## SOME REPRESENTATION THEOREMS FOR INVARIANT PROBABILITY MEASURES

## $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

## S. CATER

Throughout this paper X will be a set,  $\mathfrak{R}$  will be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X (for a definition of  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of X see [3]), T will be a mapping of X into X, and m will be a measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is *T*-invariant if  $A \in \mathfrak{R}$  implies  $T^{-1}A \in \mathfrak{R}$ , and a set  $A \in \mathfrak{R}$  is *T*-invariant if  $A = T^{-1}A$ . If  $\mathfrak{R}$  is *T*-invariant, and if  $m(A) = m(T^{-1}A)$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{R}$ , we say that m is *T*-invariant. We say that m is a probability measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$  if m(X) = 1. If m is a *T*-invariant probability measure, and if m(A) = 0 or 1 for every *T*-invariant set  $A \in \mathfrak{R}$ , we say that m is ergodic. If m is a measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$  and if  $E \in \mathfrak{R}$ , the measure  $m_1$  defined by  $m_1(A) = m(A \cap E)$ , all  $A \in \mathfrak{R}$ , is called the contraction of m to the set E.

In [1] Blum and Hanson studied the problem of expressing a T-invariant probability measure as a "combination" of some sort of ergodic measures. The following proposition can be inferred from their work.

PROPOSITION 1. Let T be a 1-1 mapping of X onto X, let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a T-invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X, let m be a T-invariant probability measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{E}$  be the set of all ergodic measures on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Suppose that for any T-invariant set  $A \in \mathfrak{R}$  for which there is a T-invariant probability measure  $m_0$  with  $m_0(A) > 0$  there is a  $p \in \mathfrak{E}$  for which p(A) > 0. Then m has an integral representation on  $\mathfrak{E}$ ; i.e., there is a probability measure  $\mu$  on a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\mathfrak{E}$  such that for any set  $A \in \mathfrak{R}$ , we have that p(A), regarded as a function of p, is measurable on  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $m(A) = \int_{p \in \mathfrak{E}} p(A) d\mu$ .

Employing methods similar to those in [1], Farrell [2] studied situations in which X is a topological space and  $\mathfrak{R}$  consists of the Baire subsets of X. The following proposition can be inferred from the work of Farrell.

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let  $\Re$  consist of the Baire subsets of X, and let T be a continuous mapping of X into X. Then any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\Re$  has an integral representation as in Proposition 1.

The purpose of the present paper is to construct analogues of Proposition 2 in which X is not required to be compact (or locally compact or  $\sigma$ -compact or metrizable) and to apply these analogues to several concrete examples to which the results stated in [2] are not applicable.

Now let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a real vector lattice of bounded real-valued functions on X. We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *T*-invariant if  $f(x) \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $f(Tx) \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is *T*-invariant,

Received February 21, 1963.

and if  $\mathfrak{R}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all sets of the form  $X(f \ge 1), f \in \mathfrak{F}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is also *T*-invariant.

As in Loomis [5, p. 34] we say that the vector lattice  $\mathfrak{F}$  is Stonian if  $f \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{F}$  implies min  $(1, f) \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{F}$ . We will establish

THEOREM I. Let X be a set, let F be a Stonian vector lattice of bounded functions on X, let R be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all sets of the form  $X(f \ge 1), f \in F$ , and let T be a mapping of X into X for which F is T-invariant. Suppose F satisfies

(1) if  $\{f_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to 0, then  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly.

Then any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an integral representation as in Proposition 1.

The next result generalizes Proposition 2.

THEOREM II. Let X be a topological space, let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all sets of the form  $X(f \geq 1)$  where f is real-valued, continuous, and the closure of  $X(f \neq 0)$  is countably compact, and let T be a continuous mapping of X into X for which  $T^{-1}A$  is countably compact for any closed countably compact set A. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is T-invariant, and any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an integral representation as in Proposition 1.

Note that Theorem II does not exclude the degenerate case in which there is no nonzero continuous function f on X for which the closure of  $X(f \neq 0)$ is countably compact; in this event  $\mathfrak{R}$  is composed of only the sets  $X, \emptyset$ , and the only probability measure m on  $\mathfrak{R}$  is given by  $m(X) = 1, m(\emptyset) = 0$ . No restrictions on the topology of X are needed in Theorem II.

THEOREM III. Let X be a normal topological space, let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing every open  $F_{\sigma}$  set which has countably compact closure. Let T be a continuous mapping of X into X for which  $T^{-1}A$  is countably compact for any closed countably compact set A. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is T-invariant, and any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an integral representation as in Proposition 1.

Until Theorem I is proved we will assume its hypotheses are satisfied. Then by [2, pp. 451–452] we have the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA** 1. If  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are T-invariant probability measures such that  $m_1(A) = m_2(A)$  for all T-invariant  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $m_1 = m_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**LEMMA** 2. If  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq c \leq 1$ , there is a T-invariant  $B \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p(A) \leq c$  if and only if p(B) = 1 for every ergodic measure p on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Also the following lemma can be established as in [1, Theorem 2].

**LEMMA** 3. Let m be a T-invariant probability measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and let A be a

*T*-invariant set in  $\Re$  for which 0 < m(A) < 1. Then there exist *T*-invariant probability measures  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  on  $\Re$ , absolutely continuous with respect to m, for which  $m_1(A) = 1$ ,  $m_2(A) = 0$ , and  $m = m(A)m_1 + [1 - m(A)]m_2$ .

Now we employ our hypothesis (1) to establish the decisive link in the development of Theorem I.

LEMMA 4. For any T-invariant set  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  for which there is a T-invariant probability measure m on  $\mathbb{R}$  with m(A) > 0, there exists an ergodic measure p on  $\mathbb{R}$  with p(A) > 0.

*Proof.* Let m be a T-invariant probability measure, and let A be a T-invariant set in  $\mathfrak{R}$  for which m(A) > 0.

Let  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring containing all sets of the form  $X(f \ge 1), f \in \mathfrak{F}$ (we will find it necessary to consider  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  as well as  $\mathfrak{R}$ ). Note that

$$T^{-1}X(f(x) \ge 1) = X(f(Tx) \ge 1),$$

and it follows that  $E \in \mathbb{R}_0$  implies  $T^{-1}E \in \mathbb{R}_0$ . Elementary arguments show that any set E in  $\mathbb{R}$  is either in  $\mathbb{R}_0$  or is the complement of some set in  $\mathbb{R}_0$ ; if  $E \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , then  $E \cap E_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

Select  $B_1 \in \mathfrak{R}_0$  so that  $m(B_1) = \sup \{m(E); E \in \mathfrak{R}_0\} \leq 1$ , and put

$$B_0 = B_1 \cup T^{-1}B_1 \cup T^{-1}(T^{-1}B_1) \cup \cdots$$

Then  $B_0 \epsilon \mathfrak{K}_0$ ,  $T^{-1}B_0 \subset B_0$ , and  $m(E) = m(E \cap B_0)$  for all  $E \epsilon \mathfrak{K}_0$ . We claim that there is no set  $B \epsilon \mathfrak{K}$  for which  $m(B \cap B_0) = 0$  and  $0 < m(B) < m(X - B_0)$ . Assume such a set B exists. Set  $E = X - (B_0 \cup B)$ ; then m(E) > 0. Since  $E \cap B_0 = \emptyset$ , it is plain that there is a set  $G \epsilon \mathfrak{K}_0$  for which E = X - G. It follows that  $E = E - B_0 = X - (G \cup B_0) = X - B_0$ and  $B \subset B_0$  modulo *m*-null sets; hence  $m(B - B_0) = 0$ . Then  $m(B) = m(B - B_0) + m(B \cap B_0) = 0$ , which is impossible.

Let Y be the union  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}(X - B_0)$  where  $T^{-n}$  denotes  $(T^n)^{-1}$  and  $T^0$  denotes the identity mapping of X onto X, and let  $m_1$  be the contraction of m to Y. We claim that  $m_1$  is T-invariant. Suppose  $E \subset Y$ ; then

$$T^{-1}E \subset T^{-1}Y \subset Y,$$

and  $m_1(T^{-1}E) = m(T^{-1}E) = m(E) = m_1(E)$ . Suppose  $E \subset X - Y$ ; then  $(T^{-1}E) \cap Y = (T^{-1}E) \cap (X - B_0)$ , and  $E \in \mathfrak{R}_0$  because  $E \subset B_0$ . Consequently  $T^{-1}E \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ ,  $0 = m[(T^{-1}E) \cap Y] = m_1(T^{-1}E) = m(E \cap Y) = m_1(E)$ , and consequently  $m_1$  is T-invariant.

