THE EXPECTED VALUE OF AN EVERYWHERE STOPPED MARTINGALE

By S. Ramakrishnan and W. D. Sudderth[1]

University of Miami and University of Minnesota

If the coordinate random variables $\{X_t\}$ on either $C[0, \infty)$ or $D[0, \infty)$ form a martingale, then for every stopping time τ which is *everywhere* finite, $E(X_\tau)$, if defined, equals $E(X_0)$. This version of the optional sampling theorem is not covered by Doob's classical result [1].

In this paper, Ω may be thought of as either $C[0, \infty)$, the space of real-valued continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$, or as $D[0, \infty)$, the space of real-valued, right-continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ which have finite left limits. It is well known that Ω, equipped with a suitable metric, is a complete, separable metric space. (See Section 1.3 of [3] and Section 2 of [4] for example.) Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the Borel σ-field on Ω. For a nonnegative real number t, let X_t be the coordinate map on Ω defined by $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and let $\mathscr{F}_t$ be the σ-field generated by the collection of random variables $\{X_s, 0 \le s \le t\}$. Let $\mathscr{T}$ be the collection of $\mathscr{F}_t$-adapted stopping times τ on Ω which are everywhere finite; i.e., all functions τ on Ω such that $0 \le \tau(\omega) < \infty$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $[\tau \le t] \in \mathscr{F}_t$ for all $t \ge 0$. Here is the main result of the paper.

THEOREM. *Let P be a probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F})$ under which $\{X_t\}$ is an $\mathscr{F}_t$-adapted martingale. Then, for every $\tau \in \mathscr{T}$, either*

(a) $$E^P(X_\tau) = E^P(X_0)$$

or

(b) $E^P(X_\tau)$ *is not defined, i.e.,* $E^P[\max(X_\tau, 0)] = E^P[\max(-X_\tau, 0)] = \infty$.

(E^P denotes the expected value under the probability measure P.)

The main technique used in the proof of this theorem is an adaptation to the continuous-time case of the stop rule induction method of Dubins and Savage [2]. Some additional notation is needed for the formulation.

Let Ω^* be the collection of all initial segments of paths in Ω; i.e., $p \in \Omega^*$ iff for some positive real number t and some $\omega \in \Omega$, p is the restriction of ω to $[0, t)$. By the *length* of an element in Ω^* we shall mean the length of its domain. For $p_1, p_2 \in \Omega^*$ with lengths t_1, t_2, respectively, $p_1 p_2$ will stand for the function on $[0, t_1 + t_2)$ defined by

$$p_1 p_2(s) = p_1(s), \qquad 0 \le s < t_1,$$
$$= p_2(s - t_1), \qquad t_1 \le s < t_1 + t_2.$$

For $p \in \Omega^*$ of length t and $\omega \in \Omega$, $p\omega$ will stand for the function on $[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\omega(s) &= p(s), && 0 \le s < t, \\
&= \omega(s - t), && s \ge t.
\end{aligned}
$$

If Ω is $D[0, \infty)$, then $p_1 p_2 \in \Omega^*$ and $p\omega \in \Omega$. However, this is not necessarily true when Ω is $C[0, \infty)$ because $p_1 p_2$ may have a discontinuity at t_1 and $p\omega$ may have a discontinuity at t.

For $p \in \Omega^*$ of length t and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, let $\tau[p]$ be the stopping time in $\mathcal{T}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau[p](\omega) &= \tau(p\omega) - t && \text{if } p\omega \in \Omega \text{ and } \tau(p\omega) \ge t, \\
&= 0 && \text{if } p\omega \in \Omega \text{ and } \tau(p\omega) < t, \\
&= 0 && \text{if } p\omega \notin \Omega.
\end{aligned}
$$

One can regard $\tau[p]$ as the additional time to wait given that the segment p has already occurred.

INDUCTION LEMMA.   *Let $\phi(\tau)$ be a proposition for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. Assume*

1. *$\phi(\tau)$ holds if $\tau \equiv 0$,*
2. *$\phi(\tau)$ holds if $\phi(\tau[p])$ holds for every $p \in \Omega^*$ of length 1.*

Then $\phi(\tau)$ holds for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$.

PROOF.   Suppose there is a $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ for which $\phi(\tau)$ is false. By assumption 2 of the lemma, there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}$ of elements in Ω^* each of length 1, and a sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ of stopping times in $\mathcal{T}$ such that

(a) $\tau_1 = \tau[p_1]$ and $\tau_{n+1} = \tau_n[p_{n+1}]$, $n \ge 1$,

(b) $\phi(\tau_n)$ is false for all n.

Consider two cases. (The first case does not arise when Ω is $D[0, \infty)$.)

CASE (i).   For some n, $p_1 \ldots p_n \notin \Omega^*$.

In this case, $p_1 \ldots p_n \omega \notin \Omega$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$. It is straightforward to check that $\tau_n \equiv 0$. So, by (b), we have a contradiction to assumption 1.

CASE (ii).   For every n, $p_1 \ldots p_n \in \Omega^*$.

Let ω be the function on $[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\omega(s) = p_n(s - n + 1) \quad \text{if } n - 1 \le s < n
$$

for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Because Ω is either $C[0, \infty)$ or $D[0, \infty)$, the ω defined above belongs to Ω. Because τ is everywhere finite, $\tau(\omega) < \infty$. Let n be the positive integer such that $n - 1 \le \tau(\omega) < n$. Plainly, $\tau_n \equiv 0$ and we get a contradiction in this case too.

The proof of the lemma is now complete.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. For $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, let $\phi(\tau)$ be the proposition that, whenever P is a probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ under which $\{X_t\}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted martingale, either (a) $E^P(X_\tau) = E^P(X_0)$ or (b) $E^P(X_\tau)$ is undefined.

The theorem will be proved once we verify the assumptions of the induction lemma.

Obviously, $\phi(\tau)$ holds if $\tau \equiv 0$. To verify assumption 2, suppose that $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ is such that $\phi(\tau[p])$ holds for every p in Ω^* of length 1 and suppose P is a probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ under which $\{X_t\}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted martingale.

Define $\tau' = \min(\tau, 1)$ and let $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}_{\tau'}$ be the σ-field generated by the collection of random variables $\{X_{\min(\tau', s)}, s \geq 0\}$. From the right continuity of every ω, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}'$ is a countably generated sub σ-field of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\{Q_\omega\}$ be a regular conditional probability distribution of P given $\mathcal{F}'$ which is proper in the sense that $Q_\omega(A) = 1_A(\omega)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}'$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. The existence of such a regular conditional distribution is well known (see, for example, 1.1.6, 1.1.7, and 1.1.8 of [3]). Since τ' is a bounded stopping time, it follows from Doob's optional sampling theorem that

$$E^P(X_{\tau'}) = E^P(X_0).$$

Assume now that $E^P(X_\tau)$ is well defined. Then $E^P(X_\tau) = E^P(E^P(X_\tau|\mathcal{F}'))$, and the theorem can be proved by showing that

$$E^P(X_\tau|\mathcal{F}') = X_{\tau'} \quad \text{a.s.} \ [P].$$

Now the function $\omega \to E^{Q_\omega}(X_\tau)$ is a version of $E^P(X_\tau|\mathcal{F}')$ and so it will suffice to show

$$E^{Q_\omega}(X_\tau) = X_{\tau'}(\omega)$$

except for a set of ω's having P-probability zero. Notice that the existence of $E^P(X_\tau)$ implies there is a P-null set N_1 such that $E^{Q_\omega}(X_\tau)$ exists for $\omega \notin N_1$.

