

A note on the coefficients of Hilbert polynomial

By

Ravinder KUMAR*

Let (Q, m) be a local ring of dimension d and q an m -primary ideal. It is well known that for sufficiently large values of n (notation: $n \gg 0$) $l_Q(Q/q^{n+1})$, the length of the Q -module Q/q^{n+1} is a uniquely determined polynomial of degree d , called the Hilbert polynomial and is given by

$$l_Q(Q/q^{n+1}) = e_0 \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1 \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_d$$

where the integers e_0, e_1, \dots, e_d depend on q and are known as normalized Hilbert coefficients. e_i is sometimes written as $e_i(q)$ to emphasize its dependence on q .

It is easily seen that e_0 is positive. In case Q is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, Northcott [2] showed that e_1 is non-negative and Narita [1] showed that in this case e_2 is non-negative as well. Narita gave an example of a Cohen-Macaulay ring and an m -primary ideal q such that $e_3(q)$ is negative.

The purpose of this note is to show that for any integer $d \geq 3$, it is possible to construct an example of a Cohen-Macaulay ring (Q, m) of dimension d and an m -primary ideal q such that $e_d(q)$ is negative.

We use the arguments given in [1] to obtain explicit values of the normalized Hilbert coefficients and use them subsequently to test our examples for the claim made above. To give a general treatment we must introduce some auxiliary notations which are explained at the appropriate place. Throughout this note (Q, m) denotes a Cohen-Macaulay ring with infinite residue field.

§1:

To start with we quote the following result

1.1 (Northcott [2]). *Let $\dim Q = d$ and w a superficial element of q . Suppose that w is not a zero divisor. Let $\bar{Q} = Q/Qw$ and $\bar{q} = q/Qw$. Then we have*

- (i) $l_{\bar{Q}}(\bar{Q}/\bar{q}^{n+1}) = l_Q(q^{n+1}: w/q^{n+1})$ for all $n > 0$
- (ii) $l_{\bar{Q}}(\bar{Q}/\bar{q}^{n+1}) = l_Q(Q/q^{n+1}) - l_Q(Q/q^n)$ for all $n \gg 0$
- (iii) $e_i(q) = e_i(\bar{q}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq d-1.$

Received, Nov. 4, 1988

* This work was done during author's visit to the Dept. Math., Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, JAPAN.

Let $\dim Q = d$ and q an m -primary ideal. Let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d be a system of parameters in q . We denote by $Q_{(i)}$ the quotient ring of Q modulo $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Qw_j$. $q_{(i)}$ denotes the image of q in $Q_{(i)}$ and $w_{(i)}$ denotes the image of w_i in $Q_{(i)}$. For the sake of uniformity $Q_{(1)}, q_{(1)}$ and $w_{(1)}$ will denote Q, q and w_1 respectively.

1.2. Theorem. *Let (Q, m) be a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d (\geq 1)$. Suppose q is an m -primary ideal and w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d a system of parameters in q such that $w_{(i)}$ is a superficial element of $q_{(i)}$. Then*

$$l_Q(Q/q^{n+1}) = l_Q(Q_{(d+1)}) \binom{n+d}{d} - \sum_{i_{d-1}=0}^n \sum_{i_{d-2}=0}^{i_{d-1}} \dots \sum_{i_1=0}^{i_2} \sum_{i=0}^{i_1} (A_i + B_{d,i}) - \sum_{i_{d-2}=0}^n \sum_{i_{d-3}=0}^{i_{d-2}} \dots \sum_{i_1=0}^{i_2} \sum_{i=0}^{i_1} B_{d-1,i} - \dots - \sum_{i=0}^n B_{1,i}$$

where $A_i = l_Q(Q/\sum_{k=1}^d Qw_k) - l_Q(Q/q^{i+1} + \sum_{k=1}^d Qw_k), i \geq 0$

and $B_{j,i} = l_{Q_{(j)}}(q_{(j)}^{i+1} : w_{(j)}/q_{(j)}^i), j = 1, 2, \dots, d; i \geq 0$

Proof. The proof is by induction on d . We shall denote the terms A_i and $B_{j,i}$ for $Q_{(2)}$ by bars over the corresponding letters.

