

NOTES

A BOREL SET NOT CONTAINING A GRAPH¹

BY DAVID BLACKWELL

University of California, Berkeley

Examples of Borel sets X, Y, B such that (a) $B \subset X \times Y$, (b) the projection of B on X is X , but (c) for no Borel measurable d mapping X into Y is the graph of d a subset of B have been given by Novikoff [4], Sierpiński [5], and Addison [1]. Such examples are of interest in dynamic programming (see for instance [2]), since if we interpret X as the set of states of some system, Y as the set of available acts, and $I_B(x, y)$, where I_B is the indicator of B , as your income if the system is in state x and you choose act y , you can earn 1 in every state, but there is no Borel measurable plan, i.e. function d from X into Y , with $d(x)$ specifying the act to be chosen when the system is in state x , that earns 1 in every state.

This note presents a new example X, Y, B , simpler than those previously given. The proof that it is an example uses ideas from Addison's construction, and a theorem of Gale and Stewart [3] on infinite games of perfect information, and is somewhat more complicated than Addison's.

To construct X, Y, B , denote by U the set of all finite sequences $u = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ of positive integers, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and by X the set of subsets of U . We associate with each $x \in X$ a game $G(x)$ between two players α and β , as follows. The players alternately choose positive integers, α choosing first, each choice made with complete information about all previous choices. For a play

$$\omega = (n_1, n_2, \dots),$$

define $k(\omega)$ as the first integer i for which $(n_1, \dots, n_i) \notin x$, $k(\omega) = \infty$ if $(n_1, \dots, n_i) \in x$ for all i . A play ω is a *win for α* (in $G(x)$) if $k(\omega)$ is even, a *win for β* if $k(\omega)$ is odd, and a *draw* if $k(\omega) = \infty$. Informally, whoever first leaves x loses; if neither ever leaves x , they draw. Denote by Y_1 the set of (pure) strategies for α , and by B_1 the set of pairs $(x, y) \in X \times Y_1$ such that y guarantees α at least a draw in $G(x)$. Similarly Y_2 is the set of strategies for β and B_2 is the set of pairs $(x, y) \in X \times Y_2$ such that y guarantees β a draw or better in $G(x)$. Put $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$, $B = B_1 \cup B_2$. Then X, Y, B are our example.

In decision language, you are presented with an x . You must then choose which player you want to be in $G(x)$, and specify a strategy y for that player. If the strategy specified guarantees a draw or better for the chosen player, you earn a dollar; if not you earn nothing. The claim is that, while you can earn a dollar for

Received 25 October 1967

* ¹ Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, under AFOSR Grant 1312-67.

every x , there is no Borel measurable way of associating with each x a y that earns a dollar for you at that x .

We sketch the proof. First, B_1 is Borel, since $B_1^c \cap X \times Y_1$ is the set of $(x, y) \in X \times Y_1$ for which there is a $u = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ of odd length, consistent with y , such that $u \not\leq x$ but all proper segments (n_1, \dots, n_i) , $1 \leq i < k$, of u are in x . Similarly B_2 is Borel, so B is Borel.

Next, the projection of B on X is X , for the set of plays ω that win for α in $G(x)$ is open, so that, according to the Gale-Stewart theorem [3], either α can force a win, in which case x is in the projection of B_1 on X , or β can prevent α from winning, in which case x is in the projection of B_2 on X .

Finally, let d be any Borel measurable function from X into Y . We show that the graph of d is not a subset of B . We may and shall assume that the graph of d intersects both B_1 and B_2 . The main steps in the proof are:

(1) Associate with each $x \in X$ an $x' \in X$ and two analytic subsets A_1, A_2 of X so that

$$G(x') \text{ is a win for } \alpha \text{ if } x \in A_1 - A_2,$$

$$G(x') \text{ is a win for } \beta \text{ if } x \in A_2 - A_1,$$

$$x' \text{ is a Borel measurable function of } x.$$

Every pair A_1, A_2 of non-empty analytic subsets of X is associated with some x .

(2) Denote by D the set of $x \in X$ for which $d(x) \notin B_1$, by H the set of x for which $x' \in D$, and choose x_0 for which $A_1 = H, A_2 = H^c$.

(3) Put $x^* = x_0'$. Then

$$x^* \notin D \Rightarrow x_0 \notin H \Rightarrow \beta \text{ wins } G(x^*) \Rightarrow d(x^*) \notin B_1 \Rightarrow x^* \in D,$$

so $x^* \in D$. Now $x^* \in D \Rightarrow d(x^*) \notin B_1$. But also $x^* \in D \Rightarrow x_0 \in H \Rightarrow \alpha$ wins $G(x^*)$, so $d(x^*) \notin B_2$. We have found a state x^* for which $G(x^*)$ is a win for α , but $d(x^*)$ does not even guarantee him a draw.

Informally, we have produced an x^* such that (a) draws cannot occur in $G(x^*)$ (b) α can win $G(x^*)$ iff $d(x^*)$ is not a winning strategy for him in $G(x^*)$. So if α can't win $G(x^*)$, he can win $G(x^*)$ with $d(x^*)$, so he can win $G(x^*)$, so he can't win $G(x^*)$ with $d(x^*)$.

It remains to carry out the construction in (1). To do this, let ϕ map X Borel measurably onto the space $F \times G$ of pairs of f, g of continuous functions from Ω into X , where Ω is the space of infinite sequences $\omega = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$ of positive integers. Let ψ be the following (Borel measurable) map of $F \times G \times X$ into X : $\psi(f, g, s)$ consists of all $u = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ such that either (a) k is odd and $x \in \text{closure of } f\Omega(n_1, n_3, \dots, n_k)$, where $\Omega(v)$ denotes the set of ω that begin with v or (b) k is even and $x \in \text{closure of } g\Omega(n_2, n_4, \dots, n_k)$. Then the association with x of $x' = \psi(\phi(x), x)$, $A_1 = f\Omega$, $A_2 = g\Omega$, where $(f, g) = \phi(x)$ has the required properties. For if $x \in A_1 - A_2$, there is an $\omega = (n_1, n_3, n_5, \dots)$ with $f(\omega) = x$. If α plays n_1, n_3, n_5, \dots in $G(x')$, he never leaves x' . But no matter

what sequence n_2, n_4, \dots β plays, $g(n_2, n_4, \dots) \neq x$, so β must leave x' eventually. Similarly $G(x')$ is a win for β if $x \in A_2 - A_1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ADDISON, J. W. (1958). Separation principles in the hierarchies of classical and effective descriptive set theory. *Fund. Math.* **46** 123–135.
- [2] BLACKWELL, D. (1965). Discounted dynamic programming. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 226–235.
- [3] DAVID, GALE and STEWART, F. M. (1953). Infinite games with perfect information. *Contributions to the Theory of Games, Annals of Math. Studies* **28** pp. 245–266.
- [4] NOVIKOFF, D. (1931). Sur les fonctions implicites mesurables B. *Fund. Math.* **17** 8–25.
- [5] SIERPIŃSKI, W. (1931). Sur deux complementaires analytiques non separables B. *Fund. Math.* **17** 296–297.