

# Scrambled sets on compact metric spaces

By

Masayoshi HATA

(Received July 11, 1983)

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper, we investigate the dynamical properties of continuous maps of a compact metric space into itself. There has been much recent interest in irregular trajectories, for example, so-called strange attractors [1]. In Auslander and Yorke [2] some topological concepts of chaos are investigated. The purpose of this paper is to examine some of the consequences of the following definition due to Li and Yorke [3].

Let  $X$  be a compact metric space with metric  $d$  and  $C(X)$  be the space of all continuous functions from  $X$  into itself.

**Definition 1. 1.** For  $f \in C(X)$ , we say that  $S$  is a scrambled set of  $(X, d, f)$  if  $S$  satisfies the following two conditions.

- (i)  $S$  is an uncountable subset of  $X$ .
- (ii) For any  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x \neq y$ , and for some  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \delta.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $S$  contains no asymptotically periodic points. Note that if  $S$  is a scrambled set of  $(X, d, f^m)$  for some positive integer  $m$ , then  $S$  is also a scrambled set of  $(X, d, f)$ .

**Definition 1. 2.** We say that  $f \in C(X)$  is  $\lambda$ -expanding on  $X_0 \subset X$  if  $d(f(x), f(y)) \geq \lambda d(x, y)$  for any  $x, y \in X_0$  and for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

In one-dimensional case, there are various results concerning scrambled sets. Let  $I$  be a compact interval and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Combining the theorems of Li and Yorke [3] and Sharkovskii [4], we have the following:

**Theorem 1. 3.** *If  $f \in C(I)$  has a point of period  $k \cdot 2^m$  for some odd integer  $k \geq 3$  and for some positive integer  $m$ , then there exists a scrambled set of  $(I, d, f)$ .*

Concerning the stability property of functions which possess a scrambled set, Butler and Pianigiani [5] obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1. 4.** *The set of functions in  $C(I)$  which possess a scrambled set contains an open dense subset of  $C(I)$ .*

Recently, concerning the measurements of scrambled sets, J. Smítal [6] showed that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & , \quad x \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \\ 2-2x, & x \in \left[ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right] \end{cases}$$

has no scrambled sets with a positive Lebesgue measure. Moreover he constructed a scrambled set with full outer Lebesgue measure under the continuum hypothesis.

In  $n$ -dimensional case, Marotto [7] obtained the following theorem. Let  $\| \cdot \|$  be the usual Euclidean norm and  $B_r(x)$  be an open ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$ .

**Theorem 1. 5.** *Assume that  $F \in C(Q)$  satisfies the following two conditions, where  $Q$  is a compact set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

- (i)  $F(z) = z$  and  $F$  is  $\lambda$ -expanding on  $B_r(z)$  for some  $\lambda > 1$ .
- (ii)  $F^m(w) = z$  and  $F^m$  is  $\mu$ -expanding on  $B_s(w) \subset B_r(z)$  for some positive integer  $m \geq 2$  and for some  $\mu > 0$ .

*Then there exists a scrambled set of  $(Q, \| \cdot \|, F^m)$ .*

It is clear that the set of functions  $\Psi$  in  $C(Q)$  which possess a scrambled set is dense in  $C(Q)$ . However, it will be an open problem whether  $\Psi$  contains an open set or not.

## 2. Preliminaries.

In this section, we give some notations and definitions. Let  $M$  be a metric space with metric  $d$ . Throughout the section 2-4, we suppose that a system  $(M, d, f)$  satisfies the following:

**Hypothesis 2. 1.**  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is a continuous mapping and there exist disjoint compact subsets  $A_0, A_1 \subset M$  such that

$$f(A_0) \cap f(A_1) \supset A_0 \cup A_1.$$

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbf{N}}$  be the collection of infinite one sided sequences of 0's and 1's with a metric

$$d_{\Sigma}(\omega, \omega') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} |\omega_n - \omega'_n|$$

where  $\omega = (\omega_0 \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots)$  and  $\omega' = (\omega'_0 \omega'_1 \omega'_2 \dots)$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is a compact metric

space and the shift transformation  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ , where  $\sigma(\omega_0\omega_1\omega_2\dots) = (\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3\dots)$ , is a continuous onto two to one mapping.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_i$  be the set of all non-empty closed subsets of  $A_i$  for  $i=0, 1$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1$ . If we introduce Hausdorff metric  $d_H$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  :

$$d_H(c, c') = \max \{ \inf_{\epsilon > 0} \{N_\epsilon(c) \supset c'\}, \inf_{\epsilon > 0} \{N_\epsilon(c') \supset c\} \}$$

for  $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $N_\epsilon(c)$  is an  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $c$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a compact metric space by virtue of the theorem of Michael [8].

For any sequence of sets  $\{D_n\}$ , we define the limit superior set of  $\{D_n\}$  as follows:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} D_i}$$

Note that if  $\{D_n\}$  is a sequence in a compact metric space, then the limit superior set of  $\{D_n\}$  is a non-empty compact subset.

For any  $\omega \in \Sigma$ , we define

$$K(\omega) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(A_{\omega_n})$$

where  $\omega = (\omega_0\omega_1\omega_2\dots)$ . Since it is clear that  $K(\omega)$  is a non-empty closed subset of  $A_0 \cup A_1$  by Hypothesis 2.1,  $K$  is a mapping from  $\Sigma$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

For convenience, we define the address of  $x \in A_0 \cup A_1$  such that

$$Add(x) = \begin{cases} A_0 & \text{if } x \in A_0 \\ A_1 & \text{if } x \in A_1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have  $Add(f^n(x)) = A_{\omega_n}$  for any  $n \geq 0$  and  $x \in K(\omega)$ .

Finally we define a mapping  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 2^M$  as follows:

$$F(c) = \{f(x) ; x \in c\} \subset M$$

for  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $f$  is continuous,  $F(c)$  is always a compact subset.

### 3. Some Properties of $K$ .

In this section, we discuss some fundamental properties of  $K: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . If we define  $M^* = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Sigma} K(\omega) \subset M$  and  $\mathcal{C}^* = K(\Sigma)$ , then we have the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** (i)  $\omega \neq \omega'$  implies  $K(\omega) \cap K(\omega') = \emptyset$  and therefore  $K: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$  is an onto one to one mapping.

- (ii)  $M^*$  is a compact subset of  $M$ .
- (iii)  $K(\sigma(\omega)) = F(K(\omega))$  for any  $\omega \in \Sigma$ .
- (iv)  $F: \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Plainly (i) follows from the definition of  $K$ . For any  $x \in M - M^*$ , we have  $f^n(x) \notin A_0 \cup A_1$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $f^n$  is continuous at  $x$  and  $A_0 \cup A_1$  is closed, there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap$

$(A_0 \cup A_1) = \phi$ . Then we have  $U \cap M^* = \phi$  and therefore  $M^*$  is a closed subset of  $M$ . Thus  $M^*$  is a compact set since  $M^* \subset A_0 \cup A_1$ . For any  $x \in K(\sigma(\omega))$ , we have  $Add(f^n(x)) = A_{\omega_{n+1}}$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $x \in A_0 \cup A_1 \subset f(A_{\omega_0})$ , there exists  $y \in A_{\omega_0}$  such that  $x = f(y)$ . Then  $Add(f^n(y)) = Add(f^{n-1}(x)) = A_{\omega_n}$  for any  $n \geq 1$  and we have  $y \in K(\omega)$ . Hence  $K(\sigma(\omega)) \subset F(K(\omega))$ . Conversely, for any  $x \in F(K(\omega))$ , there exists  $y \in K(\omega)$  such that  $x = f(y)$ . Then  $Add(f^n(x)) = Add(f^{n+1}(y)) = A_{\omega_{n+1}}$  and we have  $x \in K(\sigma(\omega))$ . Thus we have  $K(\sigma(\omega)) = F(K(\omega))$ . Also this shows that  $F(\mathcal{C}^*) \subset \mathcal{C}^*$ . Finally, (iv) follows since  $f$  is uniformly continuous on a compact set  $M^*$ .  $\square$

The following plays an important and fundamental role in our discussions.

