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Cramér and Leadbetter introduced in 1967 the sufficient condition

$$
\frac{r^{\prime \prime}(s)-r^{\prime \prime}(0)}{s} \in L^{1}([0, \delta], d x), \quad \delta>0
$$

to have a finite variance of the number of zeros of a centered stationary Gaussian process with twice differentiable covariance function $r$. This condition is known as the Geman condition, since Geman proved in 1972 that it was also a necessary condition. Up to now no such criterion was known for counts of crossings of a level other than the mean. This paper shows that the Geman condition is still sufficient and necessary to have a finite variance of the number of any fixed level crossings. For the generalization to the number of a curve crossings, a condition on the curve has to be added to the Geman condition.

1. Introduction and main result. Let $X=\left\{X_{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ be a centered stationary Gaussian process. Its correlation function $r$ is supposed to be twice differentiable and to satisfy on $[0, \delta]$, with $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
r(\tau)=1+\frac{r^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2} \tau^{2}+\theta(\tau) \\
\text { with } \theta(\tau)>0, \frac{\theta(\tau)}{\tau^{2}} \rightarrow 0, \frac{\theta^{\prime}(\tau)}{\tau} \rightarrow 0, \theta^{\prime \prime}(\tau) \rightarrow 0, \text { as } \tau \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The nonnegative function $L$ defined by $\theta^{\prime \prime}(\tau):=\tau L(\tau)$ will be referred to as the Geman function.

Let us consider a continuous differentiable real function $\psi$ and let us define, as in [2], the number of crossings of the function $\psi$ by the process $X$ on an interval $[0, t](t \in \mathbb{R})$, as the random variable $N_{t}^{\psi}=N_{t}(\psi)=\#\left\{s \leq t: X_{s}=\psi_{s}\right\}$.

The number $N_{t}^{\psi}$ of $\psi$-crossings by $X$ can also be seen as the number of zero crossings $N_{t}^{Y}(0)$ by the nonstationary (but stationary in the sense of the covariance) Gaussian process $Y=\left\{Y_{s}, s \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$, with $Y_{s}:=X_{s}-\psi_{s}$, that is, $N_{t}^{\psi}=N_{t}^{Y}(0)$.

[^0]Regarding the moments of the number of crossings by $X$, one of the most wellknown first results was obtained by Rice [9] for a given level $x$, namely

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[N_{t}(x)\right]=t e^{-x^{2} / 2} \sqrt{-r^{\prime \prime}(0)} / \pi
$$

This equality was proved two decades later by Itô [7] and Ylvisaker [11], providing a necessary and sufficient condition to have a finite mean number of crossings:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[N_{t}(x)\right]<\infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad-r^{\prime \prime}(0)<\infty .
$$

Also in the 1960s, following on the work of Cramér, generalization to curve crossings and higher-order moments for $N_{t}(\cdot)$ were considered in a series of papers by Cramér and Leadbetter [2] and Ylvisaker [12].

Moreover, Cramér and Leadbetter [2] provided an explicit formula for the second factorial moment of the number of zeros of the process $X$, and proposed a sufficient condition on the correlation function of $X$ in order to have the random variable $N_{t}(0)$ belonging to $L^{2}(\Omega)$, namely

$$
\text { If } L(t):=\frac{r^{\prime \prime}(t)-r^{\prime \prime}(0)}{t} \in L^{1}([0, \delta], d x) \quad \text { then } \mathbb{E}\left[N_{t}^{2}(0)\right]<\infty
$$

Geman [6] proved that this condition was not only sufficient but also necessary:
(2) $\mathbb{E}\left[N_{t}^{2}(0)\right]<\infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad L(t) \in L^{1}([0, \delta], d x) \quad$ (Geman condition).

This condition held only when choosing the level as the mean of the process.
Generalizing this result to any given level $x$ and to some differentiable curve $\psi$ has been subject to some investigation and nice papers, such as the ones of Cuzick [4,5] proposing sufficient conditions. But to get necessary conditions remained an open problem for many years. The solution of this problem is enunciated in the following theorem.

## Theorem.

(1) For any given level $x$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[N_{t}^{2}(x)\right]<\infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \exists \delta>0, L(t)=\frac{r^{\prime \prime}(t)-r^{\prime \prime}(0)}{t} \in L^{1}([0, \delta], d x)
$$

(Geman condition).
(2) Suppose that the continuous differentiable real function $\psi$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \delta>0 \quad \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\gamma(s)}{s} d s<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma(\cdot)$ is the modulus of continuity of $\dot{\psi}$.
Then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[N_{t}^{2}(\psi)\right]<\infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad L(t) \in L^{1}([0, \delta], d x)
$$

REMARK. This smooth condition on $\psi$ is satisfied by a large class of functions which includes in particular functions whose derivatives are Hölder.

Finally let us mention the work of Belyaev [1] and Cuzick [3-5] who proposed some sufficient conditions to have the finiteness of the $k$ th (factorial) moments for the number of crossings for $k \geq 2$. When $k \geq 3$, the difficult problem of finding necessary conditions when considering levels other than the mean is still open.
2. Proof. Generalizing the formula of Cramér and Leadbetter ([2], page 209) concerning the zero crossings, the second factorial moment $M_{2}^{\psi}$ of the number of $\psi$-crossings can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{2}^{\psi}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{2}} & \left|\dot{x}_{1}-\dot{\psi}_{t_{1}}\right|\left|\dot{x}_{2}-\dot{\psi}_{t_{2}}\right|  \tag{4}\\
& \times p_{t_{1}, t_{2}}\left(\psi_{t_{1}}, \dot{x}_{1}, \psi_{t_{2}}, \dot{x}_{2}\right) d \dot{x}_{1} d \dot{x}_{2} d t_{1} d t_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{t_{1}, t_{2}}\left(x_{1}, \dot{x}_{1}, x_{2}, \dot{x}_{2}\right)$ is the density of the vector $\left(X_{t_{1}}, \dot{X}_{t_{1}}, X_{t_{2}}, \dot{X}_{t_{2}}\right)$ that is supposed nonsingular for all $t_{1} \neq t_{2}$. The formula holds whether $M_{2}^{\psi}$ is finite or not.

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{2}^{\psi}=2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} & p_{t_{1}, t_{2}}\left(\psi_{t_{1}}, \psi_{t_{2}}\right) \\
& \times \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\dot{X}_{t_{1}}-\dot{\psi}_{t_{1}} \| \dot{X}_{t_{2}}-\dot{\psi}_{t_{2}}\right| \mid X_{t_{1}}=\psi_{t_{1}}, X_{t_{2}}=\psi_{t_{2}}\right] d t_{2} d t_{1} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{t_{1}, t_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is the density of $\left(X_{t_{1}}, X_{t_{2}}\right)$.
From now on, let us put $t_{2}=t_{1}+\tau, \tau>0$.
The method used to prove that the Geman condition keeps being the sufficient and necessary condition to have $M_{2}^{\psi}$ finite can be sketched into three steps.

The first one consists in using the following regression model to compute the expectation in $M_{2}^{\psi}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{X}_{t_{1}} & =\zeta+\alpha_{1}(\tau) X_{t_{1}}+\alpha_{2}(\tau) X_{t_{1}+\tau} \\
\dot{X}_{t_{1}+\tau} & =\zeta^{*}-\beta_{1}(\tau) X_{t_{1}}-\beta_{2}(\tau) X_{t_{1}+\tau} \tag{R}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(\zeta, \zeta^{*}\right)$ is jointly Gaussian such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var}(\zeta) & =\operatorname{Var}\left(\zeta^{*}\right):=\sigma^{2}(\tau)=-r^{\prime \prime}(0)-\frac{r^{\prime 2}(\tau)}{1-r^{2}(\tau)}  \tag{6}\\
\rho(\tau) & :=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(\zeta, \zeta^{*}\right)}{\sigma^{2}(\tau)}=\frac{-r^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\left(1-r^{2}(\tau)\right)-r^{\prime 2}(\tau) r(\tau)}{-r^{\prime \prime}(0)\left(1-r^{2}(\tau)\right)-r^{\prime 2}(\tau)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}(\tau)=\frac{r^{\prime}(\tau) r(\tau)}{1-r^{2}(\tau)} ; & \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{2}(\tau)=-\frac{r^{\prime}(\tau)}{1-r^{2}(\tau)} \\
\beta_{1}=\beta_{1}(\tau)=\alpha_{2}(\tau) ; & \beta_{2}=\beta_{2}(\tau)=\alpha_{1}(\tau) .
\end{array}
$$

