NON-PERTURBATIVE APPROACH TO RANDOM WALK IN MARKOVIAN ENVIRONMENT

DMITRY DOLGOPYAT[1]
Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, 4417 Mathematics Bldg, College Park, MD 20742, USA
email: dmitry@math.umd.edu

CARLANGELO LIVERANI
Dipartimento di Matematica, II Università di Roma (Tor Vergata), Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy.
email: liverani@mat.uniroma2.it

Abstract
We prove the CLT for a random walk in a dynamical environment where the states of the environment at different sites are independent Markov chains.

1 Introduction

The study of random walk in random evolving environment has attracted much attention lately. The basic idea is that, due to the time mixing properties of the environment, the CLT should hold in any dimension. Many results have been obtained in the case of transition probabilities close to constant ([5, 3, 4, 14, 11, 2, 7] etc) but there are still two open problems. On one hand one would like to consider environments with weaker mixing properties (some results in this direction have been obtained in [6, 7, 8]). On the other hand one would like to understand the case in which the dependence on the environment is not small. The present paper addresses the second issue presenting a new, non-perturbative, approach to random walks in evolving environment.
To make the presentation as transparent as possible we consider a very simple environment: at each site of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ we have a finite state Markov chain and the chains at different sites are independent. However, the present argument applies to the situation where the evolution of each site is described by a Gibbs measure. In fact our approach relies on the fact that the environment seen

---

from the particle is Gibbsian. We hope that this fact can be established for a wide class of mixing environments and so it will be useful for the first problem as well.

More precisely, at each site $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ consider a Markov chain $\{x_u^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with finite state space $\mathscr{A}$ and transition matrix $p_{ab} > 0$ for any a and b. The chains at different sites are independent. Let $p_{ab}(k)$ denote the k step transition probability and π_a denote the stationary distribution corresponding to p_{ab}. Let Λ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. For each $a \in \mathscr{A}$ let $q_{a,v}$ be a probability distribution on Λ. Consider a random walk S_n such that $S_0 = 0$ and $S_{n+1} = S_n + v_n$ with probability $q_{x_{S_n}^n, v_n}$. Let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the measure of the resulting Markov process on $\overline{\Omega} := (\mathscr{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d})^{\mathbb{N}} \times (\mathbb{Z}^d)^{\mathbb{N}}$ when the environment is started with the stationary measure[2] and the walk starts from zero. We use $\mathbb{E}$ to denote the associated expectation.

Theorem 1. *For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and random walk $\mathbb{P}$ as above,*

(a) there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a $d \times d$ symmetric matrix $\Sigma \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(S_n) = \mathbf{v}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left[S_n - n\mathbf{v} \right] \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) \text{ under } \mathbb{P}.$$

That is, S_n satisfies an averaged (annealed) Central Limit Theorem.

(b) $\Sigma > 0$ unless there exists a proper affine subspace $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$ we have $q_{a,z} = 0$ for $z \notin \Pi$.

(c) If $\Sigma > 0$ then S_n satisfies the quenched Central Limit Theorem, that is for almost every realization of $\{x_u^n\}$ the distribution of $\frac{S_n - n\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{n}}$ conditioned on $\{x_u^n\}$ converges to $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$.

Remark 1.1. *Note that the conditions $p_{ab} > 0$ and the independence of the Markov chains at each site can be easily weakened. In fact, one can consider an irreducible Markov chain for which such a condition is verified only for time n transition matrix $p_{ab}(n)$ and/or a situation in which the chains are independent only if at a distance L. Then essentially the same proof goes through.[3] To consider more general environments, more work is needed.*

2   Gibbs measures.

Here we collect the information about one dimensional Gibbs measures used in our proof. The reader is referred to [13] for more information and physics background. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a finite alphabet and $\mathbb{B}$ be a $\mathrm{Card}(\mathscr{B}) \times \mathrm{Card}(\mathscr{B})$ matrix (usually called *adjacency matrix*) whose entries are zeroes and ones. Let $\Omega^+ \subset \mathscr{B}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the space of forward infinite sequences $\omega = \{\omega_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\mathbb{B}_{\omega_j \omega_{j+1}} = 1$ for all j (the sequences satisfying the last condition are called *admissible*). Likewise we let Ω and Ω^- be the spaces of biinfinite and backward infinite admissible sequences respectively (in Ω^- the indices run from $-\infty$ to 0).

Given $\theta \in (0, 1)$ we can define distances d_θ on Ω, Ω^+ and Ω^- by

$$d_\theta(\omega', \omega'') = \theta^k \text{ where } k = \max\{j : \omega_i' = \omega_i'' \text{ for } |i| \leq j\}.$$

---

[2]In fact our main result holds if the environment is started from any initial measure and the proof requires very little change. We assume that the initial measure is stationary since this allows us to simplify the notation a little.

[3]In the following just look at the system only at times multiple of n and keep track of all the sites that are at a distance less then L from the sites that the walk can visit in such a time.

We let $\mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega^+)$ (respectively $\mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega)$, $\mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega^-)$) denote the space of d_θ-Lipshitz functions $\Omega^+ \to \mathbb{R}$.[4] We say that a function is *Hölder* if it belongs to $\mathscr{C}_\theta$ for some θ. Let τ be the shift map $(\tau\omega)_i = \omega_{i+1}$. A τ-invariant probability measure μ^+ on Ω^+ is called *Gibbs measure with Hölder potential* if

$$\phi(\xi) = \ln \mu^+(\{\omega_0 = \xi_0\}|\omega_1 = \xi_1 \ldots \omega_n = \xi_n \ldots) \tag{2.1}$$

is Hölder. That is the conditional probability to see a given symbol at the beginning of the sequence depends weakly on the remote future. The function ϕ given by (2.1) is called the *potential* of μ^+. In this paper we shall use the phrase 'Gibbs measure' to mean Gibbs measure with Hölder potential. The Gibbs measures for Ω^- are defined similarly.

If μ is a τ-invariant measure on Ω we let μ^+ and μ^- be its projections (marginals) to Ω^+ and Ω^- respectively. Observe that each element of this triple determines the other two uniquely. For example given μ^+ we can recover μ using that, for each $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k + m \geq 0$,

$$\mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : \omega_i = \xi_i, -m \leq i \leq k\}) = \mu^+(\{\omega \in \Omega^+ : \omega_i = \xi_i, 0 \leq i \leq k + m\}).$$

We will call μ *the natural extension* of μ^+.

Proposition 2.1 (Variational Principle). *([10, Theorem 3.5]) The following are equivalent*

(a) μ^+ is a Gibbs measure

(b) μ^- is a Gibbs measure

(c) There is a Hölder function $\psi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mu(\psi) + h(\mu) = \sup \left(\nu(\psi) + h(\nu) \right)$$

where h denotes the entropy and the supremum is taken over all τ-invariant measures.

We will call the measures on Ω satisfying the conditions of the above proposition Gibbs measures. If $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega^-)$ we consider the *transfer operator* on $\mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega^-)$ given by

$$(\mathscr{L}_\phi g)(\omega) = \sum_{\{\varpi \in \Omega^- : \tau^{-1}\varpi = \omega\}} e^{\phi(\varpi)} g(\varpi). \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.2. *([10, Theorem 2.2]) Assume $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega^-)$ and*

$$\mathscr{L}_\phi(1) = 1. \tag{2.3}$$

Then,

(a) $|\mathscr{L}_\phi(g)|_\infty \leq |g|_\infty$.

(b) (Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem)[5] There exist constants $C > 0, \gamma < 1$ such that

$$\mathscr{L}_\phi = \mathscr{Q} + \mathscr{P}$$

---

[4]Note that $\mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega^+)$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_\theta := |f|_\infty + \sup_{\omega', \omega'' \in \Omega^+} \frac{|f(\omega') - f(\omega'')|}{d_\theta(\omega', \omega'')},$$

where $|f|_\infty = \sup_{\omega' \in \Omega^+} |f(\omega')|$. The analogous fact holds for $\mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega^-)$ and $\mathscr{C}_\theta(\Omega)$.

[5]In fact the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem has a more general version where the condition (2.3) is not required. However that formulation is more complicated and since we are going to apply this theorem in case ϕ is the log of the conditional probability (see (2.1)) the version given by Proposition 2.2 is sufficient for our purposes.

where $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q} = 0$, $\|\mathcal{Q}^n\|_\theta \leq C\gamma^n$ and $\mathcal{P}(g) = \mu^-(g)1$ where μ^- is the Gibbs measure with potential ϕ.[6] In particular, for each $g \in \mathcal{C}_\theta(\Omega^-)$,

$$|\mathcal{L}_\phi^n g - \mu^-(g)1|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{L}_\phi^n g - \mu^-(g)1\|_\theta \leq C\gamma^n \|g\|_\theta.$$

The next result is a combination of [10, Theorem 4.13 and Proposition 4.12] and [9, section 4.2a] (see also [1, section 3.6], [15]).