**Lemma 3. 2.** *For any sequence  $\{\omega^{(n)}\} \subset \Sigma$ , we have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega^{(n)}) \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in \Sigma_0} K(\omega)$$

where  $\Sigma_0 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\omega^{(n)}\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega^{(n)}) = K^*$ . Since  $K(\omega^{(n)}) \subset M^*$  and  $M^*$  is a closed set, we have  $K^* \subset M^*$ . Therefore, for any  $x \in K^*$ , there exists some  $\omega \in \Sigma$  such that  $x \in K(\omega)$ . By the definition of the limit superior set, there exists a subsequence  $\{n_j\}$  such that  $d(y_j, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  where  $y_j \in K(\omega^{(n_j)})$ . Since  $d(A_0, A_1) > 0$ , for fixed  $k$ , we have  $Add(f^k(x)) = Add(f^k(y_j)) = A_{\omega_k}$  for sufficiently large  $j$ . This shows that  $d_\Sigma(\omega^{(n_j)}, \omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $\omega \in \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\omega^{(n)}\}$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3. 3.** *If  $d_\Sigma(\omega^{(n)}, \omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then we have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega^{(n)}) \subset K(\omega).$$

We say that  $f_1$  is topologically conjugate to  $f_2$  if  $f_1 = h \circ f_2 \circ h^{-1}$  for some homeomorphism  $h$ .

**Theorem 3. 4.** *The following three statements are equivalent to one another.*

- (i)  $K: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$  is a continuous mapping.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (iii)  $F: \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$  is topologically conjugate to the shift  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* First we will prove (i) is equivalent to (ii). Clearly (ii) follows if (i) holds. Assume that  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is closed. For any sequence  $\{\omega^{(n)}\} \subset \Sigma$  such that  $d_\Sigma(\omega^{(n)}, \omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , a sequence  $\{K(\omega^{(n)})\} \subset \mathcal{C}^*$  contains a subsequence which converges to some  $K(\omega^*)$  since  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is compact. Then it is clear that  $K(\omega^*) \subset \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega^{(n)})$  and using Corollary 3. 3 we have  $K(\omega^*) \subset K(\omega)$ . Hence  $\omega^* = \omega$  and this implies that  $d_H(K(\omega^{(n)}),$

$K(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $K$  is continuous at  $\omega$ . Thus (i) follows. Since  $K$  is a continuous one to one mapping onto a compact space  $\mathcal{C}^*$ ,  $K$  must be a homeomorphism. This implies (iii). Finally, it is clear that (ii) follows if (iii) holds.  $\square$

A mapping  $K$  may have a discontinuity point. Actually we have the following. The proof is straightforward.

**Lemma 3. 5.** *If  $K(\omega)$  has an interior point, then  $\omega$  is a discontinuity point of  $K$ .*

**4. Existence of Scrambled Sets.**

In this section, we give some theorems concerning the existence of a scrambled set.