Suppose $d = 1$. Write w_1 as w . Since w is a superficial element which is not a zero divisor, we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_Q(Q/Qw + q^{i+1}) &= l_Q(Q/q^{i+1}) - l_Q(Qw + q^{i+1}/q^{i+1}) \\ &= l_Q(Q/q^{i+1}) - l_Q(Q/q^{i+1} : w) \\ &= l_Q(Q/q^{i+1}) - l_Q(Q/q^i) + l_Q(q^{i+1} : w/q^i) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$l_Q(Q/q^{i+1}) - l_Q(Q/q^i) = l_Q(Q/Qw + q^{i+1}) - B_{1,i}$$

Putting $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and summing up the respective sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} l_Q(Q/q^{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^n l_Q(Q_{(2)}/q_{(2)}^{i+1}) - \sum_{i=0}^n B_{1,i} \quad \dots (1) \\ &= l_Q(Q_{(2)}) \binom{n+1}{1} - \sum_{i=0}^n A_i - \sum_{i=0}^n B_{1,i} \end{aligned}$$

Assume the result holds when $\dim Q = d - 1$. Since $\dim(Q_{(2)}) = d - 1$, the given hypothesis ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} l_{Q_{(2)}}(Q_{(2)}/q_{(2)}^{n+1}) &= l_{Q_{(2)}}((Q_{(2)})_{(d)}) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i_{d-2}=0}^n \sum_{i_{d-3}=0}^{i_{d-2}} \dots \sum_{i_1=0}^{i_2} \sum_{i=0}^{i_1} (\bar{A}_i + \bar{B}_{d-1,i}) \end{aligned}$$

$$- \sum_{i_{d-3}=0}^n \sum_{i_{d-4}=0}^{i_{d-3}} \cdots \sum_{i_1=0}^{i_2} \sum_{i=0}^{i_1} \bar{B}_{d-2,i} - \cdots - \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{B}_{1,i}$$

Using (1) we get

$$l_Q(Q/q^{n+1}) = l_{Q(2)}((Q(2))_{(d)}) \binom{n}{d-1} \binom{i+d-1}{d-1} - \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i_{d-2}=0}^k \cdots \sum_{i_1=0}^{i_2} \sum_{i=0}^{i_1} (\bar{A}_i + \bar{B}_{d-1,i}) \\ - \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i_{d-3}=0}^k \cdots \sum_{i_1=0}^{i_2} \sum_{i=0}^{i_1} \bar{B}_{d-2,i} - \cdots - \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k \bar{B}_{1,i} - \sum_{i=0}^n B_{1,i}$$

Now observe that $\bar{B}_{j-1,i} = B_{j,i}$ etc. Consequently, the last expression readily produces the desired result.

1.3. Corollary. *With the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.2, we have the following:*

$$e_0 = l_Q(Q_{(d+1)})$$

$$e_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (A_i + B_{d,i})$$

$$e_2 = \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=i_1+1}^{\infty} (A_i + B_{d,i}) - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_{d-1,i}$$

$$e_3 = \sum_{i_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=i_2+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=i_1+1}^{\infty} (A_i + B_{d,i}) - \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=i_1+1}^{\infty} B_{d-1,i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_{d-2,i}$$

...

$$e_d = \sum_{i_{d-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{d-2}=i_{d-1}+1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_1=i_2+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=i_1+1}^{\infty} (A_i + B_{d,i}) - \sum_{i_{d-2}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_1=i_2+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=i_1+1}^{\infty} B_{d-1,i} \\ + \cdots + (-1)^{d-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_{1,i}$$

Proof. Assume that $d = 1$. Clearly, all but finitely many A_i and $B_{1,i}$ vanish. Taking n sufficiently large, we get

$$e_0 = l_Q(Q(2)) \text{ and } e_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (A_i + B_{1,i})$$

The corollary now follows on using the inductive process and the following identity:

If at most finitely many real numbers a_i are nonzero then

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^j a_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(k+1) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} a_i$$

1.4. Remarks. 1. Theorem 1.2 includes as a special case Proposition 6 of Narita [1].

2. When $d = 3$, $e_0 = l_Q(Q/\sum_{i=1}^3 Qw_i)$ is also mentioned in Narita [1].

§2:

2.1. Example. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1}$ be $d + 1$ indeterminates over an infinite field F . Let $Q = F[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d+1}]]/(x_{d+1}^d)$. Then Q is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension d . Assume $d \geq 3$. Let $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-2}, x_{d-1}^{d-1}, x_d^{d-1}\}$ and $B = \{x_{d-1}x_{d+1}, x_dx_{d+1}\}$. Put $C = A \cup B$. Further, suppose that for two sets U and V of monomials in the above indeterminates UV denotes the set of all products of monomials in U with all monomials in V . A simple calculation shows that

$$\underbrace{C.C. \dots C}_{d \text{ times}} = \underbrace{C.C. \dots C}_{d-1 \text{ times}}. A \cup T$$

where T is a set of monomials in which x_{d+1} occurs in degree d .

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d, \xi_{d+1}$ denote respectively the images of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1}$ modulo x_{d+1}^d . Let

$$q = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{d-2}, \xi_{d-1}^{d-1}, \xi_d^{d-1}, \xi_{d-1}\xi_{d+1}, \xi_d\xi_{d+1}).$$

As argued in the previous paragraph, it is immediate that

$$q^d = q^{d-1}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{d-2}, \xi_{d-1}^{d-1}, \xi_d^{d-1}) \dots (*)$$

We claim that e_d is negative. It is clear that

$$(q_{(j)}^{t+1} : \xi_{(j)}) = q_{(j)}^t \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, d-2.$$

Again it is easily seen that

$$(q_{(d-1)}^{d-1} : \xi_{(d-1)}^{d-1}) = q_{(d-1)}^{d-2} + Q_{(d-1)}\bar{\xi}_{d+1}^{d-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (q_{(d)}^{d-1} : \xi_{(d)}^{d-1}) = q_{(d)}^{d-2} + Q_{(d)}\bar{\xi}_{d+1}^{d-1}$$

where $\bar{\xi}_{d+1}^{d-1}$ denotes the image of ξ_{d+1}^{d-1} in $Q_{(d-1)}$ and $\bar{\xi}_{d+1}^{d-1}$ denotes the image of ξ_{d+1}^{d-1} in $Q_{(d)}$. Further, using (*) it is seen that

$$(q_{(d-1)}^{t+1} : \xi_{(d-1)}^{d-1}) = q_{(d-1)}^t \quad \text{and} \quad (q_{(d)}^{t+1} : \xi_{(d)}^{d-1}) = q_{(d)}^t \quad \text{for } t \geq d-1.$$

Thus we find that

$$B_{1,i} = B_{2,i} = \dots = B_{d-2,i} = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 0 \text{ and } B_{d-1,d-2} = B_{d,d-2} \neq 0.$$

Now substituting these values in the expression for e_d as deduced in Corollary 1.3, we find that

$$e_d(q) = -B_{d-1,d-2} < 0.$$

2.2. Remark. Using the same construction as above it is possible to give another class of examples by replacing $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{d-2}$ by powers of the respective elements in q .

2.3. Remark. With reference to the above example, computations show that for $d \geq 3$

$$(i) \quad l_Q(Q_{(d+1)}) = d^3 - 2d^2 + 2d$$

$$(ii) \quad A_0 = d^3 - 3d^2 + 3d$$

$$(iii) \quad A_i = (d - i - 1)[(d - 1)(d - i - 3) + (i + 2)d - (i + 2)(i + 3)/2] \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d - 2$$

$$(iv) \quad A_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq d - 1$$

$$(v) \quad B_{j,i} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \leq d - 2 \quad \text{and } i \geq 0$$

$$(vi) \quad B_{d,0} = B_{d-1,0} = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(vii) \quad B_{d,i} = B_{d-1,i} = d - 2 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d - 2$$

These values can be used to calculate the various Hilbert coefficients in respect of Example 2.1.

DEPT MATH, RAMJAS COLLEGE
DELHI UNIVERSITY, DELHI 110007
INDIA

CURRENT ADDRESS
DEPT MATH
ALCORN STATE UNIVERSITY
LORMAN, MS 39096
U.S.A.

References

- [1] M. Narita, A note on the coefficients of Hilbert characteristic functions in semi-regular local rings, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **59** (1963), 269-275.
- [2] D. G. Northcott, The coefficients of the abstract Hilbert functions, J. London Math. Soc., **35** (1960), 209-214.