In the second step, the expectation, formulated in terms of $\zeta$ and $\zeta^{*}$, will be expand into Hermite polynomials. Recall that the Hermite polynomials $\left(H_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$, defined by $H_{n}(x)=(-1)^{n} e^{x^{2} / 2} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}} e^{-x^{2} / 2}$, constitute a complete orthogonal system in the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \varphi(u) d u), \varphi$ denoting the standard normal density.

Finally, this Hermite expansion will allow us to find, in an easier way, lower and upper bounds for $M_{2}^{\psi}$. Nevertheless, it will required a fine study in the neighborhood of 0 , on one hand on the correlation function $r$ of $X$ and its derivatives, showing in particular the close relation between the existence of the Geman function $L$ and the existence of $r^{(i v)}(0)$, on the other hand, on the correlation function $\rho$ of the r.v. $\zeta$ and $\zeta^{*}$ of the model $(R)$. It will be presented in the two first lemmas below. Moreover, since the bounds will be expressed in terms of the variance $\sigma^{2}(\tau)$ of the r.v. $\zeta$ (or $\zeta^{*}$ ), an interesting lemma (see Lemma 3 below) will show that the behavior of $L$ is closely related to the behavior of $\sigma^{2}(\tau)$.

## Lemma 1.

(i) If $r^{(i v)}(0)=+\infty$, then $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(\tau)}{\tau}=+\infty$.
(ii) If $r^{(i v)}(0)<+\infty$, then $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(\tau)}{\tau}=\frac{r^{(i v)}(0)}{2}$.

Lemma 2. For $\tau$ belonging to a neighborhood of 0 :
(i) $\left|\frac{r^{\prime}(\tau)}{\sigma(\tau)}\right|$ is bounded;
(ii) $\rho(\tau) \leq 0$.

Lemma 3. For $\tau$ belonging to a neighborhood of 0:
(i) $\frac{\sigma^{2}(\tau)}{\tau} \leq L(\tau) \leq(2+C) \frac{\sigma^{2}(\tau)}{\tau}$, with $C \geq 0$;
(ii) For $\delta>0, \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\sigma^{2}(\tau)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}(\tau)}} d \tau<\infty \Leftrightarrow \int_{0}^{\delta} L(\tau) d \tau<\infty$ (Geman condition).

The proofs of the lemmas are given in [8].
To illustrate the method, we will present the complete proof when considering a fixed level $x$. For the case of curve-crossings, you can refer to [8].

So suppose $\dot{\psi}_{s}=0$ and $\psi_{s} \equiv x, \forall s$.
Let $C$ be a positive constant which may vary from equation to equation. By using the regression ( $R$ ), $M_{2}^{x}$ can be written as

$$
M_{2}^{x}=2 \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau) p_{\tau}(x, x) \sigma^{2}(\tau) A(m, \rho, \tau) d \tau
$$

where

$$
A(m, \rho, \tau):=\mathbb{E}\left|\left(\frac{\zeta}{\sigma(\tau)}+\frac{r^{\prime}(\tau)}{(1+r(\tau)) \sigma(\tau)} x\right)\left(\frac{\zeta^{*}}{\sigma(\tau)}-\frac{r^{\prime}(\tau)}{(1+r(\tau)) \sigma(\tau)} x\right)\right|
$$

and $p_{\tau}(x, x):=p_{0, \tau}(x, x)$.
Note that
(8) $\quad M_{2}^{x} \geq M_{2}^{x, \delta}:=2 \int_{0}^{\delta}(t-\tau) p_{\tau}(x, x) \sigma^{2}(\tau) A(m, \rho, \tau) d \tau, \quad \delta \in[0, \tau]$.

