

An application of unstable K-theory

By

Hiroaki HAMANAKA and Akira KONO

Abstract

In [1] and [2], we introduced and investigated “unstable K-theory” $U_n(X) = [X, U(n)]$ and showed the relation with the Adams e -invariant. In this paper, we offer a theorem relating to $U_n(X)$ and show an application in connection with stable splittings of G_2 .

1. Introduction

In this paper, we work in the pointed category, i.e., assume all spaces are base-pointed and all homotopy sets are base-point preserving homotopy sets.

Let $U(n)$ be the unitary group and X be a pointed finite CW-complex. Then the homotopy set $U_n(X) = [X, U(n)]$ forms a group by the point-wise multiplication. We call this group as the “unstable K -theory” of X , for the reason that $U_n(X)$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{K}^1(X)$ for sufficiently large n . When $\dim X \leq 2n$, this group $U_n(X)$ fits in the next exact sequence (1.1) where $\Theta(X)$ maps $\alpha \in \tilde{K}^0(X)$ to $s_n(\alpha) = n!ch_n\alpha$ (see [1] for detail).

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{K}^0(X) \xrightarrow{\Theta(X)} H^{2n}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\Phi(X)} U_n(X) \xrightarrow{\Pi(X)} \tilde{K}^1(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

This group $U_n(X)$ is, even if $\dim X \leq 2n$, not commutative in general. But we use the notations of abelian groups for this group, i.e., write its unit 0 and denote its operation by +.

Now we consider a suspended map $\Sigma f : \Sigma Y \rightarrow \Sigma X$ where finite CW-complexes ΣX and ΣY satisfy:

$$(1.2) \quad \dim \Sigma Y = 2n - 1, \dim \Sigma X < 2n - 1.$$

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{K}^1(Y) = 0, \tilde{K}^0(X) = 0.$$

Also let k be an integer and we denote the k -fold map $\Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma X$ by k . Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma X & \longrightarrow & C & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \Sigma^2 Y \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow k & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow = \\ \Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{k \circ \Sigma f} & \Sigma X & \longrightarrow & C' & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \Sigma^2 Y \end{array}$$

where C and C' are mapping cones of Σf and $k \circ \Sigma f$ respectively and two rows are usual cofibrations. Then our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *The induced map $\pi^* : U_n(C') \rightarrow U_n(C)$ is surjective and for any $\alpha \in \text{Ker}\pi^*$, $k\alpha = 0$.*

From this theorem, we deduce Corollary 2.1 which estimates the order of a suspended map by means of unstable K -theory.

On the other hand, as an application, we consider the exceptional Lie group G_2 . G_2 has the cell decomposition like

$$(1.5) \quad G_2 \simeq S^3 \cup e^5 \cup e^6 \cup e^8 \cup e^9 \cup e^{11} \cup e^{14}.$$

We denote the i -skeleton of G_2 by $G_2^{(i)}$. In [3], S. Oka showed the next result.

Theorem 1.2. *$G_2^{(6)}$ is not stable retract of $G_2^{(11)}$.*

In this paper we offer another simple proof of this result using the unstable K -theory $U_6(\Sigma G_2^{(11)})$.

In the following, we always use \mathbb{Z} as the coefficient ring of cohomology and we omit to write them. Also we do not distinguish maps and their homotopy classes.

2. Main result

We consider the suspended map $\Sigma f : \Sigma Y \rightarrow \Sigma X$ under the assumption (1.2), (1.3) and prove Theorem 1.1.

First we observe that from (1.3)

$$\tilde{K}^1(C) = \tilde{K}^1(C') = 0.$$

Also from (1.2),

$$\rho^* : H^{2n}(\Sigma^2 Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2n}(C), \quad \rho'^* : H^{2n}(\Sigma^2 Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2n}(C')$$

are isomorphisms. Therefore $\pi^* = H^{2n}(\pi) : H^{2n}(C') \rightarrow H^{2n}(C)$ is an isomorphism as well.

Applying the short exact sequences obtained from (1.1) to C and C' , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(C)/\text{Ker}\Theta(C) & \xrightarrow{\Theta(C)} & H^{2n}(C) & \longrightarrow & U_n(C) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \overline{K^0(\pi)} & & \uparrow H^{2n}(\pi) & & \uparrow \pi^* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(C')/\text{Ker}\Theta(C') & \xrightarrow{\Theta(C')} & H^{2n}(C') & \longrightarrow & U_n(C') \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $\overline{K^0(\pi)}$ is the induced map obtained from $K^0(\pi) : \tilde{K}^0(C') \rightarrow \tilde{K}^0(C)$. This diagram implies that π^* is surjective. Moreover, since $H^{2n}(\pi)$ is isomorphic, we see $\text{Coker}\overline{K^0(\pi)} \cong \text{Ker}\pi^*$ by the snake lemma.

