

A REMARK ON STOCHASTIC FUNDAMENTAL MATRICES

BY MARC A. BERGER

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

The determinant of the fundamental matrix of a linear system of stochastic differential equations is computed.

Consider the stochastic system

$$(1) \quad d\Phi(\tau, t) = A(t)\Phi(\tau, t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^m B_i(t)\Phi(\tau, t) dW_i(t), \quad t \geq \tau,$$

$$(2) \quad \Phi(\tau, \tau) = I.$$

Here (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m) is an m -dimensional Brownian motion, and $A(t)$ and $B_i(t)$ ($i=1, \dots, m$) are bounded measurable $d \times d$ matrices. It is known (e.g. Arnold [1, Theorem 8.1.5]) that there is a unique solution $\Phi(\tau, t)$ to (1), (2) for $0 \leq \tau \leq t < \infty$, which is continuous in t . However, only when the matrices $A(t)$, $B_i(t)$ are mutually commutative over various times t (i.e. $A(t)B_i(t') = B_i(t')A(t)$, $B_i(t)B_j(t') = B_j(t')B_i(t)$ for all i, j, t, t') can we write

$$(3) \quad \Phi(\tau, t) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau}^t \left[A(s) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m B_i^2(s) \right] ds + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\tau}^t B_i(s) dW_i(s) \right\}.$$

It would then follow that

$$(4) \quad \det \Phi(\tau, t) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau}^t \text{tr} \left[A(s) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m B_i^2(s) \right] ds + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\tau}^t \text{tr} B_i(s) dW_i(s) \right\}.$$

If this commutativity condition does not hold, then in general one does not expect an explicit closed form expression for $\Phi(\tau, t)$ to exist. We show below that in any event (4) always remains valid. Note first that this is completely analogous to the deterministic situation where $B_i(t) = 0$ ($i=1, \dots, m$) (e.g. Coddington and Levinson [3, Theorem 7.3, Chapter 1]).

LEMMA. Let A, B be $d \times d$ matrices. For a subset of $S \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ denote by $A_S B$ the matrix obtained from B by substituting the i th row of AB for the i th row of B , for each $i \in S$. Then

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\#(S)=k} \det(A_S B) = s_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d) \det B,$$

where s_k is the k th symmetric function and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d$ are the eigenvalues of A .

PROOF. First note that

$$(6) \quad \sum_S \det(A_S B) = \det(I + A)B,$$

where S ranges over all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. By substituting λA in (6) it follows that the left hand side of (5) is precisely the coefficient of λ^k in the polynomial $\det(I + \lambda A) \det B$. When B is nonsingular, the roots of this polynomial are $-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2, \dots, -\lambda_d$.

THEOREM. (1), (2) \Rightarrow (4).

Received June 1981.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. 60H10.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic differential equation.



PROOF. First note that by Itô's formula

$$(7) \quad d(\det \Phi(\tau, t)) = \sum_{\#(S)=1,2} \det(d_S \Phi(\tau, t)),$$

where $d_S \Phi(\tau, t)$ denotes the matrix obtained from $\Phi(\tau, t)$ by substituting the i th row of $d\Phi(\tau, t)$ for the i th row of $\Phi(\tau, t)$, for each $i \in S$. Here we are adopting the Itô mnemonic

$$(8) \quad dW_i(t) dW_j(t) = \delta_{ij} dt,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. From (1)

$$(9) \quad d_S \Phi(\tau, t) = [A(t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^m B_i(t) dW_i(t)]_S \Phi(\tau, t).$$

For $\#(S) = 2$ it follows now from (8) that

$$(10) \quad \det(d_S \Phi(\tau, t)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \det(B_i(t)_S \Phi(\tau, t)) dt.$$

Thus, back to (7), if we use the Lemma, and note

$$(11) \quad 2s_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d) = (\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i^2,$$

then we obtain the linear scalar equation

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} d(\det \Phi(\tau, t)) = & \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left[A(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m B_i^2(t) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m [\operatorname{tr} B_i(t)]^2 \right\} \\ & \times \det \Phi(\tau, t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{tr} B_i(t) \det \Phi(\tau, t) dW_i(t). \end{aligned}$$

From (2) and (12), (4) follows at once.

For a generalization of this result involving the higher order processes of Hochberg [4] the reader is referred to Berger and Sloan [2, Section 8.1]. The methods above can be extended to include systems relative to more general martingales (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m) than Brownian motion, where (8) is replaced by

$$(13) \quad dM_i(t) dM_j(t) = d \langle M_i, M_j \rangle (t)$$

(e.g. Kunita and Watanabe [5, Theorem 2.2]).

REFERENCES

- [1] ARNOLD L., (1974). *Stochastic Differential Equations: Theory and Applications*. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- [2] BERGER, M. A. and SLOAN, A. D. (1979). *Radical Differential Calculus*, Vol. I, Lecture Notes, Georgia Institute of Technology.
- [3] CODDINGTON, E. A. and LEVINSON, N. (1955). *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [4] HOCHBERG, K. J. (1978). A signed measure on path space related to Wiener measure. *Ann. Probability* **6** 433-458.
- [5] KUNITA, H. and WATANABE, S. (1967). On square integrable martingales. *Nagoya Math. J.* **30** 209-245.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
GIVAT RAM, 91904
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL