

Research Article

Existence Results and the Monotone Iterative Technique for Nonlinear Fractional Differential Systems with Coupled Four-Point Boundary Value Problems

Yujun Cui¹ and Yumei Zou²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, China

² Department of Statistics and Finance, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yujun Cui; cyj720201@163.com

Received 13 May 2014; Accepted 11 July 2014; Published 23 July 2014

Academic Editor: Shurong Sun

Copyright © 2014 Y. Cui and Y. Zou. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

By establishing a comparison result and using the monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions, we investigate the existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential systems with coupled four-point boundary value problems.

1. Introduction

This paper discusses the coupled four-point boundary value problems

$$\begin{aligned} D^p x(t) + f(t, x(t), y(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 1 < p \leq 2, \\ D^q y(t) + g(t, x(t), y(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 1 < q \leq 2, \\ x(0) = y(0) &= 0, \quad x(1) = ay(\xi), \quad y(1) = bx(\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where f and $g : (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, $\xi, \eta \in (0, 1)$, $a, b > 0$ with $ab < 1$, and $D^p x$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of x with $1 < p \leq 2$ defined by

$$D^p x(t) = I^{2-p} x''(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{1-p} x''(s) ds. \quad (2)$$

I^{2-p} is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $2-p$; see [1–4].

It is well known that

$$I^{2-p} (D^{2-p} x(t)) = x(t) - \sum_{k=0}^1 \frac{x^{(k)}(0^+)}{k!} t^k, \quad (3)$$

$$D^{2-p} (I^{2-p} x(t)) = x(t).$$

Fractional differential equation's modeling capabilities in physics, chemistry, economics, and other fields, over the last few decades, have resulted in the rapid development of the theory of fractional differential equations; we refer the reader to the books [1–4]. On the other hand, the study of systems involving coupled boundary value problems is also important as such systems occur in the study of reaction-diffusion equations and Sturm-Liouville problems, for example, [5–16]. In [17–25], using the upper and lower solutions method and the monotone iterative method, the authors considered the existence of solutions of initial value problems and boundary value problems for fractional differential equations. But, as far as we know, there have been few papers which have considered the existence of solutions of (1) by means of the monotone iterative method.

Motivated by the above papers, in this paper, we will investigate the existence of a solution of problem (1) by means of the upper and lower solutions method and the monotone

iterative method. The novelty of this paper is that Caputo-type fractional differential systems involve two different fractional derivatives D^p and D^q and that the nonlinear terms f, g in the systems (1) involve unknown functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$.

In the following, we denote

$$E = C^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), \quad E_1 = C([0, 1], (0, +\infty)). \quad (4)$$

2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

In this section, we introduce the definition of the lower and upper solutions and present some existence and uniqueness results for linear problems together with comparison results for differential systems (1) which will be needed in the next section.

Throughout this paper, we always assume that the following condition is satisfied:

$$(H_1) \quad 0 < ab < 1.$$

Definition 1. $(u_0, v_0) \in E \times E$ is called a lower system of solutions of differential system (1) if

$$\begin{aligned} D^p u_0(t) + f(t, u_0(t), v_0(t)) &\geq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ D^q v_0(t) + g(t, u_0(t), v_0(t)) &\geq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u_0(0) &\leq 0, \quad v_0(0) \leq 0, \\ u_0(1) &\leq av_0(\xi), \quad v_0(1) \leq bu_0(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Analogously, $(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \in E \times E$ is called an upper system of solutions if it satisfies the reversed inequalities.

If $u_0(t) \leq \alpha_0(t)$ and $v_0(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, we say that (u_0, v_0) and (α_0, β_0) are ordered lower and upper system of solutions of (1). In what follows, we assume that (u_0, v_0) and (α_0, β_0) are ordered lower and upper system of solutions of (1) and define the sector

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = \{ (x, y) \in E \times E : (u_0(t), v_0(t)) \\ \leq (x(t), y(t)) \\ \leq (\alpha_0(t), \beta_0(t)), t \in [0, 1] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the vectorial inequalities mean that the same inequalities hold between their corresponding components.

