It is assumed on line 8, without proof, that  $A_i$  is a Borel subset of  $\Theta$ ; this may be established as follows: Let  $C = \{(x, \theta) : f(x \mid \theta) > 0\}$ ; by assumption (i), C is measurable. Let  $I_C(x, \theta)$  be the indicator function of C and let  $I_i(x)$  be that of  $B_i$ . The condition

$${x: f(x \mid \theta) > 0} - B_i = \phi[F]$$

is equivalent to  $a(\theta) = \int I_c(x, \theta)[1 - I_i(x)] dF(x) = 0$ . Since  $a(\cdot)$  is measurable,  $A_i = \{\theta : a(\theta) = 0\}$  is measurable.

Equation (2.2) should read:

$$\eta(\theta) \leq E \log f(\mathbf{X}) g(\mathbf{X})$$

where f is a density for F and g is the factor appearing in Equation (2.1).

## CORRECTION TO GENERALIZED POLYKAYS, AN EXTENSION OF SIMPLE POLYKAYS AND BIPOLYKAYS

BY EUGENE DAYHOFF

Texas A and M University

The author's name in reference [5] of the paper whose title is given above (Ann. Math. Statist. 37 226-241) is incorrect. The correct name is John Wishart.