Now, by using Mehler's formula (see, e.g., [10]), we have
$A(m, \rho, \tau)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}(m) a_{k}(-m) k!\rho^{k}(\tau) \quad$ where $m=m(\tau):=\frac{r^{\prime}(\tau) x}{(1+r(\tau)) \sigma(\tau)}$,
$|m|=|m(\tau)|$ being bounded because of (i) of Lemma 2, and $a_{k}(m)$ are the Hermite coefficients of the function $|\cdot-m|$, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0}(m) & =\mathbb{E}|Z-m| \quad Z \text { being a standard Gaussian r.v. } \\
& =m[2 \Phi(m)-1]+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-m^{2} / 2} \\
a_{1}(m) & =(1-2 \Phi(m))=-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{m} e^{-u^{2} / 2} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
a_{l}(m)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{l!} H_{l-2}(m) e^{-m^{2} / 2}, \quad l \geq 2
$$

Let us show that $M_{2}^{x}<\infty$ under the Geman condition.
Since by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
|A(m, \rho, \tau)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}(m) a_{k}(-m)\right| k!\leq\left(\mathbb{E}\left[(Y-m)^{2}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[(Y+m)^{2}\right]\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

with $Y$ a standard normal r.v., there follows

$$
M_{2}^{x} \leq I_{2}:=2 \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau) p_{\tau}(x, x) \sigma^{2}(\tau)\left(a_{0}(m) a_{0}(-m)+1+m^{2}\right) d \tau
$$

Hence, $m^{2}$ being bounded, we obtain $I_{2} \leq C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau) p_{\tau}(x, x) \sigma^{2}(\tau) d \tau$.
The study of this last integral reduces to the one on $[0, \delta]$ because of the uniform continuity outside of a neighborhood of 0 , so we can conclude that it is finite if $L \in L^{1}[0, \delta]$, by using Lemma 3(ii).

Let us look now at the reverse implication.
Suppose that $M_{2}^{x}<\infty$, and so, via (8), that $M_{2}^{x, \delta}<\infty$.

Let us compute $A(m, \rho, \tau)$ and bound it below.
By using the parity of the Hermite polynomials and the sign of $\rho$ given in (ii) of Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(m, \rho, \tau)= & a_{0}^{2}(m)+|\rho(\tau)| a_{1}^{2}(m)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2 k}^{2}(m)(2 k)!\rho^{2 k}(\tau) \\
& +|\rho| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2 k+1}^{2}(m)(2 k+1)!\rho^{2 k}(\tau) \\
\geq & a_{0}^{2}(m)=\left(-m a_{1}(m)+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-m^{2} / 2}\right)^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-m^{2}} \geq C \quad(\text { since }|m|<\infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
M_{2}^{x, \delta} \geq C \int_{0}^{\delta}(t-\tau) p_{\tau}(x, x) \sigma^{2}(\tau) d \tau \geq C \int_{0}^{\delta}(t-\tau) \frac{\sigma^{2}(\tau)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}(\tau)}} d \tau
$$

An application of Lemma 3(ii), yields that $M_{2}^{x, \delta}<\infty$ implies the Geman condition.

The proof of the general case follows the same approach. It requires also to use Taylor formula for $\psi$ and to introduce the modulus of continuity of $\dot{\psi}$ to express the expectation in the integrand of $M_{2}^{\psi}$ into two terms, one on which will be applied the described method, the other related to the modulus of continuity of $\dot{\psi}$, which is bounded thanks to the condition (3) of the theorem (for more details, see [8]).
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