Now, applying K-theory to the diagram (1.4), we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(\Sigma^2 Y) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(C) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(\Sigma X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow = & & \uparrow K^0(\pi) & & \uparrow K^0(k)=k \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(\Sigma^2 Y) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(C') & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^0(\Sigma X) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

and, by the snake lemma again, we see $\text{Coker}K^0(\pi) \cong \text{Coker}k$. This map k is just the map multiplying k . Therefore, for any element $\alpha \in \text{Coker}K^0(\pi)$, $k\alpha = 0$. Since $\text{Coker}\tilde{K}^0(\pi)$ is a factor group of $\text{Coker}K^0(\pi)$, the same is true for the element of $\text{Coker}\tilde{K}^0(\pi) \cong \text{Ker}\pi^*$. \square

Now we offer a corollary. As above, we consider a suspended map $\Sigma f : \Sigma Y \rightarrow \Sigma X$ under the assumptions (1.2) and (1.3), and the cofibration sequence $\Sigma Y \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \Sigma X \rightarrow C_{\Sigma f} \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma^2 Y$. Apply the exact sequence (1.1) to ΣX and we see $U_n(\Sigma X) = 0$ and $\rho^* : U_n(\Sigma^2 Y) \rightarrow U_n(C_{\Sigma f})$ is surjective.

Corollary 2.1. *If Σf has its order k in $[\Sigma Y, \Sigma X]$, the order of any element of $\text{Ker}(\rho^* : U_n(\Sigma^2 Y) \rightarrow U_n(C_{\Sigma f}))$ is a factor of k .*

Proof. Apply Theorem 1.1 to Σf and its order k . Then $C' = C_{k\Sigma f} \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma^2 Y$ and $\rho'^* : U_n(\Sigma^2 Y) \rightarrow U_n(C')$ is an isomorphism. Since $\pi^* \rho'^* = \rho^* : U_n(\Sigma^2 Y) \rightarrow U_n(C_{\Sigma f})$, the statement follows. \square

3. Application

In [3], some spaces were considered, whose K-groups are isomorphic to those of spheres, but whose homology groups are not isomorphic to those of spheres. Namely, for given element α in the stable homotopy group of spheres $\pi_{k-1}^S(S^0)$ where k is even and the order of α is q , the following spaces are introduced:

$$(3.1) \quad X_\alpha^n = S^n \cup_\alpha e^{n+k} \cup_q e^{n+k+1},$$

$$(3.2) \quad Y_\alpha^n = S^{n-k-1} \cup_q e^{n-k} \cup_\alpha e^n.$$

And it was showed that

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{K}^*(X_\alpha^n) \cong \tilde{K}^*(S^n), \quad \tilde{K}^*(Y_\alpha^n) \cong \tilde{K}^*(S^n)$$

as additive groups. Also these spaces are related to the exceptional Lie group G_2 . Take the cellular decomposition (1.5) of G_2 . Then

$$(3.4) \quad G_2^{(6)} \simeq X_\eta^3, \quad G_2^{(11)}/G_2^{(6)} \simeq Y_\eta^{11}$$

where η is the generator of $\pi_1^S(S^0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (see [3]).

Now we shall prove Theorem1.2 using “unstable K-theory”.

Since $H^3(G_2) \cong H^{11}(G_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we take their generators x_3, x_{11} respectively. The K-theory of G_2 and its Chern character are known as follows (see [5]).

Theorem 3.1.

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} K^*(G_2) &\cong \bigwedge(\alpha, \beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \tilde{K}^1(G_2), \\ ch(\alpha) &= 2x_3 + \frac{2}{5!}x_{11}, \quad ch(\beta) = 10x_3 - \frac{50}{5!}x_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $ch(\alpha\beta) = x_{11}x_3$ is a generator of $H^{14}(G_2)$. Consider the cofibration $G_2^{(11)} \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow S^{14}$ and the naturality of the Chern character implies that $\tilde{K}^0(S^{14}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}^0(G_2)$. Then we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{K}^1(G_2) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^1(G_2^{(11)}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^0(S^{14}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}^0(G_2) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^0(G_2^{(11)}) \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e., $\tilde{K}^0(\Sigma G_2^{(11)}) \cong \tilde{K}^0(\Sigma G_2)$ and $\tilde{K}^1(\Sigma G_2^{(11)}) = 0$.

Theorem 3.2.

$$U_6(\Sigma G_2^{(11)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Apply above results to the exact sequence (1.1) and we obtain

$$U_6(\Sigma G_2^{(11)}) \cong \text{Coker} \left(s_6 : \tilde{K}^0(\Sigma G_2^{(11)}) \rightarrow H^{12}(\Sigma G_2^{(11)}) \right).$$

From the naturality of s_6 and (3.5), the statement follows. □

Proposition 3.1.

$$U_6(Y_\eta^{12}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/360\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. By (1.1) and (3.3), we have

$$U_6(Y_\eta^{12}) \cong \text{Coker}(s_6 : \tilde{K}^0(Y_\eta^{12}) \rightarrow H^{12}(Y_\eta^{12})).$$

Let $\pi : Y_\eta^{12} \rightarrow S^{12}$ be the map which smashes the 11-skeleton. The generators $\gamma' \in \tilde{K}^0(Y_\eta^{12})$ and $\gamma \in \tilde{K}^0(S^{12})$ are related as $\pi^*(\gamma) = 2\gamma'$ ([3, Proposition 1.4]). Therefore, from the naturality of s_6 ,

$$s_6(\gamma') = \frac{6!}{2}c' = 360c',$$

where c' is the generator of $H^{12}(Y_\eta^{12})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K}^0(S^{12}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \tilde{K}^0(Y_\eta^{12}) \\ \downarrow s_6 & & \downarrow s_6 \\ H^{12}(S^{12}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{12}(Y_\eta^{12}) \end{array}$$

□

From (3.4), we have the cofibration sequence:

$$X_\eta^3 \hookrightarrow G_2^{(11)} \rightarrow Y_\eta^{11} \xrightarrow{h} X_\eta^4 \hookrightarrow \Sigma G_2^{(11)}.$$

Now we set that the order of $f = \Sigma^{2i}h$ in $[\Sigma^{2i}Y_\eta^{11}, \Sigma^{2i}X_\eta^4]$ is k and prove $k \neq 1$.

First we observe that the mapping cone C_f is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma^{2i+1}G_2^{(11)}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Sigma^{2i}Y_\eta^{11} &= 2(6+i) - 1, & \dim \Sigma^{2i}X_\eta^4 &< 2(6+i) - 1, \\ \tilde{K}^1(\Sigma^{2i-1}Y_\eta^{11}) &= 0 & \text{and} & \quad \tilde{K}^0(\Sigma^{2i-1}X_\eta^4) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can apply Corollary 2.1, i.e., there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$U_{6+i}(\Sigma^{2i}Y_\eta^{12}) \rightarrow U_{6+i}(\Sigma^{2i+1}G_2^{(11)})$$

and any element α of its kernel satisfies $k\alpha = 0$.

On the other hand, from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{6+i}(\Sigma^{2i}Y_\eta^{12}) &\cong \mathbb{Z} / \left(360 \times \frac{(6+i)!}{6!} \right) \mathbb{Z}, \\ U_{6+i}(\Sigma^{2i+1}G_2^{(11)}) &\cong \mathbb{Z} / \left(12 \times \frac{(6+i)!}{6!} \right) \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

(we used Corollary 4.1 of [1]). Therefore k must be a multiple of 30. Thus h is not stably null-homotopic and X_η^3 is not stable retract of $G_2^{(11)}$. □

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL SCIENCE
HYOGO UNIVERSITY OF TEACHER EDUCATION
YASHIRO, HYOGO 673-1494, JAPAN
e-mail: hammer@sci.hyogo-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
KYOTO UNIVERSITY
KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
e-mail: kono@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

References

- [1] H. Hamanaka and A. Kono, *On $[X, U(n)]$, when $\dim X$ is $2n$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **43-2** (2003), 333–348.
- [2] H. Hamanaka, *Adams e-invariant, Toda bracket and $[X, U(n)]$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **43-4** (2003), 815–827.
- [3] S. Oka, *A Generalization of the Adams Invariant and Applications to Homotopy of Exceptional Lie Group G_2* , Homotopy theory and related topics (Kyoto, 1984), 195–230, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **9**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

- [4] H. Toda, *A Survey of homotopy theory*, Advances in Math. **10** (1973), 417–455.
- [5] T. Watanabe, *Chern characters on compact Lie groups of low rank*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 463–488.