

## THERE ARE NO BOREL SPLIFs

BY D. BLACKWELL

*University of California, Berkeley*

There is no Borel function  $f$ , defined for all infinite sequences of 0's and 1's, such that for every sequence  $X$  of 0-1 random variables that converges in probability to a constant  $c$ , we have  $f(x) = c$  a.s.

**1. Introduction and summary.** Simons (1971), studying weak and strong consistency of a sequence of estimates, introduced the concept *probability limit identification function* (PLIF). A PLIF is a real-valued function  $f$ , defined for all infinite sequences of real numbers, such that for every sequence  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$  of random variables that converges in probability, say to  $X^*$ , we have  $f(X) = X^*$  with probability 1. Whether PLIFs exist was left open, but Simons showed that PLIFs exist iff special PLIFs (SPLIFs) do, where a SPLIF is a 0-1 valued function  $f$ , defined for all infinite sequences of 0's and 1's, such that for every sequence  $X$  of 0-1 random variables that converges in probability to a constant  $c$  (necessarily 0 or 1) we have  $f(X) = c$  a.s. Štěpán (1973) showed that the continuum hypothesis implies that PLIFs exist.

Recently Simons suggested that SPLIFs may be an instance of a rule I mentioned: if, although functions of a certain class have been shown to exist, no one has ever produced one, then it is likely that (a) there are no Borel functions in the class and (b) a 0-1 law will be useful in proving (a). Simons' suggestion turns out to be correct; the main result of this note is

**THEOREM 1.** *There are no Borel SPLIFs.*

Our proof uses Oxtoby's category 0-1 law and

**THEOREM 2.** *Call a set  $S$  of finite sequences of 0's and 1's "dense" if every finite sequence of 0's and 1's is an initial segment of some element of  $S$ . For any "dense"  $S$  there is a sequence  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$  of 0-1 variables such that  $X$  converges to 0 in probability and  $P\{X$  has infinitely many initial segments in  $S\} = 1$ .*

**2. Proofs.** We first show how Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2. If  $f$  is a SPLIF, so is  $g$ , defined by  $g(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)$ , where  $Z_1 = Z_2 = \dots = Z_n = 0$ . Moreover,  $g$  is a *tail function*:  $g(x')$  and  $g(x)$  whenever  $x'$  and  $x$  agree in almost all coordinates. So it suffices to show that no Borel tail function  $h$  is a SPLIF. Oxtoby's (1971) category 0-1 law asserts that if  $E$  is a tail set with the Baire property, i.e., differs from some open set by a set of first category, then either  $E$  or its complement contains a dense  $G_\delta$ . Assume, as we may without loss of generality, that  $E = \{h = 1\}$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$  set  $H$ . According to a result of Wolfe (1955, Section 2) every  $G_\delta$  set  $H$  has the form  $J(S)$  for some set  $S$  of finite sequences of 0's and 1's, where  $J(S)$  denotes those infinite sequences with infinitely many initial segments in  $S$ . It is not hard to see that  $J(S)$  is dense iff  $S$  is "dense" in the sense of Theorem 2. Theorem 2 then implies that  $h$  is not a SPLIF.

Theorem 2 follows from the

**LEMMA.** *For any "dense"  $S$  and any sequence  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots$  of positive numbers, there is a sequence  $0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  of integers and a sequence  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$  of 0-1 random variables such that, for all  $k \geq 1$ , (a)  $P\{X_n = 1\} \leq \epsilon_k$  for  $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$  and (b)  $P\{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in S$  for some  $n$  with  $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k\} = 1$ .*

---

Received May 31, 1979; revised August 1979.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 28A20; secondary 28A05.

Key words and phrases. Convergence in probability, Borel function.

The proof is by induction on  $k$ . Having defined  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  for  $n \leq n_k$  satisfying (a) and (b) we define, for each sequence  $t$  of 0's and 1's of length  $n_k$ , an integer  $N = N(t) > n_k$  and the conditional distribution of  $(X_{n_k+1}, \dots, X_N)$  given that  $(X_1, \dots, X_{n_k}) = t$ , so that (a) and (b) will be satisfied, given that  $(X_1, \dots, X_{n_k}) = t$ , with  $n_{k+1} = N$ . We then put  $n_{k+1} = \max N(t)$  over all  $t$  of length  $n_k$ , and put  $X_n = 0$  for  $N(t) < n \leq n_{k+1}$ . To define  $N$  and the conditional distribution of  $X_{n_k+1}, \dots, X_N$  given that  $(X_1, \dots, X_{n_k}) = t$ , choose an integer  $R > 1/\epsilon_{k+1}$  and  $R$  sequences  $s_1, \dots, s_R$  such that (1) for  $2 \leq r \leq R$ ,  $s_r$  has at least  $|s_{r-1}|$  initial 0's, where  $|s|$  denotes the length of  $s$  and (2)  $ts_r \in S$  for  $1 \leq r \leq R$ , where  $ts_r$  denotes the sequence consisting of  $t$  followed by  $s_r$ . Denote by  $t_r$  the sequence of length  $|s_r|$  obtained from  $s_r$  by adding terminal 0's. Then putting  $N = n_k + |s_R|$  and  $(X_{n_k+1}, \dots, X_N) = t_U$ , where  $U$  is uniform on  $1, \dots, R$ , gives a sequence with the required properties.

## REFERENCES

- [1] OXTOBY, JOHN C. (1971). *Measure and Category*. Springer-Verlag.
- [2] SIMONS, GORDON (1971). Identifying probability limits. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** 1429–1433.
- [3] ŠTĚPÁN, JOSEF (1973). The probability limit identification function exists under the continuum hypothesis. *Ann. Probability* **1** 712–715
- [4] WOLFE, PHILIP (1955). The strict determinateness of certain infinite games. *Pacific J. Math.* **5** 841–847.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720