

A SECTION THEOREM IN INTERVAL SPACE WITH APPLICATIONS

Yuguang Xu and Xian Wu

Abstract. In this paper, we prove a section theorem of Ky Fan type in interval space, and then, as its applications, some minimax inequalities and a fixed point theorem are obtained.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some elementary concepts on an interval space (see [1] and [5]):

1) By an *interval space* we mean a topological space X endowed with a mapping $[\cdot, \cdot] : X \times X \rightarrow \{\text{connected subsets of } X\}$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in [x_1, x_2] = [x_2, x_1]$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

2) A subset K of an interval space X is *convex* if for every $x_1, x_2 \in K$ we have $[x_1, x_2] \subset K$.

Obviously, in any interval space X , convex sets are connected or empty. The intersection of any family of convex sets is convex.

3) A function f mapping an interval space X into \mathbb{R} is *quasiconvex* (or *quasiconcave*) if $f(z) \leq \max\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$ (or $f(z) \geq \min\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$) whenever $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $z \in [x_1, x_2]$. Thus f is quasiconvex (or quasiconcave) if and only if the sets $\{x | f(x) \leq \gamma\}$ (or $\{x | f(x) \geq \gamma\}$) are convex for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. A SECTION THEOREM OF KY FAN TYPE

In order to obtain our main result, we state a lemma which was proved in [1].

Lemma 1. *Let Y be an interval space, X a topological space and K be a mapping of Y into the family of compact subsets of X , such that*

Received November 20, 1995; revised September 25, 1996.

Communicated by M.-H. Shih.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: 47H04, 47H10, 49J35, 52A07.

Key words and phrases: Interval space, compact set, convex set, connected.

- (1.1) $K(y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $y \in Y$;
 (1.2) $K(z) \subset K(y_1) \cup K(y_2)$ whenever $z \in [y_1, y_2]$ and $y_1, y_2 \in Y$;
 (1.3) $\bigcap_{k=1}^n K(y_k)$ is connected or empty for every $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in Y$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$);
 (1.4) $x \in K(y)$ whenever $y = \lim_{\alpha \in A} y_\alpha, x = \lim_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha$ and $x_\alpha \in K(y_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$.

Then we have $\bigcap_{y \in Y} K(y) \neq \emptyset$.

In the following, we give our main result.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a compact topological space, Y be an interval space and $A \subset X \times Y$ such that*

- (2.1) A is open in $X \times Y$;
 (2.2) $A[x] = \{y \in Y \mid (x, y) \in A\}$ is convex and nonempty for each $x \in X$;
 (2.3) $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus A[y_i])$ is connected for every finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset Y$,
 where $A[y_i] = \{x \in X \mid (x, y_i) \in A\}$.

Then there exists a point $y_0 \in Y$ such that $X \times \{y_0\} \subset A$

Proof: If the conclusion of the theorem does not hold, then for each $y \in Y$, there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, y) \notin A$. Let

$$K(y) = \{x \in X \mid (x, y) \notin A\}.$$

Then, $K : Y \rightarrow 2^X$ is a multivalued mapping with nonempty compact values because $K(y) = X \setminus A[y]$, A is open and X is compact. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Graph}(K) &= \{(y, x) \in Y \times X \mid x \in K(y)\} \\ &= \{(y, x) \in Y \times X \mid (x, y) \notin A\} \end{aligned}$$

is closed since A is open. Hence, the condition (1.4) of Lemma 1 is satisfied.

If there exist two points $y_1^*, y_2^* \in Y$ and $z^* \in [y_1^*, y_2^*]$ such that

$$K(z^*) \not\subset K(y_1^*) \cup K(y_2^*),$$

then there exists an $x^* \in K(z^*)$, but $x^* \notin K(y_1^*) \cup K(y_2^*)$. On the one hand, by $x^* \in K(z^*)$, we have $z^* \notin A[x^*]$, because of

$$\begin{aligned} z^* \in K^{-1}(x^*) &= \{y \in Y \mid x^* \in k(y)\} = \{y \in Y \mid (x^*, y) \notin A\} \\ &= \{y \in Y \mid y \notin A[x^*]\} = Y \setminus A[x^*]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by $x^* \notin K(y_1^*) \cup K(y_2^*)$, we have $x^* \notin K(y_j^*)$ ($j = 1, 2$), and so $(x^*, y_j^*) \in A$ ($j = 1, 2$), i.e., $y_j^* \in A[x^*]$ ($j = 1, 2$). Hence, $[y_1^*, y_2^*] \subset A[x^*]$ implies $z^* \in A[x^*]$ by (2.2). It is a contradiction. Therefore, the condition (1.2) of Lemma 1 holds.