Suppose C is a T-invariant set in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then

$$T^{-n}[C \cap (X - B_0)] = (T^{-n}C) \cap T^{-n}(X - B_0) = C \cap T^{-n}(X - B_0)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ , and

$$m_1(C) = m_1(C \cap Y) = m_1[\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C \cap T^{-n}(X - B_0)]$$
  
=  $m_1[\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}[C \cap (X - B_0)].$ 

Now either  $m[C \cap (X - B_0)] = 0$  or  $m[C \cap (X - B_0)] = m(X - B_0)$ ; in the former case  $m_1(C) = 0$ , and in the latter case  $m_1(C) = m_1(Y)$ . We can assume without loss of generality in the proof of Lemma 4 that  $m(A \cap Y) = 0$ ; for if  $m(A \cap Y) > 0$ , then  $m_1/m_1(Y)$  would be an ergodic measure p on  $\mathfrak{R}$  for which  $p(A) = p(A \cap Y) > 0$  as is required.

In all that follows suppose  $m(A \cap Y) = 0$ . Set

$$U = A \cap B_0, \qquad V = A \cap (X - B_0).$$

Now  $T^{-1}U = (T^{-1}A) \cap (T^{-1}B_0) \subset A \cap B_0 = U$ , and  $m(T^{-n}V) = m(V) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Hence

$$m[U - \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}V] > 0.$$

Because  $T^{-1}U \subset U$  and  $U \cup V = (T^{-1}U) \cup (T^{-1}V)$ , it follows that  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}V$ and  $A - \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}V = U - \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}V$  are *T*-invariant. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $A \subset B_0$ . Then  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ .

For each  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  let  $I_f$  denote a copy of the real line under the usual topology, and let  $\times_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$  denote the Cartesian product of all the  $I_f$ . Indeed  $\times_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$ is a real topological vector space under coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication. Note that no nonzero vector in  $\times_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$  is annihilated by every continuous linear functional on  $\times_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$ .

Let V be the set of all measures v on  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  for which  $v(E) \leq 1$  and  $v(E) = v(T^{-1}E)$  for all  $E \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ . Then V is a convex set where  $[\alpha v_1 + (1 - \alpha)v_2](E)$  is defined to be  $\alpha v_1(E) + (1 - \alpha)v_2(E)$  for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Note that every  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  is  $\mathfrak{R}_0$ -measurable. We construct a mapping  $\phi$  of V into  $\times_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$  as follows: for  $v \in V$ ,  $\phi(v)_f = \int f dv$ . Clearly  $\phi$  is affine; i.e.,

$$\phi(\alpha v_1 + (1 - \alpha)v_2) = \alpha \phi(v_1) + (1 - \alpha)\phi(v_2) \qquad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

We claim that  $\phi(V)$  is closed in  $\times_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$ . To see this let  $(a_f, f \in \mathfrak{F})$  be a point in the closure of  $\phi(V)$ ; we must find a  $u \in V$  for which  $\phi(u)_f = a_f$ , all  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Clearly the mapping  $\bar{u}(f) = a_f$  is a nonnegative linear functional on the vector space  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and  $|\bar{u}(f)| \leq \sup |f|$  for each  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Now suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to 0 on X; by hypothesis (1),  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly, and consequently  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{u}(f_n) = 0$ .

We extend  $\bar{u}$  to the class of  $\bar{u}$ -summable functions employing Daniell's Theory [5, Chapter III]. Then  $0 \leq \bar{u}(g) \leq 1$  for any  $\bar{u}$ -summable function g for which  $0 \leq g \leq 1$ . Because  $\mathfrak{F}$  is Stonian, it follows that for any  $f \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{F}$ and any real number c > 0 the characteristic function of X(f > c) is  $\bar{u}$ -summable. By the Monotone Convergence Theorem and the fact that the class of  $\bar{u}$ -summable functions is closed under the lattice operations (see [5]) we have that the characteristic function of any set in  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  is  $\bar{u}$ -summable. We now define a set function u on  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  as follows:  $u(E) = \bar{u}(\chi_E)$  for each  $E \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{R}_0$ . Then u is a measure on  $\mathfrak{R}_0$ , and  $\bar{u}(f) = \int f du$  for all  $f \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{F}$  by [5, Corollary 3, p. 35]. Hence  $\int f du = a_f$  for all  $f \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{F}$ ; to show that  $u \mathfrak{e} V$  it suffices to prove that  $u(E) \leq 1$  and  $u(T^{-1}E) = u(E)$  for any  $E \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{R}_0$ . But  $u(E) \leq 1$  because  $0 \leq \chi_E \leq 1$ . For each  $v \in V$  we have  $\int f(x) dv = \int f(Tx) dv$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ , and consequently  $\bar{u}[f(x)] = \bar{u}[f(Tx)]$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ . It follows from the Daniell Theory that for any  $\bar{u}$ -summable function g we have that g(Tx) is also  $\bar{u}$ -summable and  $\bar{u}[g(x)] = \bar{u}[g(Tx)]$ ; by setting  $g = \chi_E$  it follows that  $u(E) = u(T^{-1}E)$ . Consequently  $u \in V$ ,  $\phi(u) = (a_f, f \in \mathfrak{F})$ , and  $\phi(V)$  is closed in  $\chi_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$ .

Now let  $v \in V$ , and put  $\bar{v}(f) = \int f dv$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $|\bar{v}(f)| \leq \sup |f|$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ , and, as in the argument above,  $\bar{v}$  can be extended to the class of all  $\bar{v}$ -summable functions by the Daniell Theory. The characteristic function of any set in  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  is  $\bar{v}$ -summable. Let  $E \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ , and select a number  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . There are a  $\bar{v}$ -summable function g and a nondecreasing sequence  $\{g_n\}$  of nonnegative functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to g for which  $g \geq \chi_E$  and  $\bar{v}(g) < \bar{v}(\chi_E) + \varepsilon$ . Then

$$(1 - \varepsilon)v[E \cap X(g_n > 1 - \varepsilon)] \leq \overline{v}(g_n) \qquad \text{for all } n,$$

and since 
$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E \cap X(g_n > 1 - \varepsilon) = E$$
, we have that

 $(1 - \varepsilon)v(E) = (1 - \varepsilon)\lim_{n \to \infty} v[E \cap X(g_n > 1 - \varepsilon)]$  $\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{v}(g_n) = \overline{v}(g) < \overline{v}(\chi_E) + \varepsilon,$ 

and  $v(E) \leq \bar{v}(\chi_E)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , and let c be a positive number. Put

$$h = f - \min(c, f)$$
 and  $h_n = \min(1, nh)$ 

for each integer *n*. Then  $\{h_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to  $\chi_{X(f>c)}$ ,  $vX(f>c) \geq \overline{v}(h_n)$  for all *n*, and by the Monotone Convergence Theorem  $vX(f>c) \geq \overline{v}(\chi_{X(f>c)})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the family of all sets  $E \in \mathfrak{R}_0$  for which  $v(E) = \overline{v}(\chi_E)$ . If  $E \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ , then  $A \cap E \in \mathfrak{S}$ ; for  $\overline{v}(\chi_{A\cap E}) \geq v(A \cap E)$ ,  $\overline{v}(\chi_{E-A\cap E}) \geq v(E - A \cap E)$ ,  $\overline{v}(\chi_E) = v(E)$  imply that  $\overline{v}(\chi_{A\cap E}) = v(A \cap E)$ . But  $X(f>c) \in \mathfrak{S}$  for  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  and c > 0. Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is closed under finite intersections, differences, finite unions, and (by the Monotone Convergence Theorem) countable unions. Consequently  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring and  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{R}_0$ . For any  $E \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ ,  $\overline{v}(\chi_E) = v(E)$ . Furthermore if g is any bounded  $\mathfrak{R}_0$ -measurable function on X, g is the uniform limit of a monotone sequence of  $\overline{v}$ -summable functions, g is  $\overline{v}$ -summable, and  $\overline{v}(g) = \int g dv$ .

Consequently  $\phi$  is 1-1 on V. If  $v_1$ ,  $v_2 \in V$ ,  $\phi(v_1) = \phi(v_2)$ , then  $\int g \, dv_1 = \int g \, dv_2$  for any function g which is the pointwise limit on X of a monotone sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$ ; it follows from the Daniell Theory that  $v_1(E) = \int \chi_E \, dv_1 = \int \chi_E \, dv_2 = v_2(E)$  for any  $E \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ .

Now  $\phi(V)$  is closed in  $\times_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$  and is bounded in each component  $I_f$ . By the Tychonoff Product Theorem  $\phi(V)$  is a compact subset of  $\times_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} I_f$ . The restriction of m to  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  is a measure  $v_1 \in V$  for which  $v_1(A) > 0$  (remember that  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ ). By the Daniell Theory there are an  $\mathfrak{R}_0$ -measurable function g with  $0 \leq g \leq \chi_A$  and a nonincreasing sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to g such that  $\int g \, dv_1 > 0$ . Let  $V_n$  denote the subset of V composed of all  $v \in V$  for which  $\int f_n dv \ge \int g dv_1$ . Let U be the set of all  $v \in V$  for which  $\int g dv \ge \int g dv_1$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n = U$ , and  $\phi(U)$  is a non-vacuous convex compact subset of  $\times_{f \in \mathcal{F}} I_f$  because each  $\phi(V_n)$  is convex, compact, and  $v_1 \in U$ .

Let k be the supremum of the set of numbers  $\{\int g \, dv; v \in V\}$ . For each integer n > 0 let  $S_n$  be the set of  $v \in V$  for which  $\int g \, dv \geq k - n^{-1}$ . By essentially the same argument given in the preceding paragraph each  $\phi(S_n)$  is nonvacuous, convex, compact. Let  $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ ; it follows that  $\phi(S)$  is nonvacuous, convex, compact, and  $\int g \, dv = k$  for any  $v \in S$ . By [4, Theorem 2.6.4, p. 28]  $\phi(S)$  has an extreme point, say  $\phi(p_0)$ . Because  $\phi$  is affine and 1-1,  $p_0$  must be extremal in S. In fact  $p_0$  is extremal in V, for if  $v_2$ ,  $v_3 \in V$ ,  $\alpha v_2 + (1 - \alpha)v_3 = p_0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$k = \int g \, dp_0 = \alpha \int g \, dv_2 + (1 - \alpha) \int g \, dv_3,$$

and plainly  $v_2$ ,  $v_3 \in S$ ,  $v_2 = v_3 = p_0$ .

Let  $B_1$  be a set in  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  for which  $p_0(E) = p_0(E \cap B_1)$  for every  $E \in \mathfrak{R}_0$ . Define the measure p on  $\mathfrak{R}$  as follows:  $p(E) = p_0(E \cap B_1)$  for  $E \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Now  $p_0(B_1) = 1$ ; for if  $p_0(B_1) < 1$ , then  $p_0/p_0(B_1)$  is a measure v in V for which  $\int g \, dv = \int g \, dp_0/p_0(B_1) > \int g \, dp_0 = k$ , which is impossible. Consequently p is a probability measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

We claim that p is T-invariant. If  $E \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , then

$$p(E) = p_0(E \cap B_1) = p_0(E) = p_0(T^{-1}E) = p_0[(T^{-1}E) \cap B_1] = p(T^{-1}E),$$

and

$$p(X - E) = 1 - p(E) = 1 - p(T^{-1}E) = p(X - T^{-1}E) = p[T^{-1}(X - E)].$$

Since every set in  $\mathfrak{R}$  is either in  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  or is the complement of some set in  $\mathfrak{R}_0$ , we have that p is T-invariant.

We claim that p is ergodic. Assume that p is not ergodic, and let E be a T-invariant set in  $\mathfrak{R}$  for which 0 < p(E) < 1. Then by Lemma 3 there exist T-invariant probability measures  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , absolutely continuous with respect to p, for which

$$m_1(E) = 1$$
,  $m_2(E) = 0$  and  $p = p(E)m_1 + [1 - p(E)]m_2$ .

Because  $p_0$  is extremal in V, it follows that  $m_1$  must coincide with  $m_2$  on  $\mathcal{R}_0$ . In particular

$$m_1(E \cap B_1) = m_2(E \cap B_1)$$
, and  $m_1(E - E \cap B_1) \neq m_2(E - E \cap B_1)$ .

Since  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are absolutely continuous with respect to p, we have  $p(E - E \cap B_1) > 0$ . But  $p(E - E \cap B_1) = p_0[(E - E \cap B_1) \cap B_1] = 0$ , which is impossible.

Hence p is ergodic and  $p(A) = p_0(A \cap B_1) = \int \chi_A dp_0 \ge \int g dp_0 = k > 0$ . This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.

Theorem I now can be developed by the same argument as in [1, pp. 1127–1128]. For the sake of completeness we briefly sketch the proof.

Proof of Theorem I. For each T-invariant set A in  $\mathfrak{R}$  let

$$\pi_A = \{p \in \mathcal{E}; p(A) = 1\}.$$

Then the collection of all such sets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Pi$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Let *m* be a *T*-invariant probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define a set function  $\mu$  on  $\Pi$  as follows:  $\mu(\pi_A) = m(A)$  for all *T*-invariant sets  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ . Routine arguments employing Lemma 4 show that  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\Pi$ .

Define  $m'(A) = \int_{p \in \mathcal{E}} p(A) d\mu$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then m' is a *T*-invariant probability measure on  $\mathcal{R}$ . But if A is a *T*-invariant set in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $m'(A) = \mu(\pi_A) = m(A)$ . By Lemma 1, m = m' and  $m(A) = \int_{p \in \mathcal{E}} p(A) d\mu$ , all  $A \in \mathcal{R}$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem I.

Theorems II and III follow immediately from this result.

Proof of Theorem II. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the family of all continuous real-valued functions on X for which the closure of  $X(f \neq 0)$  is countably compact. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is obviously a Stonian vector lattice of bounded functions. Indeed  $\mathfrak{F}$  is T-invariant, for if  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $T^{-1}X(f(x) \neq 0) = X(f(Tx) \neq 0)$ , and the closure of  $X(f(Tx) \neq 0)$  must be countably compact. We claim that  $\mathfrak{F}$ satisfies hypothesis (1) in Theorem I. To see this, let  $\{f_n\}$  be a nonincreasing sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to 0 on X. Select  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let A be the closure of the set  $X(f_1 > 0)$ . Then A is countably compact, and  $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X(f_n < \varepsilon)$ ; hence there is an index N for which  $A \subset X(f_N < \varepsilon)$ and  $0 \leq f_N < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly, and  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfies (1). Theorem II now follows from Theorem I.

Proof of Theorem III. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the *T*-invariant Stonian vector lattice of functions composed of all real-valued functions f for which the closure of  $X(f \neq 0)$  is countably compact. Let  $\mathfrak{K}'$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X containing all sets of the form  $X(f \geq 1), f \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

For every real number c > 0 and  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ , X(f > c) is an open  $F_{\sigma}$  set;

$$X(f > c) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X(f \ge c + n^{-1}).$$

Hence X(f > c) is in  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and  $X(f \ge 1) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} X(f > 1 - n^{-1})$  is in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{R}' \subset \mathfrak{R}$ .

But on the other hand, suppose U is an open  $F_{\sigma}$  set with countably compact

closure; say  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  where each  $E_n$  is closed. By Urysohn's Lemma there is a continuous real-valued function  $g_n$  for which  $0 \leq g_n \leq 1, g_n(E_n) = 1$ , and  $g_n(X - U) = 0$ . Put  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}g_n$ . Then f is continuous on X, and U = X(f > 0). For each integer  $n > 0, X(f \geq n^{-1})$  is in  $\mathfrak{K}'$ , and consequently  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X(f \geq n^{-1})$  is also in  $\mathfrak{K}'$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathfrak{K}'$  and  $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}'$ . Theorem III now follows from Theorem II.

Having established Theorems I, II, III we turn now to some concrete applications.

Example 1. Let X be a set, let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all the countable subsets of X, and let T be a mapping of X into X such that  $T^{-1}x$  is at most a finite set for any  $x \in X$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is T-invariant, and any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an integral representation. To see this, give X the discrete topology and observe that Theorem II applies. (Note also that X is not  $\sigma$ -compact if X is uncountable.)

Example 2. Let X be the set of all countable ordinal numbers endowed with the order topology, let T be a continuous mapping of X into X, and let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X containing all the countable subsets. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is T-invariant, and any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an integral representation.

To see this, let  $\mathfrak{R}'$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all sets of the form  $X(f \geq 1)$  where f is real-valued and continuous on X. Any continuous function f on X is constant on a final interval, and  $X(f \geq 1)$  is either a countable set or else the union of a countable set with a final interval. It follows that  $\mathfrak{R}' \subset \mathfrak{R}$ . On the other hand any set composed of one point is in  $\mathfrak{R}'$  and  $\mathfrak{R}' = \mathfrak{R}$ . But X is countable compact. Theorem II then gives us the conclusion immediately. Note that X is not compact or  $\sigma$ -compact or metrizable.

*Example* 3. Let  $\aleph$  be a transfinite cardinal number, let Y consist of the smallest ordinal number whose power exceeds  $\aleph$  and all smaller ordinal numbers, and endow Y with the order topology. Let X be the Cartesian product  $Y \times Y$  with the diagonal removed, and let T be a homeomorphism of X onto X (for example, T(a, b) = (b, a)). Let  $\Re$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all the compact  $G_{\delta}$  subsets of X. Then  $\Re$  is T-invariant, and any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\Re$  has an integral representation.

