak{a}^i$ . Therefore,  $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}$  and  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}T$ . Hence,  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}T} = \overline{\mathfrak{a}}T$ .

Therefore,  $\overline{I^r J^s R'} = \overline{I^r J^s} R'$  for all integers  $r, s \geq 0$ . Hence,

$$\lambda_R(R/\overline{I^r J^s}) = \lambda_{R'}(R'/\overline{I^r J^s R'})$$

for all  $r, s$ . Thus,  $\overline{H}_{I,J}(r, s) = \overline{H}_{IR',JR'}(r, s)$  for all integers  $r, s \geq 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $x = a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_p X_p$  and  $y = b_1 Y_1 + \cdots + b_q Y_q$ . Let  $D' = R'/xR'$  and  $E' = R'/yR'$ . Next, we prove that, if  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete analytically unramified Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , then  $D', E'$  are analytically unramified. We also prove  $D', E'$  are analytically unramified if  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete normal local domain of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . In order to prove this we recall some definitions and results about Nagata rings. Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . We say that  $R$  is  $N$ -2 if, for any finite field extension  $L$  of  $K$ , the integral closure of  $R$  in  $L$  is a finite  $R$ -module. We say a ring  $R$  is a Nagata ring if it is Noetherian and  $R/P$  is  $N$ -2 for every  $P \in \text{Spec } R$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** (1) [8, Theorem 69]. *A Noetherian complete local ring is a Nagata ring.*



(2) [8, Theorem 72]. *An affine algebra over a Nagata ring is Nagata.*

(3) [8, Theorem 70]. *A Noetherian semi-local Nagata domain is analytically unramified.*

(4) [5, Proposition 9.1.3]. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a reduced local ring. If  $R/P$  is analytically unramified for each minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ , then  $R$  is analytically unramified.*

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring satisfying any one of the following conditions:*

(1)  *$(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete Cohen-Macaulay analytically unramified local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ ,*

(2)  *$(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete normal local domain of dimension  $d \geq 2$ .*

*Then  $D'$  and  $E'$  are analytically unramified.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is complete, by Proposition 2.8 (1),  $R$  is a Nagata ring. Since localization of a Nagata ring is Nagata, by Proposition 2.8 (2),  $D'$  is a Nagata ring. Hence  $D'/P$  is a Nagata domain for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $D'$ . In order to prove  $D'$  is analytically unramified, by Proposition 2.8 (3), (4), it is enough to prove  $D'$  is reduced. We show  $D = T/xT$  is reduced.

(1) First we prove that  $x$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $R'$ . It is enough to prove that  $x$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $T$ . Let  $\deg X_i = (1, 0)$  and  $\deg Y_i = (0, 1)$ . Then  $T$  is an  $\mathbf{N}^2$ -graded ring. Since  $x$  is a bihomogeneous element of  $T$ ,  $\text{Ann } x$  is a bihomogeneous ideal. Suppose  $b\mathbf{X}^\alpha\mathbf{Y}^\beta x = 0$ , where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)$  and  $\mathbf{X}^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_p^{\alpha_p}$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}^\beta = Y_1^{\beta_1} \cdots Y_q^{\beta_q}$ . Then  $ba_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Hence,  $bI = 0$ . Since  $R$  is analytically unramified and  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary,  $\text{grade}(I, R) = \text{depth } R$ . As  $R$  is reduced and  $\dim R \geq 2$ ,  $\text{depth } R \geq 1$ . Hence,  $b = 0$ .

Since  $T$  is Cohen-Macaulay,  $(x)$  is an unmixed ideal. Hence,  $\text{height } P = 1$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}_T D$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = P \cap R$ . Since  $T$  is a flat extension of  $R$ , by the going-down theorem,  $\text{height } \mathcal{P} \leq 1$ . Hence,  $I \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a_p \notin \mathcal{P}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T_{\mathcal{P}}}{xT_{\mathcal{P}}} &\cong \frac{R_{\mathcal{P}}[X_1, \dots, X_p, \mathbf{Y}]}{(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (a_i X_i / a_p) + X_p)} \\ &\cong R_{\mathcal{P}}[X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, \mathbf{Y}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R$  is analytically unramified and complete,  $R$  is reduced. Thus,  $D_{\mathcal{P}}$  is reduced. Hence,  $D_P$  is reduced.

Let  $(0) = q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_s$  be a minimal primary decomposition of  $(0)$  in  $D$  and  $P_i = \sqrt{q_i}$ . Since  $D_{P_i}$  is reduced,  $\text{Ass } D_{P_i} = \{P_i D_{P_i}\}$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Hence,  $q_i = P_i$  as  $q_i D_{P_i} = P_i D_{P_i}$ . Therefore,

$$(0) = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_s,$$

and hence  $D$  is reduced. A similar argument shows that  $E'$  is analytically unramified.

(2) In order to prove  $D$  is reduced we show that  $D_P = T_P/xT_P$  is an integral domain for all  $P \in \text{Ass}_T D$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = P \cap R$ . Since  $T$  is a normal domain,  $xT$  is an unmixed ideal. Thus, height  $P = 1$ . Hence, height  $\mathcal{P} \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $I \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a_p \notin \mathcal{P}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T_{\mathcal{P}}}{xT_{\mathcal{P}}} &\cong \frac{R_{\mathcal{P}}[X_1, \dots, X_p, \mathbf{Y}]}{(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (a_i X_i/a_p) + X_p)} \\ &\cong R_{\mathcal{P}}[X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, \mathbf{Y}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R$  is a domain,  $D_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a domain. Thus,  $D_P$  is a domain. A similar argument shows that  $E'$  is analytically unramified. □

**3. The nonnegativity of  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  for  $i + j \geq d - 3$  in Cohen-Macaulay local rings.** Throughout this section, let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d$ . Marley [7, Lemma 3.19, Proposition 3.23] proved that  $\bar{e}_1(I)$  and  $\bar{e}_2(I) \geq 0$ . Itoh [6, Theorem 3 (1)] proved that  $\bar{e}_3(I) \geq 0$ . In this section, we prove that  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i + j \geq d - 3$ . Itoh [6] used general elements in order to prove nonnegativity of normal Hilbert coefficients. More precisely,

**Theorem 3.1** [6, Theorem 1]. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $I$  be a parameter ideal in  $R$ . Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$  be  $d$  indeterminates and  $R(T) = R[T]_{\mathfrak{m}[T]}$ . There exist generators  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  of  $I$  such that, if we put  $C = R(T)/(\sum_i x_i T_i)$  and  $J = IC$ , then  $\bar{J}^n = \bar{I}^n C$  for large  $n$ .*

We prove an analogous result in the bigraded case using techniques in [3, 6].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be either a complete Cohen-Macaulay analytically unramified local ring or a normal complete local domain of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $I$  and  $J$  be  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$ . Then  $\overline{I^r J^s D'} = \overline{I^r J^s D'}$  and  $\overline{I^r J^s E'} = \overline{I^r J^s E'}$  for  $r, s \gg 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D = T/xT$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R}(IT, JT)$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(IT, JT)}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}(ID, JD)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(ID, JD)}$ . The natural map  $T[t_1, t_2] \rightarrow D[t_1, t_2]$  induces an  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{A}}/xt_1\overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ . Put  $N = (IJTt_1t_2)\mathcal{A}$ . We prove that, for all  $P \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{A} \setminus V(N)$ ,  $\phi_P$  is an isomorphism. Let  $P \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{A} \setminus V(N)$ . Then  $ITt_1 \not\subseteq P$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a_p t_1 \notin P$ . We have the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{A}}/xt_1\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_p t_1} &\cong \frac{\overline{\mathcal{R}(I, J)_{a_p t_1}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]}}{((1/a_p t_1)(a_1 X_1 t_1 + \dots + a_p X_p t_1))} \\ &\cong \overline{\mathcal{R}(I, J)_{a_p t_1}[X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, \mathbf{Y}]}, \\ D[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1} &\cong \frac{T[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1}}{xT[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1}} \cong \frac{R[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]}{(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (a_i X_i / a_p) + X_p)} \\ &\cong R[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1}[X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, \mathbf{Y}]. \end{aligned}$$