Proposition 2.3 (**CLT in the sense of Renyi**). *Let μ^+ be a Gibbs measure and v^+ be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ^+. Let g be Hölder and denote $G_n(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(\tau^j \omega)$ where ω is distributed according to v^+.*
(a) $\frac{G_n - n\mu^+(g)}{\sqrt{n}} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$ where $\sigma = \mu^+(g^2) + 2\sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu^+(g \cdot g \circ \tau^j)$.
(b) $\sigma = 0$ iff $|G_n - n\mu^+(g)1|_\infty$ is uniformly bounded.

3   Local environment as seen from the particle

To prove Theorem 1 we consider the history ω of the **local** environment as seen from the particle.[7] More precisely ω_n is a pair $(x_{S_n}^n, v_n)$. Let Ω^+ be the set of all possible histories. Thus Ω^+ is a space of forward infinite sequences. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{(a, v) \in \mathcal{A} \times \Lambda \ : \ q_{a,v} > 0\}$ be the alphabet for Ω (in our simple case the adjacency matrix is given by $\mathbb{B}_{(a',v'),(a'',v'')} = 1$, i.e. we have the full shift) and consider the spaces Ω and Ω^- defined in Section 2. We shall use the notation $\mathbb{P}^+$ for the measure induced by $\mathbb{P}$ on Ω^+.[8]
Let $\mathcal{F}_k$ denote the σ-algebra generated by $\omega_0, \ldots \omega_k$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a shift invariant measure μ on Ω and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant C_k such that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and any $\mathcal{F}_k$ measurable function f we have*

$$|\mathbb{E}(f \circ \tau^n \mid \mathcal{F}_m) - \mu(f)| \leq C_k |f|_\infty \gamma^{n-m}.$$

In addition, μ is a Gibbs measure.
Finally, $\mathbb{P}^+$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ^+.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Note that the case $m \geq n + k$ is trivially true, we then restrict to $m < n + k$. For $\xi \in \Omega^-$, with $\xi_i = (z_i, v_i)$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$e^{\phi(\xi)} = \begin{cases} p_{z_l, z_0}(-l) \cdot q_{z_0 v_0} & \text{if } l = \mathbf{l}(\xi) \neq -\infty \\ \pi_{z_0} \cdot q_{z_0 v_0} & \text{if } \mathbf{l}(\xi) = -\infty \end{cases}$$

$$e^{\phi_n(\xi)} = \begin{cases} p_{z_l, z_0}(-l) \cdot q_{z_0 v_0} & \text{if } l = \mathbf{l}(\xi) \geq -n \\ \pi_{z_0} \cdot q_{z_0 v_0} & \text{if } \mathbf{l}(\xi) < -n \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathbf{l}(\xi)$ is the last time before 0 such that $S_l := \sum_{i=l}^{-1} v_i = 0$.[9] We have

$$|\phi_n - \phi|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^n) \tag{3.2}$$

---

[6]Given any linear operator $A: \mathcal{C}_\theta(\Omega^-) \to \mathcal{C}_\theta(\Omega^-)$ we define, as usual, $\|A\|_\theta := \sup_{\|f\|_\theta = 1} \|Af\|_\theta$.

[7]That is, we record only the environment at the visited sites, contrary to the usual strategy of considering all the environment.

[8]Indeed, the map $\Psi: \overline{\Omega} \to \Omega^+$ defined by $\Psi((x^n, S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (x_{S_n}^n, S_{n+1} - S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is measurable and onto. Thus $\mathbb{P}^+(A) := \mathbb{P}(\Psi^{-1}(A))$. With a slight abuse of notation we will use $\mathbb{E}$ also for the expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}^+$.

[9]In other words we extend S_n to negative n using ξ_i with negative indices and look at the last negative time when the walk visits zero.

where λ is the second eigenvalue of p_{ab}. In fact $\phi(\xi) = \phi_n(\xi)$ unless $l(\xi) < -n$ in which case the distribution of $p_{z_i z_0}(-l)$ is $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^n)$-close to π_{z_0}.

Recall (2.2) and consider the following transfer operators $\mathscr{L} := \mathscr{L}_\phi$, $\mathscr{L}_n := \mathscr{L}_{\phi_n}$. Note that $\mathscr{L}_n 1 = \mathscr{L} 1 = 1$ and that (3.2) implies that there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all continuous $g : \Omega^- \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\mathscr{L}g - \mathscr{L}_n g|_\infty \leq C\lambda^n |g|_\infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Next, choose $\xi^* \in \Omega^-$ and for each $b \in \mathscr{B}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega^m = (\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_m) \in \mathscr{B}^m$ let $\xi^* \omega^m b \in \Omega^-$ be the concatenation of ξ^*, ω^m and b.[10] Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega_{m+1} = b\}|\mathscr{F}_m)(\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_m) = \exp\left(\phi_{m+1}\left(\xi^* \omega^m b\right)\right).$$

By hypotheses there exists $\tilde{f} : \mathscr{B}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\omega) = \tilde{f}(\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_k)$. Observe that if $g : \Omega^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathscr{F}_{m+1}$ measurable function, then

$$\mathbb{E}(g|\mathscr{F}_m)(\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_m) = \sum_{b \in \mathscr{B}} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega_{m+1} = b\}|\mathscr{F}_m)g(\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_m, b). \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore for each $l \in \{0, \ldots, n+k-m\}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}(f \circ \tau^n \mid \mathscr{F}_m)(\omega^m) = (\mathscr{L}_{m+1} \cdots \mathscr{L}_{n+k} \hat{f}_k)(\xi^* \omega^m), \tag{3.5}$$

where, for $\xi \in \Omega^-$, we define $\hat{f}_k(\xi) = \tilde{f}(\xi_{-k}, \ldots, \xi_0)$. Hence, by (3.3),

$$\mathbb{E}(f \circ \tau^n \mid \mathscr{F}_m)(\omega^m) = \mathscr{L}_m \cdots \mathscr{L}_{n+k-l} \mathscr{L}^l \hat{f}_k + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n+k-l}|f|_\infty). \tag{3.6}$$

Next, the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem (Proposition 2.2(b)) for $\mathscr{L}$ and the fact that $\mathscr{L}1 = 1$ imply that there are a Gibbs measure μ^- on Ω^-, and numbers $\theta, \tilde{\gamma} \in (0,1)$, $\tilde{\gamma} \geq \theta$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}(f \circ \tau^n \mid \mathscr{F}_m) = \mathscr{L}_{m+1} \cdots \mathscr{L}_{n+k-l} 1 \cdot \mu^-(\hat{f}_k) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n+k-l} + \theta^{-k}\tilde{\gamma}^l)|f|_\infty.$$

Choosing $l = \frac{n-m}{2}$ (which is smaller than $n+k-m$ by hypotheses) and $\gamma^2 = \max\{\lambda, \tilde{\gamma}\}$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(f \circ \tau^n \mid \mathscr{F}_m) = \mu^-(\hat{f}_k) + \mathcal{O}(C_k \gamma^{n-m})|f|_\infty.$$

Since μ^- is Gibbs, Proposition 2.1 implies that μ and μ^+ are Gibbs.

To prove the absolute continuity note that equations (3.5), (3.3) imply for $f \geq 0$ and $\mathscr{F}_k$ measurable[11]

$$\mathbb{E}(f \mid \mathscr{F}_0)(b) = \inf_{\xi \in \Omega^-} \mathscr{L}_1 \cdots \mathscr{L}_k \hat{f}_k(\xi b) = \inf_{\xi \in \Omega^-} \frac{\mathscr{L}_1 \cdots \mathscr{L}_k \hat{f}_k(\xi b)}{\mathscr{L}^k \hat{f}_k(\xi b)} \mathscr{L}^k \hat{f}_k(\xi b)$$

$$\leq C \inf_{\xi \in \Omega^-} \mathscr{L}^k \hat{f}_k(\xi b) \leq C \frac{\mu^-(\mathbb{1}_{\{\omega_0 = b\}} \mathscr{L}^k \hat{f}_k)}{\mu^-(\{\omega_0 = b\})} \leq C' \mu^+(f).$$

Thus $\mathbb{E}(f) \leq C'\mu^+(f)$, which implies the absolute continuity. $\qquad\square$

---

[10] Essentially ξ^* corresponds to the choice of a 'standard past' for any finite sequence.

[11] The first equality follows by the freedom in the choice of ξ^*, the last is true because b can take only finitely many values and so $\inf_b \mu^-(\{\omega_0 = b\}) > 0$.

4   Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Part (a) follows from Proposition 2.3(a) and Lemma 3.1.

Next we analyze the possibility that there exists a non-zero $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\Sigma w = 0$. In this case Proposition 2.3(b) implies that $\langle S_n, w \rangle$ is uniformly bounded. Now, suppose there exist pairs (a_1, v_1) and (a_2, v_2) such that $q_{a_j, v_j} > 0$ and $\langle v_1, w \rangle \neq \langle v_2, w \rangle$. Let $\xi^{(j)} = \{\omega_k^j\}_{k=0}^\infty$, $j = 1, 2$ where $\omega_k^j \equiv (a_j, v_j)$. Then $\langle S_n, w \rangle$ cannot be bounded along both the orbits defined by the sequences $\xi^{(1)}$ and $\xi^{(2)}$. This proves (b).

The quenched CLT was derived from the annealed CLT in [7, sections 3.2 and 3.3] under the assumption that $q_{a,v}$ was weakly dependent on a. However this assumption was not used in this part of [7]. Indeed what we need to prove in order to conclude the proof of the present theorem is the equivalent of Theorem 1 in [7]. The proof of such a theorem relies only on the mixing of the environment as seen from the particle (our Lemma 3.1)[12] and the estimate [7, (2.21)]. In turn [7, (2.21)] follows from [7, Lemma 3.2] by a general argument that uses only the mixing property of the process (in our case implied by Lemma 3.1) and Assumption (A4) of [7] that, in our case, can be replaced by the stronger property

Let S_n' and S_n'' be two independent walkers moving in the same environment. Then conditioned on the event

$$\{\text{dist}\left(S_N', S_N''\right) > m\}$$

the increments of S_k' and S_k'' are independent for $k \in \left(N, N + \frac{m}{\text{Const}}\right)$. (This holds in our case since the chains at different sites are independent.)

Finally, [7, Lemma 3.2] follows from [7, Lemma 3.3]. The only property used in such a derivation is that for any m there exists N such that S' and S'' can get m units apart during the time N with positive probability (in our case this follows under the hypothesis that ensure Theorem 1(b)). Such a fact also suffices for the proof of the analogue of [7, Lemma 3.4] and for the derivation of [7, Lemma 3.3] from [7, Lemma 3.4] in the present context.

In conclusion, the proof carries to the present setting without any substantial changes.   □

References

[1] Aaronson J., *An introduction to infinite ergodic theory* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 50. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. MR1450400

[2] Bandyopadhyay A., Zeitouni, O., *Random Walk in Dynamic Markovian Random Environment*, ALEA **1** (2006) 205–224. MR2249655

[3] Boldrighini C., Minlos R.A., Pellegrinotti A., *Random walks in quenched i.i.d. space-time random environment are always a.s. diffusive*. Probab. Theory Related Fields **129** (2004), no. 1, 133–156. MR2052866

[4] Boldrighini C., Minlos R.A., Pellegrinotti A., *Random walks in random (fluctuating) environment* Russian Math Surveys **62** (2007) 663–712. MR2358736

[5] Bolthausen E., Sznitman A.–S., *On the static and dynamic points of view for certain random walks in random environment*, Methods Appl. Anal., **9**, 3, 345–375 (2002). MR2023130

---

[12]In fact, the process determined by the point of view of the particle is different here from the one used in [7], as already remarked. Yet, in both cases the walk is an additive functional of such a process and the same arguments apply.

[6] Comets F., Zeitouni O., *Gaussian fluctuations for random walks in random mixing environments,* Israel J. Math. **148** (2005), 87–113. MR2191225

[7] Dolgopyat D., Keller G., Liverani C., *Random Walk in Markovian Environment,* Annals of Probability **36** (2008) 1676–1710. MR2440920

[8] Dolgopyat D., Liverani C., *Random Walk in Deterministically Changing Environment,* ALEA **4** (2008) 89–116. MR2413089

[9] Eagleson G. K., *Some simple conditions for limit theorems to be mixing*. (Russian) Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen. **21** (1976), no. 3, 653–660. (Engligh translation: Theor. Prob. Appl. **21** (1976) 637–642, 1977.) MR0428388

[10] Parry W., Pollicott M., *Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics,* Asterisque, **187–188** (1990) 268 pp. MR1085356

[11] Rassoul-Agha F., Seppalainen T., *An almost sure invariance principle for random walks in a space-time i.i.d. random environment,* Prob. Th., Related Fields **133** (2005) 299–314. MR2198014

[12] Rényi A., *On mixing sequences of sets*, Acta math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **9** (1958). MR0098161

[13] Ruelle D., *Statistical mechanics. Rigorous results*. Reprint of the 1989 edition. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ; Imperial College Press, London, 1999. MR1747792

[14] Stannat W., *A remark on the CLT for a random walk in a random environment*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, **130**,3, 377-387 (2004). MR2095935

[15] Zweimüller R., *Mixing limit theorems for ergodic transformations*, Journal of Theoretical Probability **20** (2007), 1059-1071. MR2359068