Summing up the above arguments, adding (2.3) in, we know that all the conditions of Lemma 1 are fulfilled. By virtue of Lemma 1, we have that $\bigcap_{y \in Y} K(y) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that there exists $\bar{x} \in K(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. It implies $y \notin A[\bar{x}]$ for all $y \in Y$, i.e., $A[\bar{x}] = \phi$. This contradicts the condition (2.2). Therefore, Theorem 2 is true. ■

Remark. Theorem 2 is a new section theorem of Ky Fan type. Its conditions differ from other section theorems (c.f. [3], [4] and [6]).

3. SOME APPLICATIONS

3-1. Applications to Minimax Problems.

Now, we apply Theorem 2 to minimax problems.

Theorem 3. (Ky Fan Minimax Principle). *Let X be a compact interval space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ ($f \not\equiv +\infty$) be a function. Let $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with $\varphi(x, x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in X$. If the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (3.1) *for each $x \in X$, $f(y) + \varphi(x, y)$ is quasiconvex in y ;*
- (3.2) *for each $y \in X$, $f(x) - \varphi(x, y)$ is quasiconvex in x ;*
- (3.3) *the set $\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid f(y) + \varphi(x, y) \geq f(x)\}$ is closed,*
then there exists an $\bar{x} \in X$ such that

$$f(y) + \varphi(\bar{x}, y) \geq f(\bar{x})$$

for all $y \in X$.

Proof: Put

$$A = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid f(y) + \varphi(x, y) < f(x)\}.$$

Then A is open by (3.3). If the conclusion of theorem is false, then, for each $x \in X$, there exists a $\bar{y} \in X$ such that $f(\bar{y}) + \varphi(x, \bar{y}) < f(x)$. It implies $\bar{y} \in A[x]$, i.e., $A[x] \neq \phi$. By the conditions (3.1) and (3.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A[x] &= \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in A\} \\ &= \{y \in X \mid f(y) + \varphi(x, y) < f(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

is convex and

$$X \setminus A[y_i] = \{x \in X \mid f(x) - \varphi(x, y_i) \leq f(y_i)\}$$

is convex, too. Hence, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus A[y_i])$ is connected for every $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset X$.

By virtue of Theorem 2, there exists a $\bar{y} \in X$ such that $X \times \{\bar{y}\} \subset A$. It implies $f(\bar{y}) + \varphi(x, \bar{y}) < f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence, $\varphi(\bar{y}, \bar{y}) < 0$. It contradicts that $\varphi(x, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, Theorem 3 is true. ■

Theorem 4. (Ky Fan Minimax Inequality) *Let X be a compact interval space, and $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an upper semicontinuous function such that*

(4.1) *for each $x \in X$, $\varphi(x, y)$ is quasiconvex in y ;*

(4.2) *for each $y \in X$, $\varphi(x, y)$ is quasiconcave in x ;*

(4.3) *for each $x \in X$, there exists a point $y' \in X$ such that $\varphi(x, y') < \sup_{y \in X} \varphi(y, y)$,*

then there exists a $\bar{y} \in X$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \varphi(x, \bar{y}) \leq \sup_{y \in X} \varphi(y, y).$$

Proof. We may assume that $\gamma = \sup_{y \in X} \varphi(y, y) < +\infty$. Let $A = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \varphi(x, y) < \gamma\}$. Then A is open. For each $x \in X$, $A[x] = \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in A\} = \{y \in X \mid \varphi(x, y) < \gamma\}$ is a nonempty convex subset of X by (4.1) and (4.3). The set

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus A[y_i]) &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X \mid (x, y_i) \notin A\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X \mid \varphi(x, y_i) \geq \gamma\} \end{aligned}$$

is connected or empty by (4.2) for each finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset X$. By virtue of Theorem 2, there exists a $\bar{y} \in X$ such that $X \times \{\bar{y}\} \subset A$, i.e., $(x, \bar{y}) \in A$ for all $x \in X$. It follows that $\varphi(x, \bar{y}) < \gamma$ for all $x \in X$. Hence,

$$\sup_{x \in X} \varphi(x, \bar{y}) \leq \sup_{y \in X} \varphi(y, y).$$

This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 5. (Von Neumann Inequality) *Let X be compact topological space and K be a nonempty compact convex subset of interval space Y . If $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an upper semicontinuous function such that*

(5.1) for each $x \in X$, f is quasiconvex on Y ;

(5.2) for each $y \in Y$, f is quasiconcave on X ,

then

$$\inf_{y \in K} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \inf_{K \subset Y} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in K} f(x, y).$$

Proof: If $\inf_{K \subset Y} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in K} f(x, y) = +\infty$, then the theorem is obviously true. So, we can assume that $\inf_{K \subset Y} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in K} f(x, y) < +\infty$. And then, we choose a real number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\inf_{K \subset Y} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in K} f(x, y) < t$. Let

$$A = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid f(x, y) < t\}.$$

Then A is open because f is upper semicontinuous. For each $x \in X$, the section $A[x] = \{y \in Y \mid (x, y) \in A\} = \{y \in Y \mid f(x, y) < t\}$ is convex by (5.1).