Lemma 2 (see [17]). *Let $z(t) \in E$ and $r(t) \in E_1$. If $z(t)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} -D^p z(t) &\leq -r(t)z(t), \quad p \in (1, 2], \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ z(0) &\leq 0, \quad z(1) \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

then $z(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]$.

We have the following important result.

Lemma 3 (comparison theorem). *Let $M(t), N(t) \in E_1$ be given. Assume that $x(t), y(t)$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} -D^p x(t) &\leq -M(t)x(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ -D^q y(t) &\leq -N(t)y(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) &\leq 0, \quad y(0) \leq 0, \\ x(1) &\leq ay(\xi), \quad y(1) \leq bx(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Then $x(t) \leq 0, y(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Suppose the contrary. By Lemma 2, We consider the following three possible cases.

Case 1. Consider $x(1) \leq 0$ and $y(1) > 0$. By Lemma 2, $x(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]$. Then $y(1) \leq bx(\eta) \leq 0$ which contradicts $y(1) > 0$.

Case 2. Consider $y(1) \leq 0$ and $x(1) > 0$. By Lemma 2, $y(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]$. Then $x(1) \leq ay(\xi) \leq 0$ which contradicts $x(1) > 0$.

Case 3. Consider $x(1) > 0$ and $y(1) > 0$. By Lemma 2, we have $x(1) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} x(t) > 0$ and $y(1) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} y(t) > 0$. We only prove that $x(1) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} x(t) > 0$. If not, $x(t)$ has a local positive maximum at some $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $x(t_0) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} x(t) > 0$. Then, by Theorem 2.1 in [21], we have the fact that the Caputo derivative of the function x is nonpositive at the point t_0 . Thus,

$$0 \leq -D^p x(t_0) \leq -M(t_0)x(t_0) < 0, \quad (9)$$

which is a contradiction. Furthermore, considering the boundary condition $y(1) \leq bx(\eta)$, there exists $t_1 \in [0, \eta]$ such that

$$x(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, t_1]; \quad x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [t_1, 1]. \quad (10)$$

A similar proof, for $y(t)$, gives us that there exists $t_2 \in [0, \xi]$ such that

$$y(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, t_2]; \quad y(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [t_2, 1]. \quad (11)$$

It follows from (10) and (11) that

$$x(1) \leq ay(\xi) \leq ay(1) \leq abx(\eta) \leq abx(1), \quad (12)$$

which implies that $ab \geq 1$, a contradiction. Hence $x(t) \leq 0, y(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]$. \square

Corollary 4. *Let $M(t), N(t) \in E_1$ be given. Assume that $x(t), y(t)$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} -D^p x(t) &= -M(t)x(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ -D^q y(t) &= -N(t)y(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) &= 0, \quad y(0) = 0, \\ x(1) &= ay(\xi), \quad y(1) = bx(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Then $x(t) = y(t) = 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 5. Let $\rho, \sigma \in C[0, 1]$, then the linear differential system with coupled four-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D^p x(t) + \rho(t) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 1 < p \leq 2, \\ D^q y(t) + \sigma(t) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 1 < q \leq 2, \\ x(0) = y(0) &= 0, \quad x(1) = ay(\xi), \quad y(1) = bx(\eta) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

has integral representation

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) \rho(s) ds + \int_0^1 H_1(t, s) \sigma(s) ds, \\ y(t) &= \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) \sigma(s) ds + \int_0^1 H_2(t, s) \rho(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t, s) &= G_p(t, s) + \frac{ab\xi t}{1 - ab\xi\eta} G_p(\eta, s), \\ H_1(t, s) &= \frac{at}{1 - ab\xi\eta} G_q(\xi, s), \\ G_2(t, s) &= G_q(t, s) + \frac{ab\eta t}{1 - ab\xi\eta} G_q(\xi, s), \\ H_2(t, s) &= \frac{bt}{1 - ab\xi\eta} G_p(\eta, s), \\ G_p(t, s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{t(1-s)^{p-1} - (t-s)^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{t(1-s)^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Proof. It follows from [21] that (14) is equivalent to the system of integral equations

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(1)t + \int_0^1 G_p(t, s) \rho(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ y(t) &= y(1)t + \int_0^1 G_q(t, s) \sigma(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By coupled four-point boundary value conditions of problem (14), we have

$$y(1) = bx(\eta) = b\eta x(1) + b \int_0^1 G_p(\eta, s) \rho(s) ds, \tag{18}$$

$$x(1) = ay(\xi) = a\xi y(1) + a \int_0^1 G_q(\xi, s) \sigma(s) ds. \tag{19}$$

After simple computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(1) &= \frac{ab\xi}{1 - ab\xi\eta} \int_0^1 G_p(\eta, s) \rho(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{1 - ab\xi\eta} \int_0^1 G_q(\xi, s) \sigma(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y(1) &= \frac{ab\eta}{1 - ab\xi\eta} \int_0^1 G_q(\xi, s) \sigma(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{b}{1 - ab\xi\eta} \int_0^1 G_p(\eta, s) \rho(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Substituting (20) into (18) and (21) into (19), respectively, we obtain the desired results. \square

Now we enunciate the following existence and uniqueness results for differential system:

$$\begin{aligned} D^p x(t) - M(t)x(t) + \rho(t) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 1 < p \leq 2, \\ D^q y(t) - N(t)y(t) + \sigma(t) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 1 < q \leq 2, \\ x(0) = y(0) &= 0, \quad x(1) = ay(\xi), \quad y(1) = bx(\eta), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $M, N \in E_1$.

Lemma 6. Let $M, N \in E_1$. Then differential system (22) has a unique solution.

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 5, differential system (22) is equivalent to the operator equation

$$(x, y) = T(x, y) + (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\sigma}), \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, y)(t) &= \left(- \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) M(s)x(s) ds - \int_0^1 H_1(t, s) N(s)y(s) ds, \right. \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) N(s)y(s) ds \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^1 H_2(t, s) M(s)x(s) ds \right), \\ \tilde{\rho}(t) &= \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) \rho(s) ds + \int_0^1 H_1(t, s) \sigma(s) ds, \\ \tilde{\sigma}(t) &= \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) \sigma(s) ds + \int_0^1 H_2(t, s) \rho(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

We apply the Fredholm theorem to find the unique solution of differential system (22). By using standard arguments, we can easily show that $T : C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$ is linear completely continuous. Also, by Corollary 4, the operator equation $(x, y) = T(x, y)$ has only the zero solution. Thus, for given $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\sigma}) \in C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$, operator equation (23) has a unique solution in $C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$, by the Fredholm theorem. This ends the proof. \square

3. Main Results

In this section, we prove the existence of extremal solutions of differential system (1).

Theorem 7. Assume that $f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $g \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Let (u_0, v_0) and (α_0, β_0) be ordered lower and upper system of solutions of (1). In addition, we assume that

(H_2) $f(t, x, y)$ is nondecreasing in y and there exists $M(t) \in E_1$ such that

$$f(t, x_1, y) - f(t, x_2, y) \geq -M(t)(x_1 - x_2), \quad (25)$$

where $u_0(t) \leq x_2 \leq x_1 \leq \alpha_0(t)$, $v_0(t) \leq y \leq \beta_0(t)$;

(H_3) $g(t, x, y)$ is nondecreasing in x and there exists $N(t) \in E_1$ such that

$$g(t, x, y_1) - g(t, x, y_2) \geq -N(t)(y_1 - y_2), \quad (26)$$

where $v_0(t) \leq y_2 \leq y_1 \leq \beta_0(t)$, $u_0(t) \leq x \leq \alpha_0(t)$.

Then differential system (1) has extremal solutions in the section Ω .

