

## THE ACTION OF THE STABLE OPERATIONS OF COMPLEX K-THEORY ON COEFFICIENT GROUPS

BY  
KEITH JOHNSON

### Introduction

A stable operation of degree 0 on complex  $K$ -theory is a natural transformation

$$\phi^*: K^*(\ ) \rightarrow K^*(\ )$$

and may be identified with a map of spectra  $\phi: K \rightarrow K$ . Adams and Clarke [1] showed that the set of such operations is large, in fact uncountable. Since the coefficient groups  $K^*(S^0) = \pi_*(K)$  are shown to be  $\mathbf{Z}$  for  $*$  even, 0 for  $*$  odd, it is natural to ask what the action of  $\phi$  on these groups might be. The present paper answers this question, both for  $K$ -theory and  $K$ -theory localized at a prime.

In [4] and [3], Lance and Clarke respectively considered the corresponding unstable question, i.e., the action induced in homotopy by a self  $H$ -map of  $BU$  or  $BU_{(p)}$ . Our results are of the same form as theorem 4 of [3], but in the stable case we must consider  $\pi_i(K)$  for  $i < 0$  as well.

We will define integers  $\gamma_p(n)$ ,  $\Gamma(n)$ ,  $t_p(n, i)$ ,  $v(n, i)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and show:

**THEOREM 1.** *If the action of  $\phi: K_{(p)} \rightarrow K_{(p)}$  on  $\pi_{2i}(K_{(p)}) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  is multiplication by  $\lambda_i$ , then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n t_p(n, i) \cdot \lambda_{i-m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\gamma_p(n)}}$$

*for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Furthermore every sequence  $\{\lambda_i\}$  satisfying these congruences for the special cases  $m = [n/2]$  arises from a unique map of spectra.*

**THEOREM 2.** *If the action of  $\phi: K \rightarrow K$  on  $\pi_{2i}(K) = \mathbf{Z}$  is multiplication by  $\lambda_i$ , then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n v(n, i) \cdot \lambda_{i-m} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Gamma(n)}$$

*for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Furthermore every sequence  $\{\lambda_i\}$  satisfying these congruences for the special cases  $m = [n/2]$  arises from a unique map of spectra.*

---

Received October 14, 1981.

The functions  $\gamma_p$  and  $\Gamma$  are easily described:

$$\gamma_p(n) = v_p((n + [n/p - 1])!)$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ , and  $v_p(x)$  denotes the  $p$ -adic valuation, i.e., the largest integer  $m$  for which  $p^m$  divides  $x$ ; and  $\Gamma(n)$  is the unique integer with  $v_p(\Gamma(n)) = \gamma_p(n)$  for all primes  $p$ .

The integers  $t_p(n, i)$  can be described as follows: For a given prime  $p$ , let  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  denote the sequence  $1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1, p + 1, \dots$  of integers prime to  $p$ . Then  $t_p(n, i)$  is defined by the equation

$$(w - a_1) \dots (w - a_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n t_p(n, i) \cdot w^i$$

For the integers  $v(n, i)$ , we first choose a sequence  $a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{n,n}$  of integers subject to the conditions that for each prime  $p$  for which  $\gamma_p(n) > 0$ , we have

$$a_{i,n} \equiv a_i \pmod{p^m}$$

where  $m$  is the least integer for which  $p^m > a_n$ . Note that  $a_i$  depends on the prime  $p$  being considered. This is a finite set of conditions, and can always be satisfied, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. The  $v(n, i)$  are defined by the equation

$$(w - a_{1,n}) \dots (w - a_{n,n}) = \sum_{i=0}^n v(n, i) \cdot w^i.$$

The proof of these theorems is based on the fact that  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$  and  $K_0K$  are free over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  respectively. This was established in [1], and implies that the Kronecker pairing induces an isomorphism. In §1 we construct explicit bases for  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$  and  $K_0K$  and use this in §2 to prove the theorems.

### Section 1

We begin by recalling from [2] the description of the hopf algebra  $K_*K$ . There it was pointed out that the natural map  $K_*K \rightarrow K_*K \otimes Q$  is an injection, and that  $K_*K \otimes Q$  equals  $Q[u, v, u^{-1}, v^{-1}]$ , i.e., finite Laurent series in two variables [2, Propositions 2.1 and 2.2]. Thus it suffices to describe those series lying in the image of this map, and this was done in [2] by giving a certain integrality condition (Theorem 2.3).

For our purposes it is sufficient to only consider  $K_0K$ , and to give a slightly different description. Letting  $w = v/u$ , we see that

$$K_0K \otimes Q = Q[w, w^{-1}].$$

Let  $A$  denote the ring of polynomials  $f \in Q[w]$  which take integral values at the integers. Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 2.3 of [2] can be restated as the following description of  $K_0K$  in terms of  $A$ :

**PROPOSITION 3.** *The image of  $K_0K$  in  $Q[w, w^{-1}]$  equals the union of the sub-rings  $(1/w^n) \cdot A$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .*

In [12], Adams and Clarke show that  $K_0K$  is actually a free abelian group. This isn't obvious from the description above, even though  $A$  is easily seen to be free. The difficulty is that  $K_0K \cap Q[w]$  contains more than just  $A$ , for example it contains  $(w^2 - 1)/24$ .

We will see that this problem does not arise in the  $p$ -local case, and so we consider it first. Let us fix a prime  $p$ , and let  $B$  denote the subring of  $Q[w]$  consisting of those polynomials  $f$  for which  $f(k) \in \mathbf{Z}$ , if  $k$  is an integer prime to  $p$ . Also let us denote by  $G_{(p)}$  the  $p$ -localization of an abelian group  $G$ .

**LEMMA 4.**  $B \supseteq A$ , and for any  $f \in B$  there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $w^n \cdot f \in A_{(p)}$ .

**PROOF.** The first statement is immediate. For the second, take  $n$  to be the maximum of the  $p$ -exponents of the denominators of the coefficients of the polynomial  $f$ .

The inclusion  $K_0K \rightarrow Q[w, w^{-1}]$  extends uniquely to an inclusion

$$(K_0K)_{(p)} \rightarrow Q[w, w^{-1}].$$

The previous lemma implies the following  $p$ -local analog of Proposition 3:

**PROPOSITION 5.** *The image of  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$  in  $Q[w, w^{-1}]$  equals the union of the subrings  $(1/w^n) \cdot B_{(p)}$ .*

In contrast with  $A$ , the ring  $B$  has the following useful property:

**LEMMA 6.** If  $w^n \cdot f \in B_{(p)}$ , and  $f \in Q[w]$ , then  $f \in B_{(p)}$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that if  $w^n \cdot f \in B$ , then there exists a non-zero integer  $b$  prime to  $p$  for which  $b \cdot f \in B$ . There certainly exists some non-zero integer for which  $b \cdot f \in B$ , for example the product of the denominators of the coefficients of  $f$ . Order the non-zero integers with this property by divisibility and choose a minimal one,  $b$ .

Suppose  $b$  were divisible by  $p$ , and let  $b = p \cdot b'$ . If  $(k, p) = 1$ , then we have  $b \cdot f(k) = p \cdot b' \cdot f(k) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and also  $k^n \cdot f(k) \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Thus  $b' \cdot f(k) \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and so  $b' \cdot f \in B$ , contradicting the minimality of  $b$ .

This lemma will allow us to construct a basis for  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$  from one for  $B_{(p)}$ . A basis for  $B_{(p)}$  can be constructed as follows:

**DEFINITION 7.** Define polynomials  $q_n(w) \in Q[w]$  by

$$q_0(w) = 1, \quad q_n(w) = (w - a_1) \dots (w - a_n) / (a_{n+1} - a_1) \dots (a_{n+1} - a_n)$$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  are as defined in the introduction. Note that the  $p$ -adic norm of the denominator of  $q_n(w)$  is  $\gamma_p(n)$ .

**PROPOSITION 8.**  $\{q_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a basis over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  for  $B_{(p)}$ .

*Proof.* Since

- (a) degree  $(q_n) = n$ ,  
 (b)  $q_n(a_i) = 0$  if  $i \leq n$  and  $q_n(a_i) = 1, i = n + 1$ ,

it is clear that any polynomial  $f$  of degree  $n$  in  $B_{(p)}$  can be expressed as a  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  linear combination of  $q_0, \dots, q_n$ .

It remains, therefore, to show that  $q_n \in B_{(p)}$ . For this we note that  $B_{(p)}$  can be described as those  $f \in \mathcal{Q}[w]$  for which  $f(k) \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  for all integers  $k$  prime to  $p$ . Thus we must show that for such  $k$ ,

$$v_p((k - a_1) \dots (k - a_n)) \geq v_p((a_{n+1} - a_1) \dots (a_{n+1} - a_n)).$$

Since  $(k, p) = 1$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} v_p((k - a_1) \dots (k - a_n)) &= v_p((k - 1) \cdot (k - 2) \dots (k - a_n)) \\ &= v_p((k - 1)! / (k - a_n - 1)!) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_p((a_{n+1} - a_1) \dots (a_{n+1} - a_n)) &= v_p((a_{n+1} - 1)! / (a_{n+1} - a_n - 1)!) \\ &= v_p((a_{n+1} - 1)!). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $(k - 1)! / (k - a_n - 1)! a_n!$  is a binomial coefficient, and so is an integer. Thus

$$v_p((k - 1)! / (k - a_n - 1)!) \geq v_p(a_n!).$$

If  $a_{n+1}$  is not congruent to 1 mod  $p$ , then  $a_{n+1} - 1 = a_n$ , and we are finished. If  $a_{n+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} v_p((k - a_1) \dots (k - a_n)) &= v_p((k - 1)! / (k - a_n)!) \\ &\geq v_p((a_n + 1)!) \\ &= v_p((a_{n+1} - 1)!). \end{aligned}$$

**PROPOSITION 9.**  $\{(1/w^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}) \cdot q_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a basis for  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$  over  $\mathbf{Z}(p)$ .

*Proof.* We make use of the subgroups  $F(n, m)$  introduced in [1]. Let

$$F(n, m) = (K_0K)_{(p)} \cap \text{span}(w^n, w^{n+1}, \dots, w^m)$$

and let  $l, t : F(n, m) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  be the homomorphisms  $l(f) = a_m, t(f) = a_n$  if  $f = \sum_{i=n}^m a_i w^i$ . By Lemma 6, any element of  $F(n, m)$  is of the form  $w^n \cdot f$  with  $f \in B_{(p)}$  of degree  $m - n$ . Since  $f$  is a linear combination of  $q_0, \dots, q_{m-n}$  we see that  $\text{image}(l)$  and  $\text{image}(t)$  are equal to  $l(q_{m-n}) \cdot \mathbf{Z}(p)$  and  $t(q_{m-n}) \cdot \mathbf{Z}(p)$  respectively. Also,  $l$  and  $t$  induce isomorphisms

$$F(n, m) / F(n, m - 1) \cong \text{Image}(l), \quad F(n, m) / F(n + 1, m) \cong \text{Image}(t).$$

Thus we see, by induction on  $n$ , that

$$q_0, \dots, (1/w^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}) \cdot q_n$$

is a basis for  $F(-\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, n - \lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$ .

We return now to the question of finding a basis for  $K_0K$ . Our construction is based on the following observation:

**PROPOSITION 10.** *If  $\{x_i | i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a subset of a torsion free abelian group  $G$  with the property that for each prime  $p$  it forms a  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  basis for  $G_{(p)}$ , then it forms a basis for  $G$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

Our candidates for basis elements for  $K_0K$  are the Laurent polynomials

$$(1/w^{[n/2]}) \cdot p_n(w),$$

where we define

$$p_0(w) = 1 \text{ and } p_n(w) = (w - a_{1,n}) \dots (w - a_{n,n}) / \Gamma(n)$$

with  $\Gamma(n), a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{n,n}$  as in the introduction.

**LEMMA 11.** *For every prime  $p$  and nonnegative integer  $n$ ,  $p_n(w) \in B_{(p)}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p > n + [n/p - 1]$ , then  $\gamma_p(n) = v_p(\Gamma(n)) = 0$  and the result is obvious. Otherwise, note that if  $k$  is an integer prime to  $p$ , then

$$v_p(k - a_{i,n}) \geq v_p(k - a_i)$$

since  $a_{i,n} \equiv a_i \pmod{p^m}$  and  $0 \leq a_i \leq p^m$ . Thus

$$v_p((k - a_{1,n}) \dots (k - a_{n,n})) \geq v_p((k - a_1) \dots (k - a_n)) \geq \gamma_p(n) = v_p(\Gamma(n)).$$

**LEMMA 12.**  *$\{1/w^{[n/2]} \cdot p_n(x) | n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  is a basis for  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 11, the prospective basis elements are actually in  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$ . Consider the matrix expressing  $1/w^{[n/2]} \cdot p_n(w)$  in terms of the basis elements of Proposition 9. Since

$$1/w^{[n/2]} \cdot p_n(w) \in F(-[n/2], n - [n/2]),$$

it is a  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  linear combination of  $q_0, \dots, 1/w^{[n/2]} \cdot q_n(w)$  and so the matrix is upper triangular. Furthermore the leading and trailing coefficients of both  $p_n(w)$  and  $q_n(w)$  have  $p$ -adic norm  $- \gamma_p(n)$  so that the diagonal entries of the matrix are all units in  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ . Thus, by Cramer's rule, the matrix is invertible. The result follows.

**COROLLARY 13.**  *$\{1/w^{[n/2]} \cdot p_n(w) | n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  is a basis for  $K_0K$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

## Section 2

Now that we have constructed a basis for  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$  and  $K_0K$  we may conclude, as in [1], Theorem 2.1:

**PROPOSITION 14.** *The Kronecker Pairing induces isomorphism*

$$(K^0K)_{(p)} \simeq \text{Hom}_{\pi_*K_{(p)}}((K_*K)_{(p)}, \pi_*(K_{(p)}))^0 \simeq \text{Hom}(K_0K_{(p)}, \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$$

and

$$K_0K \simeq \text{Hom}_{\pi_*K}(K_*K\pi_*K)^0 \simeq \text{Hom}(K_0K, \mathbf{Z}).$$

PROPOSITION 15. *The action of*

$$\phi \in \text{Hom}(K_0K, \mathbf{Z}) \text{ or } \phi \in \text{Hom}((K_0K)_{(p)}, \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$$

on  $\pi_{2i}(K)$  or  $\pi_{2i}(K)_{(p)}$  is multiplication by  $\phi(w^i)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\pi_*(K) = \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ , where  $t$  is of degree 2, the action of a homomorphism  $f: \pi_{2i}(K) \rightarrow \pi_{2i}(K)$  is multiplication by  $f(t^i)$ . Recall from [2] that the elements  $w, u, v \in K_*K$  are defined by  $w = v/u$ ,  $v = \eta_R(t)$ ,  $u = \eta_L(t)$  where  $\eta_R$  and  $\eta_L$  are the right and left actions of  $\pi_*K$  on  $K_*K$ . If  $\phi \in K^0K$ , then its action on  $\pi_*K$  is given by

$$\phi(x) = \langle \phi, \eta_R(x) \rangle$$

where  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  denotes the Kronecker product.

In Proposition 14 the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\pi_*K}(K_*K, \pi_*K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_0K, \mathbf{Z})$  is a restriction to  $K_0K$ . Using the fact that  $K_*K$  is an extended  $\pi_*K$  module [2] we see that an inverse to this isomorphism is given by

$$\chi(f)(x) = f(u^{-1} \cdot x)$$

if  $f \in \text{Hom}(K_0K, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $x \in K_*K$  is of degree  $2i$ . If  $\phi \in K^0K$  is the element whose image under the isomorphisms of Proposition 14 is  $f$ , then the action of  $\phi$  on  $\pi_{2i}(K)$  is multiplication by

$$\phi(t^i) = \langle \phi, \eta_R(t^i) \rangle = \langle \phi, v^i \rangle = f(u^{-i}v^i) = f(w^i).$$

The  $p$ -local case is similar.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Identify  $\phi \in (K^0K)_{(p)}$  with an element of  $\text{Hom}((K_0K)_{(p)}, \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$  via Proposition 14. Since  $q_n \in (K_0K)_{(p)}$  for all  $n$ , we must have

$$\phi(w^{-m} \cdot q_n) \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \text{ for any } m.$$

In other words,

$$\phi(w^{-m}(w - a_1) \dots (w - a_n) / (a_{n+1} - a_1) \dots (a_{n+1} - a_n)) \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$$

or

$$\phi(w^{-m}(w - a_1) \dots (w - a_n)) \in p^{\gamma_p(n)} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}.$$

Using the definition of  $t(n, i)$ , this is

$$\sum t_p(n, i) \cdot \phi(w^{i-m}) \in p^{\gamma_p(n)} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$$

or

$$\sum t_p(n, i) \cdot \lambda_{i-m} \in p^{\gamma_p(n)} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(p).$$

as required.

Conversely suppose that  $\{\lambda_i\}$  is a sequence of elements  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  satisfying the congruences for the cases  $m = [n/2]$ . Then

$$x_i = \left( \sum t(n, i) \cdot \lambda_{i-[n/2]} / (p^{\gamma_p(n)}) \right)$$

lies in  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ . Define an element  $\phi$  of  $\text{Hom}((K_0K)_{(p)}, \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$  by

$$\phi((1/w^{[n/2]}) \cdot q_n) = x_n.$$

Since these elements form a basis for  $(K_0K)_{(p)}$ ,  $\phi$  is uniquely defined, and has the required property.

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J.F. ADAMS and F.W. CLARKE, *Stable operations on complex K-theory*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 21(1977), pp. 826-829.
2. J.F. ADAMS, A.S. HARRIS and R.M. SWITZER, *Hopf algebras of cooperations for real and complex K-theory*, J. London Math. Soc. (3), vol. 23(1971), pp. 385-408.
3. F.W. CLARKE, *Self-maps of BU*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., vol. 89(1981), pp. 491-500.
4. T. LANCE, *Local H-Maps of classifying spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 254(1979), pp. 195-215.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY  
HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA