(9)
$$\varphi(m', \lambda^2) \leq F \leq \varphi(m'', \lambda^2).$$

Denote by $\lambda_1^{\prime 2}$, $\lambda_1^{\prime \prime 2}$, $\lambda_2^{\prime \prime 2}$, $\lambda_2^{\prime \prime 2}$ the roots in λ^2 of the following equations respectively:

$$\varphi(m', \lambda^2) = F_2;$$

$$\varphi(m'', \lambda^2) = F_2;$$

$$\varphi(m', \lambda^2) = F_1; \qquad \varphi(m'', \lambda^2) = F_1.$$

Since F is monotonically decreasing with increasing λ^2 , on account of (7), (8), and (9) we obviously have

$$\lambda_1^{\prime 2} \leq \lambda_1^2 \leq \lambda_1^{\prime\prime 2}$$

and

$$\lambda_2^{\prime 2} \leq \lambda_2^2 \leq \lambda_2^{\prime \prime 2}.$$

The above inequalities give us the required limits.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, N.Y.

THE DISTRIBUTION OF QUADRATIC FORMS IN NON-CENTRAL NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES

By William G. Madow¹

The following theorem is the algebraic basis of the theorem of R. A. Fisher and W. G. Cochran which states necessary and sufficient conditions that a set of quadratic forms in normally and independently distributed random variables should themselves be independently distributed in χ^2 -distributions.²

Theorem I. If the real quadratic forms q_1, \dots, q_m , in x_1, \dots, x_n , are such that

$$\sum_{\alpha} q_{\gamma} = \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu}^2,$$

and if the rank of q_{γ} is n_{γ} , then a necessary and sufficient condition that

$$q_{\gamma} = \sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^2,$$

¹ The letters i, j, μ, ν will assume all integral values from 1 through n, the letter γ will assume all integral values from 1 through $m, (n \geq m)$, the letter α will assume all integral values from $n_1 + \cdots + n_{\gamma-1} + 1$ through $n_1 + \cdots + n_{\gamma}$, $(n_0 = 0, n_1 + \cdots + n_m = n')$, the letters β, β' will assume all integral values from 1 through n', and the letters r, s will assume all integral values from 1 through n-1.

² The references are: W. G. Cochran, "The Distribution of Quadratic Forms in a Normal System, with Applications to the Analysis of Covariance," *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, Vol. 30 (1934), pp. 178-191, and R. A. Fisher, "Applications of 'Student's' Distribution," *Metron*, Vol. 5 (1926), pp. 90-104.

where the real linear functions z_{β} of the x_{ν} are defined by

$$(3) x_{\nu} = \sum_{\beta} c_{\nu\beta} z_{\beta}$$

is

$$(4) n' = n.$$

Furthermore the system of linear forms (3) constitute an orthogonal transformation. Proof: Necessity. Since the rank of a sum of quadratic forms is less than or equal to the sum of their ranks, it follows that $n' \geq n$. Upon substituting from (3) for the x's in (1), and using (2), it is seen that, for all values of the z's,

$$\sum_{\beta} z_{\beta}^2 = \sum_{\beta,\beta'} \left(\sum_{\nu} c_{\nu\beta} c_{\nu\beta'} \right) z_{\beta} z_{\beta'}$$

and hence, from (1), it follows that

$$\sum_{\nu} c_{\nu\beta} c_{\nu\beta'} = \delta_{\beta\beta'}$$

where $\delta_{\beta\beta'} = 0$, if $\beta \neq \beta'$, and $\delta_{\beta\beta'} = 1$ if $\beta = \beta'$. However, since the rank of the system of linear forms (3) is not greater than n, and since the matrix of (5) is the product of the matrix of (3) by its transposed matrix, it follows that (5) can be true only if n' is not greater than n. Consequently n' = n. It then is an immediate result of (5) that the transformation (3) is orthogonal.

Sufficiency. We assume that n' = n. By a real linear transformation of x_1, \dots, x_n we obtain linear forms z_r such that

$$q_{\gamma} = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^2,$$

where $c_{\alpha} = 1$ or -1. The set of linear functions z_1, \dots, z_n are linearly independent, for if $z_n \not\equiv 0$, and if real numbers h_1, \dots, h_{n-1} not all zero, exist such that, say,

$$z_n = \sum_{r} h_r z_r$$

then

$$\sum_{\nu} z_{\nu}^2 = \sum_{\nu} H_s z_{\nu} z_{\nu}.$$

Substituting, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma} q_{\gamma} = \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} z_{\nu}^{2} = \sum_{r,s} \sum_{\mu,\nu} H_{rs} c^{r\mu} c^{s\nu} x_{\mu} x_{\nu}$$

where $z_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu} c^{\nu\mu} x_{\mu}$. (It is not assumed here that the matrix of the $c^{\mu\nu}$ is the inverse of the matrix of the $c_{\mu\nu}$. That fact is a consequence of this proof.) Denoting the matrix of z_1 , ..., z_{n-1} by \bar{C}_n we see that the matrix of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n$ is

 $\overline{C}'_m H \overline{C}_n$ where H is the matrix of the H_{rs} and has rank less than or equal to n-1 which contradicts the hypothesis. Hence if C is the matrix having the elements

 c_r in its main diagonal and zeros elsewhere and if C_n is the matrix of z_1 , \cdots , z_n it follows that

$$C_n'CC_n = I$$

where I is the identity matrix, i.e. the matrix having ones in the main diagonal and zeros elsewhere and C_n non-singular. Then $C = C_n^{-1}/C_n^{-1}$ and hence C is the identity matrix and C_n is orthogonal.

Among the hypotheses of the Fisher-Cochran theorem is the hypothesis that the mean value of x_{μ} is 0, and the variance of x_{μ} is σ^2 . However, in connection with his analysis of the distribution of the multiple correlation coefficient, R. A. Fisher derived the distribution of the sum of the squares of n independently distributed random variables x_1, \dots, x_n , the probability density of x_{μ} being given by

(6)
$$p(x_{\mu}) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_{\mu} - a_{\mu})^2 \right].$$

More recently, P. C. Tang,⁴ has used the distribution of the sum of non-central squares in his study of the power function of the analysis of variance test.

In this note we extend the Fisher-Cochran theorem to non-central random variables. If the random variables x_{μ} are independently distributed with probability densities given by (6), Fisher and Tang have shown that if $\chi'^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu}^2$, then the probability density of χ'^2 is given by

(7)
$$p(\chi'^2) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda} (\frac{1}{2} \chi'^2)^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi'^2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} \lambda \chi'^2)^{\nu}}{\nu! \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n+\nu)},$$

where
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{\nu} a_{\nu}^2$$
.

We now give necessary and sufficient conditions that a set of quadratic forms in normally and independently distributed random variables should themselves be independently distributed in χ'^2 -distributions.

THEOREM II. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be independently distributed random variables, the random variable x_{μ} having probability density (6). Denote $\sum_{\nu} x_{\nu}^2$ by q, and

denote $\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{r}a_r^2$ by λ . Let q_1, \dots, q_m , be quadratic forms,

$$q_{\gamma} = \sum_{\mu,\nu} a_{\mu\nu}^{(\dot{\gamma})} x_{\mu} x_{\nu}$$

such that $\sum_{\gamma} q_{\gamma} = q$, and let the rank of q_{γ} be denoted by n_{γ} .

³ R. A. Fisher, "The General Sampling Distribution of the Multiple Correlation Coefficient," *Proc. Royal Soc. of London*, (A), Vol. 121 (1928), pp. 654-673.

⁴ P. C. Tang, "The Power Function of the Analysis of Variance Tests with Tables and Illustrations of their Use," Statistical Research Memoirs, Vol. 2 (1938), pp. 126-149.

A necessary and sufficient condition that the quadratic forms $\chi_{\gamma}^{\prime 2}$, $\left(\chi_{\gamma}^{\prime 2} = \frac{q_{\gamma}}{\sigma^2}\right)$, be independently distributed with joint probability density

(8)
$$p(\chi_1^{\prime 2}, \ldots, \chi_m^{\prime 2}) = \prod_{\gamma} p(\chi_{\gamma}^{\prime 2}),$$

where $p(\chi_{\gamma}^{\prime 2})$ is given by (7) with n_{γ} and λ_{γ} in place of n and λ , and

(9)
$$\lambda_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{\mu,\nu} a_{\mu\nu}^{(\gamma)} a_{\mu} a_{\nu}$$

is n' = n.

PROOF. Necessity. Tang⁵ has shown that the distribution of χ'^2 is given by (7) and that if the χ'^2_{γ} have joint distribution (8), then the distribution of $\chi_1'^2 + \cdots + \chi_m'^2$, (= χ'^2), is (7) with n' in place of n. Upon comparing terms, we see that n' = n.

Sufficiency. By Theorem I there exist n orthogonal linear functions (3) such that (2) is true. Then it is easy to see that the random variables z_1, \dots, z_n are independently distributed with a joint probability density

(10)
$$p(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\nu}(z_{\nu} - a_{\nu}')^2\right],$$

where

$$\sum_{\nu} a_{\nu}^{\prime 2} = \sum_{\nu} a_{\nu}^{2}$$
, and $a_{\mu}^{\prime} = \sum_{\nu} c_{\mu\nu} a_{\nu}$.

If we set $2\sigma^2\lambda_{\gamma}=\sum_{\alpha}a_{\alpha}^{\prime 2}$, then we have, from (7) and (10), that the $\chi_{\gamma}^{\prime 2}$ are independently distributed with joint probability density (8). It is only necessary to show that $\sum_{\alpha}a_{\alpha}^{\prime 2}=\sum_{\mu,\nu}a_{\mu\nu}^{(\gamma)}a_{\mu}a_{\nu}$ in order to complete the proof of the theorem. Now

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu} a_{\mu\nu}^{(\gamma)} a_{\mu} a_{\nu} = \sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_{\mu,\nu} a_{\mu\nu}^{(\gamma)} c_{i\mu} c_{j\nu} \right) a_i' a_j'.$$

On the other hand, by direct substitution for the z's we see that

$$q_{\gamma} = \sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^{2} = \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left(\sum_{\alpha} c_{\mu\alpha} c_{\nu\alpha} \right) x_{\mu} x_{\nu}$$

and hence $a_{\mu\nu}^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\mu\alpha} c_{\nu\alpha}$. Since (1) is an orthogonal transformation,

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu} a^{(\gamma)}_{\mu\nu} c_{i\mu} c_{j\nu} = \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left(\sum_{\alpha} c_{\mu\alpha} c_{\nu\alpha} \right) c_{i\mu} c_{j\nu} = \sum_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\alpha j},$$

where $\delta_{\alpha i} = 0$, if $\alpha \neq i$ and $\alpha = 1$ if $\alpha = i$, which completes the proof.

It is emphasized that the form of λ_{γ} makes it unnecessary to calculate the matrix of q_{γ} to determine λ_{γ} since the values a_{ν} need only be substituted for the x_{ν} in the original expression for q_{γ} to determine λ_{γ} .

Washington, D. C.

⁵ See 4 p. 140.