**Theorem 4. 1.** *Assume that  $K$  is continuous at some point  $\omega \in \Sigma$ . Then there exists a scrambled set of  $(\mathcal{C}^*, d_H, F)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega = (\omega_0 \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots)$ . We define for  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\omega_n^\gamma = [\gamma n] - [\gamma(m-1)]$  if  $n = m^2$  and  $\omega_n^\gamma = \omega_k$  if  $n > [\sqrt{n}]^2$  where  $k = n - [\sqrt{n}]^2 - 1$  and  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer which does not exceed  $x$ . Then it easily follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j^\gamma = \gamma$ . Let  $\omega^\gamma = (\omega_0^\gamma \omega_1^\gamma \omega_2^\gamma \dots)$ . Then we have

$$\sigma^{n^2+1}(\omega^\gamma) = (\omega_0 \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_{2n-1} \dots),$$

therefore, for any  $\gamma$ ,  $\{\sigma^{n^2+1}(\omega^\gamma)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $\Sigma$  which converges to  $\omega$ . Thus, by the assumption of  $K$ , we have  $d_H(K(\sigma^{n^2+1}(\omega^\gamma)), K(\omega)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we define  $S = \{K(\omega^\gamma); \gamma \in [0, 1]\} \subset \mathcal{C}^*$ . Plainly  $S$  is an uncountable subset of  $\mathcal{C}^*$  and for any  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_H(F^{n^2+1}(K(\omega^\alpha)), F^{n^2+1}(K(\omega^\beta))) \\ & \leq d_H(K(\sigma^{n^2+1}(\omega^\alpha)), K(\omega)) + d_H(K(\sigma^{n^2+1}(\omega^\beta)), K(\omega)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_H(F^n(K(\omega^\alpha)), F^n(K(\omega^\beta))) = 0.$$

On the other hand, there exists a subsequence  $\{n_j\}$  such that  $\omega_{n_j}^\alpha \neq \omega_{n_j}^\beta$ , and therefore

$$K(\sigma^{n_j^2}(\omega^\alpha)) \subset A_s \text{ and } K(\sigma^{n_j^2}(\omega^\beta)) \subset A_r \text{ for } s \neq r.$$

Hence we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_H(F^n(K(\omega^\alpha)), F^n(K(\omega^\beta))) \geq d(A_0, A_1).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4. 2.** *Suppose that  $K(\omega)$  consists of only one point. Then  $K$  is continuous at  $\omega$  and there exists a scrambled set of  $(M^*, d, f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\omega^{(n)}\}$  be any sequence in  $\Sigma$  such that  $d_\Sigma(\omega^{(n)}, \omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Corollary 3. 3, we have  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\omega^{(n)}) = K(\omega)$  since  $K(\omega)$  consists of only one point. Therefore  $d_H^{n \rightarrow \infty}(K(\omega^{(n)}), K(\omega)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and this shows the continuity of  $K$  at  $\omega$ . By the above theorem, there exists a scrambled set  $S = \{K(\omega^\gamma); \gamma \in [0, 1]\}$  of  $(\mathcal{C}^*, d_H, F)$ . Choosing suitably  $x^\gamma \in K(\omega^\gamma)$ , we define  $\mathcal{S} = \{x^\gamma; \gamma \in [0, 1]\} \subset M^*$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{S}$  is a scrambled set of  $(M^*, d, f)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4. 3.** *Assume that  $K(\omega)$  consists of only one point for any  $\omega \in \Sigma$ . Then  $f: M^* \rightarrow M^*$  is topologically conjugate to the shift  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* By the above theorem,  $K: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$  is continuous. Thus  $F: \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$  is topologically conjugate to  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  by Theorem 3. 4. Since  $K(\omega)$  consists of only one point, we can identify  $M^*$  with  $\mathcal{C}^*$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4. 4.** *Assume that there exist  $\nu > 1$  and  $s \geq 0$  such that  $f$  is  $\nu$ -expanding on  $A_{i_0} \cap f^{-1}(A_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap f^{-s}(A_{i_s})$  for any  $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_s\} \in \{0, 1\}^{s+1}$ . Then  $f: M^* \rightarrow M^*$  is topologically conjugate to the shift  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in K(\omega)$  and for any  $n \geq 0$ , we have  $f^n(x), f^n(y) \in A_{\omega_n} \cap f^{-1}(A_{\omega_{n+1}}) \cap \dots \cap f^{-s}(A_{\omega_{n+s}})$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq \frac{1}{\nu^n} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu^n} \text{diam}(A_0 \cup A_1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $K(\omega)$  must consist of only one point.  $\square$

### 5. Marotto's Conditions.

In this section, we will prove the following theorem as an application of our results to finite dimensional case. Let  $Q$  be a compact set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ .

**Theorem 5. 1.** *Suppose that  $f \in C(Q)$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1. 5. Then there exists a compact set  $Q^* \subset Q$  and a positive integer  $p$  such that  $f^p: Q^* \rightarrow Q^*$  is topologically conjugate to the shift  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ .*

We remark that Shiraiwa and Kurata [9] obtained the same conclusion assuming that the differentiability of  $f$  and some transversality condition. Before proving the theorem, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5. 2.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a continuous  $\nu$ -expanding mapping on  $B_\alpha(x_0)$  for some  $\nu > 0$ . Then there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $B_\beta(f(x_0)) \subset f(B_\alpha(x_0))$ .*

*Proof.* For some  $\delta \in (0, \alpha)$  and for any  $x \in \partial B_\delta(x_0)$ , we have  $\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| \geq \nu\delta$  and therefore  $B_\beta(f(x_0)) \cap f(\partial B_\delta(x_0)) = \emptyset$  for any  $\beta \in (0, \nu\delta)$ . Hence for any  $x \in B_\beta(f(x_0))$ ,  $\deg(f, B_\delta(x_0), x) = \deg(f, B_\delta(x_0), f(x_0))$ .

Now consider the homotopy  $h(x, t) : \overline{B_\delta(0)} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  such that

$$h(x, t) = f\left(x_0 + \frac{x}{1+t}\right) - f\left(x_0 - \frac{t}{1+t}x\right).$$

Then we have  $\|h(x, t)\| \geq \nu\delta$  for any  $(x, t) \in \partial B_\delta(0) \times [0, 1]$  and therefore

$$\deg(h(x, 0), B_\delta(0), 0) = \deg(h(x, 1), B_\delta(0), 0).$$

Since  $h(x, 1) = f\left(x_0 + \frac{x}{2}\right) - f\left(x_0 - \frac{x}{2}\right)$  is an odd mapping,  $\deg(h(x, 1), B_\delta(0), 0) \neq 0$  by Borsuk's theorem ([10], p. 99). Thus we have  $\deg(f, B_\delta(x_0), f(x_0)) = \deg(h(x, 0), B_\delta(0), 0) \neq 0$ . This implies that  $B_\beta(f(x_0)) \subset f(B_\delta(x_0)) \subset f(B_\alpha(x_0))$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5. 1.* Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $s < \frac{\lambda^m - 1}{\lambda^m + 1} \|z - w\|$  and  $\nu < \lambda$ . By the above lemma, there exists an open ball  $B_a(z)$  such that  $f^m : B_s(w) \cap f^{-m}(B_a(z)) \rightarrow B_a(z)$  is an onto mapping. If we put  $f_0 = f|_{B_r(z)}$ , then  $f_0^{-1}$  is a contraction mapping with the Lipschitz constant  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ . We define a sequence of open sets  $\{B_{-n}\}_{n \geq 1}$  as follows:

$$B_{-1} = B_s(w) \cap f^{-m}(B_a(z)), \quad B_{-n} = f_0^{-m}(B_{-n+1}) \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Then note that  $B_{-1} \cap B_{-n} = \emptyset$  if  $n \geq 2$ . Thus there exists a positive integer  $p$  such that  $B_{-n} \subset B_a(z)$  for any  $n \geq p$  and  $\lambda^{p(m-1)}\mu > 1$ .

Now if we put

$$A_0 = \bigcup_{n=p+1}^{\infty} B_{-n} \cup \{z\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = B_{-1} \cap f_0^{-m}\left(\bigcup_{n=p}^{\infty} B_{-n} \cup \{z\}\right),$$

then it is clear that  $\overline{A_0} \cap \overline{A_1} = \emptyset$ . Moreover, we have  $f^{pm}(A_0) \supset A_0 \cup A_1$  and  $f^{pm}(A_1) \supset A_0 \cup A_1$ . Hence

$$f^{pm}(\overline{A_i}) = \overline{f^{pm}(A_i)} \supset \overline{f^{pm}(A_i)} \supset \overline{A_0 \cup A_1}$$

for  $i=0, 1$  and  $f^{pm}$  satisfies Hypothesis 2. 1. Also one can easily verify that  $f^{pm}$  is  $\lambda^{p(m-1)}\mu$ -expanding on each compact set  $\overline{A_i} \cap f^{-pm}(\overline{A_j})$  for  $\{i, j\} \in \{0, 1\}^2$ . By Theorem 4. 4, this completes the proof.  $\square$

### 6. De Rham Equation.

In this section, we will give another result concerning a scrambled set using a contraction principle in complete metric space instead of Cantor's intersection theorem in compact space. With this situation we will discuss the connection with De Rham's functional equations [11].

Let  $E$  be a complete metric space with metric  $d$  and  $T_0, T_1 : E \rightarrow E$  be

two continuous mappings. Then consider the following *De Rham equation*:

$$(*) \quad L(\omega) = T_{\omega_0} L(\sigma(\omega)),$$

where  $L$  is a mapping from  $\Sigma$  into  $E$ . First we have the following.

**Theorem 6. 1.** *Suppose that  $L$  is a continuous solution of (\*) and that  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  are one to one mappings such that  $T_0(E) \cap T_1(E) = \phi$ . Then we have the followings:*

(i)  $E^* = L(\Sigma)$  is a compact subset and  $E^* = T_0(E^*) \cup T_1(E^*)$ .

(ii) If we put  $f(x) = T_i^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \in T_i(E^*)$ ,  $i=0, 1$ , then  $f: E^* \rightarrow E^*$  is topologically conjugate to the shift  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* (i) is obvious. For any  $\omega \neq \omega' \in \Sigma$ , there exists  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\omega_n \neq \omega'_n$  and  $\omega_j = \omega'_j$  for  $0 \leq j < n$ . Then we have  $L(\omega) \neq L(\omega')$  since  $T_{\omega_n} L(\sigma^{n+1}(\omega)) \neq T_{\omega_n} L(\sigma^{n+1}(\omega'))$ . Therefore  $L: \Sigma \rightarrow E^*$  is a homeomorphism. Since  $f(L(\omega)) = T_{\omega_0}^{-1} L(\omega) = L(\sigma(\omega))$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

In the above theorem, we remark that  $f: E^* \rightarrow E^*$  is continuous and a system  $(E^*, d, f)$  satisfies Hypothesis 2. 1.

**Theorem 6. 2.** *Suppose that there exists  $s \geq 1$  such that  $T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_s}$  is a contraction mapping on  $E$  for any  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\} \in \{0, 1\}^s$ . Then there exists a continuous solution  $L$  of (\*).*

*Proof.* For some  $x_0 \in E$ , we define

$$a_n = T_{\omega_0} T_{\omega_1} \dots T_{\omega_{n-1}}(x_0) \text{ for any } \omega \in \Sigma.$$

Then we have, for some  $\lambda < 1$ ,

$$d(a_{n+1}, a_n) = d(T_{\omega_0} \dots T_{\omega_n}(x_0), T_{\omega_0} \dots T_{\omega_{n-1}}(x_0)) \leq \lambda^{\lfloor \frac{n}{s} \rfloor} d^*,$$

where  $d^* = \text{Max}_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_j \\ 1 \leq j \leq s}} d(T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_j}(x_0), T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{j-1}}(x_0))$ .

Therefore, for any  $n > m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_n, a_m) &\leq d(a_n, a_{n-1}) + \dots + d(a_{m+1}, a_m) \\ &\leq d^* \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \lambda^{\lfloor \frac{k}{s} \rfloor} \\ &< \frac{d^*}{1-\mu} \mu^{m-s}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = \lambda^{\frac{1}{s}} < 1$ . Hence  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E$ . Now we define  $L(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ . Note that this limit is independent of the choice of  $x_0 \in E$ , since

$$d(T_{\omega_0} \dots T_{\omega_n}(x_0), T_{\omega_0} \dots T_{\omega_n}(x_1)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$



Clearly  $L$  satisfies the equation (\*). Let  $E^* = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Sigma} L(\omega)$ . Then we have  $\text{diam}(E^*) < \infty$  since  $d(L(\omega), x_0) \leq \frac{d^*}{\lambda(1-\mu)}$  for any  $\omega \in \Sigma$ . If  $\omega_j = \omega'_j$  for  $0 \leq j < sn$ , then

$$d(L(\omega), L(\omega')) \leq \lambda^n d(L(\sigma^{sn}(\omega)), L(\sigma^{sn}(\omega'))) \leq \lambda^n \text{diam}(E^*)$$

This shows the continuity of  $L$ .  $\square$

It should be noted that  $f$  may possess a scrambled set even if  $f$  is a linear operator. For example, if we put  $E = C[0, 1]$  and

$$T_0(g) = \int_0^x p(t)g(t)dt \text{ and } T_1(g) = \int_0^x p(t)g(t)dt + 1$$

for some positive function  $p \in E$ , then it is easily verified that  $T_i: E \rightarrow E$  is a one to one mapping for  $i=0, 1$  and  $\text{dist}(T_0(E), T_1(E)) \geq 1$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_n}(g) - T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_n}(h)\| &= \|T_0^n(g-h)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|p\|}{n!} \|g-h\| \end{aligned}$$

for any  $g, h \in E$ . Then, by Theorem 6.1. and Theorem 6.2, there exists a scrambled set of  $(E^*, \|\cdot\|, f)$  where

$$f = \frac{1}{p(x)} \frac{d}{dx}.$$

In this case, using  $T_{\omega_n}(g) = T_0(g) + \omega_n$ , we have

$$L(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n T_0^n(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n}{n!} \left( \int_0^x p(t) dt \right)^n.$$

**Acknowledgement.** The author wants to express his gratitude to Professor Yamaguti for making several valuable remarks on the text.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

### References.

- [1] D. Ruelle and F. Takens, On the nature of turbulence, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **20** (1971), 167-192.
- [2] J. Auslander and J. A. Yorke, Interval maps, factors of maps, and chaos, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **32** (1980), 177-188.
- [3] T. Y. Li and J. A. Yorke, Period three implies chaos, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **82** (1975), 985-992.
- [4] A. N. Sharkovskii, Coexistence of cycles of a continuous transformation of a line into itself, *Ukrain. Mat. Z.*, **16**(1) (1964), 61-71 (In Russian).
- [5] G. J. Butler and G. Pianigiani, Periodic points and chaotic functions in the unit interval,

- Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **18** (1978), 255-265.
- [ 6 ] J. Smital, A chaotic function with some extremal properties, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **87** (1983), 54-56.
- [ 7 ] F. R. Marotto, Snap-back repellers imply chaos in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **63** (1978), 199-223.
- [ 8 ] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **71** (1951), 152-182.
- [ 9 ] K. Shiraiwa and M. Kurata, A generalization of a theorem of Marotto, Nagoya Math. J., **82** (1981), 83-97.
- [10] J. T. Schwartz, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, New York University Lectures (1965).
- [11] G. De Rham, Sur quelques courbes definies par des equations fonctionnelles, Rend. Sem. Mat. Torino, **16** (1957), 101-113.