The above isomorphisms give us the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{\mathcal{A}}/xt_1\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_p t_1} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{a_p t_1}} & \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{a_p t_1} & \longrightarrow & D[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1} \\ \downarrow \cong & & & & \downarrow \cong \\ \overline{\mathcal{R}(I, J)_{a_p t_1}[X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, \mathbf{Y}]} & \longrightarrow & R[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1}[X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, \mathbf{Y}] & & \end{array}$$

Hence,  $\phi_{a_p t_1}$  is injective. As  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(I, J)}$  is integrally closed in  $R[t_1, t_2]$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(I, J)_{a_p t_1}[X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, \mathbf{Y}]}$  is integrally closed in  $R[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1}[X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, \mathbf{Y}]$ . Hence,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}/xt_1\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_p t_1}$  is integrally closed in  $D[t_1, t_2]_{a_p t_1}$ . But  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{a_p t_1} \subseteq \text{image } \phi_{a_p t_1} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{a_p t_1}$  implies that  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}/xt_1\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{a_p t_1} \cong \text{image } \phi_{a_p t_1} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{a_p t_1}$  is an integral extension. Thus,  $\text{image } \phi_{a_p t_1} = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{a_p t_1}$ . Therefore,  $\phi_P$  is an isomorphism.

Therefore,  $\text{supp}(\text{coker } \phi_q) \subseteq V(N\mathcal{A}_q)$ . Since  $D'$  is analytically unramified,  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_q = \overline{\mathcal{R}}(ID', JD')$  is a finite  $\mathcal{T}_q = \mathcal{R}(ID', JD')$ -module. Thus,  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_q$  is a finite  $[\overline{\mathcal{A}}/xt_1\overline{\mathcal{A}}]_q$ -module. Since  $[\overline{\mathcal{A}}/xt_1\overline{\mathcal{A}}]_q$  is a finite  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module,  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_q$  is a finite  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module, and hence  $\text{coker } \phi_q$  is a finite  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. Thus,  $(N\mathcal{A}_q)^k(\text{coker } \phi_q) = 0$  for some  $k$ . Let  $\text{coker } \phi_q$  be generated by  $u_1, \dots, u_g$ , where  $\deg u_i = (r_i, s_i)$  and  $r_i, s_i \leq n_0$ , say. Then  $[\text{coker } \phi_q]_{(r,s)} = 0$  for  $r, s \geq n_0 + k$ . But

$$[\text{coker } \phi_q]_{(r,s)} = \frac{\overline{I^r J^s D'}}{\overline{I^r J^s R'} + (x)/(x)} = \frac{\overline{I^r J^s D'}}{\overline{I^r J^s D'}}.$$

Therefore, for large  $r, s$ , we have  $\overline{I^r J^s D'} = \overline{I^r J^s D'}$ . A similar argument gives  $\overline{I^r J^s E'} = \overline{I^r J^s E'}$  for large  $r, s$ .  $\square$

Now we relate  $\overline{P}_{IR',JR'}$  and  $\overline{P}_{ID',JD'}$ .

Rees [12, Lemma 2.4] proved that the general elements  $x$  and  $y$  satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} (xR') \cap I^r J^s R' &= xI^{r-1} J^s R' \text{ for all } r \gg 0 \text{ and } s \geq 0, \\ (yR') \cap I^r J^s R' &= yI^r J^{s-1} R' \text{ for all } r \geq 0 \text{ and } s \gg 0. \end{aligned}$$

The same proof shows the following.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (xR') \cap \overline{I^r J^s R'} &= \overline{xI^{r-1} J^s R'} \text{ for all } r \gg 0 \text{ and } s \geq 0, \\ (yR') \cap \overline{I^r J^s R'} &= \overline{yI^r J^{s-1} R'} \text{ for all } r \geq 0 \text{ and } s \gg 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified local ring. Then, for all integers  $r, s$ ,*

$$\overline{P}_{ID',JD'}(r, s) = \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1} \overline{P}_{IR',JR'}(r, s)$$

and

$$\overline{P}_{IE',JE'}(r, s) = \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} \overline{P}_{IR',JR'}(r, s).$$

*Proof.* Since  $x$  is a nonzerodivisor for large  $r, s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(D'/\overline{I^r J^s D'}) &= \lambda(D'/\overline{I^r J^s} D') \\ &= \lambda(R'/\overline{I^r J^s} R' + xR') \\ &= \lambda(R'/\overline{I^r J^s} R') - \lambda(\overline{I^r J^s} R' + xR'/\overline{I^r J^s} R') \\ &= \lambda(R'/\overline{I^r J^s} R') - \lambda(xR'/xR' \cap \overline{I^r J^s} R') \\ &= \lambda(R'/\overline{I^r J^s} R') - \lambda(xR'/\overline{xI^{r-1} J^s} R') \\ &= \lambda(R'/\overline{I^r J^s} R') - \lambda(R'/\overline{I^{r-1} J^s} R'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all integers  $r, s$ ,  $\overline{P}_{ID',JD'}(r, s) = \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1} \overline{P}_{IR',JR'}(r, s)$ . By a similar argument, one can show  $\overline{P}_{IE',JE'}(r, s) = \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2} \overline{P}_{IR',JR'}(r, s)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d \geq 1$ . Let  $I$  and  $J$  be  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals. Then*

- (1)  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i + j = d - 1$  if  $d \geq 1$ .
- (2)  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i + j = d - 2$  if  $d \geq 2$ .
- (3)  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i + j = d - 3$  if  $d \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\widehat{R}$  be the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ . Since  $\overline{IR} = \overline{I\widehat{R}}$  for every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $R$ ,  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = \overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I\widehat{R}, J\widehat{R})$ . Hence, we may assume that  $R$  is complete.

Induct on  $d$ . For  $d = 1$ ,  $\overline{e}_{(0,0)} = \overline{e}_1(IJ) \geq 0$  by [7, Lemma 3.19]. Let  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$  and  $J = (b_1, \dots, b_q)$ . Let  $T = R[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$ , where  $\mathbf{X}$  denotes the sequence  $\{X_1, \dots, X_p\}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  denotes the sequence  $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_q\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}T$ ,  $R' = T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Let  $x = a_1X_1 + \dots + a_pX_p$  and  $y = b_1Y_1 + \dots + b_qY_q$ . Let  $D' = R'/xR'$  and  $E' = R'/yR'$ . Then by Propositions 2.9 and 3.4,  $D'$  is a Cohen-Macaulay analytically unramified local ring and

$$\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = \overline{e}_{(i,j)}(IR', JR') = \overline{e}_{(i-1,j)}(ID', JD').$$

Therefore, by induction,  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ . Similarly, for  $j \neq 0$ ,

$$\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = \overline{e}_{(i,j-1)}(IE', JE') \geq 0.$$

Thus,  $\overline{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i + j = d - 1$ .

(2) Let  $d = 2$ . Then  $\bar{e}_{(0,0)}(I, J) = \bar{e}_2(IJ) \geq 0$  by [7, Proposition 3.23]. Let  $d > 2$ . Then a similar argument as above shows that  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i + j = d - 2$ .

(3) Let  $d = 3$ . Then  $\bar{e}_{(0,0)}(I, J) = \bar{e}_3(IJ) \geq 0$  by [6, Theorem 3 (1)]. Hence, the result follows by induction as above.  $\square$

**4. The nonnegativity of  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J)$  for  $i + j = d - 1$  in unmixed local rings.** In this section, we prove that  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i + j = d - 1$  in analytically unramified unmixed local rings of positive dimension. Recall that a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is called *unmixed* if  $\dim \widehat{R}/P = \dim \widehat{R}$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass } \widehat{R}$ . We say  $R$  is *equidimensional* if  $\dim R/P = \dim R$  for every minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . In order to prove  $D', E'$  are unmixed we recall the following result.

**Lemma 4.1** [9, Corollary of Theorem 31.5]. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a quotient of a regular local ring. If  $R$  is equidimensional, then so is  $\widehat{R}$ .*

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $D', E'$  be as before. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be complete normal local domain of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Then  $D'$  and  $E'$  are unmixed.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is complete, by the Cohen structure theorem  $R$  is a quotient of a regular local ring and so is  $D'$ . By [8, Proposition 17.B]  $R'$  is a normal domain. Hence, by [8, Theorem 38],  $xR'$  is unmixed. Thus, height  $P = 1$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}_{R'} D'$ . Since  $R'$  is catenary,  $\dim R'/P = d - 1$ . Thus,  $\dim D'/P = \dim D'$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}_{D'} D'$ . Therefore,  $D'$  is equidimensional. By Lemma 4.1,  $\widehat{D}'$  is equidimensional. By Proposition 2.9, all associated primes of  $\widehat{D}'$  are minimal. Therefore,  $D'$  is unmixed. Similarly,  $E'$  is unmixed.  $\square$

Next we prove that  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0$  for  $i + j = d - 1$  in analytically unramified unmixed local rings.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified unmixed local ring of dimension  $d > 0$ . Let  $I$  and  $J$  be  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals in  $R$ . Then, for  $i + j = d - 1$ ,*

$$\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Apply induction on  $d$ . Let  $d = 1$ . Then  $R$  is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Since  $\bar{e}_{(0,0)}(I, J) = \bar{e}_1(IJ)$ , by [7, Lemma 3.19], the result follows. Let  $d \geq 2$ . Since  $\overline{I\hat{R}} = \widehat{I\hat{R}}$  for every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $R$ ,  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = \bar{e}_{(i,j)}(\widehat{I\hat{R}}, \widehat{J\hat{R}})$ . Hence, we may assume that  $R$  is complete.

Let  $S$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in its total quotient ring and, for each  $\wp \in \text{Ass } R$ , let  $S(\wp)$  be the integral closure of  $R/\wp$  in its quotient field. Then

$$S = \prod_{\wp \in \text{Ass } R} S(\wp).$$

Since  $\overline{I^r J^s S} \cap R = \overline{I^r J^s}$  for all  $r, s \geq 0$ , the natural map

$$\eta_{(r,s)} : R/\overline{I^r J^s} \longrightarrow S/\overline{I^r J^s S}$$

is injective. Note that  $S$  is a finite  $R$ -module by [5, Corollary 4.6.2]. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_R(R/\overline{I^r J^s}) &\leq \lambda_R(S/\overline{I^r J^s S}) \\ &= \sum_{\wp \in \text{Ass } R} \lambda_R(S(\wp)/\overline{I^r J^s S(\wp)}) \\ &= \sum_{\wp \in \text{Ass } R} \lambda_{S(\wp)}(S(\wp)/\overline{I^r J^s S(\wp)}) \lambda_R(S(\wp)/\mathfrak{m}_{S(\wp)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_{S(\wp)}$  denotes the maximal ideal of local ring  $S(\wp)$ . For any finite  $R$ -module  $M$ , there exist integers  $e_{(i,j)}(I, J; M)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(M/I^r J^s M) &= \sum_{i+j \leq \dim M} (-1)^{\dim M - (i+j)} e_{(i,j)}(I, J; M) \binom{r+i-1}{i} \binom{s+j-1}{j} \end{aligned}$$

for  $r, s \gg 0$  [5, Theorem 17.4.2]. Since  $R$  is unmixed  $\dim S(\wp) = d$  for all  $\wp \in \text{Ass } R$ . Therefore, for  $i + j = d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(i,j)}(I, J; S) &= \sum_{\wp \in \text{Ass } R} \lambda_R(S(\wp)/\mathfrak{m}_{S(\wp)}) e_{(i,j)}(IS(\wp), JS(\wp)) \\ &= \sum_{\wp \in \text{Ass } R} \lambda_R(S(\wp)/\mathfrak{m}_{S(\wp)}) \bar{e}_{(i,j)}(IS(\wp), JS(\wp)). \end{aligned}$$

But, by the associativity formula [5, Theorem 17.4.8] for  $i + j = d$ ,

$$e_{(i,j)}(I, J) = \sum_{\wp} \lambda(R_{\wp})e_{(i,j)}(IR/\wp, JR/\wp) = \sum_{\wp} e_{(i,j)}(IR/\wp, JR/\wp),$$

where  $\wp$  varies over minimal primes of  $R$ . Similarly,

$$e_{(i,j)}(I, J; S) = \sum_{\wp} \lambda(S_{\wp})e_{(i,j)}(IR/\wp, JR/\wp) = \sum_{\wp} e_{(i,j)}(IR/\wp, JR/\wp),$$

where  $\wp$  varies over minimal primes of  $R$ . Hence,  $e_{(i,j)}(I, J) = e_{(i,j)}(I, J; S)$  for  $i + j = d$ . Hence, for  $i + j = d$ , by Theorem 2.5,

$$\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = e_{(i,j)}(I, J) = \sum_{\wp \in \text{Ass } R} \lambda_R(S(\wp)/\mathfrak{m}_{S(\wp)})\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(IS(\wp), JS(\wp)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lambda_R(S/\overline{I^r J^s S}) - \lambda_R(R/\overline{I^r J^s}) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=d-1} \left[ \bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) - \sum_{\wp \in \text{Ass } R} \lambda_R(S(\wp)/\mathfrak{m}_{\wp})\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(IS(\wp), JS(\wp)) \right] \\ &\quad \times \binom{r+i-1}{i} \binom{s+j-1}{j} \\ &\quad + \text{terms of lower degree.} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C_{(r,s)} = \lambda_R(\text{coker } \eta_{(r,s)})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1}(C(r+1, s)) &= C_{(r+1,s)} - C_{(r,s)} \\ &= \lambda_R\left(\frac{\overline{I^r J^s S}}{\overline{I^{r+1} J^s S}}\right) - \lambda_R\left(\frac{\overline{I^r J^s}}{\overline{I^{r+1} J^s}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the natural map

$$\tau_{(r,s)} : \frac{\overline{I^r J^s}}{\overline{I^{r+1} J^s}} \longrightarrow \frac{\overline{I^r J^s S}}{\overline{I^{r+1} J^s S}}$$

is injective. Let  $L_{(r,s)} = \text{coker } \tau_{(r,s)}$ ,  $L = \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 0} L_{(r,s)}$ . Then

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}(I, J \mid I) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}(IS, JS \mid IS) \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0,$$



is an exact sequence of bigraded  $\mathcal{R}(I, J \mid I)$ -modules. Since  $S$  is a finite  $R$ -module, for every ideal  $K$  of  $S[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$ ,  $S[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]/K$  is a finite  $R[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]/K \cap R[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$ -module. Since  $\mathcal{R}(IS, JS) \cong S[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]/K$  for some ideal  $K$  of  $S[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$  and  $\mathcal{R}(I, J) \cong R[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]/K'$  for some ideal  $K'$  of  $R[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$  and  $K' \subseteq K \cap R[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(IS, JS)$  is a finite  $\mathcal{R}(I, J)$ -module. Since  $R/\wp$  is a complete local domain, by [5, Theorem 4.3.4],  $S(\wp)$  is a complete local domain for all  $\wp \in \text{Ass } R$ . Hence, there exists an integer  $h \geq 0$  such that  $\overline{I^r J^s S} \subseteq I^{r-h} J^{s-h} S$  for all  $r, s$ . Therefore  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(IS, JS)}$  is a finite  $\mathcal{R}(IS, JS)$ -module. Hence,  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(IS, JS)}$  is a finite  $\mathcal{R}(I, J)$ -module. Let  $\mathfrak{b} = \bigoplus_{r,s \geq 0} \overline{I^{r+1} J^s S}$ . Then  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(IS, JS \mid IS)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(IS, JS)}/\mathfrak{b}$  is finite  $\mathcal{R}(I, J)/\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathcal{R}(I, J)$ -module. Since  $I^{r+1} J^s \subseteq \overline{I^{r+1} J^s S} \cap I^r J^s$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(IS, JS \mid IS)}$  is a finite  $\mathcal{R}(I, J \mid I)$ -module. Thus,  $L$  is a finitely generated bigraded module over the standard bigraded algebra  $\mathcal{R}(I, J \mid I)$ . Therefore, by [4, Theorem 4.1], the coefficients of highest degree monomials in the polynomial associated with  $\lambda(L_{(r,s)}) = \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1}(C(r+1, s))$  are nonnegative. Similarly, the coefficients of highest degree monomials in the polynomial associated with  $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_2}(C(r+1, s))$  are nonnegative. Therefore, for  $i+j = d-1$ ,

$$\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq \sum_{\wp \in \text{Ass } R} \lambda_R(S(\wp)/\mathfrak{m}_\wp) \bar{e}_{(i,j)}(IS(\wp), JS(\wp)).$$

Hence, we may assume that  $R$  is a complete normal local domain. Therefore, by Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 4.2,  $D'$  is analytically unramified and unmixed. By Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.4,  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(IR', JR') = \bar{e}_{(i-1,j)}(ID', JD')$ . Since  $\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) = \bar{e}_{(i,j)}(IR', JR')$ , by induction, for  $i \neq 0$  and  $i+j = d-1$ ,

$$\bar{e}_{(i,j)}(I, J) \geq 0.$$

Now, let  $E' = R'/yR'$ . Then  $\bar{e}_{(0,d-1)}(I, J) = \bar{e}_{(0,d-2)}(IE', JE') \geq 0$ , by induction.  $\square$

### REFERENCES

1. P.B. Bhattacharya, *The Hilbert function of two ideals*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **53** (1957), 568–575.
2. C. D’Cruz and A. Guerrieri, *Multi-graded Hilbert coefficients*, Lect. Notes Pure. Math. **244** (2006), 59–68.
3. S. Goto, J. Hong and M. Mandal, *The positivity of the first coefficients of normal Hilbert polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), 2399–2406.

4. M. Herrmann, E. Hyry, J. Ribbe and Z. Tang, *Reduction numbers and multiplicities of multigraded structures*, J. Algebra **197** (1997), 311–341.
5. C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note **336**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
6. S. Itoh, *Coefficients of normal Hilbert polynomials*, J. Algebra **150** (1992), 101–117.
7. T. Marley, *Hilbert functions of ideals in Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Ph. D. Thesis, Purdue University, 1989.
8. H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, Second edition, Benjamin/Cummings, New York, 1980.
9. ———, *Commutative ring theory*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
10. D. Rees, *A note on analytically unramified local rings*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 24–28.
11. ———, *Degree functions in local rings*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 1–7.
12. ———, *The general extension of a local ring and mixed multiplicities*, *Algebra, algebraic topology and their interactions*, Lect. Notes Math. **1183** (1986), 339–360.
13. ———, *Hilbert functions and pseudo-rational local rings of dimension two*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **24** (1981), 467–479.
14. W.V. Vasconcelos, *The Chern coefficients of local rings*, Mich. Math. J. **57** (2008), 725–743.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY,  
POWAI, MUMBAI, INDIA 400076

**Email address:** [shreedevi@math.iitb.ac.in](mailto:shreedevi@math.iitb.ac.in)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY,  
POWAI, MUMBAI, INDIA 400076

**Email address:** [jkv@math.iitb.ac.in](mailto:jkv@math.iitb.ac.in)