To see this, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Stonian vector lattice composed of all continuous functions on X with compact support, and show that Theorem I applies. (The reader can also prove that X is not countably compact or  $\sigma$ -compact or metrizable.)

Example 4. Let Y be defined as in Example 3, and let Z be the set of all ordinal numbers in Y but the greatest one. Let X be the Cartesian product of countably infinitely many copies of Z, and let T be any continuous mapping

of X into X. Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all sets of the form  $X(f \geq 1), f$  continuous on X. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is T-invariant, and any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an integral representation.

This conclusion follows immediately from Theorem II provided we are able to show that X is countably compact. Observe that any monotonic sequence of points in Z must converge to some limit in Z. And any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in Z has a monotonic subsequence (to see this show that if  $\{x_n\}$  has no nonincreasing subsequence, then an argument by induction proves that  $\{x_n\}$  has a nondecreasing subsequence). Thus every subsequence  $\{x_n\}$  in Z has a convergent subsequence. With the Cantor diagonal method one can show that any sequence of points in X has a convergent subsequence. It follows that every infinite set in X has at least one accumulation point, and X is countably compact. Note that X is not locally compact or  $\sigma$ -compact or metrizable. Indeed every compact subset of X has void interior and no nonzero continuous function on X has compact support.

We conclude with three corollaries.

COROLLARY 1. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let T be a continuous mapping of X into X such that  $T^{-1}A$  is compact for any compact set A. Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all the compact  $G_{\mathfrak{d}}$  sets. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is T-invariant, and any T-invariant probability measure m on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an integral representation.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the Stonian vector lattice composed of all continuous functions on X with compact support, and it follows at once that Theorem I applies. (Compare Corollary 1 with [2, Theorem 4] in which X is required to be  $\sigma$ -compact.)

COROLLARY 2. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $\mathfrak{R}$  consist of the Baire sets in X. Let T be a 1-1 mapping of X onto X for which T and  $T^{-1}$  map Baire sets into Baire sets, and suppose the graph of T is a Baire subset of  $X \times X$ . Then any T-invariant probability measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$  has an integral representation.

*Proof.* For each integer *n*, positive, negative or zero, let  $X_n$  be a copy of X. Let Y be the Cartesian product  $\times_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} X_n$ ; then Y is also compact Hausdorff. We define a mapping  $\phi$  of X into Y as follows:  $\phi(x)_n = T^n x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Obviously  $\phi$  is 1-1. Put  $X^* = \phi(X)$ . Let  $T^*$  be the mapping of Y onto Y given by  $(T^*y)_n = y_{n+1}$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Then  $T^*$  is a homeomorphism of Y onto Y,  $\phi^{-1}T^*\phi = T$  on X, and  $\phi T \phi^{-1} = T^*$  on  $X^*$ . Furthermore  $T^{*n}[\phi(x)] = \phi(T^n x)$  and  $T^{*n}X^* = X^*$  for all n.

For each index n let  $V_n$  be the set of all points  $y \in Y$  for which  $y_{n+1} = Ty_n$ . Then  $V_n$  is a Baire set in Y because the graph of T is a Baire set in  $X \times X$ . Consequently  $X^* = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} V_n$  is a Baire set in Y.

Let f be a continuous real-valued function on X, and (for some fixed index

n) put  $f^*(y) = f(y_n)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Then  $f^*$  is continuous on Y, and  $\phi^{-1}[Y(f^* \ge 1)] = T^{-n}X(f \ge 1)$  is a Baire set in X because  $T^{-n}$  maps Baire sets into Baire sets. Likewise  $\phi^{-1}[Y(f^* \ge c)]$  is a Baire set in X for any real number c, and  $f^*\phi$  is a Baire function on X.

By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem the algebra of all continuous real-valued functions on Y is the smallest uniformly closed algebra containing all the functions on Y constructed from continuous functions on X as was  $f^*$  in the preceding paragraph; consequently for any continuous function  $g^*$  on Y we have that  $g^*\phi$  is a Baire function on X, and  $\phi^{-1}Y(g^* \ge 1)$  is a Baire set in X. Thus if  $E^*$  is any Baire set in Y,  $\phi^{-1}E^*$  is a Baire set in X. And if  $E^*$  is a Baire subset of  $X^*$ ,  $\phi^{-1}E^*$  is a Baire set in X.

On the other hand if f is a continuous function on X, then  $f^*$  is continuous on Y where  $f^*(y) = f(y_0)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Hence  $\phi[X(f \ge 1)] = X^* \cap Y(f^* \ge 1)$ is a Baire subset of  $X^*$ , because  $X^*$  is a Baire set in Y. For any Baire set E in X,  $\phi(E)$  is a Baire subset of  $X^*$ .

By Proposition 1 it suffices to show that given a *T*-invariant probability measure *m* on the Baire sets in *X* and a *T*-invariant Baire set *A* for which m(A) > 0, there exists an ergodic measure *p* on the Baire sets of *X* for which p(A) > 0. Clearly it suffices then to show that given a  $T^*$ -invariant probability measure  $m^*$  on the Baire subsets of  $X^*$  and a  $T^*$ -invariant Baire subset  $A^*$  of  $X^*$  for which  $m^*(A^*) > 0$ , there exists an ergodic (with respect to  $T^*$ ) measure  $p^*$  for which  $p^*(A^*) > 0$ .

We extend  $m^*$  to a measure  $\bar{m}$  on the Baire sets in Y as follows: for each Baire set  $E^*$  in Y put  $\bar{m}(E^*) = m^*(E^* \cap X^*)$ . Obviously  $\bar{m}$  is a  $T^*$ -invariant probability measure. Since  $T^*$  is a homeomorphism of Y onto Y, it follows from Proposition 2 that there exists an ergodic measure  $\bar{p}$  on the Baire sets in Y for which  $\bar{p}(A^*) > 0$ ; hence  $\bar{p}(A^*) = 1$ . Then the contraction of  $\bar{p}$  to  $X^*$  is an ergodic measure  $p^*$  on the Baire subsets of  $X^*$  for which  $p^*(A^*) = 1$ . This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3. Let X be a set, let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a Stonian vector lattice of bounded real-valued functions on X, and let  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring containing all the sets of the form  $X(f \geq 1), f \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(1) If  $\{f_n\}$  is any nonincreasing sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to 0, then  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly.

(2) If  $\bar{u}$  is any nonnegative linear functional on  $\mathfrak{F}$ , bounded in the sense that  $|\bar{u}(f)| \leq M \sup |f|$  for some M > 0 and all  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ , there is a measure u on  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  for which  $\bar{u}(f) = \int f du$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

(3) If  $\{f_n\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to 0, and if  $\bar{u}$  is any bounded nonnegative linear functional on  $\mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{u}(f_n) = 0$ .

*Proof.* That  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  was established essentially in the proof of Lemma 4, so we will not repeat it here.

To show that  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ , assume (2), let  $\{f_n\}$  be a nonincreasing sequence

of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to 0, and let  $\bar{u}$  be a bounded nonnegative linear functional on  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n du = \lim_{n \to \infty} \bar{u}(f_n)$  by the Monotone Convergence Theorem.

To show that  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ , let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an algebra of bounded real-valued functions on X such that  $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is complete in the sup norm. Then under the sup norm,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a commutative Banach algebra. There exists an isometric isomorphism p of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto C(Y), the Banach algebra (under the sup norm) of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a certain locally compact Hausdorff space Y.

Now assume (3), and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a nonincreasing sequence of functions in  $\mathfrak{F}$  converging pointwise to 0, and let  $y \in Y$ . Then  $f \to p(f)(y)$  is a bounded nonnegative linear functional on  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and by (3),  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(f_n)(y) = 0$ . Thus  $\{p(f_n)\}$  converges pointwise to 0 on Y, and it follows that  $p(f_n)$  converges uniformly. Because p is isometric,  $\{f_n\}$  must also converge uniformly to 0 on X. Thus  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ , and Corollary 3 is proved.

Hence in Theorem I, hypothesis (1) can be replaced by (2) or by (3).

## References

- 1. J. R. BLUM AND D. L. HANSON, On invariant probability measures I, Pacific J. Math., vol 10 (1960), pp. 1125–1129.
- 2. R. H. FARRELL, Representation of invariant measures, Illinois J. Math., vol. 6 (1962), pp. 447-467.
- 3. P. R. HALMOS, Measure theory, New York, Van Nostrand, 1950.
- 4. E. HILLE AND R. S. PHILLIPS, Functional analysis and semi-groups, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 31, rev. ed., 1957.
- L. H. LOOMIS, An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis, New York, Van Nostrand, 1953.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON EUGENE, OREGON