By Theorem 1.2.10 of [3], there exists another P-null set N_2 such that, for $\omega \notin N_2$, $\{X_t, t > \tau'(\omega)\}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted martingale under Q_ω. Hence, for $\omega \notin N_2$, $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted martingale under the probability measure $P_\omega = Q_\omega \circ T_{\tau'(\omega)}^{-1}$ where, for $s \geq 0$, T_s is the transformation on Ω defined by $(T_s\omega)(t) = \omega(s + t)$. For $\omega \notin N_1 U N_2$, let $p_{\tau'}(\omega)$ denote the restriction of ω to $[0, \tau'(\omega))$ and let $A_\omega = \{\omega': \omega'(s) = \omega(s) \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq \tau'(\omega)\}$. Because Q_ω is proper, $Q_\omega(A_\omega) = 1$. Furthermore, on the set A_ω,

$$X_\tau = X_{\tau[p_{\tau'}(\omega)]} \circ T_{\tau'(\omega)}. \qquad \cdot$$

Hence,

$$E^{Q_\omega}(X_\tau) = E^{P_\omega}\left(X_{\tau[p_{\tau'}(\omega)]}\right).$$

If $\tau'(\omega) < 1$, then $\tau(\omega) = \tau'(\omega)$ and $\tau[p_{\tau'}(\omega)] = 0$. If $\tau'(\omega) = 1$, then $p_{\tau'}(\omega)$ has

length 1 and $\phi(\tau[\,p_{\tau'}(\omega)])$ is true. So, in either case,

$$E^{P_{\omega}}\left(X_{\tau[\,p_{\tau'}(\omega)]}\right) = E^{P_{\omega}}(X_0)$$

$$= E^{Q_{\omega}}(X_{\tau'})$$

$$= X_{\tau'}(\omega).$$

The last equality uses the fact that Q_{ω} is proper.
   The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Here is an example to show that condition (b) of the theorem can occur.

EXAMPLE. Let $\{X_t\}$ be a standard Brownian motion process under $\tilde{P}$. Define

$$\tau(\omega) = 1 + e^{2X_1(\omega)^2}.$$

Then, given $X_1 = x$, $X_{\tau} - X_1$ is Gaussian with mean zero and variance e^{2x^2}. Hence,

$$E|X_{\tau} - X_1| = E\big(E(|X_{\tau} - X_1||X_1)\big)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} E(e^{X_1^2})$$

$$= \infty.$$

Hence, $E|X_{\tau}| = \infty$. But X_{τ} is symmetrically distributed about 0. So $E(X_{\tau})$ is undefined.

REMARKS.
   1. The proof above also works if Ω is any collection of right-continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ such that (a) Ω is a complete, separable metric space and (b) whenever $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence of elements in Ω^*, each of length 1, such that $p_1 \ldots p_n \in \Omega^*$ for all n, ω defined by $\omega(s) = p_n(s - n + 1)$, if $n - 1 \le s < n$, $n \ge 1$, belongs to Ω.
   An example of such an Ω, besides $C[0, \infty)$ and $D[0, \infty)$, is the collection of all right-continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ which are constant on intervals of the form $[n - 1, n)$ where n is a positive integer.
   2. A discrete-time version of our theorem holds on $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, the countably infinite product of the real line, for nonnegative integer valued stop rules. This could be proved the same way by using discrete-time analogues of the induction lemma and Theorem 1.2.10 of [3]. We could alternatively obtain it as a corollary of our theorem in the continuous case by identifying $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ with the collection of all right-continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ which are constant on intervals of the form $[n - 1, n)$, where n is a positive integer.
   3. It is possible to obtain in an obvious way a version of our theorem where the random variables forming the martingale are not necessarily coordinate random variables. Such a version would be proved by reducing it to the coordinate variables case by a change of variable.

REFERENCES

[1] DOOB, J. L. (1953). *Stochastic Processes.* Wiley, New York.
[2] DUBINS, L. E. and SAVAGE, L. J. (1965). *How to Gamble If You Must: Inequalities for Stochastic Processes.* McGraw-Hill, New York.
[3] STROOCK, D. W. and VARADHAN, S. R. S. (1979). *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes.* Springer, New York.
[4] WHITT, W. (1980). Some useful functions for functional limit theorems. *Math. Oper. Res.* **5** 67–85.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
  AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI
CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA 33124

SCHOOL OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455