CORRECTIONS

TESTING WHETHER NEW IS BETTER THAN USED WITH RANDOMLY CENSORED DATA¹

By Yuan Yan Chen, Myles Hollander and Naftali A. Langberg

Annals of Statistics (1983) 11 267–274.

When calculating $\hat{\sigma}_{211}^2$ for the prostate cancer data, we made a numerical error. The correct value obtained from (2.4) is $\hat{\sigma}_{211}^2 = 0.021$ which changes J^* to -5.73 with a corresponding one-sided P value that strongly supports the NBU alternative.

The authors thank Dr. Chen-Hsin Chen for pointing out this error.

YUAN YAN CHEN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13210 MYLES HOLLANDER
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306

NAFTALI A. LANGBERG DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA MT. CARMEL HAIFA 31999, ISRAEL

ROBUSTNESS OF FERGUSON'S BAYES ESTIMATOR OF A DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION²

BY ROBERT HANNUM AND MYLES HOLLANDER

University of Denver and Florida State University

Annals of Statistics (1983) 11 632-639

A printer's error appears in the displayed equation in Proposition 3.4, page 637. The denominator of the term in square brackets should be $\alpha(\mathcal{R})\{\alpha(\mathcal{R}) + n\}$ rather than the printed $\alpha(\mathcal{R})\{\alpha(\mathcal{R}) + \alpha(\mathcal{R}) + n\}$.

1267

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND OPERATIONS RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF DENVER DENVER, COLORADO 80208

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306

www.jstor.org

¹ Received May 1983.

² Received June 1983.