

Research Article

On Certain Class of Non-Bazilevič Functions of Order $\alpha + i\beta$ Defined by a Differential Subordination

A. G. Alamoush and M. Darus

School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM),
 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Correspondence should be addressed to M. Darus; maslina@ukm.edu.my

Received 29 April 2014; Revised 27 June 2014; Accepted 2 July 2014; Published 17 July 2014

Academic Editor: Salim Messaoudi

Copyright © 2014 A. G. Alamoush and M. Darus. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce a new subclass $N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ of Non-Bazilevič functions of order $\alpha + i\beta$. Some subordination relations and inequality properties are discussed. The results obtained generalize the related work of some authors. In addition, some other new results are also obtained.

1. Introduction

Let A_n denote the class of the functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} . Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $F(z)$ in \mathbb{U} if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in \mathbb{U} such that $|w(z)| \leq 1$ and $f(z) = F(w(z))$, denoted $f < F$ or $f(z) < F(z)$. If $F(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = F(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset F(\mathbb{U})$.

Assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$, a function $f(z) \in A_n$ is in $N(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2)$$

The class $N(\alpha)$ was introduced by Obradović [1] recently. This class of functions was said to be of Non-Bazilevič type. To this date, this class was studied in a direction of finding necessary conditions over α that embeds this class into the class of univalent functions or its subclasses which is still an open problem.

Assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $-1 \leq B \leq 1$, $A \neq B$, and $A \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the following subclass of A_n :

$$N(\lambda, \alpha, A, B) = \left\{ f(z) \in A_n : (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \times \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3)$$

where all the powers are principal values, and we apply this agreement to get the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $N(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$ denote the class of functions in A_n satisfying the inequality

$$\Re \left\{ (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right\} > \mu, \quad (4)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \mu < 1$, and $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

The classes $N(\lambda, \alpha, A, B)$ and $N(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$ were studied by Wang et al. [2].

In the present paper, similarly we define the following class of analytic functions.

Definition 2. Let $N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ denote the class of functions in A_n satisfying the inequality

$$(1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{5}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, -1 \leq B \leq 1, A \neq B,$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}.$ All the powers in (5) are principal values.

We say that the function $f(z)$ in this class is Non-Bazilevič functions of type $\alpha + i\beta.$

Definition 3. Let $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu)$ if and only if $f(z) \in A_n$ and it satisfies

$$\Re \left\{ (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right\} > \mu, \tag{6}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \mu < 1,$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}.$

In particular, if $\beta = 0,$ it reduces to the class $N(\lambda, \alpha, A, B)$ studied in [2].

If $\beta = 0, \lambda = -1, n = 1, A = 1,$ and $B = -1,$ then the class $N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ reduces to the class of non-Bazilevič functions. If $\beta = 0, \lambda = -1, n = 1, A = 1 - 2\mu,$ and $B = -1,$ then the class $N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ reduces to the class of non-Bazilevič functions of order μ ($0 \leq \mu < 1$). Tuneski and Darus studied the Fekete-Szegő problem of the class $N(-1, \alpha, 0, 1 - 2\mu, -1)$ [3]. Other works related to Bazilevič and non-Bazilevič can be found in ([4-9]).

In the present paper, we will discuss the subordination relations and inequality properties of the class $N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B).$ The results presented here generalize and improve some known results, and some other new results are obtained.

2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 4 (see [10]). Let $F(z) = 1 + b_n z^n + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} and $h(z)$ be analytic and convex in $\mathbb{U}, h(0) = 1.$ If

$$F(z) + \frac{1}{c} zF'(z) < h(z), \tag{7}$$

where $c \neq 0$ and $\text{Re } c \geq 0,$ then

$$F(z) < \frac{c}{n} z^{-c/n} \int_0^z t^{(c/n)-1} h(t) dt < h(z), \tag{8}$$

and $(c/n)z^{-c/n} \int_0^z t^{(c/n)-1} h(t) dt$ is the best dominant for the differential subordination (7).

Lemma 5 (see [11]). Let $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1;$ then

$$\frac{1 + A_2 z}{1 + B_2 z} < \frac{1 + A_1 z}{1 + B_1 z}. \tag{9}$$

Lemma 6 (see [12]). Let $F(z)$ be analytic and convex in $\mathbb{U}, f(z) \in A_n, g(z) \in A_n.$ If

$$f(z) < F(z), \quad g(z) < F(z), \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \tag{10}$$

then

$$\lambda f(z) + (1 - \lambda) g(z) < F(z). \tag{11}$$

Lemma 7 (see [13]). Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} and $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ analytic and convex in $\mathbb{U}.$ If $f(z) < g(z),$ then $|a_k| \leq |b_k|,$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Lemma 8. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha + i\beta \neq 0, -1 \leq B \leq 1, A \neq B,$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}.$ Then $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ if and only if

$$F(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + i\beta} zF'(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$F(z) = \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Let

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} = F(z). \tag{14}$$

Then, by taking the derivatives of both sides of (14) and through simple calculation, we have

$$(1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} = F(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + i\beta} zF'(z); \tag{15}$$

since $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B),$ we have

$$F(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + i\beta} zF'(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{16}$$

□

3. Main Results

Theorem 9. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha + i\beta \neq 0, -1 \leq B \leq 1, A \neq B,$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}.$ If $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B),$ then

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} < \frac{\alpha + i\beta}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{17}$$

Proof. First let $F(z) = (z/f(z))^{\alpha+i\beta};$ then $F(z) = 1 + b_n z^n + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}.$ Now, suppose that $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B);$ by Lemma 8, we know that

$$F(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + i\beta} zF'(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{18}$$

It is obvious that $h(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ is analytic and convex in \mathbb{U} , $h(0) = 1$. Since $\alpha + i\beta \neq 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\lambda \neq 0$, and $\Re\{(\alpha + i\beta)/\lambda\} \geq 0$; therefore, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} &= F(z) \\ &< \frac{\alpha + i\beta}{\lambda n} z^{-(\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n} \int_0^z t^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} h(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha + i\beta}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

□

Corollary 10. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha + i\beta \neq 0$, and $\mu \neq 1$. If $f(z) \in A_n$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \\ < \frac{1 + (1 - 2\mu)z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} &< \frac{\alpha + i\beta}{\lambda n} \\ &\times \int_0^1 \frac{1 + (1 - 2\mu)zu}{1 - zu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

or equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} &< \mu + \frac{(1 - \mu)(\alpha + i\beta)}{\lambda n} \\ &\times \int_0^1 \frac{1 + zu}{1 - zu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Corollary 11. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha + i\beta \neq 0$, and $\Re\{\lambda\} \geq 0$; then

$$N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B) \subset N_n(0, \alpha, \beta, A, B). \tag{23}$$

Theorem 12. Let $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha + i\beta \neq 0$, and $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$; then

$$N_n(\lambda_2, \alpha, \beta, A_2, B_2) \subset N_n(\lambda_1, \alpha, \beta, A_1, B_1). \tag{24}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda_2, \alpha, \beta, A_2, B_2)$ we have $f(z) \in A_n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \lambda_2) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda_2 \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} < \frac{1 + A_2z}{1 + B_2z} \\ (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Since $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$, therefore it follows from Lemma 5 that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \lambda_2) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda_2 \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \\ < \frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + B_1z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}); \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

that is $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda_2, \alpha, \beta, A_1, B_1)$. So Theorem 12 is proved when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \geq 0$. □

When $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 \geq 0$, then we can see from Corollary 11 that $f(z) \in N_n(0, \alpha, \beta, A_1, B_1)$; then

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} < \frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + B_1z}. \tag{27}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \lambda_1) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda_1 \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \\ = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \\ \times \left[(1 + \lambda_2) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda_2 \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

It is obvious that $h_1(z) = (1 + A_1z)/(1 + B_1z)$ is analytic and convex in \mathbb{U} . So we obtain from Lemma 6 and differential subordinations (26) and (27) that

$$(1 + \lambda_1) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda_1 \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} < \frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + B_1z}; \tag{29}$$

that is, $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda_1, \alpha, \beta, A_1, B_1)$. Thus we have

$$N_n(\lambda_2, \alpha, \beta, A_2, B_2) \subset N_n(\lambda_1, \alpha, \beta, A_1, B_1). \tag{30}$$

