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#### Abstract

In this article, we generalize a well-known operator version of Jensen's inequality to normal operators. The main techniques employed here are the spectral theory for bounded normal operators on a Hilbert space, and different Jensen-type inequalities. We emphasize the application of a vector version of Jensen's inequality. By applying our results, some classical inequalities obtained for self-adjoint operators can also be extended.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article $(H,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ means a complex Hilbert space. The Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on $H$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(H)$. The operator norm on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is defined as usual by

$$
\|A\|:=\sup _{\|x\| \leq 1}\|A x\|, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H)
$$

An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is said to be normal (especially self-adjoint) if $A A^{*}=A^{*} A$ $\left(A=A^{*}\right)$. The spectrum of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is denoted by $\sigma(A)$. For a set $K \subset \mathbb{C}, N(K)$ means the class of all normal operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ whose spectra are contained in $K$. Similarly, if $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, then $S(J)$ denotes the class of all self-adjoint operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ whose spectra are contained in $J$.

Different types of inequalities between self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ have undergone extensive study and have many applications (see, e.g., Pečarić, Furuta, Mićić, and Seo [9]). The treatment of a large group of such inequalities depends

[^0]on the continuous functional calculus for self-adjoint operators (see Rudin [11]), and the important notions of operator convexity and operator monotonicity. For normal operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, on the other hand, there are only a few papers in which convexity or monotonicity is used (see Sookia and Gonpot [12]), although there exists a functional calculus for normal operators too. Other types of inequalities for normal operators have been investigated by various authors (see Conde [1], Dragomir [2], Dragomir and Moslehian [3], and Menkad and Seddik [7]).

In this article, we generalize the following well-known operator version of Jensen's inequality to normal operators.

Theorem 1.1 (see [8, Theorem 1], [9, p. 5]). Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Let $A_{i} \in S(J)$ and $x_{i} \in H(i=1, \ldots, n)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}=1$. If $f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and convex, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{i} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle f\left(A_{i}\right) x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main techniques employed here are the spectral theory for normal operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and different Jensen-type inequalities. We emphasize the application of a vector version of Jensen's inequality based on Perlman [10]. By applying our results, some classical inequalities obtained for self-adjoint operators (e.g., Hölder-McCarthy-type inequalities) can also be extended.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall spectral theory and some notions and results corresponding to convexity. First, following Rudin [11] mainly, we briefly summarize the spectral theory for normal operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For every normal operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ there exists a unique resolution $E$ of the identity (called the spectral decomposition of $A$, and it depends on $A$ ) on the Borel subsets of $\sigma(A)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\int_{\sigma(A)} \lambda d E(\lambda) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using $E$, for every bounded Borel function $f: \sigma(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we can define the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma(A)} f d E \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is denoted by $f(A)$ as usual. The integral (2.2) is the abbreviation for

$$
\langle f(A) x, y\rangle=\int_{\sigma(A)} f d E_{x, y}, \quad x, y \in H
$$

where $E_{x, y}$ denotes the complex measure

$$
E_{x, y}(\omega):=\langle E(\omega) x, y\rangle
$$

on the Borel subsets of $\sigma(A)$. If $x \in H$ and $\|x\|=1$, then $E_{x, x}$ is a probability measure.

The following statements about the numerical range of an operator can be found in Gustafson and Rao [4]. The numerical range of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is defined by

$$
W(A):=\{\langle A x, x\rangle \in \mathbb{C} \mid\|x\|=1\} .
$$

By the Toeplitz-Hausdorff theorem, $W(A)$ is convex. The closure of $W(A)$ (denoted by $\bar{W}(A)$ ) contains $\sigma(A)$. If $A$ is normal, then $\bar{W}(A)$ is the smallest closed and convex set containing $\sigma(A)$. We only need two special cases of Jensen's inequality for convex vector-valued functions (more general results can be found in Perlman [10]).

We briefly discuss partial orderings on $\mathbb{C}$ to formulate these assertions. The following notions and results can be found in a more general context in Kelley and Namioka [5] or Perlman [10]. A binary relation $\preceq$ on $\mathbb{C}$ is called a partial ordering on $\mathbb{C}$ if it is reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric. We say that the partial ordering $\preceq$ on $\mathbb{C}$ is a closed cone ordering if it satisfies the following additional conditions.
(i) If $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_{1} \preceq z_{2}$, then for every $z_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ and for every $\alpha \geq 0$ we have $\alpha\left(z_{1}+z_{3}\right) \preceq \alpha\left(z_{2}+z_{3}\right)$.
(ii) If $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are convergent sequences in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $z_{n} \preceq w_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n} \preceq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{n}$.
A subset $K$ of $\mathbb{C}$ is called a cone if, for every $z \in K$ and for every $\alpha \geq 0$, we have $\alpha z \in K$. The cone $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to be pointed if $K \cap(-K)=\{0\}$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between closed cone orderings and pointed closed convex cones on $\mathbb{C}$. If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a pointed closed convex cone, then the binary relation

$$
\preceq_{K}:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid z_{2}-z_{1} \in K\right\}
$$

is a closed cone ordering. Conversely, if $\preceq$ is a closed cone ordering, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K:=\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \preceq z\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a pointed closed convex cone and $\preceq_{K}=\preceq$.
Remark 2.1. Let $K$ be a pointed closed convex cone in $\mathbb{C}$. It is not hard to check that $K$ is either a closed half-line with endpoint 0 or that there are two independent $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $K$ is spanned by these numbers; that is,

$$
K=\{\alpha z+\beta w \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha, \beta \geq 0\}
$$

Definition 2.2. Let $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a convex set, let $\preceq$ be a closed cone ordering on $\mathbb{C}$, and let $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We say that $f$ is convex with respect to $\preceq$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda z+(1-\lambda) w) \preceq \lambda f(z)+(1-\lambda) f(w), \quad z, w \in C, \leq \lambda \leq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a convex set, and let $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued complex function. Then $f$ is convex with respect to a closed cone ordering on $\mathbb{C}$ exactly if $f$ is either convex or concave in the usual sense, that is, either

$$
f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \leq \lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda) f(y), \quad x, y \in C, \leq \lambda \leq 1
$$

or

$$
f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \geq \lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda) f(y), \quad x, y \in C, \leq \lambda \leq 1
$$

Proof. Assume that $f$ is convex with respect to a closed cone ordering $\preceq$ on $\mathbb{C}$. Since the restriction of $\preceq$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is either $\leq$ or $\geq$ or $=$, it follows from (2.4) that $f$ is either convex or concave.

Conversely, assume that $f$ is convex. If $\preceq$ is a closed cone ordering on $\mathbb{C}$ such that the corresponding pointed closed convex cone (see (2.3)) contains the closed half-line

$$
\{x+y i \in \mathbb{C} \mid x \geq 0, y=0\}
$$

then $f$ is convex with respect to $\preceq$. The concave case can be handled similarly. The proof is complete.

Example 2.4. Let $m_{1}<m_{2}$ be fixed, and let $K_{m_{1}}^{m_{2}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m_{1}}^{m_{2}}:=\left\{x+y i \in \mathbb{C} \mid m_{1} x \leq y \leq m_{2} x\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $K_{m_{1}}^{m_{2}}$ is a pointed closed convex cone, and the closed cone ordering on $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $K_{m_{1}}^{m_{2}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u+v i \preceq_{m_{1}}^{m_{2}} x+y i \Longleftrightarrow m_{1}(x-u) \leq y-v \leq m_{2}(x-u) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a convex set, and let $f=f_{1}+f_{2} i: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It follows from (2.6) that $f$ is convex with respect to $\preceq_{m_{1}}^{m_{2}}$ if and only if the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}\left(\lambda f_{1}(z)+(1-\lambda) f_{1}(w)-f_{1}(\lambda z+(1-\lambda) w)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \lambda f_{2}(z)+(1-\lambda) f_{2}(w)-f_{2}(\lambda z+(1-\lambda) w) \\
& \quad \leq m_{2}\left(\lambda f_{1}(z)+(1-\lambda) f_{1}(w)-f_{1}(\lambda z+(1-\lambda) w)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for every $z, w \in C$ and for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. By rearranging the previous inequalities, we can see that $f$ is convex with respect to $\preceq_{m_{1}}^{m_{2}}$ exactly if the functions

$$
f_{2}-m_{1} f_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{2} f_{1}-f_{2}
$$

are convex. This implies that $f_{1}$ must be convex.
It is easy to check that the function

$$
f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f(x+y i)=\left(f_{1}+f_{2} i\right)(x+y i)=x^{2}+y^{2}+2 x y i
$$

is convex with respect to $\preceq_{-1}^{1}$, but $f_{2}$ is neither convex nor concave. It is worth noting that $K_{-1}^{1}$ is the smaller cone among the cones in (2.5) for which $f$ is convex.

Finally, we give the aforementioned Jensen-type inequalities.
Theorem 2.5 (Vector version of Jensen's discrete inequality; see [10, p. 55]). Let $\preceq$ be a closed cone ordering on $\mathbb{C}$, and let $C$ be a convex subset of $\mathbb{C}$. If $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a convex function with respect to $\preceq, x_{i} \in C, p_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right) \preceq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.6 (Vector version of Jensen's integral inequality; [10, Theorem 3.6]). Let $\preceq$ be a closed cone ordering on $\mathbb{C}$, and let $g$ be an integrable function on a probability space $(X, \mathcal{A}, P)$ taking values in a closed and convex set $C \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then $\int_{X} g d P$ lies in $C$. If $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous and convex function with respect to $\preceq$ such that $f \circ g$ is $P$-integrable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\int_{X} g d P\right) \preceq \int_{X} f \circ g d P . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main results

Our main result generalizes Theorem 1.1 for normal operators.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\preceq$ be a closed cone ordering on $\mathbb{C}$. Assume that $C$ is a closed and convex subset of $\mathbb{C}$, that $A_{i} \in N(C)(i=1, \ldots, n)$, and that $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous and convex function with respect to $\preceq$.
(a) If $x_{i} \in H(i=1, \ldots, n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{i} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right) \preceq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle f\left(A_{i}\right) x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $x \in H$ with $\|x\|=1$, and $p_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$, then

$$
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle p_{i} A_{i} x, x\right\rangle\right) \preceq\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle .
$$

Proof. (a) We can obviously suppose that $x_{i} \neq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$. By (2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{i} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}\left\langle A_{i} \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}, \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2} \int_{\sigma\left(A_{i}\right)} \lambda d E_{\frac{x_{i}}{i}, \frac{x_{i}}{\| x_{i}},\left\|x_{i}\right\|} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{i}$ denotes the spectral decomposition of $A_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}=1$, and $E^{i} \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}, \frac{x_{i}}{x_{i} \|}$ is a probability measure on the Borel sets of $\sigma\left(A_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, n),(3.2)$ shows that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{i} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle \in C
$$

By applying vector versions of Jensen's discrete and integral inequalities to the last expression in (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{i} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right) & \preceq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2} f\left(\int_{\sigma\left(A_{i}\right)} \lambda d E_{\frac{x_{i}}{i}, \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}}^{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}\right. \\
& \preceq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2} \int_{\sigma\left(A_{i}\right)} f(\lambda) d E_{\frac{x_{i}}{i}, \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}, \cdot\left\|x_{i}\right\|} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}\left\langle f\left(A_{i}\right) \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}, \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle f\left(A_{i}\right) x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) This follows from (a) by choosing $x_{i}=\sqrt{p_{i}} x(i=1, \ldots, n)$. The proof is complete.
Corollary 3.2. Assume that $C$ is a closed and convex subset of $\mathbb{C}$, that $A_{i} \in$ $N(C)(i=1, \ldots, n)$, and that $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and convex function.
(a) If $x_{i} \in H(i=1, \ldots, n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}=1$, then

$$
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{i} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle f\left(A_{i}\right) x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

(b) If $x \in H$ with $\|x\|=1$, and $p_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$, then

$$
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle p_{i} A_{i} x, x\right\rangle\right) \leq\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle .
$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.1, by using Lemma 2.3 and the fact that $f\left(A_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, n)$ is self-adjoint.

Remark 3.3. Consider the special case $n=1$ of the previous theorem. If $\preceq$ is a closed cone ordering on $\mathbb{C}, C$ is a closed and convex subset of $\mathbb{C}, A \in N(C)$, $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous and convex function with respect to $\preceq$, and $x \in H$ such that $\|x\|=1$, then

$$
f(\langle A x, x\rangle) \preceq\langle f(A) x, x\rangle .
$$

In this case the closure of the numerical range of $A$ is the smallest closed and convex set containing $\sigma(A)$.
Example 3.4. In Example 2.4 we defined the closed cone ordering $\preceq_{-1}^{1}$ on $\mathbb{C}$, and we have seen that the function

$$
f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f(x+y i)=\left(f_{1}+f_{2} i\right)(x+y i)=x^{2}+y^{2}+2 x y i
$$

is convex with respect to $\preceq_{-1}^{1}$. If $A \in N(\mathbb{C})$ and $x \in H$ such that $\|x\|=1$, then by Remark 3.3,

$$
f(\langle A x, x\rangle) \preceq_{-1}^{1}\langle f(A) x, x\rangle .
$$

As a first consequence of the previous theorem, a Hölder-McCarthy-type inequality (see McCarthy [6]) is derived for normal operators.

Corollary 3.5. Assume that $A_{i} \in \mathcal{B}(H)(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are normal operators and that $x_{i} \in H, x_{i} \neq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}=1$. Then for every $\alpha \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{i} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{\alpha} \leq\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle | A_{i}\right|^{\alpha} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easy to check that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \rightarrow|z|^{\alpha}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is convex if $\alpha \geq 1$, and therefore Corollary 3.2(a) can be applied.

Remark 3.6. (a) If $\alpha \in]-\infty, 1[, \alpha \neq 0$, then the function (3.4) is neither convex nor concave.
(b) For $\alpha=2$, (3.3) can be written as

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{i} x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|A_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2}
$$

Really, in this case $\left|A_{i}\right|^{2}=A_{i}^{*} A_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$.
Next, we apply Theorem 3.1 to get some norm inequalities.
Corollary 3.7. Assume that $A_{i} \in \mathcal{B}(H)(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are normal operators and that $p_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i}$ is normal, and $f:[0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative, continuous, increasing, and convex function, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i}\right\|\right) \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(\left|A_{i}\right|\right)\right\| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The operator $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(\left|A_{i}\right|\right)$ is positive, because $f$ and $p_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are nonnegative.

If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a normal operator, then $\|A\|=\sup _{\|x\|=1}|\langle A x, x\rangle|$. By using this, the continuity and the increase of $f$ yield

$$
f\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i}\right\|\right)=f\left(\sup _{\|x\|=1}\left|\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i} x, x\right\rangle\right|\right)=\sup _{\|x\|=1} f\left(\left|\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i} x, x\right\rangle\right|\right)
$$

Since $f$ is convex and increasing, and the function (3.4) with $\alpha=1$ is convex, the composition

$$
z \rightarrow f(|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

is also convex, and therefore Corollary $3.2(\mathrm{~b})$ shows that

$$
f\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i}\right\|\right) \leq \sup _{\|x\|=1}\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(\left|A_{i}\right|\right) x, x\right\rangle=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(\left|A_{i}\right|\right)\right\|
$$

The proof is now complete.
Remark 3.8. For example, a sufficient condition for the normality of the operator $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i}$ is $A_{i} A_{j}=A_{j} A_{i}(i, j=1, \ldots, n)$.

We mention some special cases of the previous result.
Remark 3.9. Assume that $A_{i} \in \mathcal{B}(H)(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are normal operators, that $p_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$, and that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i}$ is normal.
(a) If $f(x)=x^{\alpha}(x \geq 0)$ with $\alpha \geq 1$, then (3.5) gives

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i}\right\|^{\alpha} \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|^{\alpha}\right\|
$$

(b) If $f(x)=e^{x}(x \geq 0)$, then (3.5) gives

$$
\exp \left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} A_{i}\right\|\right) \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \exp \left(\left|A_{i}\right|\right)\right\| .
$$
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