Proof. Let us define two sequences $\{(u_n, v_n), (\alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$ by relations

$$\begin{aligned} D^p u_{n+1}(t) - M(t) u_{n+1}(t) + f(t, u_n(t), v_n(t)) \\ + M(t) u_n(t) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ D^q v_{n+1}(t) - N(t) v_{n+1}(t) + g(t, u_n(t), v_n(t)) \\ + N(t) v_n(t) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u_{n+1}(0) = v_{n+1}(0) &= 0, \quad u_{n+1}(1) = a v_{n+1}(\xi), \\ v_{n+1}(1) &= b u_{n+1}(\eta), \\ D^p \alpha_{n+1}(t) - M(t) \alpha_{n+1}(t) + f(t, \alpha_n(t), \beta_n(t)) \\ + M(t) \alpha_n(t) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ D^q \beta_{n+1}(t) - N(t) \beta_{n+1}(t) + g(t, \alpha_n(t), \beta_n(t)) \\ + N(t) \beta_n(t) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ \alpha_{n+1}(0) = \beta_{n+1}(0) &= 0, \quad \alpha_{n+1}(1) = a \beta_{n+1}(\xi), \\ \beta_{n+1}(1) &= b \alpha_{n+1}(\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Note that $\{(u_1, v_1), (\alpha_1, \beta_1)\}$ are well defined, by Lemma 6. First, we show that

$$(u_0, v_0) \leq (u_1, v_1) \leq (\alpha_1, \beta_1) \leq (\alpha_0, \beta_0). \quad (28)$$

Let $z = u_0 - u_1$, $w = v_0 - v_1$. This and the assumption that (u_0, v_0) is a lower system of solutions of (1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} -D^p z(t) &\leq -M(t) z(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ -D^q w(t) &\leq -N(t) w(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ z(0) &\leq 0, \quad w(0) \leq 0, \quad z(1) \leq a w(\xi), \\ w(1) &\leq b z(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Hence, $u_0(t) \leq u_1(t)$ and $v_0(t) \leq v_1(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, by Lemma 3. By a similar way, we can show that $\alpha_1(t) \leq \alpha_0(t)$ and $\beta_1(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Now we put $z = u_1 - \alpha_1$, $w = v_1 - \beta_1$. Hence, in view of assumptions (H_2) , (H_3) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -D^p z(t) &= -M(t) z(t) - f(t, \alpha_0(t), \beta_0(t)) \\ &\quad - M(t) \alpha_0(t) + f(t, u_0(t), v_0(t)) + M(t) u_0(t) \\ &\leq -M(t) z(t) - f(t, \alpha_0(t), \beta_0(t)) \\ &\quad - M(t) \alpha_0(t) + f(t, u_0(t), \beta_0(t)) + M(t) u_0(t) \\ &\leq -M(t) z(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ -D^q w(t) &= -N(t) w(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ z(0) &= 0, \quad w(0) = 0, \quad z(1) = a w(\xi), \\ w(1) &= b z(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

This and Lemma 3 prove that $(u_1, v_1) \leq (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, so, relation (28) holds.

Now we show that (u_1, v_1) is a lower system of solution of problem (1). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} D^p u_1(t) + f(t, u_1(t), v_1(t)) \\ \geq M(t) u_1(t) - f(t, u_0(t), v_0(t)) - M(t) u_0(t) \\ + f(t, u_1(t), v_0(t)) \geq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ D^q v_1(t) + g(t, u_1(t), v_1(t)) \geq 0 \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u_1(0) = v_1(0) = 0, \quad u_1(1) = a v_1(\xi), \\ v_1(1) = b u_1(\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

by assumptions (H_2) , (H_3) . It proves that (u_1, v_1) is a lower system of solution of (1). Similarly, we can prove that (α_1, β_1) is an upper system of solution of problem (1).

By mathematical induction we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} (u_0, v_0) &\leq (u_1, v_1) \leq \dots \leq (u_n, v_n) \leq (\alpha_n, \beta_n) \\ &\leq \dots \leq (\alpha_1, \beta_1) \leq (\alpha_0, \beta_0) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Employing standard arguments we see that the sequences $\{(u_n, v_n), (\alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$ converge to their limit functions (u_*, v_*) , (α^*, β^*) , respectively. Indeed, (u_*, v_*) and (α^*, β^*) are solutions of problem (1) and $(u_0(t), v_0(t)) \leq (u_*, v_*) \leq (\alpha^*, \beta^*) \leq (\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ on $[0, 1]$.

We need to show now that (u_*, v_*) and (α^*, β^*) are extremal solutions of problem (1) in the segment Ω . To prove it, we assume that (x, y) is another solution of problem (1) and $(u_n, v_n) \leq (x(t), y(t)) \leq (\alpha_n(t), \beta_n(t))$, $t \in [0, 1]$ for some

positive integer n . Put $z = u_{n+1} - x, w = v_{n+1} - y$. Hence, in view of assumptions $(H_2), (H_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -D^p z(t) &= -M(t)z(t) - f(t, x(t), y(t)) \\
 &\quad - M(t)x(t) + f(t, u_n(t), v_n(t)) + M(t)u_n(t) \\
 &\leq -M(t)z(t) - f(t, x(t), y(t)) - M(t)x(t) \\
 &\quad + f(t, u_n(t), y(t)) + M(t)u_n(t) \\
 &\leq -M(t)z(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
 -D^q w(t) &\leq -N(t)w(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
 z(0) = 0, \quad w(0) = 0, \quad z(1) &= aw(\xi), \\
 w(1) &= bz(\eta).
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Hence, $(u_{n+1}(t), v_{n+1}(t)) \leq (x(t), y(t)), t \in [0, 1]$, by Lemma 3. By a similar way, we can show that $(x(t), y(t)) \leq (\alpha_{n+1}(t), \beta_{n+1}(t)), t \in [0, 1]$. So by induction, $(u_n(t), v_n(t)) \leq (x(t), y(t)) \leq (\alpha_n(t), \beta_n(t)), t \in [0, 1]$ on $[0, 1]$ for all n . Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude $(u_*(t), v_*(t)) \leq (x(t), y(t)) \leq (\alpha^*(t), \beta^*(t)), t \in [0, 1]$. That is, $(u_*(t), v_*(t))$ and $(\alpha^*(t), \beta^*(t))$ are extremal systems of solutions of (1) in Ω . \square

4. Example

Consider the following problems:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^{5/4}x(t) + \sin t - 2x(t) + \frac{1}{8}y^3(t)t^3 &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
 D^{7/4}y(t) - y^3(t) + x^2(t) + 1 &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
 x(0) = y(0) = 0, \quad x(1) &= \frac{1}{4}y\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \\
 y(1) &= 2x\left(\frac{3}{4}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t, x, y) &= \sin t - 2x + \frac{1}{8}y^3t^3, \\
 g(t, x, y) &= -y^3 + x^2 + 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Take $(u_0(t), v_0(t)) = (0, 0), (\alpha_0(t), \beta_0(t)) = (t, 2)$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^{5/4}u_0(t) + \sin t - 2u_0(t) + \frac{1}{8}v_0^3(t)t^3 &= \sin t \geq 0, \\
 &\quad t \in (0, 1), \\
 D^{7/4}v_0(t) - v_0^3(t) + u_0^2(t) + 1 &= 1 \geq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
 u_0(0) = v_0(0) = 0, \quad u_0(1) &= \frac{1}{4}v_0\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$v_0(1) = 2u_0\left(\frac{3}{4}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^{5/4}\alpha_0(t) + \sin t - 2\alpha_0(t) + \frac{1}{8}\beta_0^3(t)t^3 \\
 = \sin t - 2t + t^3 \leq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^{7/4}\beta_0(t) - \beta_0^3(t) + \alpha_0^2(t) + 1 &= -7 + t^2 \leq 0, \\
 &\quad t \in (0, 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_0(0) = 0, \quad \beta_0(0) \geq 0,$$

$$\alpha_0(1) \geq \frac{1}{4}\beta_0\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \beta_0(1) \geq 2\alpha_0\left(\frac{3}{4}\right).$$

(36)

It shows that $(u_0(t), v_0(t))$ and $(\alpha_0(t), \beta_0(t))$ are lower and upper systems of solutions of (34).

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that conditions $(H_2), (H_3)$ hold for $M(t) = 2$ and $N(t) = 12$.

By Theorem 7, problem (34) has an extremal system of solutions $(u_*(t), v_*(t))$ and $(\alpha^*(t), \beta^*(t))$, which can be obtained by taking limits from some iterative sequences.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and useful comments that have led to the present improved version of the original paper. The Project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11371221, 61304074), the Specialized Research Foundation for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20123705110001), the Program for Scientific Research Innovation Team in Colleges and Universities of Shandong Province, the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of Shandong Province (201303074), and Foundation of SDUST.

References

- [1] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 204, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [2] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, and J. Vasundhara, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Academic Publishers, Cambridge, Mass, USA, 2009.
- [3] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- [4] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
- [5] H. Amann, "Parabolic evolution equations with nonlinear boundary conditions," in *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its*

- Applications*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, pp. 17–27, Providence, RI, USA, 1986.
- [6] H. Amann, “Parabolic evolution equations and nonlinear boundary conditions,” *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 201–269, 1988.
- [7] N. A. Asif and R. A. Khan, “Positive solutions to singular system with four-point coupled boundary conditions,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 386, no. 2, pp. 848–861, 2012.
- [8] Y. Cui and J. Sun, “On existence of positive solutions of coupled integral boundary value problems for a nonlinear singular superlinear differential system,” *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, no. 41, 13 pages, 2012.
- [9] Y. Cui, L. Liu, and X. Zhang, “Uniqueness and existence of positive solutions for singular differential systems with coupled integral boundary value problems,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 340487, 9 pages, 2013.
- [10] Y. Cui and Y. Zou, “Monotone iterative method for differential systems with coupled integral boundary value problems,” *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2013, article 245, 2013.
- [11] K. Deng, “Blow-up rates for parabolic systems,” *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 47, pp. 132–143, 1996.
- [12] K. Deng, “Global existence and blow-up for a system of heat equations with non-linear boundary conditions,” *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 307–315, 1995.
- [13] L. Zhigui and X. Chunhong, “The blow-up rate for a system of heat equations with nonlinear boundary conditions,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 767–778, 1998.
- [14] M. Pedersen and Z. Lin, “Blow-up analysis for a system of heat equations coupled through a nonlinear boundary condition,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 171–176, 2001.
- [15] C. Yuan, D. Jiang, D. O’Regan, and R. P. Agarwal, “Multiple positive solutions to systems of nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equations with coupled boundary conditions,” *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, no. 13, 17 pages, 2012.
- [16] Y. Zou, L. Liu, and Y. Cui, “The existence of solutions for four-point coupled boundary value problems of fractional differential equations at resonance,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 314083, 8 pages, 2014.
- [17] M. Al-Refai and M. Ali Hajji, “Monotone iterative sequences for nonlinear boundary value problems of fractional order,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 74, no. 11, pp. 3531–3539, 2011.
- [18] T. Jankowski, “Boundary problems for fractional differential equations,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 28, pp. 14–19, 2014.
- [19] L. Lin, X. Liu, and H. Fang, “Method of upper and lower solutions for fractional differential equations,” *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2012, 2012.
- [20] J. D. Ramirez and A. S. Vatsala, “Monotone iterative technique for fractional differential equations with periodic boundary conditions,” *Opuscula Mathematica*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 289–304, 2009.
- [21] A. Shi and S. Zhang, “Upper and lower solutions method and a fractional differential equation boundary value problem,” *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, vol. 30, 13 pages, 2009.
- [22] G. Wang, “Monotone iterative technique for boundary value problems of a nonlinear fractional differential equation with deviating arguments,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 236, no. 9, pp. 2425–2430, 2012.
- [23] Z. Wei, Q. Li, and J. Che, “Initial value problems for fractional differential equations involving Riemann-Liouville sequential fractional derivative,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 367, no. 1, pp. 260–272, 2010.
- [24] S. Zhang and X. Su, “The existence of a solution for a fractional differential equation with nonlinear boundary conditions considered using upper and lower solutions in reverse order,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1269–1274, 2011.
- [25] S. Zhang, “Monotone iterative method for initial value problem involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 5-6, pp. 2087–2093, 2009.