Corollary 13. Let $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, $0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 < 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\alpha + i\beta \neq 0$; then

$$N_n(\lambda_2, \alpha, \beta, \mu_2) \subset N_n(\lambda_1, \alpha, \beta, \mu_1). \tag{31}$$

Theorem 14. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha + i\beta \neq 0$, $-1 \leq B \leq 1$, $A \neq B$, and $A \in \mathbb{R}$. If $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha + i\beta}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du \right\} \\ < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right\} \\ < \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha + i\beta}{\lambda n} \right. \\ \left. \times \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$; then from Theorem 9 we know that

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} < \frac{\alpha+i\beta}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Az u}{1+Bz u} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du. \quad (33)$$

Therefore, from the definition of the subordination, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right\} \\ & > \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha+i\beta}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Az u}{1+Bz u} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du \right\}, \\ & \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right\} \\ & < \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha+i\beta}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Az u}{1+Bz u} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

□

Corollary 15. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha+i\beta \neq 0$, and $\mu < 1$. If $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, 1-2\mu, -1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu + (1-\mu) \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha+i\beta}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+zu}{1-zu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du \right\} \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right\} \\ & < \mu + (1-\mu) \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha+i\beta}{\lambda n} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \int_0^1 \frac{1+zu}{1-zu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Corollary 16. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha+i\beta \neq 0$, and $\mu > 1$. If $f(z) \in A_n$; then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left((1+\lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right) < \mu \\ & \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu + (1-\mu) \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha+i\beta}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+zu}{1-zu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du \right\} \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right\} \\ & < \mu + (1-\mu) \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha+i\beta}{\lambda n} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \int_0^1 \frac{1+zu}{1-zu} u^{((\alpha+i\beta)/\lambda n)-1} du \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Corollary 17. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. If $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \right\} \\ & < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

and inequality (38) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by

$$f_{\lambda, \alpha, B, A}(z) = z \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Az^n u}{1+Bz^n u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \right)^{-(1/\alpha)}. \quad (39)$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, A, B)$; from Theorem 9 we know

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au z}{1+Bu z} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du. \quad (40)$$

Therefore, from the definition of the subordination and $A > B$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \right\} < \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au z}{1+Bu z} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \frac{1+Au z}{1+Bu z} \right\} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \\ & < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \right\} > \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au z}{1+Bu z} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \right\} \\ & \geq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \frac{1+Au z}{1+Bu z} \right\} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \\ & > \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that inequality (38) is sharp, with the extremal function given by (39). □

Corollary 18. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and $\mu < 1$. If $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, 1-2\mu, -1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-(1-2\mu)u}{1+u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \right\} \\ & < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+(1-2\mu)u}{1-u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

and inequality (42) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu + \frac{(1-\mu)\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-u}{1+u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right\} \\ & < \mu + \frac{(1-\mu)\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+u}{1-u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

The inequality (42) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by

$$f_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta}(z) = z \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z^n u}{1-z^n u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \right)^{-1/\alpha} dz. \tag{44}$$

Corollary 19. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$. If $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right\} \\ & < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

and inequality (45) is sharp, with the extremal function given by (39).

Proof. Applying similar method as in Corollary 17, we get the result. \square

Corollary 20. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and $\mu > 1$. If $f(z) \in A_n$ satisfies

$$\Re \left((1+\lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} - \lambda \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta} \right) < \mu \tag{46}$$

$(z \in \mathbb{U}),$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+(1-2\mu)u}{1-u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right\} \\ & < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-(1-2\mu)u}{1+u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

and inequality (47) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu + \frac{(1-\mu)\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+u}{1-u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right\} \\ & < \mu + \frac{(1-\mu)\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-u}{1+u} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

and inequality (47) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by equality (44).

If $\Re w \geq 0$, then $(\Re w)^{1/2} \leq \Re w^{1/2} \leq \Re z^{1/2}$ (see [2, 12]). So we have the following.

Corollary 21. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. If $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \right)^{1/2} \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right]^{1/2} \right\} \\ & < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \right)^{1/2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

and inequality (49) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by equality (39).

Proof. From Theorem 9 we have

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}. \tag{50}$$

Since $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{1-A}{1-B} < \Re \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right\} < \frac{1+A}{1+B}. \tag{51}$$

Thus, from inequality (38), we can get inequality (49). It is obvious that inequality (49) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by equality (39). \square

Corollary 22. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$. If $f(z) \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \right)^{1/2} \\ & < \Re \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right]^{1/2} \right\} \\ & < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda n} \int_0^1 \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} u^{(\alpha/\lambda n)-1} du \right)^{1/2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

and inequality (52) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by equality (39).

Proof. Applying similar method as in Corollary 21, we get the required result. \square

Remark 23. From Corollaries 21 and 22, we can generalize the corresponding results and some other special classes of analytic functions.

Corollary 24. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, and $A \in \mathbb{R}$; if $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, A, B)$, then one has

$$|a_{n+1}| \leq \frac{|A - B|}{|n\lambda + \alpha|} \quad (53)$$

and inequality (53) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by equality (39).

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, A, B)$; then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \lambda) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \\ = 1 + (-n\lambda - \alpha) a_{n+1} z^n + \dots < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

It follows from Lemma 7 that

$$|a_{n+1}| \leq \frac{|A - B|}{|n\lambda + \alpha|}. \quad (55)$$

Thus, we can get (53). Notice that

$$f(z) = z + \frac{A - B}{n\lambda + \alpha} z^{n+1} + \dots \in N_n(\lambda, \alpha, 0, A, B); \quad (56)$$

we obtain that the inequality (53) is sharp. \square

Remark 25. Setting $\lambda = n = A = 1$, and $B = -1$ in Corollary 24 we get the results obtained by [14].

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Authors' Contribution

Both authors read and approved the final paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge and appreciate the financial support received from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia under the Grant AP-2013-009. The authors also would like to thank the referees for the comments and suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- [1] M. Obradović, "A class of univalent functions," *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 329–335, 1998.
- [2] Z. Wang, C. Gao, and M. Liao, "On certain generalized class of non-Bazilevič functions," *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyháziensis*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 147–154, 2005.
- [3] N. Tuneski and M. Darus, "Fekete-Szegő functional for non-Bazilevic functions," *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyháziensis*, vol. 18, pp. 63–65, 2002.
- [4] A. A. Amer and M. Darus, "Distortion theorem for certain class of Bazilevic functions," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 9–12, pp. 591–597, 2012.
- [5] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus, "Mixed class of functions of non-Bazilevic type and bounded turning," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 141–152, 2012.
- [6] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus, "Argument estimate for non-Bazilevic type and bounded turning functions," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 175–183, 2012.
- [7] R. W. Ibrahim, M. Darus, and N. Tuneski, "On subordination for classes of non-Bazilevic type," *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Lublin-Polonia A*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 49–60, 2010.
- [8] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, "On subclasses of uniformly Bazilevic type functions involving generalised differential and integral operators," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 401–411, 2009.
- [9] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian, M. Darus, and S. Kavitha, "On sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of non-Bazilevic functions involving Cho-Kim transformation," *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, vol. 52, no. 10–11, pp. 1017–1028, 2007.
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Differential subordinations and univalent functions," *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 157–172, 1981.
- [11] M. S. Liu, "On a subclass of p -valent close-to-convex functions of order β and type α ," *Journal of Mathematical Study*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 102–104, 1997.
- [12] M.-S. Liu, "On certain class of analytic functions defined by differential subordination," *Acta Mathematica Scientia B*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 388–392, 2002.
- [13] W. Rogosinski, "On the coefficients of subordination functions," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 2*, vol. 48, pp. 48–82, 1943.
- [14] R. Singh, "On Bazilevic functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 38, pp. 261–271, 1973.