When $\inf_{K \subset Y} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in K} f(x, y) < t$, there exists a nonempty compact convex set $K_0 \subset Y$ such that $\sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in K_0} f(x, y) < t$. And then, for each $x \in X$, there exists a point $\bar{y} \in K_0$ such that $f(x, \bar{y}) < t$, i.e., $\bar{y} \in A[x]$. Therefore, $A[x]$ is nonempty.

For every finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset Y$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), the set

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus A[y_i]) &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X \mid (x, y_i) \notin A\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X \mid f(x, y_i) \geq t\} \end{aligned}$$

must be connected by (5.2). By virtue of Theorem 2, there exists a point $y_0 \in K$ such that $X \times \{y_0\} \subset A$, i.e., $f(x, y_0) < t$ for all $x \in X$. Hence,

$$\sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) \leq t.$$

Obviously, $\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq t$. It turns out that

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \inf_{K \subset Y} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in K} f(x, y). \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. By an obvious inequality

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \geq \inf_{K \subset Y} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in K} f(x, y),$$

we have

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \inf_{k \subset Y} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in k} f(x, y).$$

If, in addition, Y is compact, then

$$\min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y).$$

The above results differ from Theorem 3 in [2], and imply Theorem 4 in [3] and Theorem 2 in [2].

3-2. Application on Fixed Point Problem.

Next, we apply the result of Theorem 2 to a fixed point problem.

Theorem 6. *Let X be a compact interval space, and $K : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued mapping with compact values, such that*

(6.1) *K has closed graph;*

(6.2) *$X \setminus K(x)$ is convex for each $x \in X$;*

(6.3) *$\bigcap_{i=1}^n K^{-1}(y_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X \mid y_i \in K(x)\}$ is connected or empty for every finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset X$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$);*

(6.4) *$K^{-1}(x) = \{z \in X \mid x \in K(z)\} \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$.*

Then K has a fixed point in X .

Proof: Put $A = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid y \notin K(x)\}$, i.e., $A = X \times X \setminus \text{Graph}(K)$. Hence, A is open by (6.1).

For each $x \in X$, $A[x] = \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in A\} = \{y \in X \mid y \notin K(x)\} = X \setminus K(x)$ is convex by (6.2). If there is no fixed point of K in X , then $x \notin K(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Consequently, $A[x] \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$. For each finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus A[y_i]) &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X \mid (x, y_i) \notin A\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X \mid y_i \in K(x)\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n K^{-1}(y_i) \end{aligned}$$

is connected by (6.3).

By virtue of Theorem 2, there exists a point $\bar{y} \in X$ such that $X \times \{\bar{y}\} \subset A$, i.e., for each $x \in X$, $(x, \bar{y}) \in A$. Hence, $\bar{y} \notin K(x)$ for all $x \in X$. It follows that $K^{-1}(\bar{y}) = \emptyset$, which contradicts (6.4). The conclusion of the theorem, therefore, has been proved. \blacksquare

REFERENCES

1. L. L. Stacho, Minimax theorems beyond topological vector spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **42** (1980), 157-164.
2. V. Komornik, Minimax theorems for upper semi-continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **40** (1982), 159-163.
3. Chung-Wei Ha, Minimax and fixed point theorems, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 73-77.
4. Shi-Sen Chang and Xian Wu, Topological version of section theorems with applications, *Appl. Math. Mech.* **16** (1995), 123-131.
5. Shi-Sen Chang and Xian Wu, Economic equilibrium theorems of Shafer-Sonnenschein version and nonempty intersection theorems in interval spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **189** (1995), 297-309.
6. Shi-Sen Chang, Xian Wu and Da-Cheng Wang, A new minimax theorem with applications, *Appl. Math. Mech.* **17** (1996), 291-296.

Yuguang Xu

Department of Mathematics, Kunming Teacher's College
Kunming Yunnan 650031, China

Xian Wu

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan Normal University
Kunming Yunnan 650092, China