

# TWO THEOREMS ON AUTOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Dedicated to Hans Rademacher on his seventieth birthday

BY  
JOSEPH LEHNER

1. Recently Rademacher gave a new proof of the fundamental theorem that a modular function belonging to a modular congruence subgroup and which is regular and bounded in the upper half-plane is a constant [6]. His argument relies on the divergence of the Poincaré series

$$\sum_V |cz + d|^{-2}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $V$  runs over the principal congruence subgroup of level  $N$  with the restriction  $-\frac{1}{2}N \leq \Re(V(i)) < \frac{1}{2}N$ .

The natural generalization of the modular group is the class of horocyclic groups (Grenzkreisgruppen, Fuchsian groups of the first kind) that have fundamental regions with a finite number of sides. We call this class  $\mathcal{F}$ . In attempting to apply Rademacher's reasoning one must first prove the divergence of the analogous Poincaré series, a fact we state as Theorem 1. For convenience we shall assume our groups are defined on the unit disk  $\mathfrak{U}$ . As is well known, every linear transformation mapping  $\mathfrak{U}$  on itself can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \bar{c} \\ c & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a\bar{a} - c\bar{c} = 1.$$

THEOREM 1. *If  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ , then*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n z + \bar{a}_n|^{-2} = \infty$$

for each  $z \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Here

$$\left\{ V_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & \bar{c}_n \\ c_n & \bar{a}_n \end{pmatrix}, n \geq 0; V_0 = I \right\}$$

is an enumeration of the elements of  $\Gamma$ .

Theorem 1 is classical ([3], pp. 255–258). The first object of this paper is to present a new proof. After this it will be easy to extend Rademacher's argument and so obtain

THEOREM 2. *A function regular and bounded in  $\mathfrak{U}$  and automorphic on a group  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$  is a constant.*

I am indebted to W. K. Hayman for a helpful conversation on some points in Section 2.

---

Received May 10, 1961.

2. Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let the elements of  $\Gamma$  be denoted as in Theorem 1. It is known that there is only a finite number of  $n$  for which  $c_n = 0$ ; for these  $n$ , and only these  $n$ ,  $V_n(0) = 0$ . The set  $\{\bar{a}_n/c_n, c_n \neq 0\}$  is bounded above, and it is trivial that  $|\bar{a}_n/c_n| > 1$ .

We shall prove Theorem 1 by contradiction: Assume

$$(2) \quad \sum |c_n z + \bar{a}_n|^{-2} < \infty$$

for some  $z = z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since for each  $z \in \mathcal{U}$  the ratio  $|c_n z_0 + \bar{a}_n| / |c_n z + \bar{a}_n|$  is bounded above, this implies that (2) converges throughout  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Now

$$\frac{1 - |V_n z|^2}{1 - |z|^2} = \frac{1}{|c_n z + \bar{a}_n|^2};$$

hence (2) implies the convergence of  $\sum (1 - |V_n z|^2)$ , from which it follows that

$$(3) \quad \sum (1 - |V_n z|) < \infty.$$

Consider the Blaschke product

$$(4) \quad \pi(z) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z - z_n}{\bar{z}_n z - 1} \exp(-i\beta_n),$$

where

$$z_n = V_n(0), \quad \beta_n = \arg z_n.$$

It can be shown [2] that the product converges uniformly in  $|z| \leq \rho < 1$  whenever (3) holds. Hence,  $\pi(z)$  is regular in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Obviously  $|\pi(z)| \leq 1$ .

It is also true that

$$|\pi(z)| = \prod_n |(z - z_n) / (\bar{z}_n z - 1)|$$

converges absolutely, and so the factors may be rearranged. Now

$$|(z - z_n) / (\bar{z}_n z - 1)| = |V_n^{-1} z|.$$

It follows that  $|\pi|$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$ :

$$(5) \quad |\pi(Lz)| = |\pi(z)|$$

for each  $L \in \Gamma$  and each  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ .

It is known that  $\pi(z)$  possesses radial limits of absolute value 1 almost everywhere ([5], p. 196).  $\pi$  vanishes exactly on the set  $\{z_n\}$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{U} - \{z_n\}.$$

Then the function

$$(6) \quad \phi(z) = \log |\pi(z)|$$

is harmonic in  $\mathfrak{D}$  and invariant under  $\Gamma$ . The limit function

$$(7) \quad \omega(\theta) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \phi(re^{i\theta})$$

vanishes for almost all  $\theta$ .

3. We now proceed as follows. An upper bound for  $\phi$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$  is clearly 0. If  $\Gamma$  has a compact fundamental region  $R$  (i.e.,  $R$  is contained in a disk  $|z| \leq \rho < 1$ ), it is clear that the maximum of  $\phi$  will be attained at a point of  $R$ . For if  $\omega(\theta_0)$  exists and equals 0, let  $\zeta_n = r_n \exp(i\theta_0)$  be a sequence tending to  $\exp(i\theta_0)$ . Each  $\zeta_n$  has an image—call it  $\zeta'_n$ —that lies in  $\bar{R}$ . Let  $\zeta'_p$  be a subsequence converging to  $\zeta^*$ , a point of  $\bar{R}$ . Because of the invariance of  $\phi$ , we deduce  $\phi(\zeta'_p) \rightarrow 0$  from  $\phi(\zeta_p) \rightarrow 0$ . But  $\zeta^* \notin \{z_n\}$ , for  $\phi(z) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $z \rightarrow z_n$ . Hence  $\phi$  is continuous at  $\zeta^*$ , and  $\phi(\zeta^*) = 0$ .  $\phi$  assumes its maximum at  $\zeta^*$ , an interior point of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . This shows that  $\phi(z)$  is constant, which is a contradiction and proves Theorem 1 for this case.

When  $R$  has vertices on the unit circle, the method fails, for the images  $\zeta'_n$  may tend to the vertices. To get around the difficulty we need a geometrical lemma.

4. Let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  be the unit circle.

LEMMA. *Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be the set of parabolic vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Q} - \mathfrak{P}$ , and let  $\lambda_\alpha$  be a radius terminating in  $\alpha$ . Then there is a constant  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < 1$ ), depending only on  $\Gamma$ , such that on  $\lambda_\alpha$  there is a sequence  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \alpha$  having  $\Gamma$ -images  $\zeta'_n$  lying in the disk  $|z| \leq \rho$ .*

This result is due to Hedlund ([4], p. 538). For the sake of completeness we reproduce the proof.

A horocycle  $C(p, r)$  is a euclidean circle of radius  $r < 1$  tangent to  $\mathfrak{Q}$  at  $p$ . Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s$  be the parabolic vertices of  $R$ . Since  $R$  has a finite number of sides,  $s$  is finite. Draw horocycles  $C_i = C(p_i, r_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , so that the union of their interiors covers the interior of  $R$ . This can be done by taking  $r_i$  near enough to 1.

Let  $P_i$  be a parabolic element of  $\Gamma$  generating the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  that fixes  $p_i$ . Then  $C_i$  is invariant under  $P_i$ . If  $z_0$  is a point on  $C_i$  different from  $p_i$ , there are two images of  $z_0$  on  $C_i$ , say  $z_1, z_2$ , whose euclidean distances from the origin are minimal. The horocycle  $C_i$  is partitioned into a countable number of arcs, each of which is the image of  $z_1 z_2$  by some power of  $P_i$ . Every point  $z \in C_i - p_i$  lies on one of these arcs, and so  $z$  is equivalent under  $\Gamma$  to a point on the arc  $z_1 z_2$ . Let  $d_i$  be the maximum of  $|z_1|, |z_2|$ . Then every point  $z$  on  $C_i$  other than  $p_i$  has a  $\Gamma$ -image whose distance from the origin is not more than  $d_i$ . Here  $d_i < 1$  and depends on  $i$  but not on the point  $z \in C_i$ . Set  $\rho = \max(d_1, \dots, d_s)$ . We have  $\rho < 1$ , and every point on any of the horocycles  $C_i$  except a point of tangency has a  $\Gamma$ -image lying in the disk  $|z| \leq \rho$ .

Let  $C$  be the set of horocycles  $\{C_i, i = 1, \dots, s\}$  together with all their images under  $\Gamma$ . Every point of  $\mathfrak{U}$  is interior to some element of the set  $C$ . If  $\alpha'$  is any point other than  $\alpha$  on the ray  $O\alpha$ , it lies interior to one of the horocycles of the set  $C$ . But the ray  $\alpha'\alpha$  cannot lie entirely in any one member of  $C$ , for this would imply that  $\alpha$  is a parabolic vertex. Hence  $\alpha'\alpha$  must intersect one of the elements of  $C$ . It is now clear there is a sequence of points  $\zeta_n$  on

$\lambda_\alpha$  such that  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \alpha$  and each  $\zeta_n$  is the intersection of an element of  $C$  with  $\lambda_\alpha$ . By what has been proved, each  $\zeta_n$  has a  $\Gamma$ -image in the disk  $|z| \leq \rho$ .

5. We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1. Since  $\phi$  has the radial limit 0 almost everywhere, there is a  $\theta_0$  such that  $\alpha = \exp(i\theta_0)$  is not in the countable set  $\mathcal{P}$  and such that  $\phi(r\alpha) \rightarrow 0$  with  $r \rightarrow 1$ . If  $\zeta_n, \zeta'_n$  are the sequences of the lemma, we have  $\phi(\zeta'_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\zeta'_n \rightarrow \zeta^*$  with  $|\zeta^*| \leq \rho < 1$ . The remainder of the proof is the same as in Section 3.

6. We can now prove Theorem 2 by Rademacher's method [6]. Since  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ , the series (1) diverges (Theorem 1). Let  $z_n = V_n(0)$  be different from 0 for  $n \geq N$  (cf. beginning of Section 2). The divergence of (1) at  $z = 0$  implies the divergence of  $\sum (1 - |z_n|)$ , or what is the same thing,

$$(8) \quad \prod_{n=N}^{\infty} |z_n| = 0.$$

Let  $F(z)$  be automorphic on  $\Gamma$  and regular and bounded on  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $F$  is not constant, we can find an integer  $k$  such that

$$G(z) = (F(z) - F(0))/z^k$$

has the property  $G(0) \neq 0$ ,  $G$  is regular and bounded in  $\mathcal{U}$ . For  $z_n \neq 0$  we have

$$G(z_n) = 0$$

by virtue of  $F(z_n) = F(0)$ . Jensen's inequality ([1], p. 109) yields

$$|z_N z_{N+1} \cdots z_m| \geq |G(0)|/M > 0, \quad m > N,$$

where  $|G(z)| < M$  for  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ . For  $m \rightarrow \infty$  this contradicts (8) unless  $G(0) = 0$ . Hence there is no integer  $k$ , and  $F$  is constant.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. BIEBERBACH, *Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie*, vol. 2, rev. ed., Leipzig und Berlin, Teubner, 1931.
2. W. BLASCHKE, *Eine Erweiterung des Satzes von Vitali über Folgen analytischer Funktionen*, Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig, Math.-Nat. Kl., vol. 67 (1915), pp. 194-200.
3. P. FATOU, *Fonctions automorphes* (Vol. II of *Théorie des fonctions algébriques* by P. Appel and E. Goursat), Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1930.
4. G. A. HEDLUND, *Fuchsian groups and transitive horocycles*, Duke Math. J., vol. 2 (1936), pp. 530-542.
5. R. NEVANLINNA, *Eindeutige analytische Funktionen*, Berlin, J. Springer, 1936.
6. H. RADEMACHER, *A proof of a theorem on modular functions*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 82 (1960), pp. 338-340.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY  
EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN