

## On the regularization of the second order random distribution\*

By

Makiko NISIO

(Communicated by Professor K. Itô, March 1, 1964)

### §1. Introduction

Let us start with some preliminary notations and definitions to explain our problem.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the space of all  $C^\infty$  complex valued functions, each being defined on the real line and vanishing outside of a compact set. We shall consider  $\mathcal{D}$  as a linear topological space with the Schwarz topology [4]. Any linear continuous functional on  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a (Schwarz) distribution and the set of all distributions is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Q}(B, P)^{1)}$  be a probability space. The totality of complex valued random variables with the finite second moment constitutes a Hilbert space  $H \equiv L^2(\mathcal{Q}, B, P)$  with the following inner product:

$$(X, Y) = E(X\bar{Y}) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} X(\omega) \bar{Y}(\omega) dP(\omega)$$

An  $H$ -valued continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a *second order random distribution* [1], [2], [3]. We shall denote with  $\mathcal{D}'_H$  the totality of second order random distributions.

A second order continuous stochastic process  $X(t)$  is regarded as a second order random distribution as

$$X(\phi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) X(t) dt \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) X\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n} \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D},$$

---

\*This work was supported by the National Science Foundation.

1) We assume that  $P$  is a complete measure.

where the sum is actually a finite sum because of the compactness of the support of  $\phi$  and the limit is understood in the sense of the norm limit in  $H$ .

The derivatives of  $X \in \mathcal{D}'_H$  are defined by

$$X^{(k)}(\phi) = (-1)^k X(\phi^{(k)})$$

similarly to the case of  $D'$ .

A complex valued function  $X(\phi, \omega)$  of  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$  is called a *sample-wise random distribution* [2], [3], if it is measurable in  $\omega$  for each  $\phi$  and belongs to  $\mathcal{D}'$  as a function of  $\phi \in D$  for almost every  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

A stochastic process  $X(t, \omega)$  measurable in  $(t, \omega) \in R^1 \times \Omega$  is regarded as a sample-wise random distribution as

$$X(\phi, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) X(t, \omega) dt$$

if  $X(\phi, \omega)$  is locally summable in  $t$  for almost every  $\omega$ .

The derivative of a sample-wise random distribution  $X(\phi, \omega)$  is naturally defined

$$X^{(k)}(\phi, \omega) = (-1)^k X(\phi^{(k)}, \omega)$$

It is well-known that any second order continuous stochastic process  $X(t)$  has a measurable version  $\tilde{X}(t, \omega)$  such that  $\tilde{X}(t, \omega)$  is measurable in  $(t, \omega)$  and that

$$P(\tilde{X}(t, \omega) = X(t, \omega)) = 1$$

for every  $t$ . The main aim of this paper is to prove an analogous fact for random distributions, namely we are going to prove that, given a second order random distribution  $X(\phi)$ , we have a unique sample-wise random distribution  $\tilde{X}(\phi, \omega)$  such that

$$P(\tilde{X}(\phi, \omega) = X(\phi, \omega)) = 1$$

for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ .  $\tilde{X}(\phi, \omega)$  will be called the *regularization* of  $X(\phi)$ .

In the case of second order *stationary* random distributions, the

existence of such a regularization follows at once from the fact [3] that it is the derivative of a certain order  $n$  of a second order continuous stochastic process with the  $n^{\text{th}}$  order stationary increments. We shall establish a similar fact for the non-stationary case in Section 3 to get our regularization of the second order random distribution in Section 4. Section 2 is devoted to an inequality which will be useful in Section 3. It should be noted that our technique used here has something similar to that due to K. Urbanik [5].

The author wishes to express her sincere thanks to Professor K. Ito for his valuable suggestions.

**§2. The subspace  $\mathcal{D}_N$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ .**

Let  $\mathcal{D}_N$  be the set of all functions in  $\mathcal{D}$  that vanishes outside of  $[-N, N]$ .  $\mathcal{D}_N$  is topologized by the following neighborhood basis at 0:

$$V(p, \epsilon) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{D}_N; \|\phi\|_p < \epsilon\}, \quad p=0, 1, 2, \dots, \epsilon > 0,$$

where

$$\|\phi\|_p = \max\{|\phi^{(k)}(t)|; k \leq p, t \in R^1\}.$$

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the mean square norm i.e.

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|^2 dt$$

Then we have

**Lemma 1.**  $\|\phi\|_p \leq (2N)^{p+\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi^{(p+1)}\| \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}_N.$

**Proof.** For  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_N$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^{(i)}(t) = \int_{-N}^t \phi^{(i+1)}(s) ds,$$

so that we have, for  $i=0, 1, \dots, p$

$$\|\phi^{(i)}\|_0 \leq 2N \|\phi^{(i+1)}\|_0 \leq (2N)^2 \|\phi^{(i+2)}\|_0 \leq \dots \leq (2N)^{(p-i)} \|\phi^{(p)}\|_0$$

and so

$$\|\phi^{(i)}\|_0 \leq (2N)^p \|\phi^{(p)}\|_0.$$

Applying the Schwarz inequality to (2.1), we have

$$\|\phi^{(p)}\|_0 \leq \sqrt{2N} \|\phi^{(p+1)}\|$$

and so

$$\|\phi^{(i)}\|_0 \leq (2N)^{p+\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi^{(p+1)}\| \quad \text{for } i=0, 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

Thus we have

$$\|\phi\|_p = \max(\|\phi\|_0, \|\phi'\|_0, \dots, \|\phi^{(p)}\|_0) \leq (2N)^{p+\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi^{(p+1)}\|.$$

### §3. Representation of second order random distributions

In this section we shall express second order random distributions in terms of the derivatives of second order continuous stochastic processes.

**Theorem 1.** A second order random distribution  $X$  can be written in the form

$$X = \sum_n Y_n^{(p_n)}$$

where  $Y_n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  are second order continuous stochastic processes such that any compact set intersects only a finite number of  $\text{Supp}(Y_n) \equiv \overline{\{t; Y_n(t) \neq 0\}}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ .

**Proof.**

**Case 1.** *Supp*  $X$  is compact. Suppose that  $A \equiv \text{Supp } X$  lies in the open interval  $(-N, N)$ . Take two open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subset U \subset \bar{U} \subset V \subset \bar{V} \subset (-N, N)$  and choose  $\alpha$  from  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\alpha = 1 \quad \text{on } \bar{U}, \quad = 0 \quad \text{on } \bar{V}^c.$$

Then we have

$$(3.1) \quad X(\phi) = X(\alpha\phi) \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

The restriction of  $X \in \mathcal{D}'_H$  on  $\mathcal{D}_N$  is also an  $H$ -valued continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{D}_N$ . Hence, for every positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists an integer  $p$  and a positive number  $\delta$  such that, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_N$  and  $\|\phi\|_n \leq \delta$ , then  $\|X(\phi)\| < \varepsilon$ . Therefore

$$\|X(\phi)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \|\phi\|_{\rho} \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}_N.$$

Combining this inequality with the Lemma 1 in Section 2, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \|X(\phi)\| < K \|\phi^{(\rho+1)}\| \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}_N,$$

where 
$$K = \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} (2N)^{\rho+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consider a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{D}_N$ :

$$M = \{\psi_{\rho}; \psi_{\rho} = \phi^{(\rho+1)}, \phi \in \mathcal{D}_N\}$$

and define  $\xi$  by

$$(3.3) \quad \xi(\psi_{\rho}, \omega) = X(\phi, \omega) \quad \text{if } \psi_{\rho} = \phi^{(\rho+1)}.$$

$\xi(\psi_{\rho})$  is well-defined since, for  $\psi_{\rho} \in M$ , there exists one and only one  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_N$  such that  $\psi_{\rho} = \phi^{(\rho+1)}$ . It is clear that  $\xi$  is linear and satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \|\xi(\psi_{\rho})\| \leq K \|\psi_{\rho}\| \quad \psi_{\rho} \in M.$$

Hence  $\xi$  can be extended to an  $H$ -valued continuous linear functional on  $\bar{M}$  (=the  $L^2(R^1)$ -closure of  $M$ ). Further we can extend  $\xi$  onto  $L^2(R^1)$  by

$$\xi(f) = \xi(\text{Proj}_{\bar{M}} f) \quad f \in L^2(L^1).$$

Then  $\xi$  is linear and continuous on  $L^2(R^1)$ , moreover

$$(3.5) \quad \|\xi(f)\| \leq K \|\text{Proj}_{\bar{M}} f\| \leq K \|f\|.$$

Define a process  $\eta$  by.

$$(3.6) \quad \eta(t) = \xi(\chi_{[\min(-N, t), t]})$$

where  $\chi_E$  is the indicator function of  $E$ . Then we have  $\|\eta(t) - \eta(s)\| \leq K|t-s|$  by (3.5). This implies that  $\eta(t)$  is a second order continuous stochastic process. We shall now prove that for  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_N$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad \eta(\phi', \omega) = -\xi(\phi, \omega) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Define  $\tau_n$  by  $\tau_n(t) = t_i^n$  for  $t_i^n \leq t < t_{i+1}^n$ ,  $i=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

where  $t_i^n = -N + 2N \frac{i}{n}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int \eta(t) \phi'(t) dt - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta(t_i^n) \phi'(t_i^n) (t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \int_{-N}^N \eta(t) \phi'(t) dt - \int_{-N}^N \eta(\tau_n(t)) \phi'(\tau_n(t)) dt \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \int_{-N}^N |\eta(\tau_n(t))| |\phi'(\tau_n(t)) - \phi'(t)| dt \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{-N}^N |\eta(\tau_n(t)) - \eta(t)| |\phi(t)| dt \right\| \\
&\leq \sqrt{2N} \left( \int_{-N}^N |\phi'(\tau_n(t)) - \phi'(t)|^2 E |\eta(\tau_n(t))|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{2N} \left( \int_{-N}^N |\phi'(t)|^2 E |\eta(\tau_n(t)) - \eta(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2N \max_{t \in [-N, N]} \|\eta(t)\| \max_{\substack{|t-s| \leq \frac{2N}{n} \\ t, s \in [-N, N]}} |\phi'(t) - \phi'(s)| + (2N)^2 \frac{k}{n} \|\phi'\|_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(3.8) \quad \int \eta(t) \phi'(t) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta(t_i^n) \phi'(t_i^n) (t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta(t_i^n) \phi'(t_i^n) \phi'(t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n) + \xi(\phi) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \xi \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi_{[-N, t_i^n]}(s) \phi'(t_i^n) (t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n) \right) + \xi(\phi) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \xi \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi_{[-N, t_i^n]}(s) \phi'(t_i^n) (t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n) + \phi(s) \right) \right\| \\
&\leq K \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi_{[-N, t_i^n]}(s) \phi'(t_i^n) (t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n) + \phi(s) \right\| \\
&\leq K \left\| \int_s^N \phi'(\tau_n(t)) dt - \int_s^N \phi'(t) dt \right\| + K \left\| \int_{s-\frac{2N}{n}}^s \phi'(\tau_n(t)) dt \right\| \\
&\leq 2NK \sup_{\substack{|t-s| \leq \frac{2N}{n} \\ t, s \in [-N, N]}} |\phi'(t) - \phi'(s)| + \frac{2NK}{n} \|\phi'\|_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.9) \quad \xi(\phi) = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta(t_i^n) \phi'(t_i^n) (t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n).$$

By (3.8) and (3.9) we get (3.7).

Recalling the definition (3.3) of  $\xi$ , we have for  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_N$ ,

$$X(\phi, \omega) = \xi(\phi^{(\rho+1)}, \omega) = -\eta(\phi^{(\rho+2)}, \omega) \text{ a.e.}$$

Hence by (3.1), for any  $\phi \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} X(\phi) &= X(\alpha\phi) = -\eta((\alpha\phi)^{(\rho+2)}) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\rho+2} \binom{\rho+2}{k} \eta(\alpha^{(\rho+2-k)} \phi^{(k)}) \\ &= -\sum_{k=0}^{\rho+2} \binom{\rho+2}{k} (\alpha^{(\rho+2-k)} \eta)(\phi^{(k)}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\rho+2} \binom{\rho+2}{k} (-1)^{k+1} (\alpha^{(\rho+2-k)} \eta)^{(k)}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $X = \sum_{k=0}^{\rho+2} Y_k^{(k)}$  where  $Y_k = (-1)^{k+1} \binom{\rho+2}{k} (\alpha^{(\rho+2-k)} \eta)$ . Since the support of  $(\alpha^{(\rho+2-k)} \eta)$  lies in  $\bar{V}$ , the proof is completed in our special case.

*General Case.* We can reduce the general case to the special case discussed above by virtue of the famous

**Partition of unity:** Let  $\{O_i, i=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countable covering of  $R^1$  by open sets  $\{O_i\}$ . Then there exist functions  $\{\alpha_i\}$  having the following properties:

- (i)  $\alpha_i \geq 0, \sum \alpha_i = 1$  on  $R^1$
- (ii)  $\alpha_i \in C^\infty(R^1)$  and its support lies in  $O_i$
- (iii) every compact set intersects only a finite number of supports of  $\alpha_i$ .

Take a countable covering  $\{O_i\}$  of  $R^1$  by bounded open intervals. Let  $\{\alpha_i\}$  form a partition of unity corresponding to this covering. Define  $X_i(\phi) = X(\alpha_i \phi)$   $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then  $X_i$  is a second order random distribution whose support lies in  $O_i$ . Further the series  $\sum_i X_i$  is locally finite and converges to  $X$ , since

$$\sum_i X_i(\phi) = \sum_i X(\alpha_i \phi) = X(\sum_i \alpha_i \phi) = X(\phi).$$

To complete the proof of theorem 1, we need only apply the result obtained in Case 1 to each  $X_i$ .

#### §4. Regularization of second order random distributions

We are now in a position to prove

**Theorem 2.** Any second order random distribution has a unique regularization.

**Proof.** Let  $X(\phi)$  be a given second order random distribution. Let

$$(4.1) \quad X(\phi) = \sum_n Y_n^{(\phi_n)}(\phi)$$

be the representation of  $X(\phi)$  in the sense of Theorem 1. Since  $Y_n(t)$  is a second order continuous stochastic process, it has a measurable version  $\tilde{Y}_n(t, \omega)$ . Noticing

$$E \left[ \int_a^b |\tilde{Y}_n(t, \omega)| dt \right] = \int_a^b E[|\tilde{Y}_n(t, \omega)|] dt = \int_a^b E[|Y_n(t)|] dt,$$

we see that  $\tilde{Y}_n(t, \omega)$  is locally integrable in  $t$  for almost every  $\omega$ . Therefore it defines a sample-wise random distribution  $\tilde{Y}_n(\phi, \omega)$ . It is easy to see that

$$P(Y_n^{(\phi_n)}(\phi, \omega) = \tilde{Y}_n^{(\phi_n)}(\phi, \omega)) = 1, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Setting  $\tilde{X}(\phi, \omega) = \sum_n \tilde{Y}_n^{(\phi_n)}(\phi, \omega)$  we get a sample-wise random distribution  $\tilde{X}(\phi, \omega)$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad P(X, \omega) = \tilde{X}(\phi, \omega) = 1$$

for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ .  $\tilde{X}(\phi, \omega)$  is clearly a regularization of  $X(\phi)$ .

Let  $\bar{X}(\phi, \omega)$  be any other regularization of  $X(\phi)$ . We shall prove

$$(4.3) \quad P(\bar{X}(\phi, \omega) = \tilde{X}(\phi, \omega) \text{ for every } \phi \in \mathcal{D}) = 1,$$

in order to show the uniqueness of the regularization of  $X(\phi)$ . Let  $\{\phi_j\}$  be a countable dense set in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since we have

$$P(\bar{X}(\phi_j, \omega) = X(\phi_j, \omega) = \tilde{X}(\phi_j, \omega)) = 1 \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots$$

by the definition of regularization, we have

$$(4.4) \quad P(\bar{X}(\phi_j, \omega) = \tilde{X}(\phi_j, \omega), j=1, 2, \dots) = 1.$$

But both  $\bar{X}(\phi, \omega)$  and  $\tilde{X}(\phi, \omega)$  belong to  $\mathcal{D}'$  for almost every  $\omega$ , (4.3) follows at once from (4.4).



**REFERENCES**

- [1] I. M. Gelfand: Generalized stochastic processes.  
Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Vol. 100 (1955) pp. 853-856.
- [2] I. M. Gelfand and N. Ya. Vilenkin: Generalized functions. Vol. 4. 1964, (English translation).
- [3] K. Ito: Stationary random distribution.  
Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto. Ser. A., Vol. 28 (1954) pp. 209-223.
- [4] L. Schwarz: Théorie des distributions. 1950, Paris,
- [5] K. Urbanik: Generalized stochastic processes with independent values.  
Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. (1960) Vol. 2, pp. 569-580.

Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University.